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This paper investigates the coverage control for a group of agents, where the density function over the given region is unknown and time-varying. A cost function, depending on the density function and a certain metric, is provided to evaluate the performance of coverage network. Then, while considering the sampling noise, a novel estimation algorithm is developed to approximate the density function based on the Kalman filter (KF) and the Radial Basis Function (RBF) neural network. Compared with other estimation algorithms, a novel sampling regulation mechanism is designed to improve the estimation performance and reduce the computational load. On this basis, a coverage control scheme with estimated density function is proposed to drive the agents to the optimal deployment. Moreover, the stability and performance of proposed coverage control system are strictly analyzed. Finally, numerical simulation is provided to illustrate the effectiveness of proposed approaches.

1. Introduction

Recently, the coverage control has received substantially increasing interest, which has emerged in many applications [1–7]. The objective of coverage control is to deploy the agents in a given region, such that the regions with higher interest can get more attentions from the agents. The distribution of this interested information is defined as density function. To achieve this goal, a cost function, containing a certain metric and the density function, is provided to evaluate the performance of coverage network. Then, a distributed controller is proposed to minimize this cost function such that the agents can reach the optimal deployment from arbitrary initial positions. It can be seen that the density function plays an important role in coverage control.

Due to the fact that the density function is usually unknown to the agents, the coverage control with unknown density function has been further investigated. Generally, a spatial estimation algorithm is usually developed to approximate the density function. For example, a decentralized adaptive spatial estimation algorithm is developed to approximate the density function for the coverage control in [8]. To verify the effectiveness of this spatial estimation algorithm, an experiment with a group of mobile sensors is presented in [9]. In our previous work, an efficient coverage algorithm for mobile sensor networks with unknown density function is proposed, where the consensus mechanism is applied to improve the estimation efficiency [10]. More related literature can be found in [11–14].

While considering the sampling noise, the filter algorithms are usually employed to estimate the density function. For instance, the density function over a spatially decoupled scalar field is estimated by using a discrete Kalman filter (KF) based estimation algorithm in [15]. A distributed spatial estimation algorithm based on the Kriging interpolation technique is developed for a group of agents in [16]. The experiment for this KF-based estimation algorithm in coverage control is provided in [17]. To further proceed with the coverage control with unknown density function, a novel estimation algorithm is developed for the coverage network by using the neural network in [18].

When the density function is time-varying, there are also some results to drive the agents to the optimal deployment. In [19], a switching control algorithm with two controllers is proposed, where the first controller is used to compensate for the variation of density function and the second one is to drive the agents to their optimal positions. The coverage control with time-varying density function is also
investigated in [20], in which the density function over this field is continuously growing and cannot be measured by the onboard sensors. Moreover, for the coverage control with unknown and time-varying density function, the Bayesian prediction techniques are employed to estimate the density function in [21].

Although the coverage control with unknown density function has been well studied, there are still many problems in practice. For instance, in [8–10], the density function is estimated based on the noise-free measurements, which limits their applications. For the coverage control with noisy measurements ([15–17]), the state transition matrices in these KF-based estimation algorithms are usually assumed to be known a priori. Moreover, in [18, 21], the computational load of the estimation algorithms is heavy. In addition, for most existing coverage control with time-varying density function, the agents are assumed to know the density function a priori ([19, 20]). Hence, it is necessary to further investigate the coverage control with both time-varying and unknown density function.

Motivated by this fact, a novel estimation algorithm for density function is developed based on the Kalman filter (KF) and Radial Basis Function (RBF) neural networks. The coverage control scheme with this estimated density function is further proposed in this paper. The main contributions are twofold:

(i) An improved KF-RBF based estimation algorithm is proposed for the unknown and time-varying density function in coverage control. In this estimation algorithm, the RBF neural network is used to estimate the density function and the related Kalman filter is employed to deal with the noisy measurements. Moreover, a sampling regulation mechanism is designed to improve the estimation efficiency and reduce the computational load. Compared with the existing results in [15–17], the proposed KF-RBF based estimation algorithm can deal with the sampling noise and approximate the time-varying density function without any assumption about the state transition matrices. Moreover, since a sampling regulation mechanism is applied into this estimation algorithm, its computational load is less than the spatial estimation algorithms in [18, 21].

(ii) Based on the estimated density function, a coverage control strategy is proposed to drive the agents to the optimal deployment. Since the density function is time-varying, the proposed coverage network can effectively adjust the deployment of agents, such that the regions with higher interest could always get more attention from the agents. Moreover, the stability and performance of this proposed coverage control system are strictly analyzed.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: The preliminaries and problem formulation are presented in Section 2. An improved KF-RBF based estimation algorithm is developed in Section 3, and the related coverage control scheme is proposed in Section 4. In Section 5, numerical simulations are provided to verify the proposed approaches. Finally, Section 6 concludes this paper.

2. Preliminaries and Problem Formulation

Consider $n$ agents in a given region $Q$. The density function over this region is denoted as $\phi(q, t) : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, q \in Q$. Then, we define the following cost function

$$H(P, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{W_i} f(p_i, q) \phi(q, t) dq$$

where $P = [p_1, \ldots, p_n]^T$ is the position vector of all agents; $W_i$ is the assigned region to the $i$th agent, and $f(p_i, q)$ is the metric describing the coverage cost from $p_i$ to $q$.

To quantitatively assess the coverage cost, let $f(p_i, q) = \|p_i - q\|^2$. Then, according to Lloyd algorithm, the Voronoi partition is the optimal strategy for a group of agents in a convex region [22]. That is, the Voronoi region is the optimal assigned region to each agent. The detailed definition of Voronoi region is shown as

$$V_i = \left\{ q \in Q \mid \|q - p_i\|^2 \leq \|q - p_j\|^2, \forall i, j \in n, i \neq j \right\}$$

where $q \in Q$ is an arbitrary point in $Q$ and $V_i$ is the Voronoi region to the $i$th agent.

Then, the cost function with quantitative assessment $\|p_i - q\|^2$ and Voronoi region $V_i$ is presented as

$$H(P, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{V_i} \|q - p_i\|^2 \phi(q, t) dq$$

The objective of coverage control is to minimize the cost function $H(P, t)$ in (3). As $H(P, t)$ is a function in terms of $p_i$ and $t$, we take the partial derivative of $H(P, t)$ along the trajectory of each agent:

$$\frac{\partial H(P, t)}{\partial p_i} = 2M_{V_i} \left( p_i - C_{V_i} \right)$$

where

$$M_{V_i} = \int_{V_i} \phi(q, t) dq$$

$$C_{V_i} = \int_{V_i} q \phi(q, t) dq \cdot \int_{V_i} \phi(q, t) dq$$

Then, the cost function will reach the minimum when $\partial H(P, t)/\partial p_i = 0$. It leads us to

$$p_i^* = C_{V_i} \cdot \int_{V_i} q \phi(q, t) dq \cdot \int_{V_i} \phi(q, t) dq$$

where $p_i^*$ denotes the optimal position of the $i$th agent.

On this basis, the optimal deployment for a group of agents is that $p_i = C_{V_i}, \forall i = 1, \ldots, n$. Note that the density function $\phi(q, t)$ is necessary to obtain $C_{V_i}$. However, it is
usually time-varying and unknown to the agents. To this end, an improved KF-RBF based estimation algorithm is designed to approximate the density function, and the coverage control with estimated density function will be proposed in this paper.

Remark 1. In general, the density function $\phi(q,t)$ denotes the distribution of interested information in a given region. In practical cases, the density function has a lot of physical meanings. For instance, the density function can denote the occurrence probability of events in the given region. Then, based on the objective of coverage control, we can effectively drive the agents to the optimal deployment, such that the regions with higher probabilities can get more attention from the agents. One can refer to [23, 24] for more details about the coverage control with detailed density function.

3. The Improved KF-RBF Estimation Algorithm

3.1. Spatial Model. Suppose the agents can measure the density function at its location. Then, the measurements of coverage network are shown as follows.

$$Y(P,t) = [y(p_1, t), \ldots, y(p_n, t)]^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

As the density function $\phi(q,t)$ is time-varying and the measurements are noise corrupted, a KF-RBF based estimation algorithm will be developed to approximate the $\phi(q,t)$. In the RBF framework, let $\Psi(q) = [\psi_1(q), \ldots, \psi_m(q)]^T \in \mathbb{R}^m$ be the basis function. Then, there exists an ideal vector $w \in \mathbb{R}^m$ satisfying [25]

$$Y(P,t) = \Psi^T(P) w$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where $w$ is the ideal coefficient in RBF framework and $\Psi(P)$ is shown by the following.

$$\Psi(P) = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_1(p_1), & \cdots, & \psi_1(p_n), \\ \vdots, & \ddots, & \vdots, \\ \psi_m(p_1), & \cdots, & \psi_m(p_n) \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Denote $\hat{\phi}(q,t)$ as the estimated density function. The estimation error $\tilde{\phi}(q,t)$ is shown as

$$\tilde{\phi}(q,t) = \hat{\phi}(q,t) - \phi(q,t) = \Psi^T(q) \hat{w} - \Psi^T(q) w$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where $\hat{w}$ is the estimated coefficient in RBF and $\bar{w} = \tilde{w} - w$.

Particularly, the Voronoi centroid with estimated density function is shown as follows.

$$\hat{C}_{V_i} = \int_{V_i} q \tilde{\phi}(q,t) dq$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Regarding the estimated Voronoi centroid $\hat{C}_{V_i}$, we have the following definition.

Definition 2. Given a group of agents in the mission region, the coverage network is said to be in a near-optimal deployment when all the agents satisfy $\lim_{t \to \infty} \sum_i P_i = \hat{C}_{V_i}, \forall i = 1, \ldots, n$.

3.2. Sampling Regulation Mechanism. Let $Y_k = Y(P,t_k)$. Then, the Pearson Correlation Coefficient between $Y_k$ and $Y_{k-1}$ is shown as

$$e_k = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n (Y_k(j) - \bar{Y}_k)(Y_{k-1}(j) - \bar{Y}_{k-1})}{\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n (Y_k(j) - \bar{Y}_k)^2} \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n (Y_{k-1}(j) - \bar{Y}_{k-1})^2}}$$ \hspace{1cm} (12)

where $e_k$ is the Pearson Correlation Coefficient; $Y_k(j)$ and $\bar{Y}_k$ denote the $j$th element and the average of $Y_k$ at time $t_k$, respectively.

Let $\Delta t_k$ be the sampling period at $t_k$. The initial value of $\Delta t_k$ is $\epsilon_0$ and it satisfies $\epsilon_0 \leq \Delta t_k \leq 0.8$. Then, according to (12), $\Delta t_k$ is adjusted by the following rules:

$$\Delta t_k(k + 1) = \begin{cases} \min \{\Delta t_k(k) + \delta, \epsilon_0\} & \text{if } 0.9 \leq e_k \leq 1 \\ \max \{\Delta t_k(k) - \delta, \epsilon_0\} & \text{if } 0.8 \leq e_k < 0.9 \\ \epsilon_0 & \text{if } 0 \leq e_k < 0.8 \end{cases}$$ \hspace{1cm} (13)

where $\delta$ is a single step in coverage.

From (12), we find that $e_k \in [0, 1]$ and the current measurement $Y'_k$ is more similar to its previous ones when $e_k$ is larger. For instance, when $0.9 \leq e_k \leq 1$, we can increase the sampling period by $\Delta t_k(k + 1) = \Delta t_k(k) + \delta$ because the current measurements $Y_k$ are quite similar to the previous ones $Y_{k-1}$. When $0.8 \leq e_k < 0.9$, this shows that the current measurements have a certain variation with their previous ones. Hence, it is necessary to reduce the sampling period by a single step $\delta$. The case $0 \leq e_k < 0.8$ means that the measurements have presented great changes. Then, we directly set the sampling period to its minimum $\epsilon_0$ to collect more measurements.

Moreover, to improve the estimation efficiency, we also take the cost function into consideration. Based on the agents’ current positions and its estimated Voronoi centroids, we have the following cost functions

$$\bar{H}_d(P,t_k) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{V_i} \|p_i - q\|^2 \hat{\phi}(q,t_k) dq$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

$$\bar{H}_c(\bar{C}_{V_i},t_k) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{V_i} \|\bar{C}_{V_i} - q\|^2 \tilde{\phi}(q,t_k) dq$$

where $\bar{H}_d(P,t_k)$ is the cost function with current positions and $\bar{H}_c(\bar{C}_{V_i},t_k)$ is the expected cost function with estimated Voronoi centroids; $\bar{C}_{V_i} = [\bar{C}_{V_1}, \ldots, \bar{C}_{V_n}]$. 
From (14), it is shown that the $\hat{H}_e$ is the expected cost function for the coverage network at $t_k$. Then, define the variation between $H_a$ and $H_e$ as follows.

$$e_h = \frac{H_a - H_e}{H_e} = \frac{\|H_a - H_e\|}{\|H_e\|} > 0 \quad (15)$$

$$\{\Delta t_2 (k + 1), \gamma (k + 1)\} = \begin{cases} \{\Delta t_1 (k + 1), \gamma (k) + \alpha \delta\}, & \text{if } 0 \leq e_h < 0.1 \\ \{\Delta t_1 (k + 1), \gamma (k) + \delta\}, & \text{if } 0.1 \leq e_h < 0.3 \\ \max \{\Delta t_1 (k + 1) - \delta, \gamma (k)\}, & \text{if } 0.3 \leq e_h < 0.5 \\ \max \{\Delta t_1 (k + 1) - \alpha \delta, \gamma (k)\}, & \text{if } 0.5 \leq e_h < 1 \\ \max \{\Delta t_1 (k + 1) - 2\alpha \delta, \gamma (k)\}, & \text{if } 1 \leq e_h < 2 \\ \{\gamma (0), 0\}, & \text{if } 2 \leq e_h \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

where $\Delta t_2$ is the improved sampling period from $\Delta t_1$; $\gamma$ denotes the smoothness of cost function; and $\alpha$ is a positive constant.

As the $\hat{H}_e$ is the cost function with the optimal positions, we have that $\hat{H}_a \geq \hat{H}_e$. From (15), it is found that the $e_h$ describes the variation between $\hat{H}_a$ and $\hat{H}_e$. The smaller $e_h$, the closer $\hat{H}_a$ and $\hat{H}_e$. Particularly, when $\hat{H}_a = \hat{H}_e$, the coverage network reaches the optimal deployment. In this case, the sampling period can be further extended. Based on these analyses, we develop the following regulation rules.

Remark 4. In the proposed sampling regulation mechanism, the bound values of $e_a$ and $e_h$ are determined by practical requirements. In detail, we should firstly find the boundaries of $e_a$ and $e_h$ based on their definitions. Then, we separate the ranges of $e_a$ and $e_h$ into some subregions by the bound values. These bound values are determined by practical requirements. For instance, $\{\Delta t_1 (k + 1), \gamma (k + 1)\} = \{\Delta t_1 (k + 1), \gamma (k) + \alpha \delta\}$ if $0 \leq e_h < 0.1$. The range $0 \leq e_h < 0.1$ is given based on practical requirements. When the higher precision is required, one can also set this bound value as $0 \leq e_h < 0.05$, which is essentially more fastidious to the measurements.

Remark 5. It is also worth noting that both the efficiency and accuracy of proposed estimation algorithm are affected by the sampling regulation mechanism. Generally, the smaller of the sampling period, the more accurate of the estimation algorithm. However, it would increase the dimension of measurements, which greatly limits the efficiency of the proposed estimation algorithm. Therefore, the trade-off between the estimation accuracy and efficiency should be taken into consideration while designing the sampling regulation mechanism.

Remark 6. Actually, there are three levels in the proposed sampling regulation mechanism. The first level is based on the variation of measurements, denoted by $e_a$. Based on the proposed rules in (13), the sampling period will be reduced when the current measurements have a certain variation with its previous ones. Otherwise, it will be extended. The second level is based on the differences between the current cost function $\hat{H}_a$ and the expected cost function $\hat{H}_e$. When $\hat{H}_a$ has a certain gap from $\hat{H}_e$, this means that the estimated errors for density function may be too large for the coverage system.
Then, we need to collect more measurements to improve the estimation accuracy, which is usually realized by reducing the sampling period. In the third level, we take the smoothness of cost function into consideration. We use \( y \) to illustrate the smoothness of cost function, and the cost function will converge to a stable value if \( y \) is large enough. In this case, the coverage procedure is stable and accurate with its current measurements, which indicates that the sampling period can be further increased. Based on the above three levels in sampling regulation mechanism, we can greatly reduce the computational load and improve the efficiency of proposed coverage system.

3.3. Improved KF-RBF Based Estimation Algorithm. Regarding the proposed sampling regulation mechanism, an improved KF-RBF based estimation algorithm for density function is developed in this subsection. Depending on the RBF framework, we estimate the density function by

\[
\hat{\phi}(q,t) = \psi^T(q)\hat{\omega}
\]

\[
- c^T(q,P)c^{-1}(P,P)(\psi^T(P)\hat{\omega} - Y(P,t))
\]

(18)

where \( c(\cdot,\cdot) \) denotes the spatial and temporal correlation function between any two points in the given region. For instance, \( c(q,P) \) shows the correlation between any point \( q \) and the sampling positions \( P \). The detailed formulations of \( c(q,P) \) and \( c(P,P) \) are presented as

\[
c_i = \sigma \exp \left[ -\frac{(q - p_i)^2}{\sigma_i^2} \right]
\]

\[
c_j = \sigma \exp \left[ -\frac{(p_i - p_j)^2}{\sigma_i^2} \right]
\]

\[
c_{ij} = \sigma \exp \left[ -\frac{(q - p_i)^2}{\sigma_i^2} \right] \exp \left[ -\frac{(t - t_j)^2}{\sigma_t^2} \right] + \delta_{ij}
\]

(19)

\[
\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

where \( c_i \) is the ith element in \( c(q,P) \) and \( c_{ij} \) is the ith element in \( c(P,P) \); \( \sigma \) is a constant gain parameter; \( \sigma_i \) and \( \sigma_t \) are spatial and temporal sensitivity parameters, respectively. \( \delta_{ij} \) is the Kronecker Delta function, which equals 1 if \( i = j \) and zeros otherwise.

The objective of this estimation algorithm is to update the coefficient \( \hat{\omega} \) such that the estimation errors \( \hat{\phi}(q,t) \) would converge to zeros. The updated law is shown as follows

\[
\dot{\hat{\omega}} = -K_p(t_k) \left( \psi^T(P) \hat{\omega}_i - Y(P,t_k) \right) + \Psi^{-1}(P) \left( Y(P,t_k) \right)
\]

\[
- \int_{V_i} [\psi_j(q) \Psi(q)] dq \hat{\omega}_i - \Psi^{-1}(P) Y(P,t_k)
\]

\[
\Delta Y(P,t_k)
\]

(20)

where \( \Delta Y(P,t_k) = (Y(P,t_k) - Y(P,t_{k-1}))/t_k - t_{k-1}; K \) is a positive definite matrix; \( K_p(t_k) \) is the gain matrix from Kalman filter, shown as follows

\[
K_p(t_k)
\]

\[
P_k(t_{k-1}) = P_k(t_{k-1}) - K_p(t_k) \Psi(P) P_k(t_{k-1}) (\Psi(P) + R)^{-1}
\]

(21)

where \( R \) is the noise covariance matrix; \( P_k(t_{k-1}) \) is the covariance matrix for estimation errors shown by the following.

\[
P_k(t_k) = P_k(t_{k-1}) - K_p(t_k) \Psi(P) P_k(t_{k-1}) \Psi^T(P) + R
\]

(22)

In this way, the density function at any point \( q \) can be approximated by (18), (20), and (21). The \( K_p \) in (21) is derived from the Kalman filter, which is used to deal with sampling noise. To fully illustrate the improved KF-RBF based estimation algorithm, we provide Algorithm 2.

In Algorithm 2, the parameters \( \sigma, \sigma_i, \sigma_t \), and \( \sigma \) are chosen based on the boundary of density function and the given region. To accurately obtain these parameters, one can use the Monte Carlo and Markov Chain (MCMC) to calculate them iteratively [26]. In addition, while applying Algorithm 2, we have to rasterize the given region to obtain the spatial distribution of density function. In this way, we can calculate the density function point by point and finally get the distribution of density function over the given region.


**Remark 7.** In addition, the adaptive updated law of $\tilde{w}$ in (20) consists of three parts. The first term is used to decrease the estimation errors of density function; the second one can eliminate the influence of time-varying density function in proposed coverage control system; and the last one is to compensate for the distance between the estimated centroid in proposed coverage control system; and the last one is to compensate for the distance between the estimated centroid and the real centroid in (18).

To further analyze the stability of proposed coverage system, a formalized theorem is presented as follows.

**Theorem 8.** Consider a group of agents in a given region $Q$, which is described by (23). The sampling regulation mechanism of these agents is presented in (13), (16), and (17). The time-varying density function over given region is approximated through (18). Then, by using the proposed coverage control law in (25), the coverage network will converge to the near-optimal deployment from arbitrary initial positions.

**Proof.** According to (18), the estimation errors are shown as follows.

$$\bar{\phi} (q, t) = \phi (q, t) - \tilde{\phi} (q, t) = \psi^T (q) \tilde{w} - c^T (q, P) c^{-1} (P, P) \psi^T (P) \tilde{w}$$

Based on the updated law in (20), $\tilde{w}_i$ is presented as

$$\tilde{w}_i = -K_p (t_k) (\Psi^T (P) \tilde{w}_i - Y (P, t_k)) + \Psi^{-1} (P) \left(1 - \frac{\int_{V_i} \|p_i - q\|_2^2 \psi (q) dq}{\tilde{w}_i - \Psi^{-1} (P) Y (P, t_k)} \right) \Delta Y (P, t_k) - \int_{V_i} \Psi (P) dq$$

$$\cdot c^{-1} (P, P) c (q, P) (q - \tilde{C}_V) + \psi (q)$$

$$\cdot (q - \tilde{C}_V)^T dq K (\tilde{C}_V - p_i)$$

$$= -K_p \Psi^T (P) \tilde{w}_i + \left(1 - \frac{\int_{V_i} \|p_i - q\|_2^2 \psi (q) dq}{\tilde{w}_i} \right) \tilde{w}_i$$

$$- A$$

$$= -K_p \Psi^T (P) \tilde{w}_i + \tilde{w}_i - \int_{V_i} \|p_i - q\|_2^2 \psi (q) dq \frac{\tilde{w}_i}{\tilde{w}_i} - A$$

4. Coverage Control with Estimated Density Function

In this section, the coverage control scheme with estimated density function is developed. The performance and stability of this proposed coverage control system are further analyzed.

Consider the dynamics of agents as follows

$$\dot{p}_i = u_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n$$

where $u_i$ is the inputs of each agent.

Regarding the estimated density function $\tilde{\phi} (q, t)$, the cost function with estimated density function is presented as follows.

$$\tilde{H} (P, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{V_i} \|q - p_i\|_2^2 \tilde{\phi} (q, t) dq$$

Then, a distributed coverage control law is proposed as follows:

$$u_i = K_c \left( \frac{\int_{V_i} \tilde{\phi} (q, t) dq}{\int_{V_i} \tilde{\phi} (q, t) dq} - p_i \right) = K_c (\tilde{C}_V - p_i)$$

$$i = 1, \ldots, n$$

where $K_c \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2}$ is the positive definite matrix.

**Algorithm 2:** The improved KF-RBF based estimation algorithm.

Require: The sampling measurements $Y (P, t)$ and the agent positions $P$.
Ensure: The estimated density function $\tilde{\phi} (q, t)$

1. Initialization: The related parameters $\tilde{w}_0, \sigma_r, \sigma_s, R, P_k (t_0)$; The basis function $\psi (q)$ and the estimation errors critical $\xi$
2. while $Q \neq \emptyset$ do
3. $q = q^*$ and $\tilde{w} = \tilde{w}_0$
4. Compute $\Psi (P), c (q, P)$ and $C (P, P)$ in (18);
5. Obtain $\bar{C}_V$ through the previous estimated density function;
6. Calculate the estimation errors $||\Psi^T (P) \tilde{w} - Y (P, t)||$
7. while $||\Psi^T (P) \tilde{w} - Y (P, t)|| > \xi$ do
8. Calculate $K_p (t_k)$ based on (21);
9. Update the covariance matrix $P_k (t_k)$;
10. Substitute $K_p (t_k)$ into (20) and obtain the coefficient parameters $\tilde{w}_k (t_k)$
11. Obtain the estimated density function $\tilde{\phi} (q, t_k)$ through (18);
12. endwhile
13. Remove $q$ from $Q$ and choose another point $q^*$ for estimation;
14. Update the related variables: $k = k + 1$; $\tilde{w}_0 = \tilde{w}_k (t_k)$;
15. endwhile
where
\[
A = \int_{V_i} [\psi(P) c^{-1}(P,P)c(q,P)(q - \tilde{C}_{V_i}) + \psi(q)] \cdot (q - \tilde{C}_{V_i})^T dq K (\tilde{C}_{V_i} - p_i).
\]

Then, consider the following Lyapunov function candidate.
\[
\mathcal{V}' = H + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{\omega}_i^T \overline{\omega}_i > 0
\]

Taking the derivative of \(\mathcal{V}'\) gives the following.
\[
\dot{\mathcal{V}}' = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \int_{V_i} \|p_i - q\|^2 \phi(q,t) dq + \overline{\omega}_i^T \overline{\omega}_i \right)
\]

Denote \(\dot{\mathcal{V}}_i = (d/dt) \int_{V_i} \|p_i - q\|^2 \phi(q,t) dq + \overline{\omega}_i^T \overline{\omega}_i\) and we have the following.
\[
\dot{\mathcal{V}}_i = \frac{d}{dt} \int_{V_i} \|p_i - q\|^2 \phi(q,t) dq + \overline{\omega}_i^T \overline{\omega}_i
\]

Regarding the estimated density function \(\hat{\phi}(q,t), L_{V_i}\) satisfies the following.
\[
L_{V_i} = \tilde{L}_{V_i} - \tilde{L}_{V_i} = M_{V_i} \tilde{C}_{V_i} - M_{V_i} \tilde{C}_{V_i}
\]

Substituting (25) and (33) into (32), we have the following.
\[
\dot{\mathcal{V}}_i = \left( [\left(M_{V_i} \psi(P) c^{-1}(P,P)c(q,P)\right) \cdot (q - \tilde{C}_{V_i})^T \psi(q) dq + \overline{\omega}_i^T \overline{\omega}_i \right)
\]

Particularly for the term \(M_{V_i} \tilde{C}_{V_i} + \tilde{L}_{V_i}\), we have the following.
\[
M_{V_i} \tilde{C}_{V_i} + \tilde{L}_{V_i}
\]

As \(\tilde{\omega}_i = \tilde{\omega}_i - \omega_i, \tilde{\omega}_i^T \tilde{\omega}_i\) becomes as follows.
\[
\tilde{\omega}_i^T \tilde{\omega}_i = \tilde{\omega}_i^T \left(-K_p \psi^T(P) \tilde{\omega}_i + \hat{\phi}\right)
\]

\[
- \int_{V_i} \|p_i - q\|^2 \psi(q) dq \tilde{\omega}_i - A - \omega_i
\]

\[
= \tilde{\omega}_i^T \left(-K_p \psi^T(P) \tilde{\omega}_i - \int_{V_i} \|p_i - q\|^2 \psi(q) dq \tilde{\omega}_i - A \right)
\]

\[
\cdot \omega_i - \tilde{\omega}_i^T A
\]

Then, in conjunction with (35) and (36), \(\dot{\mathcal{V}}\) can be written as follows.
\[
\dot{\mathcal{V}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ -M_{V_i} (\tilde{C}_{V_i} - p_i)^T K_c (\tilde{C}_{V_i} - p_i) \right.
\]

\[
- \tilde{\omega}_i^T K_p \hat{\phi}(q,t) \]

For the term \(\tilde{\omega}_i^T K_p \hat{\phi}(q,t)\) in (37), we have the following.
\[
\tilde{\omega}_i^T K_p \hat{\phi}(q,t) = \tilde{\omega}_i^T \psi^T(P) \psi^{-1}(P) K_p \psi^T(P) \tilde{\omega}_i
\]

From the Kalman filter, we notice that the \(K_p\) is used to measure the influence of sampling noise covariance matrix \(R\) and estimation error covariance matrix \(P_k\). Hence, when \(R\) and \(P_k\) are approaching zeros, \(K_p\) satisfies the following.
\[
\lim_{R \to 0} K_p = \lim_{P_k \to 0} \frac{P_k \psi^T(P)}{P_k \psi^T(P) + R} = \psi^{-1}(P)
\]

\[
\lim_{R \to 0} K_p = \lim_{P_k \to 0} \frac{P_k \psi^T(P)}{P_k \psi^T(P) + R} = 0
\]

As \(\psi^{-1}(P)\) is positive definite, \(\psi^{-1}(P)K_p\) satisfies the following.
\[
\psi^{-1}(P) K_p \in [0, \left(\psi^{-1}(P)\right)^2]
\]

Then, we have the following.
\[
\tilde{\omega}_i^T \psi^T(P) \psi^{-1}(P) K_p \psi^T(P) \tilde{\omega}_i \geq 0
\]

On this basis, \(\dot{\mathcal{V}}\) satisfies the following.
\[
\dot{\mathcal{V}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ -M_{V_i} (\tilde{C}_{V_i} - p_i)^T K_c (\tilde{C}_{V_i} - p_i) \right.
\]

\[
- \tilde{\omega}_i^T K_p \hat{\phi}(q,t) \]

On this basis, we obtain that \(\dot{\mathcal{V}} > 0\) and \(\dot{\mathcal{V}} \leq 0\) in the given region. Based on the Barbital's lemma, the proposed coverage system with estimated density function is stable and the agents will asymptotically converge to the near-optimal deployment from arbitrary initial positions. Moreover, as the coverage system converges to the set \(\dot{\mathcal{V}} = 0\), the coefficient \(\omega_i\) satisfies \(\lim_{t \to \infty} \tilde{\omega}_i^T \tilde{\omega}_i = 0\). That is, the proposed estimation algorithm for density function will effectively converge to the real density function.
Suppose the real density function over this given region is unknown to the agents. The dynamics of each agent are described by (23), and the density demonstrated in this proof. In (30), the $\tilde{w}_i$ is used to show the convergence of proposed estimation algorithm. As shown in this proof, the $\mathcal{Y}$ will converge to the set $\mathcal{Y} = 0$ under the proposed control law. From (42), it indicates that $\lim_{t \to 0} \tilde{w}_i = 0$. That is, the estimation errors of proposed estimated algorithm will converge to zeros. Actually, we can individually prove the convergence of proposed estimation algorithm. However, while applying this estimation algorithm into the coverage system, we must show the convergence of proposed coverage control system with estimated density function.

Based on the proposed coverage control scheme in (25), the agents will converge to the estimated Voronoi centroids $\bar{C}_V, i = 1, \ldots, n$. From Definition 2, it is shown that the coverage network can only reach the near-optimal deployment when the density function is unknown to the agents. Actually, while converging the estimated density function to the real one, the near-optimal deployment is almost the same with the real optimal deployment. Hence, it is feasible to put the near-optimal deployment into practice.

5. Simulation

Consider 9 agents in a given region $Q$ ($1 \text{km} \times 1 \text{km}$). The dynamics of each agent are described by (23), and the density function over this given region is unknown to the agents. Suppose the real density function over $Q$ is described by

$$\phi(q, t) = 1 + 1000 \exp \left(-5(2q_x - 2C_x)^2 - 5(2q_y - 2C_y)^2\right)$$

where $q = [q_x, q_y]^T$ and $C_x, C_y$ are shown as follows.

$$C_x = C_y = \begin{cases} 0.1t, & 0 \leq t < 1.5 \\ 0.15 + 0.01t, & 1.5 \leq t < 7 \\ 0.22 + 0.028t, & 7 \leq t < 10 \\ 0.5, & 10 \leq t \end{cases}$$

From (43), we find that the real density function over the mission region is time-varying and the peak of this density function moves along the diagonal from $(0,0)$ to $(1,1)$ with different speeds.

The initial sampling period is $\Delta t(0) = 5s$ and the measurement noise is $\xi \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. The initial covariance matrices in Kalman filter are $R(0) = I$ and $P(0) = I$. In the spatial and temporal correlation function $c(\cdot, \cdot)$, the related parameters are chosen as $\sigma_s = 1$, $\sigma_{\tau} = 2$, and $\sigma_\phi = 5$. The initial value of coefficient $\tilde{w}(0)$ is random from 1 to 10. On this basis, the numerical results of proposed coverage control system are shown as follows.

Figure 1 illustrates the coverage behaviors of agents with unknown time-varying density function. The star points and triangle points are the agent positions and the Voronoi centroids, respectively. The dashed lines denote the Voronoi partition with the agents’ final positions, and the solid lines are the moving trajectories. In Figure 2, we present the detailed values of every kind of colors are shown as follows. As shown in Figure 1(a), the initial deployment of agents is randomly located in the given region, and the estimated density function is far away from the real one. Then, in Figure 1(b), the estimation errors for density function converge to zeros and the agents are almost clustered around the peak region of density function.

For the coverage control, all the agents follow the peak of density function and converge to the optimal deployment in Figure 1(c). Regarding Figures 1(a), 1(b), and 1(c), it is shown that the proposed coverage control law can always drive the agents to follow the optimal deployment with time-varying density function. In addition, from background of Figure 1, we can clearly see that the estimated density function strictly follows the moving of real density function, which verifies the effectiveness of proposed estimation algorithm. More detailed analyses are presented in Figures 2, 3, and 4.

The coverage trajectories of all agents are presented in Figure 2, where the circle points are the initial positions, the star points denote the agents’ current positions, and the triangle points are the Voronoi centroids. The dashed lines denote the Voronoi partition with the agents’ final positions, and the solid lines are the moving trajectories. In Figure 2, we
notice that all the agents move to the lower left corner of the region at the beginning, which is exactly the initial location of the peak of density function. Then, since the peak of density function moves to the center part, the agents are driven to follow the peak such that the regions with higher density can always get more attention from the agents. This result shows that the proposed control strategy can always drive the agents to follow the optimal deployment with time-varying density function.

Figure 3 describes the evolution of the distances from the agents to their centroids. From this figure, we can find that all the agents converge to their centroids, which indicates that the coverage network reaches the optimal deployment. Note that these distances are sometimes increasing during the coverage procedure. It is because the density function is time-varying and the centroids are closely related to the density function. Hence, the distances between agents and their centroids may be larger when the density function changes its distribution. From another point, this result verifies the advantages of proposed coverage system with time-varying density function.

The evolution of cost function is provided in Figure 4, where Figure 4(a) shows the cost function with proposed sampling regulation mechanism and Figure 4(b) is the cost function with fixed sampling period. In Figure 4, the blue line is the cost function with estimated density function \((H_e)\), and the red line denotes the cost function with real density function \((H_r)\). From Figures 4(a) and 4(b), we can find that
the cost function with estimated density function strictly follows the cost function with real density function, which also verifies the accuracy and efficiency of our proposed coverage scheme and estimation algorithm. Then, comparing Figure 4(a) with Figure 4(b), we find that the convergence rate of cost function with sampling regulation mechanism is obviously larger than the cost function with fixed sampling period. Moreover, the cost function with fixed sampling period shows some chattering during the coverage procedure in Figure 4(b), because the developed sampling mechanism can adjust the sampling period depending on the trend of time-varying density function. For instance, from (43), the peak of real density function changes slowly in 2min to 7min. Then, we extend the sampling period based on the proposed sampling regulation mechanism during this time. However, the fixed sampling mechanism still takes measurement at 5s each time, which also increases the influences of sampling noise. For the evolution of cost function after 7min, Figure 4(a) shows a faster convergence than the cost function in Figure 4(b), because the coverage network with sampling regulation mechanism is more sensitive to the variation of density function. Therefore, the developed sampling regulation mechanism in coverage control reduces the system’s computational load and improves the efficiency of proposed coverage system.

6. Conclusion

In this paper, we study the coverage control problems for a group of agents with unknown and time-varying density function. According to the objective of coverage control, a cost function with a certain metric and density function is provided to describe the performance of coverage network. Then, based on the KF and RBF neural network, a novel estimation algorithm is designed to approximate the time-varying density function with noise corrupted measurements. Moreover, a novel sampling regulation mechanism is developed to improve the estimation performance. Regarding the estimated density function, a coverage control scheme is proposed such that the agents can converge to the optimal deployment from arbitrary initial positions. The stability of proposed coverage control system is strictly demonstrated. Finally, numerical simulations are provided to verify the effectiveness of the proposed approaches.
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