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Sequences with high linear complexity property are of importance in applications. In this paper, based on the theory of generalized cyclotomy, new classes of quaternary generalized cyclotomic sequences with order 4 and period $2p^m$ are constructed. In addition, we determine their linear complexities over finite field $\mathbb{F}_4$ and over $\mathbb{Z}_4$, respectively.

1. Introduction

Pseudorandom sequence has a wide range of applications in the spread spectrum communication, radar navigation, code division multiple access, stream cipher, and so on [1]. The linear complexity $L(\{s(u)\})$ of a sequence $\{s(u)\}$ is defined as the smallest order of linear feedback shift register (LFSR) that can generate the whole sequence. According to Berlekamp–Massey algorithm [2], if the linear complexity of the sequence is $l$, then $2l$ consecutive terms of the sequence can be used to restore the whole sequence. Hence, a “high” linear complexity $L(\{s(u)\})$ should be no less than one half of the length (or minimum period) of the sequence [3]. For cryptographic applications, sequences with high linear complexity are required.

Sequences with high linear complexity can be constructed based on cyclotomic classes. Generally, sequences based on classical cyclotomic classes and generalized cyclotomic classes are called classical cyclotomic sequences and generalized cyclotomic sequences, respectively. There are lots of works on linear complexity of binary cyclotomic sequences, see [4–7], for instance. Recently, Xiao et al. presented a new class of cyclotomic binary sequences of period $p^2$ and determined the linear complexity of the sequences in the case of $f = 2^r$ for a positive integer $r$ and showed that the sequences have large linear complexity if $p$ is a non-Wieferich prime [8]. Moreover, Edemskiy et al. examined the linear complexity of sequences and extended the result to more general even integers $f$ [9]. At the same time, the results were also generalized by Ye and Ke in [10] by considering a new construction with a flexible support set, which includes the original construction as a special case. Very recently, in [11], Ouyang and Xie extended the construction to the case of $2p^m$ and showed that the constructed sequences have high linear complexity when $m \geq 2$.

Compared with the binary case, less attention has been paid to quaternary sequences with high linear complexity. In [12], Kim et al. constructed a class of generalized cyclotomic sequences with period $2p$ over $\mathbb{Z}_4$ and analyzed the auto-correlation properties of these sequences. In [13], Chen and Edemskiy determined the linear complexity of these sequences over $\mathbb{Z}_4$. In [14], Du and Chen defined a family of quaternary sequences over $\mathbb{F}_4$ of period $2p$ using generalized cyclotomic classes over the residue class ring modulo $2p$. They determined the linear complexities of the sequences and then showed that the sequences have large linear complexity. The autocorrelation values of the sequences were further studied in [15]. In [16], Ke et al. generalized the construction of [14] to the case of $2p^m$. They proved that the constructed sequences are balanced and also possess high linear complexity. Recently, in [17], Liu et al. further extended the sequence construction of [16, 18]. A class of quaternary generalized cyclotomic sequences with order $2d$ period $2p^m$ over $\mathbb{F}_4$ are constructed, and their linear
complexities are studied. In addition, in [19], Edemskiy and Ivanov constructed another kind of new quaternion generalized cyclotomic sequences with period 2p based on the Chinese Remainder Theorem and studied their autocorrelation properties and linear complexities over \( \mathbb{F}_4 \) as well as \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \).

Although the sequence they constructed are both quaternion sequences, it can be seen by comparison that [16, 17, 19] have their advantages and disadvantages. On the one hand, by definition in [16, 17], the elements in a fixed support set of the sequence are all even or odd, while the sequence proposed by Edemskiy and Ivanov in [19] takes value more random. On the other hand, in [19], the sequence has period 2p, while in [17], the sequence has a more general period 2\( p^m \). In this paper, combining the advantages of the above two constructions, we will define a new class of quaternion generalized cyclotomic sequences of order 4 with period 2\( p^m \) over \( \mathbb{F}_4 \) as well as \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \). Furthermore, we determine the linear complexities of the new defined sequences over \( \mathbb{F}_4 \) and \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \), respectively.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some necessary preliminary concepts and present a general construction of quaternion generalized cyclotomic sequences with period 2\( p^m \) over \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \). In addition, based on a mapping from \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \) to \( \mathbb{F}_4 \), quaternion sequences over \( \mathbb{F}_4 \) can be derived directly from the quaternion sequences over \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \). In Section 3, we compute the linear complexity of sequences we constructed over \( \mathbb{F}_4 \). In Section 4, we compute the linear complexity of sequences that we constructed over \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \). In Section 5, we conclude this paper.

2. Preliminaries

Let \( p \) be an odd prime with \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \). Let \( g \) be a primitive root of \( \mathbb{Z}_p^* \), where \( \mathbb{Z}_n^* \) denotes the set of all invertible elements of \( \mathbb{Z}_n \). It is well known that \( g \) is also a primitive root of \( \mathbb{Z}_{p^m}^* \), where \( m \geq 1 \).

For \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, m \) and \( l = 0, 1, 2, 3 \), we define

\[
D_0^{(p^j)} = \langle g^j \rangle \pmod{p^j},
\]

\[
D_1^{(p^j)} = g^j \langle g^{4j} \rangle \pmod{p^j},
\]

\[
D_2^{(p^j)} = \langle g^{4j} \rangle \pmod{2p^j},
\]

\[
D_3^{(p^j)} = g^{4j} \langle g^{4j} \rangle \pmod{2p^j},
\]

where \( D_j^{(p^j)} \) are called generalized cyclotomic classes of order 4 with respect to \( p^j \) and \( D_j^{(2p^j)} \) are called generalized cyclotomic classes of order 4 with respect to \( 2p^j \).

It is easy to verify that

\[
\mathbb{Z}_{2p^m} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} p^{m-j} \left( \mathbb{Z}_{2p^j}^* \cup 2\mathbb{Z}_{p^j}^* \right) \cup p^m \mathbb{Z}_2^* \cup \{0\}
\]

\[
= \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} \left( p^{m-j} D_0^{(2p^j)} \cup \cdots \cup p^{m-j} D_3^{(2p^j)} \cup 2p^{m-j} D_3^{(p^j)} \cup \cdots \right) \cup \{0, p^m\},
\]

\[\text{For simplicity, we denote}
H_1^{(p^j)} = p^{m-j} D_3^{(p^j)},
\]

\[H_2^{(p^j)} = p^{m-j} D_1^{(2p^j)},\]

\[l = 0, 1, 2, 3.\]

\[\text{Definition 1. Let } 2 \in D_1^{(p^j)}, 0 \leq k \leq 3. \text{ Then, we define the sequences } \{s_1(u)\}_{u \geq 0} \text{ and } \{s_2(u)\}_{u \geq 0} \text{ over } \mathbb{Z}_4 \text{ of length } 2p^m \text{ by}
\]

\[
s_1(u) = \begin{cases}
0, & \text{if } u \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} \left( 2H_{0-0}^{(p^j)} \cup H_{1}^{(2p^j)} \right) \cup \{0\}; \\
1, & \text{if } u \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} \left( 2H_{1-0}^{(p^j)} \cup H_{2}^{(2p^j)} \right); \\
2, & \text{if } u \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} \left( 2H_{2-0}^{(p^j)} \cup H_{3}^{(2p^j)} \right) \cup \{p^m\}; \\
3, & \text{if } u \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} \left( 2H_{3-0}^{(p^j)} \cup H_{0}^{(2p^j)} \right).
\end{cases}
\]

\[s_2(u) = \begin{cases}
0, & \text{if } u \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} \left( 2H_{0-0}^{(p^j)} \cup H_{2}^{(2p^j)} \right) \cup \{0\}; \\
1, & \text{if } u \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} \left( 2H_{1-0}^{(p^j)} \cup H_{0}^{(2p^j)} \right); \\
2, & \text{if } u \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} \left( 2H_{2-0}^{(p^j)} \cup H_{3}^{(2p^j)} \right) \cup \{p^m\}; \\
3, & \text{if } u \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} \left( 2H_{3-0}^{(p^j)} \cup H_{1}^{(2p^j)} \right).
\end{cases}
\]

respectively.

The well-known Gray-mapping \( \phi: \mathbb{Z}_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \) is defined as

\[
\phi(0) = (0, 0),
\]

\[
\phi(1) = (0, 1),
\]

\[
\phi(2) = (1, 1),
\]

\[
\phi(3) = (1, 0).
\]

Let \( \mathbb{F}_4 = \mu \mathbb{F}_2 + \mathbb{F}_2 \) be a finite field of order 4, where \( \mu \) satisfies the relation \( \mu^2 + \mu + 1 = 0 \). Let \( \sigma \) be a map from \( \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{F}_2 \) to \( \mathbb{F}_4 \) which is defined as follows:

\[
\sigma: \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_4,
\]

\[
(a, b) \mapsto a\mu + b,
\]

where \( a, b \in \mathbb{F}_2 \).

Then, we have \( \sigma \circ \phi: \mathbb{Z}_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_4 \), i.e.,

\[
\sigma \circ \phi(0) = 0,
\]

\[
\sigma \circ \phi(1) = 1,
\]

\[
\sigma \circ \phi(2) = \mu + 1,
\]

\[
\sigma \circ \phi(3) = \mu.
\]

By this way, the sequences over \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \) defined in (4) and (5) can be modified to be sequences over \( \mathbb{F}_4 \) as follows, i.e.,
there exist constants $N$, defined directly. Therefore, this paper can be regarded as a generalization of [19].

Remainder theorem, while the sequences in this paper are the constructions in [19] and this paper, although they were expressed in different forms, are the same in essence. In detail, the difference between these two constructions is that, in [19], the sequences were constructed by using the Chinese Remainder Theorem, while the sequences in this paper are defined directly. Therefore, this paper can be regarded as a generalization of [19].

3. Linear Complexity of the Sequence over $\mathbb{F}_4$

In this section, we discuss the linear complexity over $\mathbb{F}_4$. Hence, we focus on the quaternary sequences in (9) and (10). Let $s^{co} = (s_0, \cdots, s_{L-1})$ be a sequence over $\mathbb{F}_4$, and its linear complexity $L(s^{co})$ is the smallest positive integer $L$ for which there exist constants $c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_L$ such that

$$s_j + c_1 s_{j-1} + c_2 s_{j-2} + \cdots + c_L s_{j-L} = 0, \quad j \geq L.$$  

(11)

Let $s^{co} = \{s_i\}_{i=0}^N$, be a periodic sequence of period $N$ over $\mathbb{F}_4$. And $S(x) = \sum_{i=0}^N s_i x^i$ is called the generating polynomial of the sequence. Then, the minimal polynomial of $\{s_i\}_{i=0}^N$ is given by

$$m(x) = \frac{x^N - 1}{\gcd(x^N - 1, S(x))},$$  

(12)

and the linear complexity of $\{s_i\}_{i=0}^N$ is given by

$$L(\{s_i\}) = N - \deg(\gcd(x^N - 1, S(x))).$$  

(13)

Let $d$ be the order of 4 modulo $p^m$, that is, $d$ is the smallest integer such that $4^d \equiv 1 \pmod{p^m}$. Let $\xi$ be a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{4^d}$; then, the order of $\alpha = \xi^{d-1/p^m}$ is $p^m$, and $\theta = \alpha^{p^m}$ has order $p$.

According to the definition of the linear complexity of the sequence, we should compute the number of common roots of the generating polynomial $S(x)$ and polynomials $x^{N-1}$. Notice that $\gcd(x^{N-1}, S(x)) = \gcd(x^{2^m - 1}, S(x)) = \gcd((x^{2^m})^2, S(x))$, so we may check that if $\alpha^v (0 \leq v < p^m - 1)$ is a root of $S(x)$. Furthermore, if it is a root of $S(x)$, we need to verify if it is a multiple root of $S(x)$ [20].

To this end, we need some auxiliary polynomials:

$$T_1(x) = \sum_{\mu} x^\mu,$$

(14)

$$T_2(x) = \sum_{\mu} x^\mu,$$

$$R_i^{(p)}(x) = \sum_{\mu} x^\mu,$$

where $l = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and $j = 1, 2, \cdots, m$.

Lemma 1 (see [18]). For $l = 0, 1, 2, 3, 1 \leq j \leq m$, we have

$$\sum_{\mu \in H_i^{(p)}} \alpha^\mu = \sum_{\mu \in H_i^{(p)}} \alpha^\mu.$$  

(15)

Lemma 2 (see [16]). For $l = 0, 1, 2, 3, 1 \leq j \leq m$, we have

(i) $D_1^{(p)} = \{x + py : x \in D_1^{(p)}, y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m} \}$,

(ii) $D_1^{(p^2)} = \{x + py + \delta_{x,y} : x \in D_1^{(p)}, y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m} \},$

where

$$\delta_{x,y} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x + py \text{ is odd,} \\ p^l, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$  

(16)

Lemma 3. Let $v = tp^i, 0 \leq i < m - 1, 1 \leq j \leq m, \gcd(t, p) = 1$, and $2 \in D_k^{(p)}$. For $0 \leq k, l \leq 3$, we have

$$R_i^{(p)}(\alpha^v) = \begin{cases} p^l(p - 1)/4, & \text{if } j \leq i; \\ \sum_{\mu \in D_k^{(p)}} \theta^\mu, & \text{if } j = i + 1; \\ 0, & \text{if } j > i + 1, \end{cases}$$  

(17)

$$S_i^{(p)}(\alpha^v) = \begin{cases} p^l(p - 1)/4, & \text{if } j \leq i; \\ \sum_{\mu \in D_k^{(p)}} \theta^\mu, & \text{if } j = i + 1; \\ 0, & \text{if } j > i + 1. \end{cases}$$  

(18)
Proof. Since the proof is similar, only the case of \( R^{(j)}_1 (\alpha^u) \) is considered here. By Lemma 1, we have

\[
R^{(j)}_1 (\alpha^u) = \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}^{\mu_i - 1} D_i (\nu^p)} \alpha^u = \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}^{\mu_i - 1} D_i (\nu^p)} \theta^u = \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}^{\mu_i - 1} D_i (\nu^p)} \alpha^u.
\]

(18)

(1) If \( j \leq i \), note that \( \alpha^u = 1 \) for any \( u \in t \mathcal{T}^{\mu_i - 1} D_i (\nu^p) \).

Obviously, \( |D_i (\nu^p)| = \phi (\nu^p) / 4 \). Hence,

\[
R^{(j)}_1 (\alpha^u) = \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}^{\mu_i - 1} D_i (\nu^p)} \alpha^u = \frac{\phi (\nu^p)}{4} = \frac{p^{i-1} (p - 1)}{4}.
\]

(19)

(2) If \( j = i + 1 \), then

\[
R^{(j)}_1 (\alpha^u) = \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}^{\mu_i - 1} D_i (\nu^p)} \alpha^u = \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}^{\mu_i - 1} D_i (\nu^p)} \theta^u = \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}^{\mu_i - 1} D_i (\nu^p)} \alpha^u,
\]

where \( \theta = p^{\mu - 1} \).

(3) If \( j > i + 1 \), suppose that \( \alpha^p^{\mu - 1} = \eta, \eta^{\mu - 1} = 1, \) and \( \eta^{p - 1} \). Then, \( \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}^{\mu - 1} D_i (\nu^p)} \theta^u = 0 \).

So,

\[
R^{(j)}_1 (\alpha^u) = \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}^{\mu_i - 1} D_i (\nu^p)} \alpha^u = \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}^{\mu_i - 1} D_i (\nu^p)} \eta^u = 0.
\]

(21)

The proof is thus completed. \( \square \)

Lemma 4 (see [4]). For \( 1 \leq t \leq p - 1 \), let \( \theta \) be a primitive \( p \)-th root of unity in the extension of the field \( \mathbb{F}_2 \), and define \( T_2 (x) = \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}^{\mu - 1} D_i (\nu^p)} x^t. \) Then, we have

\[
T_2 (\theta^u) = \begin{cases} T_2 (\theta), & \text{if } t \in D_1 (\nu) \cup D_2 (\nu); \\ T_2 (\theta) + 1, & \text{if } t \in D_1 (\nu) \cup D_3 (\nu). \end{cases}
\]

(22)

Lemma 5 (see [4]). With the above notation, then \( T_2 (\theta) \in \mathbb{F}_2 \) if \( 2 \in D_1 (\nu) \cup D_2 (\nu); \) otherwise, \( T_2 (\theta) \in \mathbb{F}_2 / \mathbb{F}_2. \)

Lemma 6 (see [21]). With notations defined as above, if \( 2 \in D_1 (\nu) \), then \( T_2 (\theta) = T_2 (\theta^p). \)

Theorem 1. Let \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \) be an odd prime. Let \( \{b_1 (u)\}_{u \geq 0} \) be a generalized cyclotomic quaternion sequence of period \( 2p^m \) defined in (9). Then, the linear complexity of \( \{b_1 (u)\}_{u \geq 0} \) over \( \mathbb{F}_2 \) is given by

\[
L (\{b_1 (u)\}) = \begin{cases} 2p^m, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}; \\ 3p^m + 1, & \text{if } p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}
\]

(23)

Proof. By the definition of the sequence \( \{b_1 (u)\}_{u \geq 0} \), the generating polynomial \( S (x) \) of \( \{b_1 (u)\}_{u \geq 0} \) is given by

\[
S (x) = (\mu + 1) x^{p^m} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left( S^{(j)}_{1-k (\mathbb{F}_2)} (x) + S^{(j)}_2 (x) \right)
\]

\[
+ (\mu + 1) \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left( S^{(j)}_{2-k (\mathbb{F}_2)} (x) + R^{(j)}_2 (x) \right)
\]

\[
+ \mu \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left( S^{(j)}_{3-k (\mathbb{F}_2)} (x) + R^{(j)}_3 (x) \right).
\]

(24)

It is easily seen that if \( v = 0 \), then \( S (1) = \mu + 1 \neq 0 \). Hence, 1 is not root of \( S (x) \). We can write

\[
S (\alpha^p) = (\mu + 1) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left( S^{(j)}_{1-k (\mathbb{F}_2)} (\alpha^p) + R^{(j)}_2 (\alpha^p) \right) + (\mu + 1)
\]

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{m} \left( S^{(j)}_{2-k (\mathbb{F}_2)} (\alpha^p) + R^{(j)}_3 (\alpha^p) \right) + \mu \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left( S^{(j)}_{3-k (\mathbb{F}_2)} (\alpha^p) + R^{(j)}_3 (\alpha^p) \right).
\]

(25)

According to Lemma 3, if \( v = \nu^p, 1 \leq \nu \leq p^m - 1 \), we have

\[
S (\alpha^p) = (\mu + 1) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left( 1 + \mu + 1 + \mu \right) \left( \nu^{p-1} (p - 1) / 2 + S^{(j)}_{1-k (\mathbb{F}_2)} (\alpha^p) \right)
\]

\[
+ \nu \left( S^{(j)}_{2-k (\mathbb{F}_2)} (\alpha^p) + R^{(j)}_2 (\alpha^p) \right)
\]

\[
+ \mu \left( S^{(j)}_{3-k (\mathbb{F}_2)} (\alpha^p) + R^{(j)}_3 (\alpha^p) \right)
\]

\[
= \mu + 1 + \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}^{\mu - 1} D_i (\nu^p)} \theta^u + \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}^{\mu - 1} D_i (\nu^p)} \theta^u + \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}^{\mu - 1} D_i (\nu^p)} \theta^u + \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}^{\mu - 1} D_i (\nu^p)} \theta^u
\]

\[
= \mu + 1 + T_2 (\theta^u) + \mu T_2 (\theta^u)
\]

\[
= \mu + (1 + \mu) T_2 (\theta^u).
\]

(26)

where the last equality follows from the fact that \( T_2 (\theta^u) = 1 + T_2 (\theta^u). \)

(1) If \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \), by Lemmas 4 and 5, we obtain \( S (\alpha^p) \in \{1, \mu\}. \) In other words, \( S (\alpha^p) \neq 0 \) when \( 1 \leq \nu \leq p^m - 1 \).

(2) If \( p \equiv 5 \pmod{8} \), by Lemmas 4 and 5 again, we know that \( 2 \in D_1 (\nu^p) \) (if not, then we can simply replace \( g \) with \( g^{-1} \)). So,

\[
T_2 (\theta^u) = \begin{cases} \mu, & \text{if } t \in D_1 (\nu) \cup D_2 (\nu); \\ \mu + 1, & \text{if } t \in D_1 (\nu) \cup D_3 (\nu). \end{cases}
\]

(27)
According to Lemma 3, if \( v = tp^i, 1 \leq v \leq p^m - 1 \), we have

\[
S'(\alpha^v) = \alpha^{-v} \left[ (\mu + 1) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left( \sum_{u \in H_{1}^{(v)}} x^{u-1} + \sum_{u \in H_{2}^{(v)}} x^{u-1} + \mu \left( \sum_{u \in H_{1}^{(v)}} x^{u-1} + \sum_{u \in H_{2}^{(v)}} x^{u-1} \right) \right) \right]
\]  

(28)

As it was shown in [14], if \( t \in D^{(p)} \), then \( T_4(\theta^v) = \zeta^2 \) or \( T_4(\theta^v) = \zeta^{2^j+1} \), where \( \zeta \) satisfies the relation \( \zeta^8 + \zeta^4 + \zeta^2 + \zeta = 1 \) and \( \zeta^4 + \zeta = \mu \) over \( \mathbb{F}_4 \).

(i) When \( t \in D^{(p)} \), without loss of generality, suppose that \( T_4(\theta^v) = \zeta^2 \). By Lemma 6, we can write

\[
\mu + 1 + T_4(\theta^v) + T_4(\theta^v) + \mu \left( T_4(\theta^v) + T_4(\theta^v) \right)
\]

\[
= \mu + 1 + \zeta^2 + \mu (\zeta + \zeta^2)
\]

\[
= \mu + 1 + \left( 1 + \zeta^4 + \zeta^2 \right) + \mu (\zeta + \zeta^2)
\]

\[
= \left( \mu + 1 \right) \left( \zeta^2 + \zeta \right),
\]

(30)

and \( \mu + 1 \neq 0 \), then we get \( \zeta^2 + \zeta = 0 \) if \( S'(\alpha^v) = 0 \). But, this means that \( \zeta^2 = \zeta \), we get a contradiction. Thus, we have \( S'(\alpha^v) \neq 0 \) for any \( v = tp^i, 1 \leq v \leq p^m - 1 \).

(ii) When \( t \in D^{(p)} \), without loss of generality, suppose that \( T_4(\theta^v) = \zeta^8 \). By Lemma 6, we can write

\[
\mu + 1 + T_4(\theta^v) + T_4(\theta^v) + \mu \left( T_4(\theta^v) + T_4(\theta^v) \right)
\]

\[
= \mu + 1 + \zeta^2 + \zeta^4 + \mu (\zeta + \zeta^2)
\]

\[
= \mu + 1 + \left( 1 + \zeta^4 + \zeta^2 \right) + \mu (1 + \zeta + \zeta^2)
\]

\[
= \left( \mu + 1 \right) \left( 1 + \zeta^2 + \zeta \right),
\]

(31)

and \( \mu + 1 \neq 0 \), we derive that \( 1 + \zeta^2 + \zeta = 0 \) if \( S'(\alpha^v) = 0 \). But, this means that \( \zeta^2 + \zeta = 1 \). By \( \zeta^8 + \zeta^4 + \zeta^2 + \zeta = 1 \), we get \( \zeta^8 = \zeta^2 \), i.e., \( \zeta^4 = \zeta \). It is also a contradiction. Thus, we have \( S'(\alpha^v) \neq 0 \) for any \( v = tp^i, 1 \leq v \leq p^m - 1 \).

In conclusion, we know \( S'(\alpha^v) \neq 0 \) for all \( v = tp^i, 1 \leq v \leq p^m - 1 \). Then, we complete the proof. \( \square \)

**Theorem 2.** Let \( p = 1 \mod 4 \) be an odd prime. Let \( \{b_2(u)\}_{u \geq 0} \) be a generalized cyclotomic quaternary sequence of period \( 2p^m \) defined in (10). Then, the linear complexity of \( \{b_2(u)\}_{u \geq 0} \) over \( \mathbb{F}_4 \) is given by

\[
L(\{b_2(u)\}) = 2p^m.
\]

(32)

**Proof.** The proof of this theorem is similar to that of Theorem 1. Thus, we omit it here. \( \square \)

### 4. Linear Complexity of the Sequence over \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \)

Let \( s_{\mu} = (s_{\mu}, \ldots, s_{\mu}) \) be a quaternary sequence of period \( T \) over \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \), and its linear complexity \( L(\{s_{\mu}\}) \) is the smallest order \( L \) of a linear feedback shift register (LFSR) in \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \), for which there exist constants \( c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_L \in \mathbb{Z}_4 \) such that

\[
s_j + c_1 s_{j-1} + c_2 s_{j-2} + \cdots + c_L s_{j-L} = 0, \quad j \geq L.
\]

(33)

Also, \( C(X) = 1 + c_1 X + \cdots + c_L X^L \) is called that connection polynomial. \( S(X) = s_0 + s_1 X + \cdots + s_{T-1} X^{T-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_4[X] \) is called the generating polynomial of the sequence. Then, an LFSR with a connection polynomial \( C(X) \) generates \( \{s_{\mu}\} \) if and only if \( [22] \)

\[
S(X)C(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{X^{T-1}},
\]

(34)

where \( C(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[X] \) satisfies \( C(0) = 1 \). That is,

\[
L(\{s_{\mu}\}) = \min \{\deg(C(X)) : C(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[X], C(0) = 1, S(X)C(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{X^{T-1}}\}.
\]

(35)

Let \( R = GR(4', 4) \) be a Galois ring of characteristic 4 and cardinality 4', where \( r \) is the order of 2 modulo \( p \) [23]. Let \( R^* = R/2R \) be the group of units of \( R \), and it contains a cyclic subgroup of order \( 2^r - 1 \) [23]. Then, let \( \beta \in R \) be of order \( p \). We can write \( y = 3\beta \), and then the order of \( y \) is \( 2p^m \) and
\( y^{2m} = -1 \). By [23], we know that \( 2R \) is the maximal ideal of ring \( R \). The natural homomorphism \( R \to \overline{R} = R/2R \) will be denoted by \( \cdot^{2m} \) and the image \( r \in R \) in \( \overline{R} = R/2R \) by \( \overline{r} \).

Notice that, in the ring \( R \), the number of roots of a polynomial can be greater than its degree. Hence, we need some lemmas.

**Lemma 7** (see [13]). Let \( P(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[x] \) be a nonconstant polynomial. If \( a \in R \) is a root of polynomial \( P(x) \), then there exists a polynomial \( Q_1(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[x] \) such that

\[
P(x) = (x - a)Q_1(x).
\]

Moreover, if \( b \in R \) is also the root of \( P(x) \) and \( a - b \in R^* \), then

\[
P(x) = (x - a)(x - b)Q_2(x),
\]

where \( Q_1(x) = (x - b)Q_2(x) \).

**Lemma 8** (see [13]). Let \( P(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[x] \) be a nonconstant polynomial. If \( P(y^v) = 0 \) for all \( v = 1, 3, \ldots, 2p^m - 1 \), then there exists polynomial \( P_1(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[x] \) such that

\[
P(x) = P_1(x)(x - 1).
\]

If \( P(y^v) = 0 \) for all \( v = 0, 2, \ldots, 2p^m - 2 \), then there exists polynomial \( P_2(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[x] \) such that

\[
P(x) = P_2(x)(x^2 - 1).
\]

**Theorem 3.** Let \( p \equiv 1 (\text{mod} 4) \) be an odd prime. Let \( \{s_1(u)\}_{u \geq 0} \) be a generalized cyclotomic quaternary sequence of period \( 2p^m \) defined in (10). Then, the linear complexity of \( \{s_1(u)\}_{u \geq 0} \) over \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \) is given by

\[
L(s_1(u)) = 2p^m.
\]

**Proof.** With notations defined as before, let \( y \in R^* \) be of order \( 2p^m \) and \( y^{2m} = -1 \). It is easy to know that \( (x^{2p^m} - 1)/(x - 1) = \prod_{j=1}^{p^m-1} (1 - y^j) \). Hence, \( j, k = 0, \ldots, p^m - 1 \), we have \( y^{-j} - y^{i} \in R^* \).

By the definition of the connection polynomial and linear complexity over \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \), we have \( L([s_u]) \leq 2p^m \).

Without loss of generality, assume that \( L([s_u]) < 2p^m \); then, there exists a connection polynomial \( C(x) \), and it satisfies

\[
S(x)C(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{x^{2p^m} - 1},
\]

where the degree of \( C(x) \) is less than \( 2p^m \).

By the definition of \( y \), we have \( y^{2p^m} = 1 \) and \( y \neq 0, 1 \). Then, in the ring \( R \), \( \sum_{j=1}^{2p^m-1} y^j = 1 \) holds.

By (4), we get

\[
S(x) = 2x^{2p^m} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (S_{-k}^{(j)}(x) + R_2^{(j)}(x)) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m} (S_{-k}^{(j)}(x) + R_2^{(j)}(x)) + 3 \sum_{j=1}^{m} (S_{-k}^{(j)}(x) + R_2^{(j)}(x))
\]

If \( 2 \in D_k^{(p)}, 0 \leq k \leq 3 \), and by Lemma 1, we obtain

\[
S(y^v) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left( \sum_{u \in H_{l}^{(v)}} y^u + \sum_{u \in H_{l}^{(v)}} y^{u^m} + \sum_{u \in H_{l}^{(v)}} y^{u^2m} + \sum_{u \in H_{l}^{(v)}} y^{u^3m} \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{j=1}^{p^m-1} y^j = 1.
\]

According to (41), we have \( C(y^v) = 0 \) for all \( v = 0, 1, \ldots, 2p^m - 1, v \neq p \). Then, \( (x^{2p^m} - 1)/(x - 1) \) again implies that there exists a polynomial \( Q(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[x], 2Q(x) \neq 0 \) such that

\[
C(x) = Q(x) \frac{(x^{2p^m - 1} - 1)}{(x - 1)}.
\]

Moreover, it is easily shown that

\[
\left| D_1^{(p)} \right| = \left| D_1^{(2p)} \right| = \frac{\varphi(p^l)}{2},
\]

where \( l = 0, 1, 2, 3 \), \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, m \), and \( \varphi(\cdot) \) is Euler function. Then,

\[
S(1) = 2 + (1 + 2 + 3) \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left( \frac{\varphi(p^j)}{2} + \frac{\varphi(p^j)}{2} \right)
\]

\[
= 2 + 4 \sum_{j=1}^{m} \varphi(p^j).
\]

Thus, \( C(1) \in \{0, 2\} \) and \( Q(1) \in \{0, 2\} \); this means that

\[
C(x) = (x - 1)F(x) + q, \quad q \in \{0, 2\},
\]

Hence, when \( v = 1, 3, \ldots, 2p^m - 1 \), we have

\[
C(y^v) = \frac{(y^{2p^m - 1} - 1)F(y^v) + q(y^{2p^m - 1})}{(y^v - 1)} = -2F(y^v) = 0.
\]

In other words, when \( v = 1, 3, \ldots, 2p^m - 1 \), we have \( 2F(y^v) = 0 \). Then, by Lemma 8, we get \( (x^{2p^m} + 1) \) again implies that \( \deg(F(x)) \geq p^m \), which is contrary to our assumption. The proof is thus completed.

**Theorem 4.** Let \( p \equiv 1 (\text{mod} 4) \) be an odd prime. Let \( \{s_2(u)\}_{u \geq 0} \) be a generalized cyclotomic quaternary sequence of period \( 2p^m \) defined in (5). Then, the linear complexity of \( \{s_2(u)\}_{u \geq 0} \) over \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \) is given by

\[
L(s_2(u)) = 2p^m.
\]

**Proof.** The proof of this theorem is similar to that of Theorem 3. Thus, we omit it here.
5. Conclusions

This paper presented new classes of quaternary generalized cyclotomic sequences with order 4 and period $2^p$, where $p$ is an odd prime and $p \equiv 1 \pmod 4$. We computed their linear complexity over finite field $\mathbb{F}_4$ as well as $\mathbb{Z}_4$. The results indicated that the sequences proposed in this paper possess high linear complexities. Autocorrelation is another important measure of a sequence. For quaternary sequence $s$, when the period is $2^p$, Edemskiy has proved that $R_{\text{max}}(s) = 2\sqrt{2}$ if $p \equiv 5 \pmod 8$ and $R_{\text{max}}(s) = 4$ if $p \equiv 1 \pmod 8$, respectively. However, for the general case, the autocorrelation properties of the new proposed sequences are not known. Hence, as a further work, it is valuable to analyze the autocorrelation values of the new proposed sequences.
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