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Occupational asthma describes asthma caused by exposure to an agent found in the workplace, and is usually recognized in association with hypersensitivity to allergens or specific chemical sensitizers (1). The introduction of reliable methods to measure inflammatory cells by using induced sputum examination has made it possible to examine airway inflammation directly in a relatively noninvasive way (2). The use of sputum cell counts has shown the occurrence of different types of airway inflammation due to different causes. An eosinophilic inflammatory response, with or without asthma, is seen with airway reactions to allergens and occupational chemical sensitizers (1,3), whereas neutrophilic inflammatory responses are seen in patients with smokers’ bronchitis (2), smoking-related chronic airflow limitation (4) or infective bronchitis (5). In this report, we describe a newly recognized entity of an intense neutrophilic bronchitis with occupational asthma in a patient exposed to metal working fluids.

CASE REPORT


Occupational asthma is typically associated with an eosinophilic bronchitis. The case of a 41-year-old woman who developed symptoms of asthma after occupational exposure to metal working fluids is reported. The diagnosis of asthma was confirmed by an forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1) of 1.7 (59% predicted), with 11% reversibility after inhaled bronchodilator and a provocation concentration of methacholine to cause a fall in FEV1 of 20% (PC20) of 0.4 mg/mL. Induced sputum examination showed a marked neutrophilia. Over the next six months, serial sputum analyses confirmed the presence of a marked sterile neutrophilic bronchitis during periods of occupational exposure to metal working fluids, which resolved when the patient was away from work and recurred when she returned to work. The sputum findings were mirrored by corresponding changes in spirometry and PC20 methacholine. The findings indicate the occurrence of occupational asthma associated with an intense, sterile neutrophilic bronchitis after exposure to metal working fluids.
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Asthme à neutrophiles d’origine professionnelle

RÉSUMÉ : L’asthme professionnel est typiquement associé à une bronchite à éosinophiles. Le cas d’une femme de 41 ans qui a développé des symptômes d’asthme après une exposition professionnelle à des liquides utilisés pour le travail des métaux est rapporté. Le diagnostic d’asthme a été confirmé par un volume expiratoire maximum/seconde (VEMS) de 1,7 (59 % de la valeur théorique), avec une réversibilité de 11 % après l’administration d’un bronchodilatateur en inhalation, et par une concentration de provociation à la méthacoline entraînant une chute du VEMS de 20 % (CP20) de 0,4 mg/mL. Un examen de l’expectoration provoqua a révélé une importante neutrophilie. Au cours des six mois suivants, les analyses en série de l’expectoration ont confirmé la présence d’une importante bronchite à neutrophiles stérile, pendant les périodes d’exposition professionnelle aux liquides utilisés pour le travail des métaux, et qui se dissipait lorsque la patiente quittait son milieu de travail et récidivait lorsqu’elle y retournait. Les constatations concernant l’expectoration reflétaient des changements correspondants dans la spirométrie et dans la CP20 à la méthacoline. Ces résultats révèlent le développement d’un asthme professionnel associé à une importante bronchite à neutrophiles stérile après une exposition à des liquides utilisés pour le travail des métaux.
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CASE PRESENTATION

A woman, aged 41 years, was seen for assessment of possible occupational asthma. She had first noted the onset of intermittent dyspnea, chest tightness, wheezing and nonproductive cough two and a half years previously, shortly after starting a new job. She noted that her symptoms were initially worse after several hours at work, and improved substantially over weekends and during vacations. However, over time, her symptoms became progressively worse to the point where dyspnea limited her on exertion and woke her at night. In addition, her cough began to produce small amounts of mucopurulent sputum. Her symptoms did not improve despite a course of antibiotic, but she did obtain clinical benefit from inhaled salbutamol.

There was no past history of asthma or atopy and no other relevant medical history. There was no family history of allergies or asthma. Her current job was factory machinist assembling automobile parts, a position that was associated with continual exposure to a mist of industrial metal working fluids. She was not exposed to any recognized occupational sensitizers. Several co-workers within her immediate working environment also had respiratory symptoms. She had a 15 pack-year smoking history but had quit six months before when her symptoms became worse.

On clinical examination, she was apyrexial and appeared to be generally well, although there were diffuse expiratory wheezes present on chest auscultation. The remainder of the physical examination was normal.

Spirometry showed moderate airflow limitation (forced expiratory volume in 1 s [FEV1] 1.7 L, 59% predicted, Table 1), with 11% reversibility after inhaled salbutamol. A methacholine inhalation test (6) showed a moderate increase in airway responsiveness (provocation concentration of methacholine to cause a fall in FEV1 of 20% [PC20] 0.4 mg/mL, Table 1). Allergy skin prick tests with 19 common allergen extracts were negative, and a chest radiograph showed no abnormality. Blood leukocyte and differential cell counts were normal. Induced sputum was mucopurulent, and cell counts indicated an intense neutrophilic bronchitis without eosinophilia (Table 1). Sputum culture for respiratory pathogens showed only commensal organisms. Treatment with a 10-day course of oral clarithromycin was ineffective. The patient was advised to use inhaled salbutamol as required, and, in the absence of sputum eosinophilia, inhaled corticosteroids were not prescribed.

Over the next six months, the patient was seen in the clinic at regular intervals, during periods at and off work (Table 1). Spirometry showed variable airflow limitation. She had an intense sputum neutrophilia during periods at work, which was markedly attenuated two or more weeks after being off work, and recurred within two weeks of her return to work. Sputum bacterial, fungal and mycobacterial cultures on four occasions of intense neutrophilic bronchitis did not reveal any pathogens, and serological tests for mycoplasma and chlamydia were negative. Two additional courses of antibiotics did not result in clinical improvement. Microbiological culture of the metal working fluid was completed on two occasions and was negative for bacteria, fungi or mycobacteria.

A clinical diagnosis of occupational asthma, probably secondary to metal working fluid exposure, was made. No alternative relocation at work was possible, and the patient was advised to remain away from work. A compensation claim was initiated.

DISCUSSION

This case report provides insights into the inflammatory nature of airway disease gained from induced sputum examination in clinical practice, and identifies an association between an intense neutrophilic bronchitis and asthma in circumstances suggesting an occupational cause.

Sputum neutrophilia may have been predicted from the mucopurulent appearance of the sputum (7), but the intensity of the neutrophilia and the absence of an eosinophilia could not have been predicted. The recognition of these features de-
pended on the examination of sputum by new reliable methods (2). The intensity of the neutrophilia was suggestive of a bacterial infection. However, no pathogenic organisms were cultured from repeated specimens, and no benefit was obtained from usually appropriate antibiotic treatment.

The sputum neutrophilia was associated with asthma as defined by variable airflow limitation and airway hyperresponsiveness. The association of asthma exacerbations with neutrophilia and without an eosinophilia has been increasingly recognized in influenza (5), exacerbations of varying severity (8,9) and fatal asthma (10). The mechanisms by which neutrophilia is associated with exacerbations warrant investigation.

In the present case, sputum neutrophilia and exacerbations of asthma were convincingly associated with the patient being at work. The most likely cause was exposure to the metal working fluids (11-13). The fluid is known to contain respiratory irritants and not uncommonly become infected with bacteria or fungi (12,13). In the present case, the Medical Safety Data Sheet indicated that the metal working fluid contained alkanolamine borate, sulphurized fatty acid ester, sodium petroleum sulfonate, fatty acid alkanolamine, triethanolamine, ethylenediamine-tetra-acetic acid salt, alkyl phenol ethoxylate and a triazine biocide. These compounds are either acids or strong oxidizing agents and can cause respiratory ‘irritation’. There were no documented sensitizers. Endotoxin can be produced as a result of bacterial contamination; while this is known to cause neutrophilic airway inflammation (14), contamination was not confirmed in the present case.

Exposure to mists of metal working fluids has been noted to cause industrial bronchitis, occupational asthma and hypersensitivity pneumonitis (12). However, the inflammatory response in relation to the causal agent(s) has not been examined. The present case report indicates a need to investigate the inflammatory effects of occupational exposures in relation to the clinical consequences in more detail. This is now facilitated by the use of induced sputum examination.
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