Antimicrobial treatment of community acquired pneumonia in adults: A conference report

THE CANADIAN COMMUNITY ACQUIRED PNEUMONIA CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GROUP

COMMUNITY ACQUIRED PNEUMONIA REPRESENTS A major medical problem. This is despite advances in our understanding of the etiology, epidemiology and pathogenesis of the disease as well as the availability of numerous types of antimicrobials. For those cases requiring admission to hospital, the overall mortality rate can exceed 20% (1).

Since community acquired pneumonia is not a reportable disease, it is difficult to obtain accurate statistics on its true incidence. Figures from the United States suggest that approximately 3.3 million cases occur annually in ambulatory patients. Data from England support an incidence of one to three cases of pneumonia per 1000 adults per year while in Sweden, the incidence is five per 1000. To complicate matters further, it is often difficult to identify the etiological pathogen. Pneumonia and influenza are among the leading causes of death in Americans aged 65 years or older (2).

DEVELOPMENT OF GUIDELINES

In order to develop a standardized approach to the antimicrobial treatment of community acquired pneumonia in Canada, a meeting was held in Halifax, Nova Scotia in November 1991. The participants were physicians with a variety of backgrounds including family practice, infectious diseases, microbiology and respirology. Experts from Canada, the United States, England and Sweden attended, all

with a particular interest in respiratory tract infections. The guidelines presented in this paper are a representation of the opinions of these experts.

These guidelines were developed solely to help in the initial antimicrobial management of patients with community acquired pneumonia. They are neither definitive nor all encompassing but are meant solely to provide the practising physician with a rational approach to the *initial treatment* of community acquired pneumonia and are based upon careful consideration of etiological and epidemiological factors and, above all, the safety and welfare of the patient. Whenever possible, attempts should be made to establish a precise etiological diagnosis. In cases where a specific pathogen is identified, therapy may be specifically targeted using an antimicrobial with a relatively narrow spectrum of activity to which the organism is sensitive. In choosing any antimicrobial, once issues of efficacy and toxicity have been addressed, cost should then be considered.

In developing these guidelines, it was felt that to be workable they must be kept as practical and as simple as possible. Trying to encompass every eventuality would lead to overly complex guidelines that ultimately would be discarded. Accordingly, we have chosen not to deal with the issue of tuberculosis or with high risk patients, such as those with human immunodeficiency virus infection, neoplastic disease

Chairmen of Working Groups: Dr LA Mandell, Dr T Marrie, Dr V Yu

Other participants: Dr M Fleming, Dr K Forward, Dr R Gleckman, Dr R Gold, Dr M Gribble, Dr R Grossman, Dr J MacFarlane, Dr LE Nicolle, Dr M Niederman, Dr A Ortqvist, Dr G Sarosi, Dr W Schlech, Dr P Turgeon, Dr A Verghese, Dr M White

The Consensus Conference was supported by an educational grant from Glaxo Canada Inc

Correspondence and reprints: Dr Lionel A Mandell, McMaster Medical Unit, Henderson General Hospital, 711 Concession Street, Hamilton, Ontario L8V 1C3. Telephone (905) 574-8520, Fax (905) 575-7320

Reproduced from Can J Infect Dis 1993;4:25-8

TABLE 1
Treatment options for community acquired pneumonia
Clinical presentation – not severe
Treatment – as outpatient oral therapy or inpatient therapy

	Patient previously well and/or <65 years old	Comorbid illness and/or >65 years old
Organisms	<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i> <i>Mycoplasma pneumoniae</i> <i>Chlamydia pneumoniae</i> <i>Haemophilus influenzae</i>	<i>S pneumoniae</i> <i>H influenzae</i> Oral anaerobes Gram-negative rods <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> <i>Legionella</i> species
Drugs	1. Macrolide 2. Tetracycline*	1. Second generation cephalosporin 2. Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole 3. Penicillin plus beta-lactamase inhibitor } ± macrolide [†]

*Some pneumococci are resistant to tetracycline; recommendations will be altered as newer agents become available. [†]If *Legionella* is a concern

TABLE 2
Treatment options for community acquired pneumonia
Clinical presentation – severe
Treatment – in hospital

	Hospital ward		Intensive care unit	
	No comorbidity	Comorbidity	No comorbidity	Comorbidity
Organisms	<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i> <i>Legionella</i> species <i>Haemophilus influenzae</i> <i>Mycoplasma pneumoniae</i> <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> <i>Chlamydia pneumoniae</i>	<i>S pneumoniae</i> <i>H influenzae</i> Gram-negative rods Oral anaerobes <i>Legionella</i> species <i>S aureus</i>	<i>S pneumoniae</i> <i>Legionella</i> species <i>H influenzae</i> Gram-negative rods (including <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> *) <i>M pneumoniae</i> <i>S aureus</i> [†] <i>C pneumoniae</i>	<i>S pneumoniae</i> <i>H influenzae</i> Gram-negative rods (including <i>P aeruginosa</i> *) <i>Legionella</i> species <i>S aureus</i> [†]
Drugs	Second or third generation cephalosporin ± macrolide ± rifampin In penicillin-allergic patients – trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole plus macrolide		Macrolide ± rifampin plus third generation cephalosporin with antipseudomonas activity or imipenem/cilastatin or ciprofloxacin	

*Due to the high mortality associated with *P aeruginosa*, an aminoglycoside should be added at least for the first few days, whether one uses a third generation cephalosporin, imipenem or ciprofloxacin. [†]First-line cover for *S aureus* (oxacillin-sensitive) is a penicillinase-resistant semisynthetic penicillin; however, the regimens listed above, while not optimal, would provide reasonable cover until identification and sensitivities of the pathogens were determined, at which time therapy may be altered

or immunosuppressed patients. Such patients generally tend to be managed by physicians with specific expertise in these areas. Since insufficient data exist on the true incidence of viral causes of community acquired pneumonia, we have excluded viruses from these guidelines.

APPROACH TO PNEUMONIA

Although a variety of classifications of pneumonia exist, we have chosen to base our approach to treatment upon the following four variables:

- The severity of illness upon clinical presentation;
- Whether the pneumonia was acquired in the community setting itself or in a nursing home;
- The presence or absence of comorbid illness (or age older than 65 years);
- Whether treatment is to be given in the community setting, the nursing home or following admission of the patient to a hospital.

While an absolute definition of severity of illness is not possible, some general guidelines can be provided. Criteria for 'severe pneumonia' include patients presenting with any of the following: respiratory failure ($PaO_2 < 60$ mmHg [with the exception of patients with chronic obstructive lung disease who may be hypoxemic without pneumonia]); respiratory rate more than 30/min; sepsis with evidence of end organ dysfunction; extrapulmonary septic complications; cavitation or involvement of more than one lobe on chest radiograph (3-5).

Comorbid illness is defined as chronic obstructive lung disease, diabetes mellitus, renal insufficiency, congestive heart failure or recent hospitalization within one year of the presentation with pneumonia (6). Other medical conditions may also exist that might be construed as comorbid conditions. The attending physician's clinical judgement should be used in assessing such cases.

An approach which incorporates these factors allowed

TABLE 3
Treatment options for nursing home acquired pneumonia
Clinical presentation – not severe
Treatment – in nursing home

	Comorbid illness and/or > 65 years
Organisms	<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i> <i>Haemophilus influenzae</i> Oral anaerobes Gram-negative rods <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> <i>Legionella</i> species
Drugs	1. Second generation cephalosporin 2. Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole 3. Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid <div style="display: inline-block; vertical-align: middle; margin-left: 10px;"> } ± macrolide* </div>

*If *legionella* is a concern

TABLE 4
Treatment options for nursing home acquired pneumonia
Clinical presentation – severe
Treatment – in nursing home*

	Nursing home
Organisms	<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i> <i>Haemophilus influenzae</i> Oral anaerobes Gram-negative rods <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> <i>Legionella</i> species
Drugs	Penicillin plus ciprofloxacin (oral) or second generation cephalosporin ± macrolide (oral) or ceftriaxone (intramuscular) ± macrolide (oral) In penicillin-allergic patients Ciprofloxacin plus clindamycin (intramuscular)

*If patient is to be transferred to hospital for treatment, see Table 2

consideration of the greatest number of variables that might impact upon the treatment as well as the prognosis of the individual patient. We specifically avoided the use of a syndrome approach since there can be considerable overlap in clinical symptoms and physical signs, especially in the elderly (7). These guidelines presuppose that in all cases, the individual physician dealing with the patient is the best judge of what to do. In all cases, knowledge of local epidemiology and resistance patterns should be used to modify these guidelines where appropriate.

Based upon the variables mentioned above, the various treatment options are presented in Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4. We have tried to use classes of drugs rather than individual agents whenever possible. However, if only one drug in a given class is available or felt to be suitable, then a specific drug name has been used. Tables 1 and 2 deal with patients who have acquired pneumonia in the community setting and who present with clinical pictures that are not severe and severe, respectively. Tables 3 and 4 deal with patients with nursing home acquired pneumonia which are not severe and severe, respectively. The practice of transferring patients

APPENDIX

Drug class	Individual agents
I. Beta-lactams	
a) Penicillins	Penicillin G Phenoxymethyl penicillin Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (oral) Ticarcillin/clavulanic acid (iv)
b) Penicillins plus beta-lactamase inhibitors	
c) Cephalosporins	
Second generation	Cefuroxime Cefamandol Ceftriaxone Cefotaxime Ceftazidime Cefoperazone*
Third generation	
Third generation with anti-pseudomonas activity	
d) Carbapenem	Imipenem/cilastatin
II. Macrolides	Erythromycin Clarithromycin
III. Lincosamides	Clindamycin
IV. Fluoroquinolones	Ciprofloxacin Ofloxacin*
V. Aminoglycosides	Gentamicin Tobramycin
VI. Rifamycins	Rifampin
VII. Tetracyclines	Tetracycline
VIII. Miscellaneous	Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole

*Less active against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* than other drug in its class

from a nursing home to a hospital depends upon a variety of social and economic factors and varies from province to province within Canada and from country to country outside Canada.

Most patients with community acquired pneumonia do not need to be admitted to hospital. Of those that do require admission, not all are necessarily severely ill. Of the four tables, Table 1 perhaps applies to the largest percentage of patients.

For patients admitted to hospital, close follow-up is assured but for patients treated at home, follow-up at two to three days is important. In all cases, whenever additional information, such as culture and sensitivity data, which may impact treatment comes to light, the responsible physician should make any necessary modifications or adjustments to the initial treatment regimen.

There is no single approach to the diagnosis and treatment of pneumonia that will suffice under all circumstances. What we are using here is an approach that allows for the initial selection of empiric antimicrobial therapy.

While penicillin is the drug of choice for infection caused by sensitive strains of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, it does not provide cover for pathogens such as *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*, *Legionella pneumophila* and *Chlamydia pneumoniae*. Erythromycin, which has excellent activity against the latter three pathogens, is essentially ineffective against *Haemophilus influenzae*. To confound the situation further, beta-lactamase production by *H influenzae* and *Moraxella catarrhalis* makes routine use of ampicillin or amoxicillin unwise (8). Obviously, if a proper sputum sample shows

many polymorphonuclear leukocytes and Gram-positive diplococci on Gram stain, penicillin would be the agent of choice. In many situations, however, the decisions are not as clear cut and it is for such situations that these guidelines are intended. As newer antimicrobials become available or as the epidemiology of responsible pathogens changes, these guidelines will be updated.

At present it is recommended that the diagnostic work-up of a patient with known or suspected pneumonia include a careful history, including identification of any comorbid conditions, and a thorough physical examination.

REFERENCES

1. Marrie TJ, Durant R, Yates L. Community-acquired pneumonia requiring hospitalization: 5-year prospective study. *Rev Infect Dis* 1989;11:586-99.
2. Hospitalizations for the leading causes of death among the elderly - US, 1987. *MMWR* 1990;39:777.
3. Pachon J, Prados D, Capote F, Cuello JA, Garnacho J, Verano A. Severe community-acquired pneumonia. Etiology, prognosis, and treatment. *Am Rev Resp Dis* 1990;142:369-73.
4. Farr BM, Sloman AJ, Fisch MJ. Predicting death in patients hospitalized for community-acquired pneumonia. *Ann Intern Med* 1991;115:428-36.
5. Torres A, Serra-Batlles J, Ferrer A, et al. Severe community-acquired pneumonia. *Epidemiology and prognostic factors*. *Am Rev Resp Dis* 1991;144:312-8.
6. Fine MJ, Smith DN, Singer DE. Hospitalization decision in patients with community-acquired pneumonia: A prospective cohort study. *Am J Med* 1990;89:713-21.
7. Fang G-D, Fine M, Orloff J, et al. New and emerging etiologies for community-acquired pneumonia with implications for therapy. A prospective multicenter study of 359 cases. *Medicine* 1990;69:307-16.
8. Tremblay LD, L'Ecuyer J, Provencher P, Bergeron M, Canadian Study Group. Susceptibility of *Haemophilus influenzae* to antimicrobial agents used in Canada. *Can Med Assoc J* 1990;143:895-901.
9. Gerding DN, ed. *Diagnosis of Pneumonia*. *Semin Respir Infect* 1988;11:181-178.