Can a self-management education program for patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease improve quality of life?
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OBJECTIVE: To assess the effects of a self-management program on health-related quality of life (HRQoL) and morbidity commonly associated with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD).

METHODS: A total of 57 outpatients with stable COPD received four weeks of self-management education, while 45 patients received usual care. Patients were evaluated at baseline, at three months and one year following the educational intervention. The primary outcome variable was HRQoL measured by the St George's Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ). The secondary outcome variables were number of emergency room visits and hospitalizations for exacerbation.

RESULTS: The intervention group's HRQoL improved significantly at three months (total score $A=-5.0$ [P=0.006]) and 12 months (total score $A=-6.7$ [P<0.001]), as evidenced by decreased scores on the SGRQ. In contrast, the SGRQ scores increased significantly in the control group at three months (total score $A=+3.7$ [P=0.022]) and 12 months (total score $A=+3.4$ [P=0.032]). Global impact appeared to be responsible for the change in the intervention group. Moreover, in the intervention group, the number of hospitalizations dropped from 0.7/person/year to 0.3/person/year ($P=0.017$), and emergency room visits dropped from 1.1 person/year to 0.2/person/year ($P=0.002$), while in subjects of the control group did not experience any significant decreases in these parameters.

CONCLUSIONS: A planned education program improved HRQoL, while decreasing the number of emergency room visits and hospitalizations in patients with stable COPD; this improvement persisted at 12 months.
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domain (WMD −2.83 [95% CI −5.65 to −0.02]), but these differences did not reach the clinically relevant improvement threshold of 4 points. A small but significant reduction was detected in dyspnea measured using the Borg scale (WMD −0.53 [95% CI −0.96 to −0.10]). No significant effects were found among the number of exacerbations, emergency department (ED) visits, lung function, exercise capacity or days lost from work. Inconclusive results were observed in doctor and nurse visits, on symptoms other than dyspnea, the use of oral corticosteroids and antibiotics, and the use of rescue medication. However, the authors noted that because of the interventions’ heterogeneity in terms of study populations, follow-up times and outcome measures, the data were still insufficient to formulate clear recommendations regarding the form and content of self-management education programs for patients with COPD.

In a multicentre, randomized study including seven centres in Quebec published in 2003, Bourbeau et al (10) demonstrated that patients who were taught self-management techniques experienced 17% fewer exacerbations and 27% less hospital use than those receiving usual care in the year following the program. In this study, each patient randomly assigned to the intervention group received a disease-specific self-management program (“Living Well with COPD” [Boehringer Ingelheim, Canada]) consisting of approximately 1 h per week of teaching at home for eight weeks. The program was supervised by experienced health professionals who acted as case managers under the supervision of the treating physician. Follow-up was conducted with patients in the intervention group by way of weekly telephone calls for a period of eight weeks (educational period) and, subsequently, monthly calls for the remainder of the study. Case managers were made available by telephone only to the intervention group for advice and treatment supervision throughout the study period.

The program developed at the Hôpital du Sacré-Cœur de Montréal (Montreal, Quebec) in 2004 is quite different. Instead of home-based individual care, it is a group program conducted at the hospital. In contrast to the Bourbeau et al (10) study, patients included in the intervention group did not receive regular telephone calls during the follow-up period, and no dedicated case managers were assigned to their care. Briefly, it is a self-management education program consisting of 10 patients per group who are provided with a half-day (3 h) training session per week for four consecutive weeks. The education program provides patients with a thorough understanding of COPD, and with an action plan and other information needed for the effective self-management of their symptoms.

Although patients were encouraged by the educator to exercise at home, this program contained no formal rehabilitation component. Moreover, patients were encouraged to identify a clinical resource to call (eg, their chest or family physician, their nurse or inhalo- therapist from their CLSC [Centre local de services communautaires]) in case of questions regarding their action plans at the time of an exacerbation.

The aim of the present study was to examine the efficacy of this structured, self-management educational intervention on HRQoL, self-reported COPD knowledge and COPD morbidity (health care use) commonly associated with the disease. We prospectively studied a cohort of 102 COPD patients over a 12-month period, 57 of whom received the intervention, and 45 of whom were provided with usual care.

**METHODS**

The present analysis was a parallel group, prospective study. The patients were recruited between January 2004 and December 2004 in the pulmonary outpatient clinic of the Hôpital du Sacré-Cœur de Montréal. Patients in the intervention group were referred to the self-management education program following a previous ED admission or hospitalization for COPD, or following a visit to an outpatient clinic. A control group comprised of stable COPD outpatients who were followed by a respiriologist, but who were not referred to any self-management education program at the time of the inclusion, served as a comparison group with an assurance to be included in the program at the end of the study. Patients were assessed at baseline (before the educational program), immediately after the intervention, at three months and at one year. All patients gave their informed, written consent. The present project was approved by the Human Ethics Committee of the Hôpital du Sacré-Coeur de Montréal.

**Patient selection**

Eligible patients were men or women 40 to 75 years of age who had been diagnosed with COPD by a chest physician according to American Thoracic Society criteria (11). All patients met the spirometry criteria for Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) severity class 1, 2 or 3 (12). To be included in the study, all patients had to be able to read and write English or French, and have sufficient cognitive abilities to understand and complete the study assessments. In addition, they had to have never followed any previous COPD education program, and were not permitted to participate in any other educational or self-management programs for their COPD for the duration of the study. Finally, all patients were asked to maintain a stable maintenance medication regimen throughout the course of the study.

Patients were excluded if they had a comorbid illness that was more severe in nature and/or more debilitating than their COPD (eg, hepatitis, renal insufficiency requiring dialysis or untreated neoplasia). Other exclusion criteria were having recently (past three months) undergone surgery or an acute coronary event (eg, myocardial infarction). Intervention groups of 10 patients were provided with a weekly 3 h instruction session for four consecutive weeks. The content of the programs was based on 12 education modules created for patients with COPD by Boehringer-Ingelheim, (‘Living Well with COPD’). There are four units in the sessions: anatomy, physiology and respiration mechanics; the breathing cycle and conservation of energy; signs and symptoms of COPD exacerbations; and an overview of action plans. In the final week of the program, patients received individualized counselling and instruction on the techniques related to the use of inhaled medications. A written action plan for acute exacerbations was customized for each patient. It emphasized the prompt initiation of an antibiotic with or without an oral corticosteroid for seven to 10 days after the onset of an exacerbation with infective symptoms; defined at least two of the following three symptom changes: dyspnea, sputum, and sputum purulence lasting longer than 24 h (13). After the program, each subject was required to identify one contact person, a physician, a respiratory therapist or an educator, etc, to help them through their predefined action plan. The patients were responsible for having their prescriptions filled by their physician (general practitioner or specialist) and being available for study in case of symptomatic worsening. The patients were also given a sheet with simple and easy to understand bullet points regarding the most important functions in symptom monitoring.

**Measurements and outcomes**

Evaluation visits were scheduled at the study centre at enrollment (ie, initial visit), immediately after completion of the educational program, at four weeks, and at three months and 12 months. To minimize bias, patients were asked not to discuss their group assignment with the research assistant. Research assistants had no contact with participants other than during the evaluations.

**Primary outcome variable**

The prespecified primary outcome variable was the change in the domains of the SGRQ at three and 12 months.

**Secondary outcome variables**

Secondary outcome variables included the patient’s knowledge of COPD at three and 12 months, health care use and number of COPD exacerbations at three and 12 months.
SGRQ
The SGRQ, which has been used extensively in previous studies, is a disease-specific instrument designed to measure the impact of respiratory symptoms on overall health, daily life and perceived well-being (refer to reference 14 for validity and reliability). A negative difference is interpreted as an improvement. For the total SGRQ scores and each of the three SGRQ domains (symptoms, activity and impact), scores range from 0 to 100, with higher scores representing worsening. A difference of greater than 4.0 is considered to be clinically important.

COPD Knowledge Questionnaire
The COPD Knowledge Questionnaire was specifically developed for the present study. It is simply a test to examine recall of the material presented in the program. It had four different sections, each comprising five questions related to each part of the educational program. A total score was calculated for each patient. The questionnaire was administered after the educational intervention (visit 2), and subsequently at three months (visit 3) and 12 months (visit 4) after the intervention. The COPD Knowledge Questionnaire was previously tested at our centre on a sample of 30 patients. A paired t test indicated that the level of COPD knowledge as measured by our questionnaire significantly increased following an educational intervention (P<0.001). Furthermore, a test-retest analysis confirmed that the questionnaire results were consistent over time (r=0.94). The instrument has shown good reliability, with a Cronbach’s alpha score of 0.76.

Health care use
COPD exacerbations were evaluated by questionnaire and medical chart review. The research assistant gathered information about the number of severe (ie, inpatient treated) COPD exacerbations at visits 3 and 4 (three- and 12-month follow-ups). A severe exacerbation was defined as an ED visit or hospitalization, and was self-reported by the patient. The reported events were verified by medical charts and reviewed by a blinded adjudication committee (two independent chest physicians not included in the study separately reviewed the medical charts and confirmed COPD exacerbation relatedness). Events reported by patients and not documented in the files were not considered. Outpatient exacerbations were also not considered (ie, those treated on an outpatient basis with pharmacotherapy) due to the difficulties in verifying such events.

Statistical analysis
A sample size of 65 patients per group was calculated to be adequate to detect a change of 4 points with 80% power on the SGRQ total score in the comparison group at a significance level of 0.05 (two-sided test) – a range of change that is considered to be clinically significant. For SGRQ score, the data were analyzed with a single repeated measures ANOVA and Bonferroni correction for multiple tests were conducted using SPSS version 16.0 (SPSS Inc, USA) for Windows (Microsoft Corporation, USA). Statistical significance was set at P<0.05, and all analyses were conducted using SPSS version 16.0 (SPSS Inc, USA) for Windows (Microsoft Corporation, USA).

RESULTS

Study patients
There were 60 patients enrolled in the intervention group and 50 in the control/treatment-as-usual group. Fifty-seven of the intervention patients and 45 control group subjects were available for follow-up at 12 months. A total of eight patients dropped out: three in the intervention group and five in the control group. One patient (control group) refused to complete the follow-up assessments. Those who dropped out of the study did not differ from the sample in any of the characteristics reported in Table 1. Only patients with complete data (57 in the intervention group and 45 in the control group) were retained for analysis.

Patient characteristics
The demographic and medical characteristics of both groups were similar except for the number of ED visits in the previous year, which was lower in the control group. The subjects had an average smoking history of 40 pack-years, and an average of two medical comorbidities each (Table 1). Both groups had a moderate level of COPD-related disability.

HRQoL
The intervention subjects’ HRQoL improved significantly at three months (total score A=−5.0 [P=0.006]) and 12 months (total score A=−6.7; [P<0.001]), as evidenced by decreased scores on the SGRQ (within-group differences [Table 2]). In contrast, the SGRQ scores increased significantly in the control group at both three months (total score A=+3.7 [P=0.02]) and at 12 months (total score A=+3.4 [P=0.03]). On examining the subscales, it is the global impact that appeared to be responsible for the improvements in the intervention group. The between-group difference at three and 12 months (total score A=−6.0 [P<0.001]) and 12 months (total score A=−11 [P<0.001]) were both higher (between-group differences [Table 2]).

Hospitalizations, ED visits and COPD Knowledge Questionnaire scores
Patients in the intervention group experienced a significant drop in the number of ED visits and hospitalizations between the one-year period before the educational program and the one-year period after the intervention.

Can Respir J Vol 18 No 5 September/October 2011 e79
patients at three and 12 months after study entry (Table 4). COPD Knowledge Questionnaire scores compared with the control visits or hospitalizations for COPD. Entering the study did not experience a decrease in the number of ED the control group who were available for follow-up one year after to 0.2/person/year (P=0.002) (Table 3). In contrast, the 45 subjects in 0.3/person/year (P=0.017), and ED visits dropped from 1.1/person/year the global impact subscale that improved the most following the intervention. increasing an individual's level of confidence may assist them in per- For these reasons, individuals are likely to have higher levels of education program can offer patients an opportunity to increase their confidence in their own ability to manage or avoid breathing difficul- The findings of the present study showed significant effects of the educational intervention on patients’ perceived HRQoL and knowledge about COPD. This suggests that a structured patient self-management education program can offer patients an opportunity to increase their confidence in their own ability to manage or avoid breathing difficulties while still enabling them to engage in routine activities. In turn, increasing an individual’s level of confidence may assist them in performing daily activities more frequently, which may explain why it is the global impact subscale that improved the most following the intervention. Education about self-treatment of exacerbations through the development and use of a written action plan also reduced hospitalizations and ED visits significantly compared with the control group. Bourbeau et al (10) showed that a disease-specific, self-management intervention including a patient education program, monthly telephone follow-up interviews and a simple home exercise program significantly reduced SGRQ global impact subscale scores and total SGRQ scores at four months. The current study also showed a reduction of 39.8% in the number of hospitalizations at 12 months, and a 41% reduction in the number of ED visits compared with the control group. The reduction in the number of hospitalizations was compar- able with the results reported in the study by Bourbeau et al, while our reduction in the number of ED visits was superior to other published findings. One explanation may have been our strict definition of ED visits (ie, ED visits had to be shorter than 24 h), thus bringing our rate of ED visits very low, with any visit of a longer duration being categor- The consistencies between the present findings and those of previous studies could also be explained by the nonrandomized nature of our study and the risks inherent in comparing two different groups. Finally, we hypothesize that the exceptionally motivated asthma edu- cators in our study may have been partly responsible for the findings, potentially making the results difficult to reproduce in the future.

DISCUSSION

The demographic and medical characteristics of both groups were similar (Table 1), except for the number of ED visits in the previous year, which was lower in the control group. This may be explained by the fact that they were not recruited following an ED visit or hospital- ization for COPD, which was the case for many of the participants in the intervention group.

The findings of the present study showed significant effects of the educational intervention on patients’ perceived HRQoL and knowledge about COPD. This suggests that a structured patient self-management education program can offer patients an opportunity to increase their confidence in their own ability to manage or avoid breathing difficulties while still enabling them to engage in routine activities. In turn, increasing an individual’s level of confidence may assist them in performing daily activities more frequently, which may explain why it is the global impact subscale that improved the most following the intervention.

**TABLE 2**
Baseline differences in St George Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) dimensions at different times in subjects with and without intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Intervention group (n=57)</th>
<th>Control group (n=45)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGRQ score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td>–0.9(–3.7 to 2.0)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>–1.8(–4.9 to 1.4)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact</td>
<td>–8.3(–11.7 to –4.9)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>–5.0(–7.6 to –2.3)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Between-group differences: Intervention minus control (95% CI)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SGRQ score</th>
<th>3 months</th>
<th>1 year</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td>–4.8(−9.9 to 2.5)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>−7.4(−14.8 to −0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>−2.6(−11.5 to −1.1)</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>−7.6(−13.6 to −1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact</td>
<td>−6.6(−18.2 to −7.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−14.8(−20.8 to −8.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>−6.0(−13.2 to −4.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−11.0(−16.3 to −5.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as mean ± SD unless otherwise indicated

**TABLE 3**
Hospitalizations and emergency room visits according to group for one year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Intervention group (n=57)</th>
<th>Control group (n=45)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-year preintervention</td>
<td>1-year postintervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalizations</td>
<td>0.7±1.1</td>
<td>0.3±0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency room visits</td>
<td>1.1±1.6</td>
<td>0.2±0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as mean ± SD unless otherwise indicated

**TABLE 4**
COPD Knowledge Questionnaire scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>4 weeks</th>
<th>3 months</th>
<th>12 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention group (n=57)</td>
<td>57.5±12.6</td>
<td>72.9±15.0&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>74.5±13.8&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>73.0±14.4&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control group (n=45)</td>
<td>57.6±15.0</td>
<td>55.5±15.0</td>
<td>64.1±14.0&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>60.1±13.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup>P<0.0001 versus baseline; <sup>2</sup>P=0.0001 versus control; <sup>3</sup>P=0.0001 versus four weeks. COPD Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

The number of hospitalizations dropped from 0.7/person/year to 0.3/person/year (P=0.017), and ED visits dropped from 1.1/person/year to 0.2/person/year (P=0.002) (Table 3). In contrast, the 45 subjects in the control group who were available for follow-up one year after entering the study did not experience a decrease in the number of ED visits or hospitalizations for COPD.

Furthermore, intervention subjects yielded significantly higher COPD Knowledge Questionnaire scores compared with the control patients at three and 12 months after study entry (Table 4).
the intervention group relative to the control group. This possibility could have, at least in part, accounted for the improved outcomes.

We also limited our conclusion to patients with moderately severe COPD because that was the category of patient included in the present study. Despite the above limitations, the present study was strengthened by the fact that this was one of the first that attempted to separate the effect of education alone from the effect of a more complete program that included exercise and/or counselling by a case manager.

**CONCLUSION**

A planned education program is effective at improving the HRQoL, and in decreasing the number of ED visits and hospitalizations in COPD patients. The improvement of HRQoL persists after one year. Randomized research will still be needed to formulate clear recommendations regarding the form and contents of self-management education programs in COPD.
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