Case Report

Hypopigmented Mycosis Fungoides in Type V Skin: A Report of 5 Cases
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Five patients with type V skin were studied to describe the clinical manifestations, pathological features, and treatment response in hypopigmented mycosis fungoides (HMF). The mean age of patients was 22.4 years at diagnosis, with a mean of 36 months of diagnostic delay. Two were children aged 11 and 13 years. Skin patches were limited to sunlight-covered body areas. In tropical climate, exposure to natural sunlight possibly cured the lesions on sun-exposed areas at early stage of onset. HMF may frequently be misinterpreted as eczema, vitiligo, or progressive macular hypomelanosis clinically and histopathologically as seen in our case series.

1. Introduction

Mycosis fungoides (MF), the most common form of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma, is predominantly a disease of older patients. Approximately 75% of patients are diagnosed after age 50 years [1].

Hypopigmented mycosis fungoides (HMF) is an atypical, rare and unique variant of mycosis fungoides characterized by solely hypopigmented patches or in combination with erythematous patches or plaques. Its course is indolent for several years and thus source of delayed diagnosis [1–3]. The disease has predilection for dark-skinned individuals of African, West Indian, or Asian origin. The incidence of HMF in white Caucasian is rare with few cases reported [4, 5]. Patients with HMF are younger (mean of 29 years) than classical MF (mean of 63 years) [3–8]. Overall survival rate and disease-specific survival rates at 5 and 10 years were 100% in hypopigmented MF and stage 1A disease, and disease progression at 5 and 10 years was 0% in HMF in a study published by Wain et al. [7].

Topical steroids [9] and psoralen plus ultraviolet A (PUVA) [10, 11] were the treatment of choice in the majority of reported cases. Narrowband UVB (NB-UVB) phototherapy had been introduced recently as a successful treatment option for early-stage disease (stage IA, IB and IIA) [11–15].

This case series was retrospectively studied to describe the clinical manifestations, pathological features, and treatment response in 5 patients with hypopigmented MF who presented to our department over 3-year period.

2. Patients and Methods

The diagnosis was established by the appearance of skin lesions and confirmed histopathologically. At least, two biopsies were obtained from each case from clinically suspicious areas. The skin biopsies were routinely processed; 4-5 micron thick sections were stained with haematoxylin-eosin stain and examined under light microscopy. All the skin biopsies were independently reviewed by two pathologists. Staging was done according to TNM classification of mycosis fungoides.

Patients were treated with PUVA (n = 1), narrow band-UVB (n = 3), and topical steroids (n = 1). The response to therapy was assessed as follows: complete clinical response (CR), when complete disappearance of lesions for at least
Figure 1: A 13-year-old girl presented with asymptomatic, hypopigmented, and a few vitiligo-like macules on buttocks and upper thigh involving bilaterally, which had been there for 3 years duration (patient 1).

1 month; partial clinical response (PR), when greater than 50% improvement of skin lesions; no response (NR), when unchanged of skin lesions.

3. Case Series

2 men and 3 women were studied. All were Fitzpatrick skin type V. The mean age of patients was 22.4 years at diagnosis, with a mean of 36 months duration of illness before presentation. Two were children aged 11 and 13 years—Table 1.

3.1. Clinical Presentations

3.1.1. Patient 1: Figures 1(a) and 1(b). A 13-year-old girl had skin patches over buttocks and upper thigh involving bilaterally for 3 years duration. They were asymptomatic, hypopigmented, oval or irregular, well-defined, size ranged from 1–6 cm diameters. There were vitiligo-like lesions on buttocks.

3.1.2. Patient 2: Figures 2(a) and 2(b). A 29-year-old man had finely wrinkle, slightly scaly skin patches on trunk, upper and lower limbs for 3 years. They were not indurated, and there were no telangiectasia and no sensory impairment. Size ranged from 6 to 8 cm diameters.

3.1.3. Patient 3: Figure 3. An 11-year-old boy had localized depigmented patches of 5–8 cm diameter, on buttocks and posterior upper thigh, which were well demarcated simulating vitiligo. He was misdiagnosed clinically and histopathologically as eczema and vitiligo for 3 years. His skin lesions were atrophic at the time of examination possibly due to long-term application of potent topical steroids.

3.1.4. Patient 4: Figures 4(a) and 4(b). A 30-year-old woman had hypopigmented discrete patches of 1-2 cm diameter on flexure aspect of all four limbs, trunk and breasts for 3 years. She was repeatedly treated for pityriasis versicolor (PV) and progressive macular hypomelanosis (PMH) without success.

3.1.5. Patient 5: Figure 5. A 29-year-old woman presented with discrete hypopigmented patches of 4–6 cm diameter on limbs and trunk. These were treated as PV and vitiligo by many physicians over 5 years.

All, except patient 2, had skin lesions limited to sunlight-covered body areas. None of them had hepatosplenomegaly at the time of diagnosis. General examination was unremarkable in all.

3.2. Histopathology. The biopsy specimens of skin lesions showed atypical lymphocytes with hyperchromatic nuclei in the upper dermis with epidermotropism and formation of Pautrier’s microabscesses. Three cases (patients 1, 3, and 5) showed extensive changes with marked epidermotropism of atypical lymphocytes and formation of numerous (>3) Pautrier’s microabscesses (Figures 6(a) and 6(b)). The epidermal changes in other two were not so extensive with formation of at least one microabscess (Figures 7 and 8). The dermis contained a dense band-like upper dermal infiltrate in patient 3 with atypical lymphocytes. Others showed patchy atypical lymphocytic infiltrate in dermis.

Hemogram, routine blood chemistry, ultrasonography of abdomen and pelvis, and chest X-ray were normal. Blood pictures did not show atypical lymphocytes. Two patients (patients 2 and 5) who underwent bone marrow aspiration and trephine biopsy did not reveal significant pathology. Others were not assessed with bone marrow investigations.

3.3. Staging. Patient 3 was in stage 1A (skin patches or plaques with <10% skin surface involvement), others were in stage 1B (skin patches or plaques with >10% skin surface involvement) at the time of diagnosis. Although there were palpable axillary lymph nodes of 0.5–1 cm diameter in patient 1, they were not accessible surgically and were not categorized to stage IIA (skin patches or plaques with palpable lymph nodes).

3.4. Treatment Response. PUVA showed CR in patient 2 (Figures 2(a) and 2(b)). Narrow-band UVB showed CR in patients 1 and 4 (Figures 4(a) and 4(b)), and PR in patient 5 (Figure 5) within 30–48 sessions. None of them develop any systemic or local side effects during phototherapy. Patient 3 was managed with topical steroids and showed partial clinical response within 12 months of followup.

4. Discussion

Most patients of HMF are misdiagnosed as having other hypopigmented skin disorders such as vitiligo, pityriasis alba, pityriasis versicolor, postinflammatory hypopigmentation, pityriasis lichenoides chronica, small plaque parapsoriasis, progressive macular hypomelanosis, and multibacillary leprosy as in our case series [16–18]. Progressive macular hypomelanosis (PMH), which is a frequently found benign skin condition in Asians, usually start on the back of the trunk in and around midline, rarely extending to buttocks, proximal limbs, and neck. PMH is characterized...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients’ index number</th>
<th>Age at diagnosis/onset (years)</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Initial diagnosis</th>
<th>Site of skin involvement</th>
<th>% of skin involvement at the time of diagnosis</th>
<th>lymph nodes (LN), liver (Liv), spleen (Sp)</th>
<th>Stage at diagnosis</th>
<th>Previous treatments</th>
<th>Type of therapy given</th>
<th>Type of therapy given</th>
<th>Response to therapy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (Figure 1)</td>
<td>13/10</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>PV</td>
<td>Both lower and upper limbs, vitiligo-like lesions on buttocks</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>L/axillary LN 0.5–1 cm diameter</td>
<td>1B</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Topical antifungal</td>
<td>NB-UVB 32 (3x/wk)</td>
<td>CR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (Figure 2)</td>
<td>29/26</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>PV</td>
<td>All 4 limbs, buttock, a few patches on trunk</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1B</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Topical antifungal</td>
<td>PUA 36 (2x/wk)</td>
<td>CR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (Figure 3)</td>
<td>11/08</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Eczema, vitiligo</td>
<td>Vitiligo-like patches on buttocks</td>
<td>&lt;10%</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Topical steroid, 10% coal tar lotion and sunlight exposure</td>
<td>Potent topical steroids daily for 12 months</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (Figure 4)</td>
<td>30/27</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>PV, PMH</td>
<td>All 4 limbs flexure aspect, anterior and back of trunk, breast</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1B</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Topical antifungal</td>
<td>NB-UVB 36 (3x/wk)</td>
<td>CR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (Figure 5)</td>
<td>29/24</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>PV, vitiligo</td>
<td>Trunk, all 4 limbs</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Topical antifungal</td>
<td>NB-UVB 32 (3x/wk)</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: (a) A 29-year-old man had hypopigmented, finely wrinkle, slightly scaly patches without telangiectasia or induration on bilateral forearm and trunk. (b) Similar lesions on thigh bilaterally. (A) Before therapy and (B) complete clinical response after 30 sessions of PUVA (patient 2).

Figure 3: An 11-year-old boy had localized depigmented patches on buttocks and posterior upper thigh simulating vitiligo. He was misdiagnosed clinically and histopathologically as eczema and vitiligo for 3 years. This photograph was taken 8 months after potent topical steroid therapy (patient 3).

Figure 4: (a) A 30-year-old woman had hypopigmented patches on sunlight covered body sites. Note these patches extend to neck and (b) breast which is less likely in progressive macular hypomelanosis. (A) before therapy (B) showing almost complete clinical response after 40 sessions of narrow-band UVB. Note some hypopigmented lesions persist under the chin and neck (patient 4).

Histopathologically by diminished pigment in the epidermis and a normal-looking dermis [19].

Since Sri Lanka is a tropical country with 10–12 hours sunlight, exposure to natural sunlight possibly has cured the lesions on sun-exposed areas at early stage of onset as seen in our four patients.

Asymptomatic hypopigmented skin patches uniformly distributed in neck, limbs, trunk, breasts, and buttock alarmed consultant dermatologists in our case series. Some lesions showing atrophic, finely wrinkle, slightly scaly surface (patient 2) added to the clinical acumen.

In early-stage MF clinical diagnosis supersedes histopathological confirmation by many years. These patients underwent several skin biopsies before histopathological confirmation. Histopathology of early-stage MF may be debatable where two pathologists would contradict. Clinico-pathologic correlation is ultimately essential to make accurate diagnosis of early MF and its histologic mimickers [16].

In contrast to conventional MF, in which the neoplastic cells are CD4+ in the vast majority of cases, the neoplastic cells in hypopigmented MF have a CD8+ T-cell phenotype [7, 20, 21]. We did not study immunophenotype of the infiltrating cells, as the facility was not available in Sri Lanka.

The pathogenesis of hypopigmentation in HMF, though still unclear, probably due to cytotoxic effect of
melanosomal-antigen-specific CD8+ neoplastic T lymphocytes resulting in dysfunction and/or loss of melanocytes in the epidermis [20].

Since early-stage MF (stage 1A, 1B, IIA) has generally a good prognosis, and long-term survival rates with current therapies (UVB, PUVA, topical nitrogen mustard, electron beam radiotherapy) are similar, there is concern regarding their potential side effects. It has been reported that the same effective UVB dose is safer than PUVA in terms of carcinogenicity, and that it produces fewer side effects [11]. Narrowband (311-nm) UVB therapy was found to be more effective than broadband UVB therapy in small plaque parapsoriasis and early-stage MF [12–15]. Though HMF has an indolent course for many years, recurrences are reported; therefore, patients will be followed up for life.

In conclusion, from the 5 patients reported to date, it may be said that, HMF is characterized by early onset, occurrence in dark-skinned individuals, and good response to phototherapy. In tropical climate, exposure to natural sunlight may possibly have cured the lesions on sun-exposed areas at early stage of onset. Since it may mimic several other hypopigmented skin disorders clinically and histopathologically, clinicopathologic correlation is ultimately essential to make accurate diagnosis.
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