Case Report

A Rare Granular Cell Tumor with a Center Ulcer of the Rectum
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1. Introduction

Granular cell tumor (GCT) is a soft tissue neoplasm that arises from Schwann cells. It is usually benign and most commonly found in the skin, subcutaneous tissue, oral cavity, and gastrointestinal tract [1]. About less than 11% of GCTs are incidentally found in the gastrointestinal tract, where they are most commonly found in the esophagus, followed by the duodenum and stomach [2]. Rectal involvement is extremely rare. There are very few reported cases of GCT of the rectum, which usually present as a sessile polypoid lesion which is smooth and covered with normal mucosa. We report a very rare case of rectal GCT with a center ulcer in a 47-year-old man and compare our findings with those of previous reports.

2. Case Report

A 47-year-old man presented to our hospital for screening colonoscopy. He had no remarkable medical history or gastrointestinal symptom. A 10 mm reddish pedunculated polypoid lesion with a center ulcer was found in the rectum, 5 cm from the anus (Figure 1). Based on the macroscopic appearance, a tubular adenoma and a carcinoid tumor were included in the differential diagnosis. Although not examined by endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS), the lesion was removed via an endoscopic procedure. Pathologic results showed that the tumor invasion was restricted to the submucosa layer (Figure 2(a)). Tumor cells showed a polygonal or slightly spindle-shaped cytoplasm with mild-to-moderate nuclear atypia and eosinophilic and fine cytoplasmic granules (Figure 2(b)). The cytoplasmic granules had a positive reaction to periodic acid-Schiff staining (Figure 2(c)). Immunohistochemical staining for S-100 protein was also positive (Figure 2(d)). Based on these results, GCT of the rectum was diagnosed. Colonoscopy was performed 3 months later, and no recurrence was observed.

3. Discussion

GCT, also called Abrikossoff tumor or granular cell myoblastoma, was first described by Abrikossoff in 1926 [3]. Colonic involvement is rare, and rectal involvement is extremely rare.

Colorectal GCT occurs predominantly between the ages of 30 and 50 years [4]. It is found incidentally during screening colonoscopy and tends to be asymptomatic. On white-light colonoscopy, it usually presents as a solitary sessile polypoid lesion, measuring less than 2 cm in diameter, which is smooth and covered with normal mucosa. Because finding a “molar-shaped” tumor with a central depression, as seen in esophageal GCT, is rare and the endoscopic
ultrasonographic findings of GCT are similar to those of other subepithelial tumors (SETs), it is difficult to distinguish GCTs from other SETs, especially carcinoid tumor [5]. The definitive diagnosis of GCT is based on histopathologic findings and positive staining for S-100 protein.

There are only a few studies on GCT of the rectum. We searched on PubMed for cases related to rectal GCT, which were published after the year 2000, using the phrase “granular cell tumor and rectum.” We found only six case reports and 13 patients (Table 1) [1, 5–9]. Rectal GCT was more common in men than in women (ratio 12:2; including our patient). However, its sex distribution remains unclear because of its rarity [2]. Their average age was 51.7 years. Most affected patients were asymptomatic, and the tumors were identified incidentally. However, in a report from Japan, five of the eight patients had a positive fecal occult blood test. This was probably due to the regular medical check-up system in Japan. Most of the tumors were benign and were removed via endoscopic procedures. Furthermore, most of the rectal GCTs were smooth and covered with normal mucosa, similar to SET. However, our case involved a reddish pedunculated lesion with an ulceration at the top unlike previous cases. This was probably a secondary change due to an inflammatory reaction to the mucosa, and such
lesions are reported in various tumors. Therefore, endoscopists should consider that rectal GCTs may not be similar to SETs, especially carcinoid tumor.

Most GCTs are benign and are fortuitously found. However, less than 2% of them can be malignant [1]. If the tumor size is greater than 4 cm and there is ulceration, cellular necrosis, spindling, pleomorphism, increased nuclear-cytoplasmic ratio, large nucleoli, and increased mitotic activity, the potential for malignancy will be high [7, 10]. Of the factors above, tumor size is the most important factor [11]. Malignant GCTs tend to metastasize, recur, and have a poor prognosis. Therefore, biopsy, EUS, and EUS-guided fine needle aspiration may be valuable for diagnosis. Benign colorectal GCTs that are smaller than 2 cm can be treated via endoscopic resection. Follow-up colonoscopy should be considered valuable as a surveillance modality.

The colonoscopic findings are similar to those of the SETs, but can vary. It is important to analyze the size of the tumor and other features before its treatment because of the possibility of malignancy; most rectal GCTs can be cured via endoscopic resection. Endoscopists should identify the features of GCTs and consider follow-up surveillance via colonoscopy.
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Table 1: Patient clinical characteristics from the literature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case (no)</th>
<th>Age, years</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Signs and symptoms</th>
<th>Morphology</th>
<th>Size, mm</th>
<th>Layer of invasion</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Normal mucosa, sessile</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Endoscopic resection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>49.9 (mean)</td>
<td>6 M/2 F</td>
<td>None: 1, positive FOBT: 5, abdominal discomfort: 2</td>
<td>Yellowish-white: 7; white: 1, all normal mucosa, sessile: 5, pedunculated: 3</td>
<td>&lt;10; 7; 15: 1</td>
<td>Submucosa: most</td>
<td>Endoscopic resection; surgery: 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Yellowish, normal mucosa, sessile</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Subserosa</td>
<td>Surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Normal mucosa, sessile</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Submucosa</td>
<td>Endoscopic resection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Endoscopic resection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Reddish, ulcerated, pedunculated</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Submucosa</td>
<td>Endoscopic resection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present case</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M, male; F, female; FOBT, fecal occult blood test; N/A, not available.


