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Review of the Literature: Organ of Giraldes
Epididymal Appendage Presenting as a Painless Scrotal Mass in a 19-Year-Old Male—A Rare Urologic Entity
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An incidental finding of a testicular mass in young male population is always a case of great concern for the patient and controversy for the physician. Differential diagnosis ranges from acute scrotum (notably testicular torsion), to acute inflammation and infection, all the way to testicular tumors. We present a case of an incidental finding of a painless testicular solid mass in a 19-year-old male patient, with an end pathological result of paradidymis (organ of Giraldes) following orchiectomy. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first case of its kind to be reported in the literature.

1. Introduction

A testicular appendage is a vestigial residual of the Wolffian (mesonephric) duct or the Mullerian (paramesonephric) duct. A Mullerian-inhibiting substance produced during fetal growth causes the degradation of the Mullerian duct, in a craniocaudal fashion [1]. There are 5 testicular/epididymal appendages described in literature. Figure 1 illustrates the anatomical position of these appendages [2]. The first and the most cranial part develops into the appendix testis, also known as the sessile hydatid of Morgagni. Next in order originating from the head of the epididymis is the appendix epididymis. The third appendage is the paradidymis, also known as the organ of Giraldes (Par), which attaches to the lower spermatic cord, and is gaining an origin from the Wolffian (mesonephric) duct, mainly from its caudal portion. Originating from the body of the epididymis is the cranial aberrant duct, also called the cranial vas aberrans of Haller (*), which also originated from the Wolffian duct. Finally at the level of the tail of the epididymis, we have the caudal vas aberrans of Haller (*). Pathologies and cases involving these appendages are quite rare and almost always involve a presentation of an acute scrotal mass.

2. Case

We describe a case of a healthy 19-year-old male patient, presenting to the urology clinic for an incidental finding of a painless left scrotal mass. Clinical history goes back to a couple of days before, where the patient first noticed a mass while taking a shower. The patient denies recent scrotal trauma, unprotected sexual intercourse, penile discharge, urinary symptoms, fever, or chills. Physical exam revealed a 3-4 cm scrotal mass, attached to the left testicle at the level of the epididymis. The mass was painless to palpation and mobile with the testicle. No inguinal hernia or inguinal lymph nodes were detected during the physical exam. Both testicles were of normal size and position. Cremasteric reflex was present bilaterally. No signs of inflammation, edema, erythema, or infection were observed. Blood and urine exams were within normal ranges, including hemoglobin, Hb, hematocrit, Hct, white blood cells, WBC, C-reactive protein, CRP, negative red blood cells, RBC, and white blood cells, WBC, in urine and negative urine culture. Tumor markers (alpha fetoprotein, AFP, β-HCG, and lactate dehydrogenase, LDH) were also negative. Ultrasound of the scrotum paradoxically revealed
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Figure 1: Testicular appendages; VD: vas deferens; T: testicle; Ep: epididymis; Par: organ of Giraldes; EA: epididymal appendage; TA: testicular appendage; ∗: aberrans of Haller. Source: Favorito et al. [2].

A swelling of the left epididymis and the testicle with hyper-vascularization signals on Doppler ultrasound suggestive of epididymitis.

The decision was made to treat the subclinical, ultrasound-evident epididymitis with a course of fluoroquinolones (ciprofloxacin). Two weeks later, physical exam showed similar findings to the one done two weeks ago, and testicular ultrasound showed a 3 cm testicular swelling, with similar Doppler findings.

This atypical presentation of a painless scrotal mass in a young male adult, with negative tumor markers and ultrasound suggestive of epididymitis in the absence of any inflammatory signs or symptoms, with no signs of improvement with a course of antibiotics, as well as the risk of malignant lesion, put a remarkable amount of stress on the patient and the treating team, resulting in a decision to go for surgical testicular exploration via an inguinal incision due to the risk of testicular malignancy. Metastatic workup was composed of fluorine-18-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography $^{18}$F-FDG PET-CT, showing a hypermetabolic lesion of the left testicle, with iliac and para-aortic lymphadenopathy.

During surgery, the left testicle was delivered via an inguinal incision. Dissection of the mass off the testicle was tried, but due to the adherent nature of the mass to the testicle itself, increasing the risk of malignancy, the final decision was made to undergo a total left radical orchiectomy. Patient was discharged the following day.

Pathology report showed a normal testicle ($6.5 \times 4 \times 3.7$ cm), spermatic cord, and an epididymis containing an indurated whitish lesion, measuring $3.5 \times 2 \times 1.6$ cm. No histological anomalies of the testicle were noted. A significant inflammatory remnant of the epididymis was reported, with microabscess in vestigial remnants (Figures 2 and 3).

A cystic structure with no obvious continuity with the epididymis was also noted, bordered by a pseudostratified epithelium without tumoral cellular atypia, consistent with organ of Giraldes, with no sign of malignancy.

$^{18}$F-FDG PET-CT was repeated 2 months after surgery and showed complete remission of the previously hypermetabolic picture that was reported in the previous imaging.

3. Discussion

In a study by Sahni et al. [3], the incidence of epididymal appendage in adults on autopsy was estimated to be around 20%. In another study by Favorito et al. [2], the incidence of epididymal appendages was 14.5% in the cryptorchidism group and 8.4% in the control one, with no statistically significant difference between the two groups. The organ of Giraldes as a cause of a scrotal mass is a very rare pathologic finding, with cystic transformation and torsion being the most common presentation. It is usually located in the anteroinferior portion of the spermatic cord, varying in size, with no direct relationship to the epididymis or the testicle. Diagnosis is very challenging, almost always done only after surgical excision. Ultrasound of the scrotum and Doppler ultrasound for assessment of testicular perfusion fail to confirm the diagnosis and are often inconclusive.

There are no studies indicating risk of malignancy of these appendages, nor there were, to the best of our knowledge, any case reports of a painless scrotal mass, which was surgically explored, turning out to be an appendage.

Torsion of the appendages, mainly in adolescents, remains to be a risk to consider. Van Glabeke et al. described 543 cases of acute scrotum pain in boys aged between 1 and 16 years, resulting in surgical exploration [4], of which 46%
Table 1: Summary of studies describing testicular and epididymal appendages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Incidence of appendages</th>
<th>Incidence after surgical exploration (acute scrotum)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sahni et al., 1996 [3]</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Favorito et al., 2004 [2]</td>
<td>14.5% in cryptorchidism</td>
<td>8.4% in control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Glabeke et al., 1999 [4]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khairi et al., 2007 [6]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Çavuşoğlu et al., 2005 [7]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 summarizes these findings.

were due to torsion of appendages. Puri and Boyd [5] stated that torsion of testicular/epididymal appendages is very rare after the age of 20 years because of what they referred to as “local fibroses.” Their study reported 22 cases of torsion of testicular/epididymal appendages. In a retrospective study done by Khairi et al. [6], and over a period of 7 years, 34 cases of acute scrotum were managed by surgical exploration, of which 7 cases (20.5%) were testicular/epididymal appendages torsion. In Turkey, a study by Çavuşoğlu et al. [7], 32.3% of cases of acute scrotum, managed by surgical exploration, were testicular/epididymal appendages.
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