Case Report

Spontaneous Heterotopic Pregnancy: Dual Case Report and Review of Literature
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Introduction. Heterotopic pregnancy is a rare complication usually seen in populations at risk for ectopic pregnancy or those undergoing fertility treatments. It is a potentially dangerous condition occurring in only 1 in 30,000 spontaneous pregnancies [7–12]. With the advent of Assisted Reproduction Techniques (ART) [12–14] and ovulation induction, the overall incidence of heterotopic pregnancy has risen to approximately 1 in 3,900 pregnancies. Other risk factors include a history of pelvic inflammatory disease (PID), tubal damage, pelvic surgery, uterine Mullerian abnormalities, and prior tubal surgery. Heterotopic pregnancy is a potentially fatal condition, rarely occurring in natural conception cycles. Most commonly, heterotopic pregnancy is diagnosed at the time of rupture when surgical management is required. Case. This paper represents two cases of heterotopic pregnancies as well as a literature review. Conclusion. Heterotopic pregnancy should be suspected in patients with an adnexal mass, even in the absence of risk factors. Clinicians must be alert to the fact that confirming an intrauterine pregnancy clinically or by ultrasound does not exclude the coexistence of an ectopic pregnancy. A high index of suspicion in women is needed for early and timely diagnosis, and management with laparotomy or laparoscopy can result in a favorable and successful obstetrical outcome.

1. Introduction

Heterotopic pregnancy is the simultaneous coexistence of an intrauterine and an extraterine gestation [1–6]. It is a rare and potentially dangerous condition occurring in only 1 in 30,000 spontaneous pregnancies [7–12]. With the advent of Assisted Reproduction Techniques (ART) [12–14] and ovulation induction, the overall incidence of heterotopic pregnancy has risen to approximately 1 in 3,900 pregnancies [15]. However, obstetricians and emergency medicine physicians are unlikely to consider this diagnosis as a part of the differential in cases presenting with abdominal pain and vaginal bleeding.

Transvaginal ultrasound is the key to diagnosing heterotopic pregnancy [8, 16]. However, it continues to have a low sensitivity because the diagnosis is often missed or overlooked [17, 18]. Therefore the diagnosis is often delayed leading to serious consequences.

Surgical intervention plays a key role in the management of heterotopic pregnancy [19]. The goal is to remove the ectopic pregnancy without jeopardizing the intrauterine pregnancy [20]. Laparoscopic salpingectomy is the standard surgical approach of heterotopic pregnancy. Other management options mentioned in the literature include local injection of potassium chloride, hyperosmolar glucose, or methotrexate into the sac under ultrasound guidance followed by aspiration of the ectopic pregnancy [21]. This paper represents two cases of heterotopic pregnancies as well as review of literature.
2. Presentation of the Case Number 1

Patient is a 34-year-old Hispanic female, G3P1011 at 9 weeks and four days by last menstrual period, consistent with a 9 weeks and three days sonogram, presented to the emergency room complaining of vaginal spotting, lower abdominal pain, and nausea. She denied any leakage of fluid, urinary symptoms, fever, chills, dizziness, palpations, or any other symptoms.

The patient had a history of a prior hospitalization for pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) with a retained intrauterine device (IUD) about seven years priorly. Her IUD was removed in the operating room. Her cultures at that time grew *Actinomyces, Streptococcus viridans*, and coagulase negative *Staphylococcus* and she was treated with Doxycycline and Ceftriaxone. Patient did not have any other past medical, surgical, or family history.

Upon presentation to emergency department, the patient was noted to be pale and her abdomen was mildly distended and was tender more on the right side than left. On vaginal exam, there was no active bleeding or leakage of fluid. The cervix was found to be closed, long, and posterior, with cervical motion tenderness and bilateral adnexal tenderness. No adnexal masses were appreciated. There were no other pertinent significant physical findings.

The patient’s blood type was A Rh positive, with Beta-HCG value of 146,864 mIU/mL, which correlates with a gestation of six to eight weeks. The progesterone value was 28.52 ng/mL, appropriate for an early first trimester pregnancy. The patient’s initial hemoglobin was 10.3 g/dL, hematocrit of 30.6%, MCV of 89.1 fl, and RDW of 14.1%. Follow-up hemograms showed a continuous drop in the hemoglobin/hematocrit through 8.7/26.3 to 7.6/22.8.

Pelvic ultrasound (Figure 1) revealed a single live intrauterine pregnancy of 8 weeks and 4 days with a fetal heart rate of 188 beats per minute (BPM) and an ectopic pregnancy was seen in the right adnexa of 8 weeks and 0 days with a fetal heart rate of 183 bpm. A large amount of free fluid was present, consistent with the acute blood loss noted in the dropping hemoglobin/hematocrit.

An exploratory laparotomy was performed revealing an approximately 5 cm × 3 cm ruptured ectopic pregnancy at the ampulla of the right fallopian tube, as well as an intact ovarian corpus luteal cyst (Figure 2). A right salpingectomy and evacuation of 300 cc of hemoperitoneum was performed. Histopathology confirmed the diagnosis of an ectopic pregnancy. The fetal heart rate of the intrauterine pregnancy was present before and after surgery. The hospital course was uneventful and the patient struggled with the decision whether to keep the intrauterine pregnancy or not. Despite the fact that
the intrauterine pregnancy was progressing, the patient was unconvinced and opted for termination of the intrauterine pregnancy via dilation and curettage on postoperative day fourteen.

3. Presentation of the Case Number 2

Patient is 32 years old, G1P0 at 7 6/7 weeks by last menstrual period of 1/22/14, who initially presented in the ED on 3/16/14 with complaining of vaginal bleeding, and she was diagnosed with complete abortion. On clinic follow-up visit on 3/18/14, Beta-HCG level was 22995 mIU/mL increasing from 20571 mIU/mL on 3/16/14. Patient denied any complaints including any vaginal bleeding or abdominal pain on follow-up visit, and she was hemodynamically stable.

An obstetric ultrasound on 3/2/14 (Figure 3) revealed intrauterine gestational sac and subchorionic hemorrhage, as well as a fetal pole measuring approximately 2.9 mm with no fetal cardiac activity at that time (Figure 3).

Repeat ultrasound on 3/16/14 showed the previously described intrauterine gestation with fetal pole is no longer definitely seen. A collapsed sac however may be seen at the lower uterine segment.

Third ultrasound on 3/18/14 showed an echotexture uterus measuring 12 × 4.6 × 6.5 cm. The endometrium remained markedly thickened and heterogeneous containing multiple cystic components. It measured up to 3.5 cm in thickness. There was increased vascularity towards the fundus; on the left, there was a hypoechoic structure measuring 2.5 × 2.5 × 2.3 cm with mild vascularity. This was not clearly delineated on prior imaging, There was no fluid in the cul-de-sac.

Beta-HCG Trend (mIU/mL). It was 2484 (2/26/14), 4211 (3/2/14), 20571 (3/16/14), 22995 (3/18/15), and 3921 (3/21/14).

Patient underwent suction dilatation and curettage (D&C) for incomplete abortion. Moderate amount of product of conception (POC) was collected during D&C, which pathologic examination showed to be decidua and chronic villi (Figure 4).

Intraoperative bedside sonogram was done after D&C and revealed complete evacuation of products of conception (POC) from uterus with no free fluid; however, there was still a hypoechogenic structure along the fundus of the uterus.

Laparoscopic excision of left cornual ectopic pregnancy with mini-laparotomy for specimen retrieval was done and an approximately 4 cm × 4 cm left cornual ectopic pregnancy was excised, which was also sent to pathology, and pathologic examination showed decidua and chronic villi (Figure 5) and therefore diagnosis of heterotopic pregnancy was confirmed. Patient had uncomplicated hospital stay and was discharged on postoperative day 3.

4. Discussions

When initially seen in the emergency room, the differentials included ectopic pregnancy, abortion, and ovarian torsion. However, the transvaginal ultrasound was instrumental in revealing the correct diagnosis. In this case, the patient had a history of having an IUD with pelvic inflammatory disease, which possibly increased her risk of having an ectopic pregnancy [15, 22]. When intrauterine pregnancy
TABLE 1: Summary of case reports.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Presentation</th>
<th>Case details</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatema et al. [32]</td>
<td>38 years old G7P1A3</td>
<td>Abdominal pain and vomiting</td>
<td>Ruptured fallopian tube, initially misdiagnosed as appendicitis</td>
<td>Discharged but had a miscarriage 12 days later</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simsek et al. [33]</td>
<td>37 years old</td>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>Ruptured fallopian tube with 2 intrauterine fetuses</td>
<td>Underwent laparotomy and carried intrauterine pregnancy to term</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5: Pathology for patient 2. Specimen 2: left tubal ectopic pregnancy from laparoscopy. Tubal pregnancy: slide from fallopian tube, showing the wall of fallopian tube and a tubal pregnancy with decidua, chorionic villi, and syncytiotrophoblast inside the fallopian tube, confirming the ectopic pregnancy in the fallopian tube and therefore heterotopic pregnancy.

5. Conclusion

Clinicians should always keep heterotopic pregnancy in the differential diagnosis in a reproductive patient with abdominal pain and signs or symptoms of ectopic pregnancy [25, 26]. They must be alert to the fact that confirming an intrauterine pregnancy clinically or by ultrasound does not exclude the coexistence of an ectopic pregnancy [27]. A high index of suspicion in women is needed for early and timely diagnosis, and management with laparotomy or laparoscopy can result in a favorable successful obstetrical outcome [28, 29]. Heterotopic pregnancy is possible with natural conception and the survival of the intrauterine fetus is feasible [9, 30, 31].
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