Case Report

Prenatal Diagnosis of 4p and 4q Subtelomeric Microdeletion in De Novo Ring Chromosome 4
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Ring chromosomes are unusual abnormalities that are observed in prenatal diagnosis. A 23-year-old patient (gravida 1, para 0) referred for amniocentesis due to abnormal maternal serum screening result in the 16th week of second pregnancy. Cytogenetic analysis of cultured amniotic fluid cells revealed out ring chromosome 4. Both maternal and paternal karyotypes were normal. Terminal deletion was observed in both 4p and 4q arms of ring chromosome 4 by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). However deletion was not observed in the WHS critical region of both normal and ring chromosome 4 by an additional FISH study. These results were confirmed by means of array-CGH showing terminal deletions on 4p16.3 (130 kb) and 4q35.2 (2.449 Mb). In the 21th week of pregnancy, no gross anomalia, except two weeks symmetric growth retardation, was present in the fetal ultrasonographic examination. According to our review of literature, this is the first prenatal case with 4p and 4q subtelomeric deletion of ring chromosome 4 without the involvement of WHS critical region. Our report describes the prenatal case with a ring chromosome 4 abnormality completely characterized by array-CGH which provided complementary data for genetic counseling of prenatal diagnosis.

1. Introduction

Autosomal ring chromosomes are uncommon cytogenetic aberrations in prenatal diagnosis. Estimated frequency ranges from 1/27000 to 1/62000 in consecutive newborn and prenatal diagnosis studies [1]. The classic mechanism of ring formation is breakage in both arms of a chromosome followed by fusion of the two broken arms and loss of the distal segments. Therefore, phenotypic abnormalities associated with partial deletions can be found among patients with ring chromosomes [2–4]. Cases with ring chromosome 4 share common clinical features, including severe mental and motor retardation, cleft lip and palate, low birth weight, and microcephaly [5]. Diagnosis of ring chromosomes and identifying deleted segment, which is rarely diagnosed in the prenatal period, is of critical information for genetic counselling.

In the present study, our aim was to determine whether ring chromosome leads to abnormality in the following weeks of pregnancy or in the postnatal period of fetus which has no gross anomalía in the second trimester of pregnancy, except growth retardation, and the second aim was to manage genetic counselling to family members. For this purpose, we performed classical cytogenetic, molecular cytogenetic (FISH), and array-CGH techniques in amniocentesis and chordosynthesis samples to establish whether any deletion exists in ring chromosome 4 with breakpoints of deleted segments and identify genes involving deletions.

2. Case Report

A 23-years-old patient with a history of a pregnancy resulted with abortus referred for amniocentesis due to abnormal
maternal serum screening test in the 16th week of the 2nd pregnancy. Down syndrome risk was 1.97 according to maternal serum screening test in the 12th week of pregnancy. Cytogenetic analysis of the cultured amniocytes using flask culture method revealed ring chromosome 4 [46,XX, r(4) [30 cells]] (Figure 1). To confirm the diagnosis, cytogenetic analysis of cord material obtained by cordocentesis was performed. Ring chromosome 4 was evident. Because parental karyotypes were normal, caryotype abnormality of case was considered de novo.

The ring chromosome 4 was characterized by FISH using the 4p and 4q specific subtelomeric probe (Chromoprobe Multiprobe-T System; Cytocell Ltd, UK). FISH study showed deletions at the subtelomeric regions of 4p and 4q on the ring chromosome 4 (Figure 2). WHS critical region probe with 4q subtelomere specific control probe was used to establish the diagnosis of deletion in the Wolf Hirschhorn critical region in the second FISH study (WHS Critical Region Probe, Cytocell). However deletion was not observed in WHS critical region of ring chromosome 4 (Figure 3).

Array-CGH was performed to determine breaking points and involved genes on terminal deletions of ring chromosome 4.

CytoSure microarray platform (Oxford Gene Technology) was used for aCGH analysis. This platform has approximately 44,000 oligonucleotide probes. The arrays were scanned on an Agilent G2505B scanner and quantified using Agilent’s Feature Extraction software. Data was then normalised using CytoSure visualisation software which uses a standard LOWESS method [6]. Subsequent to array-CGH, a deletion of 130 Kb involving 6 genes in 4p16.3 [arr 4p16.3(Start: 34021 - Stop: 164174x1)] and a second deletion of 2.449 Mb involving 17 genes in 4q35.2 [arr 4q35.2(Start: 188713284 - Stop: 191162284x1)] were determined (Figures 4 and 5). The list of deleted genes was shown in Table 1. Deletion range in 4p determined by array-CGH (Start: 34021 - Stop: 164174) was out of range of FISH probe which was used for WHS critical region (Start: 1.894.975 - Stop: 2.117.567). Eventually, the results of FISH and array-CGH confirm each other.

In the 21th week of pregnancy, no gross anomaly, except 2 weeks symmetric growth retardation, existed in the fetal ultrasonographic examination. Parents were informed about the probable prenatal and postnatal complications of
subtelomeric deletion of p and q arms of chromosome 4. However, parents denied to terminate pregnancy.

3. Discussion

In the present report, prenatal case with 4p16.3 and 4q35.2 subtelomeric deletion of ring chromosome 4 without the involvement of WHS critical region was diagnosed.
a 29th week fetus of ring chromosome 4 carrier with 4p15 and 4q35 deletions [7]. In the second case, Chen et al. reported a case with intrauterine growth retardation, increased nuchal translucency, and a suspected cardiac malformation in a 17th week fetus of ring chromosome 4 carrier with 4p15.2 and 4q35.2 deletions [8]. The third case was a female fetus at the 21st week of gestation with ring chromosome 4 (p16;q33) which presented with further abnormalities, namely, cleft lip, left-sided diaphragmatic hernia with cardiac dextroposition, a single umbilical artery, and pathological uterine blood flow patterns [9]. In all of 3 cases, deletions involve larger segments than those in our case and deleted 4p segment involved WHS critical region that was responsible from the development of Wolf-Hirschhorn Syndrome. In contrast, 4p16.3 deletion in our case involves a small segment of 130 kb and does not involve WHS critical region. Fetal ultrasonographic examination pointed out growth retardation of two weeks without an accompanying abnormality. This may be related to absence of deletion in the WHS critical region and shortness of deleted segments than previous three cases.

Among published cases with 4p16 deletions, South et al. reported a case of ring 4 with 1.27–1.46 Mb deletion at 4p16.3 presented with significant postnatal growth retardation, mild developmental retardation, and nutritional disturbances. In addition to abnormalities of the first case, the second reported that a case exhibited terminal 4p microdeletion of approximately 1.78 Mb caused complex seizure disorder. No deletion was observed at WHS region in both cases [10]. Khonsari et al. reported a case with deletion of 300 kb from telomere presented with multiple vascular malformations, unilateral syndactyly, and bilateral macrodactyly in the postnatal period [11]. Blackett et al. reported another case of ring chromosome 4 with a deletion of 145 kb at 4p16 that has mild growth retardation, deafness, short stature, obesity, and early onset of type 2 diabetes [12]. Furthermore, small 4p deletions located approximately 100–300 kb from 4pter are reported without phenotypic modification [13]. Another case had subtelomeric 4q35.2 deletion that encompasses approximately 3 Mb with comorbid schizoaffective disorder and mental retardation [14]. It is possible that our patient may experience similar anomalies in the later periods of pregnancy and postnatal period.

In the present case, genes that encode 6 zinc finger protein exist on deleted segment of 130 kb at 4p16.3 as shown in Table 1. To the best of our knowledge, no anomaly that is related to these genes existed in the literature. On the other hand, seventeen genes exist on deleted segment of 2.449 Mb at 4q35.2. Among the genes that were shown in Table 1, only FRG1 and FRG2 genes were considered to relate with facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy [15]. Hemizygosity of deleted 23 genes on 4p and 4q of ring chromosome have risk of clinical pathologies at the postnatal period. Parents were informed about the risk of anomalies.

According to our knowledge, this is the first de novo ring chromosome 4 case with 4p and 4q subtelomeric deletion without deletion of WHS critical region and that had no fetal anomaly except intrauterine growth retardation determined by second trimester fetal ultrasonographic examination. In the light of literature, genetic counselling was performed to family members by considering genes on deleted segments. The role of FISH and array-CGH on genetic diagnosis and phenotypic correlation in the prenatal evaluation is confirmed.

**Acknowledgment**

The authors thank the family for their interest and support for the study.

**References**


Case Reports in Obstetrics and Gynecology


