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Primary urethral squamous cell carcinoma is rare. Its management is particularly challenging owing to the paucity of evidence from randomised trials to inform practice. We report two male and female cases of squamous cell carcinoma of the urethra, which were treated successfully with concomitant cisplatin and radiotherapy. These cases add to the body of case reports that have shown benefit for concomitant chemoradiotherapy in urethral squamous cell carcinoma. They also illustrate that single agent chemotherapy, namely, cisplatin, may be used successfully with limited toxicities.

1. Introduction

Primary urethral squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) is a rare entity accounting for less than 1% of all cancers [1]. Management of urethral cancer is a particularly challenging field owing to the paucity of evidence from randomised trials to inform practice.

Historically, surgery and radiotherapy have been the main treatment modalities; however, significant morbidity may be conferred if an “R0” resection (complete resection with no microscopic residual tumor) is to be achieved [2]. Concomitant chemoradiotherapy has been considered by extrapolating evidence from other SCCs of the pelvis such as anal and cervical cancers [3–5].

We report two male and female cases of SCC of the urethra, which were treated successfully with concomitant cisplatin and radiotherapy.

2. Case 1

A 55-year-old lady presented with a history of intermittent per vaginal bleeding and haematuria in January 2011. Cystoscopy demonstrated a mobile solid, fleshy tumour at the external urethral meatus. Wedge biopsy confirmed a moderate to poorly differentiated distal urethral SCC, which invaded into the muscle. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) demonstrated malignant local invasion and possible extension into the perineum and vaginal orifice; see Figure 1.

The patient underwent concomitant chemoradiotherapy with weekly cisplatin 40 mg m\(^{-2}\) for five weeks. Conformal radiotherapy using 50.4 Gray in 28 fractions was given in 2 phases over 5.5 weeks. Phase 1: 45 Gray in 25 fractions to the primary tumour and the regional lymph nodes and phase 2: 5.4 Gray in 3 fractions to the primary tumour.

During the treatment, the patient experienced a grade 3 pelvic skin reaction and a grade 2 diarrhoea (common terminology criteria version (CTC) 3.0) [6]. Toxicity was managed supportively and did not interrupt treatment.

Posttreatment MRI demonstrated a complete response; see Figure 2. Repeat cystoscopy and biopsy at 4 months did not reveal any residual tumour. Two years, on the patient remains disease-free.

3. Case 2

A 43-year-old man presented with a 2-month history of difficulty voiding urine and terminal dribbling. Cystourethroscopy demonstrated a polypoid growth in the bulbar urethra that prevented navigation to the bladder. A further cystourethroscopy under anaesthetic revealed only the polypoid tumour. There were no other abnormalities in the lower urinary tract. Subsequent biopsies confirmed a poorly to
moderately differentiated polypoid SCC of the bulb of the urethra, which invaded into the stroma, at least stage pT2. Subsequent MRI scan revealed a $3.5 \times 1.7$ cm tumour invading into the proximal corpus spongiosum with some associated small inguinal nodes at 8 mm, which were not thought to be malignant. See Figure 3.

Radical cystoprostatectomy was recommended, but the patient declined given the morbidity associated. Chemoradiotherapy treatment was therefore offered. A suprapubic catheter was sited due to the risk of obstruction from inflammation in the urethra during radiotherapy. Chemoradiotherapy with weekly cisplatin $40 \text{ mg m}^{-2}$ for 6 weeks with 64 Gray in 32 fractions of conformal radiotherapy was given in 2 phases. Phase 1: 44 Gray in 22 fractions to the primary tumour with a margin of 2.5 cm craniocaudally and 1.5 cm circumferentially. Phase 2: 20 Gray in 10 fractions to the primary tumour with a geometric margin of 1.5 cm.

CTC grade 3 pelvic skin toxicity was noted, this responded well to supportive measures.

The suprapubic catheter was removed two months following completion of chemoradiotherapy. MRI at two months after treatment confirmed a complete response with low signal changes of the tumour sized at $2.2 \times 0.8$ cm, which was felt to be postradiotherapy change. The inguinal lymph nodes previously noted remained unchanged at 8 mm, supporting their benign nature. Urethroscopy was undertaken which confirmed scar tissue in the bulbar urethra. Biopsies were taken which did not show any evidence of residual tumour. See Figure 4. Eighteen months, on the patient remains disease free.

Both cases were discussed at the Urology Multi-Disciplinary Meeting where it was agreed that they represented primary urethral cancers rather than metastases from other pelvic structures.

4. Discussion

Urethral SCC is rare and can be challenging to manage due to the lack of randomised evidence to inform practice. Most patients present with locally advanced disease as urethral tumours tend to invade local structures such as bladder, prostate, or vagina. The above cases illustrate that urethral SCC can be successfully treated with concomitant cisplatin and radiotherapy in selected patients.

In case one, a conventional conformal pelvic radiotherapy schedule of 50.4 Gray in 28 fractions was given due to the location of the tumour at the terminal urethra. Although
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference Year</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Chemotherapy regime</th>
<th>Radiotherapy Dose (Gray)</th>
<th>Surgery</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[10] 1985</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Locally advanced Female</td>
<td>Mitomycin C and 5-fluorouracil</td>
<td>40 Gy in 20 fractions</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disease-free at 30 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[11] 1989</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Locally advanced SCC</td>
<td>Mitomycin C 15 mg/m² and 5-fluorouracil 1250 mg/m²</td>
<td>40 Gy in 20 fractions</td>
<td>Distal urethrectomy with en bloc resection of the adjacent corpora cavernosa</td>
<td>Cure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[12] 1992</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Locally advanced SCC Male</td>
<td>5-Fluorouracil 1 mg/m² and mitomycin C 5 mg/m²</td>
<td>40 Gy in 20 fractions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[14] 1995</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Locally advanced SCC Male</td>
<td>5-Fluorouracil mitomycin C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[15] 1995</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Stage IVB SCC</td>
<td>5-Fluorouracil 1400 mg and mitomycin C 18 mg</td>
<td>55.80 Gy in 31 fractions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[16] 1998</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Locally advanced SCC</td>
<td>5-Fluorouracil and cisplatin</td>
<td>45 Gy</td>
<td>Distal urethrectomy</td>
<td>50% DFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[17] 2004</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Locally advanced SCC</td>
<td>5-Fluorouracil 750 mg/m² and cisplatin 60 mg/m²</td>
<td>60 Gy in 30 fractions</td>
<td></td>
<td>One LR at 42 months The other is disease-free at 27 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[18] 2008</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>T2N0 (11%) T3N0 (44%) T4N0 (11%) TXN1 (6%) TXN2 (28%)</td>
<td>5-Fluorouracil (1000 mg/m²) and mitomycin C (10 mg/m²)</td>
<td>45–55 Gy 25 fractions</td>
<td>Salvage surgery in selected cases</td>
<td>54% 5 year DFS chemoradiation 72% 5 year DFS chemoradiation and salvage surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[19] 2011</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Locally advanced</td>
<td>Cisplatin weekly Following surgery: Adjvant cisplatin and 5-fluorouracil</td>
<td>60 Gy in 30 fractions</td>
<td></td>
<td>Salvage surgery 12 weeks after chemoradiotherapy due to local progressive disease: radical en bloc resection, abdominoperineal resection, right inguinal superficial lymphadenectomy, cystoprostatectomy with ileal conduit, penectomy, scrotal incision, and bilateral orchiectomy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N: number of patients, SCC: squamous cell carcinoma, DFS: disease-free survival, LR: Local recurrence, and Gy: Gray.

Table 1 illustrates a summary of the published literature from PubMed spanning several decades and the modalities used [10–19]. In the last few decades, there has been a drive to establish genital preserving strategies for urethral squamous cell cancer. Intensity modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) is now standard practice for some tumour sites in most UK hospitals, for example, for head and neck cancer. IMRT allows a more conformal dose distribution and may allow for dose escalation to the primary tumour with better sparing of organs at risk such as bladder and rectum.
Human papilloma virus (HPV) has been implicated in many types of SCC, conferring a better prognosis in head and neck in particular [20]. HPV has been implicated in both male and female urethral cancers, although with such rare cancers, it is unlikely to be able to help stratify patients into prognostic groups [21, 22].

These two cases add to the body of case reports that have shown benefit for chemoradiotherapy in urethral SCC. They also illustrate that single agent chemotherapy, namely, cisplatin, may be used successfully with limited toxicities.

Randomised clinical trials remain the ultimate goal; however, with rare cancers, amalgamating experience is vital to inform practice.
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