Does sex influence the impact that smoking, treatment interruption and impaired pulmonary function have on outcomes in limited stage small cell lung cancer treatment?
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PURPOSE: To look for survival differences between men and women with limited stage small cell lung cancer (LS-SCLC) by examining stratified variables that impair treatment efficacy.


RESULTS: Of 215 LS-SCLC patients, 126 (58.6%) were men and 89 (41.4%) were women. Smoking status during treatment for 186 patients (86.5%) was: 107 (58%) nonsmoking (NS) (76 [71%] male [M]; 31 [29%] female [F]) and 79 (42%) smoking (S) (36 M [46%]; 43 F [54%]) (continuing-to-smoke F versus M, P=0.001). Fifty-six patients (26%) had radiotherapy interruptions (RTI) during chemotherapy-radiotherapy because of toxicity. Radiotherapy breaks were not associated with sex (P=0.95). Survival by sex and smoking status at two years was: F + NS = 38.7%; F + S = 21.6%; M + NS = 22.9%; and M + S = 9.1% (P=0.0046). Survival by sex and RTT status at two years was: F + no RTT = 32.4%; F + RTT = 23.6%; M + no RTT = 23.0%; and M + RTT = 3.8% (P=0.0025). Diffusion capacity for carbon monoxide (DLCO) was recorded for 86 patients (40%) and median survival by sex and DLCO was F = 167.2 months and M = 121.1 months for a DLCO less than 60%; and for a DLCO 60% or more, F = 15.1 months and M = 15.3 months. First relapses were recorded in 132 cases (61%), with chest failure in men (45%) greater than for women (35%) and cranial failure rates similar between sexes (48%). Upon multivariable analysis, continued smoking was the strongest negative factor affecting survival.

CONCLUSIONS: In LS-SCLC, women overall do better than men, with or without a negative variable. The largest quantifiable improvement in survival for women came from smoking cessation, and for men from avoidance of breaks during treatment.
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The contemporary management of limited stage small cell lung cancer (LS-SCLC) includes chemotherapy (ChT), thoracic radiotherapy (RT) and prophylactic cranial irradiation (PCI) (1,2). The administration of RT concurrent with the first cycle of platinum-based ChT is the current standard-of-care and is based on a number of randomized clinical trials that demonstrate improved survival for 'early' versus 'late' RT (3-6). Nevertheless, because survival rates are modest at best, optimal drug combinations and total RT dose and scheduling remain areas of active investigation.

A range of clinical, laboratory, tumour and host factors have been found to influence the survival achievable in SCLC (7). Our group has published three recent studies (8-10), suggesting that interruptions in patients' therapy, due to treatment toxicity, compromised pretreatment pulmonary function (PFT) status, and patient smoking behaviour during treatment negatively influences outcomes. Specifically, RT interruptions used to palliate ChT/RT toxicity are associated with decreased survival and local control (8). Continued tobacco use during ChT/RT also decreases survival (9), and impaired diffusion capacity for carbon monoxide (DLCO) is associated with increased toxicity from concurrent ChT/RT and decreased survival (10). An incidental finding in these studies, revealed on multivariable analysis, was that despite the negative variables, female sex emerged as a strong positive prognostic factor (8-10).

In this study, we introduce a large number of previous reports demonstrating female sex to be a positive factor in SCLC (11-15), as compared with a smaller number of reports that show sex has no effect on survival (16,17). Because our previous studies were not designed to quantify how survival changes for each sex (if one stratifies by factors that negatively influence treatment efficacy), we carried out an analysis to measure the interaction between patient sex and the combined influence on survival in LS-SCLC of smoking habits during treatment, PFT and the rate of RT interruptions due to toxicity. We carried out this retrospective review using our single institution database consisting of patients treated over a 10-year period using a ChT/RT regimen modelled after the 'early RT' arm of the randomized National Cancer Institute of Canada trial reported by Murray et al (3). For the LS-SCLC patient offered curative management, determining how differing variables interact to influence outcome is of interest to both patient and physician alike because, in contrast to a fixed variable like sex, factors impairing treatment may have the potential to be modified to optimize results.

METHODS
A retrospective chart review of all SCLC patients seen at the London Regional Cancer Centre, London, Ontario, between January 1989 and October 1999 revealed 215 patients treated for a diagnosis of LS-SCLC. The institutional management policy for LS-SCLC was modelled after the 'early RT' arm of the National Cancer Institute of Canada randomized trial (3,18), in which RT was initiated with the second of a six-cycle ChT program. No patients in the present analysis were treated on other protocols or trials.

All patients had a pathologically confirmed diagnosis of SCLC. Pretreatment staging consistently included complete blood count, screening blood chemistry, chest radiograph, computed tomography (CT) of the thorax and upper abdomen, and bone scan. Patient fitness was scored using Karnofsky Performance Status (KPS) criteria. In the present study, all patients had a smoking history and their smoking status was recorded at the initiation of the treatment regimen. Ultrasound of the abdomen, bone marrow biopsy and CT of the brain were performed when dictated by clinical findings. Due to variations in clinicians’ definition of LS-SCLC at presentation, 13 patients (7%) had contralateral supraclavicular nodes and four patients (1.9%) had a malignant pleural effusion. Pretreatment (prebronechodilator) pulmonary function values recorded for this patient population included forced expiratory volume in 1 s (L, per cent predicted) and DLCO as per cent predicted (19). For the present study, the measure of interest was the DLCO because a previous study had demonstrated its significant association with poorer outcomes (10).

ChT
ChT consisted of a six-cycle regimen of cyclophosphamide (1000 mg/m²), doxorubicin (50 mg/m²) or epirubicin (50 mg/m²), vincristine (2 mg total dose) (CA V; CEV) alternating at three-week intervals with both etoposide (100 mg/m²) and cisplatin (25 mg/m²) (EP) given on three consecutive days. All agents were administered by intravenous injections or infusion. Drug dosage adjustments were made according to treatment day neutrophil count and serum creatinine. Drug schedules evolved over the 10-year interval such that 82% of patients received alternating CAV, or CEV + EP, and 18% received six cycles of EP alone.

RT
Two RT prescriptions were employed over the study period. In the early 1990s, RT consisted of 40 Gy in 15 fractions over three weeks as per Murray et al’s trial design (3). In the late 1990s, following an internal institutional review, total RT dose was modified to 50 Gy in 25 fractions over five weeks. (This modification was made to reflect 'conventional' RT prescribing, ie, a daily fraction dose of 1.8 Gy to 2 Gy) (20). Target definitions were similar for both dose prescriptions. RT was administered with the second or third cycle of ChT for 85% of patients (the remainder starting RT with cycles 4 to 6) and always concurrent with EP only.

PCI, consisting of 25 Gy in 10 fractions over two weeks, was administered following ChT/RT and after full restaging with CT imaging of the head, chest and abdomen, as well as a bone scan. PCI was offered only to complete responders according to individual clinician preference.

Treatment interruptions
Hematological criteria for interruptions during concurrent ChT/RT included an absolute neutrophil count of 1000/µL or less; neutropenic fever or sepsis, and a platelet count of 80,000/µL or less. Locoregional symptomatology included severe esophagitis (ie, severe odynophagia/dysphagia, intolerable pain), impaired nutrition with nausea/vomiting, and dehydration requiring hospitalization. For the purpose of the present study, the marker for any toxicity-related treatment break was the length of any interruption in the RT schedule during the concurrent phase of LS-SCLC treatment.

Follow-up
Follow-up evaluations were performed every three months as per the model of Murray et al (3). Patient mortality data were collected from death registries and family contacts.

Analysis
Overall survival was defined as the interval between the date of pathological diagnosis and death, or the last follow-up with any...
death defined as an event. Survival estimates (log-rank) were obtained using Kaplan-Meier methodology. The interaction test was two-tailed and P<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Cox multivariable analysis using a forced entry model was used to test the impact multiple variables had on overall survival.

RESULTS
Median follow-up was 14.8 months (range 2.3 to 84.5 months). At the time of analysis, 23 patients (10.7%) were alive and 192 (89.3%) were dead. For the entire study cohort, actuarial overall survival was 22.7% at two years and 7.2% at five years, with a median survival of 14.7 months.

Among the 215 LS-SCLC patients, there were 126 men (58.6%) and 89 women (41.4%). Median age at diagnosis was 63 years (range 32 to 94 years). Median KPS of the group was 80 (range 60 to 90). The administered thoracic RT dose was 40 Gy in 15 fractions over three weeks in 122 cases (57%) and 50 Gy in 25 fractions over five weeks for 92 patients (43%). RT information was unavailable in one case and DLCO values were recorded for 86 patients (40%).

Smoking status before treatment was recorded in 186 patients (86.5%) and data were incomplete in 29 patients (13.5%). The median number of pack-years smoked was 45 (range two to 250 pack-years). Of the 186 analyzable patients, 79 (42%) continued to smoke during treatment; the median duration of smoking cessation before treatment was 4.5 months (range one to 240 months). A total of 56 patients (26%) required treatment interruptions during concurrent ChT/RT for hematological and/or locoregional toxicities. Reasons documented included myelosuppression (88%) (neutropenia alone, with sepsis, or with thrombocytopenia [86%]; thrombocytopenia alone [1.8%]); esophagitis (12.5%); and nausea and vomiting, dehydration or other (10.7%). The median duration of a treatment 'break' was five days (range one to 18 days).

Table 1 presents data comparing pretreatment patient variables by sex cohort. There was a higher proportion of women among those who continued to smoke while on therapy (P=0.0025). Two-thirds of the women received an RT dose of 40 Gy/15 fractions, with the remaining one-third receiving 50 Gy/25 fractions (P=0.03).

Figure 1 provides a comparison of overall survival for LS-SCLC patients treated with ChT/RT as a function of sex. The five-year overall survival of women (12.5%) was fivefold greater than that of men (2.5%) (P=0.07). Figures 2 and 3 demonstrate the interaction between sex and smoking status during treatment; and the use of treatment interruptions, respectively, with the resulting impact on overall survival. Female non-smokers had the best overall survival compared with female smokers and men smoking or not (P=0.0046). Women without treatment breaks survived longer than men, and patients of either sex with breaks (P=0.0025). Table 2 provides the median survival, two- and five-year survival rates according to sex, smoking status and treatment breaks. For each sex, the absence of smoking during treatment or avoidance of interruptions in therapy resulted in improved survival. For absolute survival improvements at two years for nonsmokers, women improved by 17.1% and men by 13.8%; for treatment breaks, women by 8.8% and men by 19.2%. When comparisons were made between sexes, survival rates of men were always inferior to that of women, irrespective of treatment factor status. Also, survival of men without a given variable never surpassed women with that factor.

With respect to DLCO, there were 32 patients with values less than 60% and 54 patients with values of 60% or more. Figure 4 is a plot of survival as a function of DLCO status and sex. Although not significant in this analysis, likely due to

| TABLE 1 | Comparison of selected patient and treatment characteristics according to sex |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                | Male (n=126)   | Female (n=89)  | P               |
| Mean age at diagnosis (years) | 63.7 – | 62.2 – | 0.37 |
| Age ≥ 60       | 86 68.3       | 60 67.4       | 0.90 |
| Karnofsky Performance Status ≥ 80 | 68 54.0 | 48 53.9 | 0.99 |
| Supraclavicular nodes | Ipsilateral | Contralateral | 0.34 |
|                | 13 10.3       | 13 14.6       | 0.42 |
| Diffusion capacity of carbon monoxide | ≥60% | <60% | 0.90 |
|                | 33 62.0       | 21 64.0       | 0.90 |
| Median number of pack-years smoking (range) | 50 (2 to 250) – | 40 (13 to 106) – | NS |
| Median number of months pretreatment smoking cessation (range) | 4 (1 to 240) – | 5 (1 to 156) – | NS |
| Smoking on treatment | Yes | No | 0.0005 |
|                | 36 46.0       | 43 54.0       | 0.0005 |
| Prophylactic cranial irradiation received | Yes | No | 0.31 |
|                | 76 71.0       | 31 31.0       | 0.31 |
| Radiotherapy dose | 40 Gy/15 fractions over three weeks | 50 Gy/25 fractions over five weeks | 0.03 |
|                | 63 50.0       | 59 66.0       | 0.03 |
| Treatment toxicities | 43 38.4 | 29 36.7 | 0.81 |
| Treatment interruptions | 33 26.2 | 23 25.8 | 0.95 |
small patient numbers, median survival for women and men with DLCO less than 60% was 16.7 and 12.1 months, respectively, and for 60% or greater, 15.1 and 15.3 months, respectively. Thus, men had an absolute median survival improvement of 3.2 months with improvements in DLCO.

Sites of first relapse were recorded for 132 patients (61%) at the time of analysis. Thoracic failure was recorded more frequently among men than women (45% versus 35%, respectively) but the small patient numbers did not render this difference statistically significant. The incidence of first relapse in the brain was similar for both sexes (48%). The most significant factor negatively influencing overall survival on multivariable analysis of selected prognostic factors, including age, KPS, continued smoking, sex, treatment delay and DLCO was continued smoking (hazard ratio 2.41; 95% CI 1.3 to 4.5; P=0.0056).

**DISCUSSION**

The goal of the present retrospective study was to 'quantify' the interaction of patient sex with potentially alterable variables known to affect the efficacy of concurrent ChT/RT used to treat LS-SCLC. The results suggest, firstly, that women tend to do better than men, irrespective of the particular variable under study. This strong positive prognostic association between female sex and survival is supported by the majority of published reports on the impact of sex on a diagnosis of LS-SCLC (11-15). In our study, we found an overall fivefold absolute difference in survival at five years favouring women over men. Secondly, for both men and women, there was a significant benefit to smoking cessation and completing treatments as prescribed, with incremental improvements in survival of approximately 10% to 20%. For women, the greatest decrement to survival came from smoking and, for men, from treatment interruptions. Less significant was the impact of changes in DLCO above and below the cutoff of 60% predicted, with the suggestion of an improved median survival of three months for men only. Our results suggest that although the greatest benefits with respect to outcome in LS-SCLC are a function of a nonmodifiable variable (ie, patient sex), there is nonetheless the possibility to optimize for each sex by correcting for specific behaviours known to impair treatment efficacy.

The effect of sex on LS-SCLC outcomes in the present study could not be attributed to important imbalances between the sexes over a range of variables tested. In the report by Videtic et al (21), looking at the impact of the two

**TABLE 2**

Comparison of overall survival rates according to sex and treatment variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No delay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (months)</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-year (%)</td>
<td>32.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five-year (%)</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Radiotherapy interruption*
RT prescriptions on outcomes, no significant differences were found between the two dose schedules with respect to overall survival, toxicity or local control. Similarly, in the studies on treatment interruptions (8), impaired pulmonary function (10) and their respective impact on survival and toxicity, there were no significant differences to account for varying outcomes between the cohorts as a function of age, sex, performance status or any other patient-, tumour- or treatment-related variable.

A number of mechanisms have been proposed to explain the altered survivals seen with adverse treatment factors. In the study looking at the impact of treatment interruptions on LS-SCLC outcomes, the poorer survival and decreased local control seen in patients with breaks was judged to reflect the selection of resistant cell clones through repopulation mechanisms triggered by the RT interruption (8). To explain why patients who continued to smoke during treatment had poorer survival, we found evidence that components of cigarette smoke enhance intrinsic cell virulence leading to more effective metastasis and/or resistance, whereas other compounds directly interfere with the cytotoxic actions of ChT and RT (9). With respect to DLCO and outcomes, we hypothesized that DLCO acts as a marker (like KPS) of global patient fitness reflected in treatment tolerance and influencing survival through evolving delayed toxicity at the level of the lung (10).

Given the disparate nature of the adverse factors analyzed in association with sex and the overriding influence of female sex on results, our findings suggest an intrinsic biological basis for the improved survival of women with LS-SCLC. Some authors have previously speculated that most sex differences in cancer are attributable to social behaviour rather than to intrinsic sex differences (22). For example, with respect to smoking, clinical intervention studies suggest that women may have more difficulty with smoking cessation than men (23). That said, our results showed that even when a woman smoked during treatment, her expected survival was not surpassed by nonsmoking men. We concur with a number of authors who believe that the failure to collect adequate data on women, and to pay sufficient attention to biological issues, likely form the basis for rationalizing the sex differences in outcomes as being more related to behaviour than biology (24).

Although sex-linked or -influenced biological mechanisms may be critical to an understanding of the sex-based differences in SCLC outcomes, it appears unlikely that a simple underlying model can account for the observed differences between men and women. Data are emerging to suggest that complex multifactorial influences are involved. Maasberg et al (25), investigating the influence of testosterone in SCLC cell lines, have demonstrated high-affinity binding sites for the hormone on the cell lines, and observed that testosterone causes marked growth stimulation in SCLC cell lines which could be counteracted by the addition of antiandrogens. This would suggest an intrinsic ‘disadvantage’ to maleness when diagnosed with SCLC. In a study by Taggart et al (26), the concentrations of testosterone, dehydroepiandrosterone, androstenedione, luteinizing hormone and sex hormone binding globulin were measured in 38 healthy men and 52 men with primary lung cancer. Compared with healthy men, male lung cancer patients had significantly lower testosterone and dehydroepiandrosterone, higher androstenedione and luteinizing hormone, and no difference in sex hormone binding globulin. These findings suggest that a wide range of abnormalities in androgen metabolism exist in male lung cancer patients rather than a simple reduction in serum testosterone (26).

Tseng et al (27) have demonstrated a decreased frequency of p53 gene mutations in primary SCLC tumours from women compared with tumours from men, suggesting the presence of distinct and sex-specific biological mechanisms for the development of SCLC. These researchers consider this as one possible mechanism for the improved survival in women. Finally, in another study (28) evaluating the possible role of sex hormones in lung cancer, 52 tumour samples were investigated for their receptor expression using immunohistochemistry. Among these, sex steroid-receptor expression in tumour biopsies was detected most frequently in female patients (in 69% of 16 women versus 42% of 36 tumours from men). Future work is being conducted to further analyze these subgroups (28).

The results of the present analysis are tempered by acknowledging the limitations inherent in a retrospective study. Although the total patient cohort studied constituted a substantial dataset, statistical results were limited when subset analyses were performed. Recording of patient variables evolved with practice changes over the time interval studied. Treatment components also changed over the time of analysis, although the evidence presented suggested no impact on outcomes.

CONCLUSIONS

The survival of women and men with LS-SCLC treated with concurrent ChT/RT can be significantly enhanced by simple measures such as smoking cessation and avoidance of treatment interruptions. Compared with men, women consistently experienced superior survival irrespective of the presence of the adverse treatment variable analyzed. This suggests that the mechanism for improved outcomes in women is likely biologically based and not a result of behavioural differences between sexes. Although the precise mechanism underlying this advantage remains undiscovered, data are emerging to suggest that hormonal interactions may play a pivotal role. In this regard, research into the effect of sex hormones and their modulators in patients with LS-SCLC appears warranted and is currently being explored.
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