Analysis of hospital discharge data to characterize obstructive sleep apnea and its management in adult patients hospitalized in Canada: 2006 to 2007
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BACKGROUND: Diagnosed obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) affects 2% to 7% of middle-age persons worldwide and represents a substantial health care burden. The gold standard for treating OSA in adults is continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) therapy. Compliance with this treatment is especially important in OSA patients experiencing concomitant acute and chronic disease or illness, and those undergoing procedures associated with sedation, analgesia and anesthesia.

OBJECTIVE: To describe the clinical characteristics and management of hospitalized OSA patients in Canada.

METHODS: Using the Canadian Institute for Health Information’s Hospital Discharge Abstract Database (fiscal year 2006/2007), a retrospective cohort study of all acute care patients discharged with a diagnosis that included OSA was performed.

RESULTS: An examination of the discharge data of 2,402,245 acute care hospital abstracts identified 8823 cases of OSA. The mean age of OSA patients was 45.7 years and 66.5% were men. The most common comorbidities in the adult OSA population were obesity, cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes mellitus and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. In adult OSA patients, the reported surgical intervention rate using uvulopalatopharyngoplasty (9.6%) was much higher than interventional CPAP therapy (4.8%).

CONCLUSIONS: Only a small percentage of hospitalized OSA patients were documented as having received CPAP therapy during their stay. Issues relating to the accuracy, specificity and completeness of the Canadian Institute for Health Information’s hospital Discharge Abstract Database specific to OSA and its management were identified. Practices pertaining to the reporting, coding and management of hospitalized adult OSA patients warrant further investigation and research.

Key Words: Canadian Institute for Health Information; Continuous positive airway pressure; Discharge abstract database; Obstructive; Patient discharge; Sleep apnea; Sleep apnea syndromes

Conservative worldwide estimates of the prevalence of obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) are between 3% to 7% for adult men, and 2% to 5% for adult women (1-3). It is estimated that 70% to 80% of persons affected with sleep apnea remain undiagnosed (4,5).

The gold standard therapy for the management of OSA in adults – continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) – produces significant improvement in measures of objective and subjective daytime sleepiness, quality of life, cognitive function, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, minimum oxygen saturation and sleep efficiency in patients with moderate to severe OSA (6-10). Compliance with CPAP therapy may be especially important in OSA patients experiencing concomitant acute and chronic disease and illness (11). Furthermore, patients with OSA undergoing procedures associated with sedation, analgesia and anesthesia are considered to be at an increased risk of adverse events (10,12). The Canadian Thoracic Society Sleep Disordered Breathing Committee (6) and the American Society of Anesthesiologists (13) recommend the use of CPAP therapy perioperatively. While a number of studies (14,15) have determined the long-term compliance rate for home-delivered CPAP therapy to be between 46% and 85%, little is known about the clinical management of OSA in patients admitted to hospital in Canada.

1School of Health Sciences; 2Department of Medicine; 3Department of Pediatrics, Dalhousie University; 4IWK Health Centre; 5Addiction Prevention and Treatment Services, Capital District Health Authority, Halifax, Nova Scotia

Correspondence: Ms Kathy F Spurr, School of Health Sciences, Dalhousie University, 1276 South Park Street, Halifax, Nova Scotia B3H 2Y9.

Telephone 902-473-2382, fax 902-473-5115, e-mail kfspurr@dal.ca

Can Respir J Vol 17 No 5 September/October 2010 ©2010 PulSus Group Inc. All rights reserved 213
To obtain prospective national estimates of the prevalence of OSA in hospitalized patients and its management would be expensive, while data obtained from a limited population or collected over a short time period would have poor external validity. National hospital discharge databases, such as the Canadian Institute for Health Information Discharge Abstract Database (CIHI-DAD), are a necessary tool for determining such estimates. Such information is important for informing health care practice and directing the allocation of health care and research resources for OSA in Canada.

In the present study, we used the CIHI-DAD to retrospectively examine the following: the prevalence and demographic and clinical characteristics of Canadian hospitalized adult patients diagnosed with OSA; how OSA is clinically managed in these patients in Canada; and the capacity of the CIHI-DAD to further our understanding of the way in which OSA is reported and managed in hospitalized patients.

METHODS

Data source

Data for the current retrospective study were extracted from the CIHI-DAD. The CIHI is a federally chartered institution established in 1994 to provide health information pertinent to health care practice and directing the allocation of health care and information on the patient’s province of residence and health interventions was collected. Data collected over a short time period would have poor external validity. National hospital discharge databases, such as the CIHI-DAD, are a necessary tool for determining such estimates. Such information is important for informing health care practice and directing the allocation of health care and research resources for OSA in Canada.

In the present study, the CIHI-DAD was reviewed to identify all patients with a diagnosis that included sleep apnea (ICD-10-CA code G47.30 for ‘sleep apnea, obstructive’ and G47.38 for ‘other sleep apnea’) during the 2006/2007 fiscal year (April 1, 2006 through March 31, 2007) (Figure 1). The diagnostic category ‘other sleep apneas’ includes sleep apneas of the mixed type, congenital hypoventilation syndrome, Ondine’s curse and unspecified sleep apneas (eg, cases in which ‘sleep apneas’ was recorded on the patient record but not specified according to type). Central sleep apnea has a unique specific code and was not examined.

Information regarding patients’ age, sex, province of residence, length of hospital stay, status at discharge, MRDx, secondary diagnosis and health interventions was collected. Data records for patients coded for ‘other sleep apneas’ were extracted, and information on the patient’s province of residence and health interventions were sought. Ethics approval for the present study was obtained from the Dalhousie University Health Sciences Research Ethics Board (Halifax, Nova Scotia).

Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed with SAS version 9.1 (SAS Institute, USA), under license to Dalhousie University. Descriptive statistical analyses were conducted to evaluate demographic variables, clinical characteristics and the clinical management of sleep apneas; χ² tests were used to compare CPAP and non-CPAP users.

RESULTS


From a total of 2,400,245 acute care hospital separations, the records of 8823 OSA and 6545 ‘other sleep apnea’ patients were identified, collectively representing 0.64% of all hospital separations during the period of investigation. Figure 2 shows the prevalence of OSA and ‘other sleep apneas’ per 1000 separations according to provincial/territorial location. The highest reporting for OSA was in the province of Alberta and the lowest was for Prince Edward Island. With regard to ‘other sleep apneas’, Alberta reported the highest prevalence while British Columbia reported the lowest.

Demographics and clinical elements of acute care patients with OSA

The mean age of OSA patients was 45.7 years and 66.5% were men. Figure 3 illustrates the age distribution according to decade. In this population of OSA patients, 2010 were 18 years of age and younger (children and adolescents), while 6813 were 19 years of age and older (adults). Table 1 summarizes the MRDx for the adult population. The MRDx was defined as the diagnosis most
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Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Obstructive sleep apnea</td>
<td>16.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive heart failure</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deviated nasal septum</td>
<td>3.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute exacerbation of COPD</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atherosclerotic heart disease</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD with lower respiratory tract infection</td>
<td>2.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benign hypertension</td>
<td>27.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2 diabetes with cardiovascular complications</td>
<td>13.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morbid obesity</td>
<td>12.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive heart failure</td>
<td>11.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal blood glucose levels</td>
<td>10.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Health interventions and patients coded for OSA

The most common health interventions (diagnostic, supportive or therapeutic) administered to adults with a discharge diagnosis that included OSA were uvulopalatopharyngoplasty (UPPP) (9.6%, CCI code 1.FQ.78LA), followed by invasive (via endotracheal or tracheostomy tube) CPAP/bilevel positive airway pressure (BiPAP) therapy (9.1%, CCI code 1.GZ.31.CA-ND) and noninvasive CPAP/BiPAP therapy (4.8%, CCI code 1.GZ.31.CB-ND).

Use of noninvasive ventilation CPAP/BiPAP therapy in adult OSA patients in Canada

CPAP is the gold standard therapy for managing OSA in adults. As described above, 4.8% of adult OSA patients were abstracted as receiving CPAP/BiPAP therapy while in hospital. Currently, codes used in the CCI classification system do not permit the separation of noninvasive positive pressure therapies and, therefore, it was not possible to determine whether these patients received CPAP or BiPAP.

Table 3 presents data regarding the mean, median, and first and third quartiles for length of hospital stay comparing adult OSA patients receiving CPAP/BiPAP therapy versus those who did not. The median length of stay was increased by four days for patients who used CPAP/BiPAP therapy.

Disposition at discharge

The data presented in Table 4 compares the discharge disposition for adult OSA patients who received CPAP/BiPAP therapy with those who did not. The majority of patients from both groups were discharged home; however, those receiving noninvasive CPAP/BiPAP therapy had a significantly different
estimates of OSA in the general public (2,3). The prevalence of this condition was significantly less than the study showed that while hospitalized OSA patients in Canada used to inform practice and research. The results of the present Hospital discharge abstract databases are increasingly being and UPPP (3.6%) (CCI code 1.FQ.78LA).

or tracheostomy tube) (5.1%) (CCI code 1.GZ.31.CA-ND), followed by polysomnography (6.1%) (CCI code 2.AN.59.ZZ), CPAP/BiPAP therapy (via an endotracheal or tracheostomy tube) (5.1%) (CCI code 1.GZ.31.CA-ND) and UPPP (3.6%) (CCI code 1.FQ.78LA).

Health interventions for adult patients coded for other sleep apneas

Extensive epidemiological study has demonstrated that the vast majority of patients with sleep apnea syndrome have the obstructive type. In the 2006/2007 CIHI-DAD, a significant proportion of hospitalized sleep apnea patients were coded for 'other sleep apnea' (Figure 2). The most common health interventions administered to adult patients whose discharge diagnosis included 'other sleep apnea' were noninvasive CPAP/ BiPAP therapy (via a mask or cannula) (8.6%) (CCI code 1.GZ.31.CB-ND), followed by polysomnography (6.1%) (CCI code 2.AN.59.ZZ), CPAP/BiPAP therapy (via an endotracheal or tracheostomy tube) (5.1%) (CCI code 1.GZ.31.CA-ND) and UPPP (3.6%) (CCI code 1.FQ.78LA).

DISCUSSION

Hospital discharge abstract databases are increasingly being used to inform practice and research. The results of the present study showed that while hospitalized OSA patients in Canada shared similar demographic and clinical characteristics as those described in prospective epidemiological studies (3,17,18), the prevalence of this condition was significantly less than the estimates of OSA in the general public (2,3).

In our study, approximately 57.4% of the extracted records were for patients coded for OSA, but a significant portion of records (42.6%) were coded for a diagnosis of ‘other sleep apneas’ (ICD-10-CA G.47.38). Given that prospective studies (1,19) have demonstrated the majority of sleep apnea cases to be of the obstructive type, it is likely that many of the cases coded as ‘other sleep apneas’ are, in fact, miscoded OSA. To gain a better understanding of this population of ‘other sleep apnea’ patients, we investigated their most common health interventions and determined that CPAP/BiPAP therapy (8.6%) and UPPP (3.6%) were the most common treatments. This supports our hypothesis that this large population of ‘other sleep apnea’ patients likely have OSA. However, we were unable to determine from the CIHI-DAD whether this anomaly reflected issues related to clinical practice (diagnosis and documentation) or coding practices.

Since 2003, under the direction of CIHI, all provinces and territories have used ICD-10-CA codes, which should enable the clear identification of OSA in hospitalized patients. As evidenced by the low reported prevalence of OSA, it appears that this has not been achieved. A few possible explanations can be proposed for this finding. First, sleep apnea diagnosis is not being recorded in the patient charts to begin with. This may reflect a lack of appreciation by health care providers of OSA's designation as a type 1 or type 2 comorbid diagnosis. Second, even when sleep apnea syndrome is identified, the specific type may not be recorded in the patient's chart. Administrative coding is dependent on physicians and other health care professionals using the correct terminology when documenting diagnoses and interventions. For example, documenting the OSA patient's diagnosis as 'apnea' (ICD-10-CA code R.06) or 'sleep apnea' (ICD-10-CA code G.47.38) instead of 'obstructive sleep apnea' (ICD-10-CA code G.47.30) will result in classification error. Third, coders may also be unaware of OSA's designation as a type 1 or type 2 comorbid diagnosis and, therefore, are not abstracting it consistently.

Compliance with CPAP therapy is especially important in OSA patients with concomitant acute and chronic diseases and illness (11), and in those undergoing procedures associated with sedation, analgesia and anesthesia (6,10,12,13). Despite current evidence-based recommendations, our study showed that a mere 4.8% of the hospitalized adult patients coded for OSA were abstracted with a CCI code for CPAP/BiPAP therapy during their stay. Currently, codes used in the CCI classification system do not permit the separation of noninvasive positive pressure therapies and, therefore, it is not possible to determine whether OSA patients received CPAP or BiPAP therapy. Therefore, the CIHI-DAD may overestimate the number of OSA patients receiving CPAP therapy because some OSA patients may have received BiPAP therapy for concomitant disorders other than OSA (eg, respiratory failure related to COPD). This study also revealed that 9.6% of OSA patients received the surgical intervention – UPPP. Interestingly, a recent Cochrane review (20) concluded that UPPP does not
reduce daytime sleepiness, apnea or improve quality of life. Furthermore, it is associated with a high risk of long-term side effects, especially difficulty swallowing (21).

Our data revealed an interesting paradox – in the adult OSA group, the median length of stay was eight days for patients receiving CPAP/BiPAP therapy and was four days for those who did not receive CPAP/BiPAP therapy. It is possible that this paradox reflects the fact that sicker patients are more likely to receive CPAP/BiPAP therapy than healthier ones while in hospital. Furthermore, with respect to the disposition at discharge, patients receiving CPAP/BiPAP therapy had a significantly different discharge disposition distribution than those not receiving CPAP/BiPAP therapy. Specifically, CPAP/BiPAP-treated patients were less likely to be discharged home and more likely to be transferred to continuing care or discharged home with support services – further descriptive of the health state of patients provided with CPAP/BiPAP therapy. Future studies that include data linkage and/or disease severity indexes may provide greater insight into the clinical management of OSA in seriously ill patients.

Limitations of discharge abstract data
There are several inherent weaknesses in the present study. First, the validity of our results depend on the completeness, specificity and accuracy with which data were collected in the CIHI-DAD. In this regard, the following concerns must be considered: inconsistencies in the reporting of hospital discharge data by institutions to the CIHI-DAD has, in the past, weakened the integrity of the national database. Fortunately, in the 2006/2007 fiscal year, there were a total of 864 valid institution numbers in the DAD frame, with only one small facility failing to submit separation data during this time period; the most common data quality problem cited by researchers is inaccuracies in coding for diagnoses and procedures. One of the conclusions of our study is that, based on general population prevalence rates for OSA, this condition in hospitalized patients is being seriously under reported. In a recent study aimed at validating the accuracy of the CIHI-DAD (22), investigators compared the hospital discharge data against chart review. The results revealed a discrepancy rate of 23% for comorbid diagnostic codes and 7% for surgical procedure codes; a significant weakness in the data contained in the CIHI-DAD stems from a lack of specificity in the CCI codes of certain health interventions. In our study, for example, we would have favoured determining the percentage of OSA patients receiving its gold standard therapy – noninvasive CPAP. Unfortunately, the CCI classification system code for noninvasive CPAP therapy is 1.GZ.31.CB-ND, which is also the code for noninvasive BiPAP therapy, making these distinct procedures indistinguishable; for many of the health interventions specified in the CCI, there is no guarantee that, even if they are recorded in the patient’s chart, they will be included in the patient’s hospital discharge abstract. Coding in the CCI is based on a rubric of five characters (X.XX.XX) corresponding to three fields. The first field (XXX.XX) is a number from 1 to 8, which represents the type of intervention. For example, CCI codes that start with the number 1 are therapeutic, codes that start with the number 2 are diagnostic interventions and codes that start with the number 3 are diagnostic imaging interventions. The second field (X.XX.XX) contains two letters that represent the body system and the specific anatomical site, while the third field (X.XX.XX) represents the specific intervention. Currently, the only health interventions hospital-based coders are required to include in hospital discharge abstracts are those whose third field contains the number 50 or above (eg, X.XX.50). Health intervention codes with a third field below 50 fall into an optional coding category and, unless made mandatory by a specific department of health or institution, there is no guarantee of it being included. The CCI code for noninvasive CPAP/BiPAP therapy is 1.GZ.31.CB-ND, a fact that may account, at least in part, for the low reported use of CPAP/BiPAP therapy seen in hospitalized patients with OSA. Second, not all populations of Canadian patients were represented in our data set. In the 2006/2007 CIHI-DAD, institutions in the province of Quebec were not part of the DAD frame and, therefore, it cannot be considered a true national database. Finally, a third limitation of hospital discharge data is that certain data elements such as laboratory values, test results, severity of illness, functional status or behavioural risk factors are often not present.

Based on the epidemiological findings for the general population, we anticipated a higher prevalence of OSA and a greater reported use of CPAP therapy in hospitalized patients. In the process of investigating possible reasons for these anomalies, we discovered a number of issues related to the accuracy, specificity and completeness of the discharge data specific to OSA and its management. Hospital discharge data are the only feasible means through which a national perspective on the prevalence and management of chronic diseases such as OSA can be determined. Despite the CIHI-DAD’s annual operating budget of $100 million per year (23), the information housed in this particular database does not enable us to draw such conclusions. Before the CIHI-DAD can become a useful tool for determining clinical practice and resource allocation for OSA in Canada, advocacy is required.
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