Emerging role of PET in the diagnosis and staging of lung cancer
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Positron emission tomography (PET) with 18F-fluoro-2-deoxyglucose (FDG) has recently emerged as a practical and useful imaging modality in patients with lung cancer. Malignant tumours demonstrate increased uptake of FDG, a positron-emitting radiopharmaceutical. This increased FDG uptake in tumours can be seen using PET. FDG PET has much higher accuracy than other imaging modalities for the differentiation of benign and malignant lung nodules. The sensitivity of PET is 96% and the specificity 77% for diagnosing malignant nodules. PET is also more accurate than computed tomography (CT) for staging mediastinal nodal involvement (sensitivity 89%, specificity 94%). While CT relies on an arbitrary anatomical cutoff of 1 cm to diagnose malignant nodes, which may simply be enlarged due to inflammation, PET can accurately diagnose metastases in nodes smaller than 1 cm. Several studies have shown significantly better staging of distant metastases with FDG PET than with traditional techniques such as bone scanning. Differentiation of recurrent disease from scar tissue in the postoperative patient is often difficult with CT or magnetic resonance imaging. The low uptake of FDG in scar tissue allows reliable differentiation between scar tissue and a recurring tumour with PET. Early studies suggest a promising role for PET in the evaluation of response to chemotherapy. This may allow treatment to be changed after only one course of chemotherapy, instead of waiting for anatomical disease progression to become obvious clinically or with CT. Finally, significant improvements in cost effectiveness have been demonstrated when FDG PET is added to the preoperative work-up of patients with lung cancer.
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Rôle émergent de la TEP dans le diagnostic et la stadification du cancer du poumon

RÉSUMÉ : La tomographie par émission de positrons (TEP) avec 18F-fluoro-2-déxosyglucose (FDG) a récemment fait son apparition comme modalité d’imagerie pratique et utile chez les patients atteints d’un cancer du poumon. Les tumeurs malignes captent davantage le FDG, produit radiopharmaceutique à émission de positrons. Ce phénomène peut s’observer par TEP. La TEP avec FDG est beaucoup plus précise que les autres modalités d’imagerie pour la différenciation entre nodules pulmonaires bénins et malins. La sensibilité du TEP est de 96 % et sa spécificité est de 77 % pour le diagnostic des nodules malins. La TEP est aussi plus précise que la tomographie pour la stadification de l’atteinte des ganglions médiastinaux (sensibilité 89 %, spécificité 94 %). Si la tomographie repose sur un seuil anatomique arbitraire de 1 cm pour le diagnostic des ganglions envahis qui peuvent être simplement hypertrophiés en raison de l’inflammation, la TEP offre, pour sa part, un diagnost-
tic précis des métastases dans des ganglions de moins de 1 cm. Plusieurs études ont confirmé la qualité significativement plus grande de la stadification des métastases distales par TEP avec FDG que par les techniques classiques comme la scintigraphie osseuse. La différenciation de la maladie récurrente des tissus cicatriciels chez le patient opéré est souvent difficile par tomographie ou par imageerie par résonance magnétique. La faible captation du FDG par le tissu cicatriciel permet de distinguer avec assez de certitude le tissu cicatriciel d’une tumeur récurrente avec la TEP. Selon les études préliminaires, la TEP a un rôle prometteur pour l’évaluation de la réponse à la chimiothérapie. Cela permettrait de modifier le traitement après une seule cure de chimiothérapie plutôt que d’attendre que la maladie progresse sur le plan anatomique au point d’être mise en évidence par des signes cliniques ou par la scintigraphie. Finalement, on a noté des améliorations significatives sur le plan de la rentabilité lorsque l’on ajoutait la TEP avec FDG aux batteries de tests pré-opératoires que l’on fait subir aux patients atteints d’un cancer du poumon.

Lung cancers are estimated to account for 15% of all cancers (17% in men, 12% in women) and 28% of cancer mortality. The mainstay of lung cancer therapy is still surgery, and the strongest prognostic indicator for survival is whether the cancer can be completely resected. Computed tomography (CT) is still the imaging modality of choice in the study of the tumour itself, and detecting lymph node and extra thoracic metastases (1,2). However, in patients with solitary pulmonary nodules, CT is often unhelpful in differentiating benign from malignant causes, except in the minority of cases in which benign appearing calcification can be identified. In addition, the sensitivity and specificity of CT in staging lung cancer are 67% (range 61% to 73%) and 73% (range 62% to 80%), respectively (2-6). This results in a significant number of patients being diagnosed as operable who in fact turn out during surgery to be inoperable. There are clearly large personal and financial costs attached to this mis-staging. The limitations of CT (and magnetic resonance imaging [MRI]) staging in lung cancer are well recognized and stem from the uncertainty in detecting lymph node metastases from node size alone. Normal-sized lymph nodes can contain islands of malignant cells, and enlarged lymph nodes can be enlarged secondary to nonmalignant processes such as inflammation. This has led to the increasing use of “metabolic imaging” with positron emission tomography (PET) in a number of cancers, particularly nonsmall cell carcinoma of the lung.

PET

Cyclotron-produced, proton-rich radioisotopes decay to their ground state by either emitting a positively charged beta particle called a positron (eg, 18Fluorine) or capturing an electron and converting the extra proton to a neutron (eg, 146Can Respir J Vol 6 No 2 March/April 1999

PET. It is, therefore, possible to measure absolute concentration of radioisotopes in tissue in counts per minute/mL with PET. This is important, for example, when the uptake of an isotope by a tumour is being measured.

[18F] FLUORO-2-DEOXYGLUCOSE UPTAKE IN MALIGNANT CELLS

It has been known for many years that cancer cells use glucose at a much higher rate than normal cells (7). This is due in part to hypoxic tissue in the tumour, and, in part, to a genetically induced increase in the tumour cell membrane glucose transporter and an increase in the enzyme hexokinase (Figure 1). These changes are responsible for the marked increase in glycolysis in malignant cells. Deoxyglucose (DG) behaves like native glucose in the early phase of glycolysis. It is transported by the glucose transporter into the cell and converted to DG-6-phosphate by hexokinase. At this point, the metabolism of DG stops, and there is a build up of DG-6-phosphate that reflects glucose metabolism. Thus, when [18F]-DG (FDG) is injected intravenously, its accumulation in tissue reflects glucose metabolism.

PET scans with FDG have proven useful in a number of malignancies to determine

• whether a mass is malignant (lung nodule);
• whether a malignant tumour has metastasized (staging);
• whether malignancy has recurred (Is it just scar tissue from previous treatment or a recurrent malignancy?); and
• the response to therapy (If lack of response can be detected early, the treatment can be changed).

SOLITARY LUNG NODULE, IS IT MALIGNANT?

An estimated 130,000 new solitary pulmonary nodules are diagnosed each year in the United States. Approximately 40% to 50% of these are benign (8). With nodules larger than 2 cm in diameter, CT morphology and biopsy provide a definitive diagnosis in up to 90% of patients (9,10), but, with smaller lesions, the diagnostic yield can be as low as 25%. The false negative rate, even with large nodules, can be as high as 29% (11). Fine needle aspiration of lung masses is not without risk. In a recent study of 130 consecutive CT-guided fine needle biopsies, 43% of the patients developed a pneumothorax, and 43% of these required a chest drain and a mean of six days’ hospitalization (12). Fine needle aspiration also frequently fails to establish a definitive benign diagnosis, with ‘nonspecific’ cytology reports leaving a significant doubt in the mind of the referring physician (12).

From the literature, the sensitivity and specificity of FDG PET in the investigation of solitary pulmonary nodules are 96% and 77%, respectively. Table 1 contains a compilation
of nine studies on a total of 488 patients with lung nodules (13-21).

The striking finding in these studies is the low incidence of false negative PET scans – only 16 of 358 nodules proved to be malignant at surgery or biopsy. The majority of the false positive scans were due to granuloma.

We have recently reviewed our own experience with FDG PET and have demonstrated a sensitivity of 93% and a specificity of 86% for the diagnosis of malignant lesions (unpublished data). The three significant false positives in our group were due to bacterial infection. These results, as well as those described in Table 1, are based on visual evaluation of FDG PET images. Semiquantitative analysis has also been used to make the evaluation more objective. In our series, the sensitivity with semiquantitative analysis (lesion to background ratio) increased to 96% (not significantly different from visual evaluation). Other studies have also failed to show a significant improvement with semiquantitative analysis (22).

Figure 2 demonstrates the typical PET findings in a lung carcinoma, with increased uptake in the nodule in the left lower lobe. In Figure 3, a 3 cm nodule on CT is shown to be ‘cold’ with FDG PET. Biopsy of this mass was negative, and follow-up CT one year later showed no change in size, with some new features suggestive of round atelectasis.

PET scanning with FDG in the investigation of lung nodules has become routine in some institutions, including our own. It is also significant that many third party insurers in the United States now fund PET scans for this purpose. This is likely to result in the greater availability of FDG PET scanning facilities.

**MEDIASTINAL STAGING**

Once a lung mass has been shown to be malignant the next critical step is to determine whether there has been spread to the mediastinum or beyond. Table 2 is a compilation of seven studies on 286 patients in whom a final diagnosis was made at biopsy and or surgery (19,23-28). All of the studies used both PET with FDG and CT.

**TABLE 1**

Detection of malignancy in lung nodules with positron emission tomography and 18F-fluoro-2-deoxyglucose

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>False negatives</th>
<th>False positives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kubota et al (13)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.5 cm carcinoma liposarcoma</td>
<td>Granuloma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patz et al (14)</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>Nodules less than 1 cm Bronchoalveolar carcinoma</td>
<td>Granuloma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott et al (15)</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Nodules less than 1 cm Tuberculosis</td>
<td>Granuloma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duhyalongsod et al (16)</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>Nodules less than 1 cm Hamartoma</td>
<td>Tuberculosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gupta et al (17)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>Scar adenocarcinoma Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Hamartoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dewan et al (18)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Granuloma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sazon et al (19)</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Granuloma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hubner et al (20)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Granuloma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slosman et al (21)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Scar adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Granuloma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>96*</td>
<td>77*</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Means weighted for the number of patients in the study.
The sensitivity and specificity for PET were 89% and 94%, respectively, and for CT 65% and 83%, respectively. The figures for CT are similar to those published in previous studies (2-6). The majority of the false negative PET scans turned out to be microscopic metastases in normal-sized lymph nodes. The false positive rate for PET in the mediastinal lymph nodes is low, and is usually due to either granuloma or reactive inflammatory change. However, the false positive rate in the lung hilum is higher because of reactive inflammatory change in the hilar nodes (24). Figure 4 illustrates both the CT and FDG PET findings in a patient with a bronchoalveolar carcinoma of the right lung, with metastatic involvement of the hilum and subcarinal nodes visible on both modalities. Figure 5 demonstrates a mediastinal node with a short-axis diameter of 8 mm, which is below the 10 mm threshold used to diagnose malignant involvement with CT. FDG PET, however, clearly shows a focus of increased activity at this site, consistent with metastatic involvement.

Figure 2) Top Chest x-ray demonstrates a small ‘coin lesion’ in the left lower lobe (arrow). Bottom Coronal 18F-fluoro-2-deoxyglucose positron emission tomography shows a focus of intense activity in this malignant lesion (m). Areas of less intense activity seen medially are due to normal myocardial uptake of 18F-fluoro-2-deoxyglucose

Figure 3) Top A 2.6 cm nodule is visible in the right lower lobe on computed tomography (star). There is also peripheral atelectasis and a pleural effusion. Bottom Normal low level pulmonary uptake is seen on the corresponding axial positron emission tomography image, consistent with a benign mass. Cytology of this mass was negative, and follow-up computed tomography showed stable appearances

Figure 4) Top Chest x-ray demonstrates a small ‘coin lesion’ in the left lower lobe (arrow). Bottom Coronal 18F-fluoro-2-deoxyglucose positron emission tomography shows a focus of intense activity in this malignant lesion (m). Areas of less intense activity seen medially are due to normal myocardial uptake of 18F-fluoro-2-deoxyglucose

Figure 5) A 2.6 cm nodule is visible in the right lower lobe on computed tomography (star). There is also peripheral atelectasis and a pleural effusion. Normal low level pulmonary uptake is seen on the corresponding axial positron emission tomography image, consistent with a benign mass. Cytology of this mass was negative, and follow-up computed tomography showed stable appearances.
TABLE 2
Staging of mediastinal nodes with positron emission tomography (PET) and computed tomography (CT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference (number)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>PET</th>
<th>CT</th>
<th>PET</th>
<th>CT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wahl et al (23)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patz et al (24)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valk et al (25)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chin et al (26)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sazon et al (19)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bury et al (27)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steinert et al (28)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean weighted for the number of patients in the study</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISTANT METASTASES

Distant metastases from nonsmall cell carcinoma are common, particularly to the other lung, adrenal glands and bone. With whole body PET scans, the axial skeleton and abdomen are in the field of view, and these areas can easily be examined for metastases. Metastatic involvement of the ad-

renal gland is illustrated in Figure 6. In three recent publications (25,27,29), PET with FDG identified proven distant metastases in 58 of 242 (23%) patients with lung carcinoma.
One study reported significant changes in patient management based on PET results in 43% of patients (29), while another reported significantly different management decisions in 25% (27). The accuracy of FDG PET for detecting bone metastases was 96% in a recent study, compared with 66% for bone scanning, with the difference due to the much higher specificity of PET (30).

**RECURRENT DISEASE: IS IT SCAR TISSUE OR CANCER?**

It is frequently very difficult to determine by CT or ddMRI whether a patient with previous surgery for lung cancer has a recurrent cancer, for example in the bronchial stump, or just scar tissue. PET detects increased tissue metabolism and is, therefore, ideally suited to differentiate between scar tissue and recurrent cancer. Patz et al (31) and Duhaylongsod et al (16) specifically examined the usefulness of PET in this situation. They reported positive PET scans in 40 of 41 patients who were subsequently shown to have histologically positive recurrent cancer. There were no false positive scans. Figure 7 illustrates a case in which PET was essential in diagnosing the recurrence of tumour in the bronchial stump postpneumonectomy. PET has also proved very useful in detecting recurrent cancer in the head and neck (32), and bowel (33).

**RESPONSE TO TREATMENT**

In patients with cancer, it is important to detect early response or failure to respond to chemotherapy or radiotherapy so that treatment can be continued or be modified. There is little change in the size or density of tumours in the early stages of therapy, and, therefore, MRI or CT are of little value in monitoring early response. Metabolic markers, however, such as FDG are being used for this purpose. Shields et al (34) recently used FDG and carbon-11-thymidine in four patients with small cell carcinoma of the lungs to measure response to chemotherapy. One week after the start of chemotherapy, they showed a decline in both metabolism (FDG) and cell proliferation rate (thymidine), in three patients who subsequently responded to therapy. Others have used FDG in patients undergoing radiotherapy for nonsmall cell lung cancer (35). They showed that patients who had a normal FDG uptake after therapy survived two years, whereas 50% of patients with tumour hypermetabolism after therapy died dur-
ing the two years. Frank et al (36) used FDG uptake following therapy as a marker to determine whether further therapy was required.

**COST EFFECTIVENESS OF PET**

Apart from the obvious human costs of unnecessary thoracic surgery, there are also substantial financial savings. Duhaylongsod et al (16) and Gambhir et al (37) have analyzed the potential savings of adding a FDG PET scan to the preoperative protocol in patients with nonsmall cell lung cancer. The cost reductions reported were 5% to 25%.

**CONCLUSIONS**

From our own experience and from the literature, FDG PET has had an impact on the investigation of lung cancer. It will never replace CT in the investigation of lung cancer patients because CT adds so much anatomical and structural detail, which is vital to surgical planning. The metabolic information from PET complements these anatomical data.

In Canada, there is only one PET centre that performs routine clinical PET in patients with cancer (Hamilton Health Sciences Corporation). However, a second site (Sherbrooke) will open very shortly, and other centres in Canada are seriously considering obtaining PET scanners. The cost of PET tomographs is slowly coming down, and FDG is now commercially available almost anywhere in North America from radiopharmaceutical suppliers. This obviates the needs for expensive on site cyclotrons and will greatly increase the availability of PET in the near future.
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