Ice hockey lung – a case of mass nitrogen dioxide poisoning in the Czech Republic
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Nitrogen dioxide (NO2) is a toxic gas, a product of combustion in malfunctioning ice-resurfacing machines. NO2 poisoning is rare but potentially lethal. The authors report a case of mass NO2 poisoning involving 15 amateur ice hockey players in the Czech Republic. All players were treated in the Department of Respiratory Diseases at Brno University Hospital in November 2010 – three as inpatients because they developed pneumonitis. All patients were followed-up until November 2011. Complete recovery in all but one patient was achieved by December 2010. None of the 15 patients developed asthma-like disease or chronic cough. Corticosteroids appeared to be useful in treatment. Electric-powered ice-resurfacing machines are preferable in indoor ice skating arenas.
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Learning Objectives
• To recognize that asthma-like disease or chronic cough may develop after acute nitrogen dioxide (NO2) poisoning.
• Environmental assessment service inquiry should be performed if NO2 poisoning is suspected.

Pretest
• What essential conditions cause ‘ice hockey lung’?
• Can NO2 poisoning be life-threatening?

CASE PRESENTATION
On November 23, 2010, an amateur ice hockey tournament was held in an indoor ice-skating arena in Brno, Czech Republic. Fifteen of the 20 players developed multiple respiratory symptoms within 48 h following the tournament. Initially, the cause of their problem was not clear; however, identical history and similar symptoms suggested possible mass poisoning. The Poison Control Centre in Prague was therefore consulted. Twelve of the 15 patients were treated as outpatients. Three players developed respiratory failure and had to be treated as inpatients in the Department of Respiratory Diseases, Brno University Hospital between November 24 and 28. Cough, dyspnea, chest pain and hemoptysis were the primary symptoms. The local Environmental Assessment Service (the Czech counterpart of Occupational Health and Safety in the United States) provided measurements of carbon monoxide (CO) and NO2 levels in the ice skating arena. According to current emission standards, required by Czech environmental legislation, the 1 h time-weighted average concentration limits are 5 mg/m3 for CO and 100 μg/m3 for NO2 (Appendix). These limits were exceeded for both CO and NO2 (1.95 times for CO; more than 10 times for NO2). Ventilation in the arena was determined to be insufficient, leading to the accumulation of products of incomplete combustion due to engine malfunction in the resurfacing machine. The diagnosis of ‘ice hockey lung’ was thus confirmed.

A chest x-ray (CXR) was performed in all 15 patients; a pathological finding was present in five, predominantly a right-sided infiltration (Figure 1). A computed tomography (CT) scan in one patient revealed peribronchovascular interstitial pattern intensification, predominantly in the middle lobe and lingula (Figure 2). This patient exhibited the most pronounced CXR finding and the most severe respiratory failure. The distribution of symptoms among the 15 patients is shown in Figure 3.

Laboratory data showed elevated carboxyhemoglobin levels in four patients and elevated bilirubin levels in two. Lung function tests showed restrictive ventilatory disorder in four patients (26.6%),
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obstructive disorder in one (6.7%) and combined disorder in one (6.7%). Hypoxemia was present in four patients (26.6%), ranging from 6.9 kPa to 9.8 kPa (52.0 mmHg to 73.5 mmHg). None of the patients showed a decrease in CO diffusion. Fraction of exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) was elevated in five of nine patients, with values of 15 parts per billion (ppb) to 44 ppb (cut-off value 25 ppb) (Table 1). To the authors’ knowledge, the present article represents the first ever published report of FeNO testing in a case of NO₂ poisoning.

Eight of the 15 patients were treated with intravenous or inhaled corticosteroids, sometimes combined with methylxanthines (n=5 [33.3%]), beta₂-agonists (n=5 [33.3%]) and antibiotics (n=5 [33.3%]). Three (20%) patients underwent oxygen therapy. The treatment was successful in 14 patients and was discontinued at the end of December 2010. Elevated FeNO levels decreased in seven of nine patients (mean decrease 7.16 ppb). At hospital discharge, all patients demonstrated complete resolution of CXR findings. Only one patient continued inhaled corticosteroid treatment for an additional three months due to the persistence of a mild obstructive ventilatory disorder. By November 2011, none of the followed-up patients experienced pulmonary symptoms.

**Figure 2**) Computed tomography scan showing peribronchovascular interstitial pattern intensification

**Figure 3**) Frequency of symptoms. Total 15 patients

---

**TABLE 1**

Summary of laboratory data, imaging and lung function tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>CO-Hgb, %</th>
<th>Met-Hgb, %</th>
<th>PaO₂, kPa</th>
<th>Bilirubin, μmol/L</th>
<th>Chest x-ray</th>
<th>CT scan</th>
<th>FeNO, ppb</th>
<th>Ventilatory disorder</th>
<th>CO-diffusion, KCO %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>&gt;9.9</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>&gt;9.9</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>&gt;9.9</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>&gt;9.9</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>Right-sided infiltration</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Mild restrictive</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>&gt;9.9</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>Left-sided infiltration</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>&gt;9.9</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Severe combined</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>&gt;9.9</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>Mild restrictive</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>Right-sided infiltration</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>&gt;9.9</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>&gt;9.9</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>Bilateral infiltration</td>
<td>Bilateral infiltration</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>Right-sided infiltration</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>&gt;9.9</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>Severe restrictive</td>
<td>NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>&gt;9.9</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Mild restrictive</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total tests performed, n</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathological finding present, % of tests</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Bolded values indicate pathological findings. Bilirubin cut-off value 17 μmol/L; CO-Hgb Carboxyhemoglobin level in arterial blood, cut-off value 1.5%; CT Computed tomography; FeNO Fraction of exhaled nitric oxide, cut-off value 25 parts per billion (ppb); KCO Carbon monoxide (CO)-diffusion transfer coefficient, cut-off value 80%; Met-Hgb Methemoglobin level in arterial blood, cut-off value 1.0%; NP Examination or test was not performed; PaO₂ Partial pressure of oxygen level in arterial blood, cut-off value 9.9 kPa**
NO2 poisoning are infrequent, there is no consensus regarding treatment for severe respiratory failure with the need for invasive mechanical ventilation and the duration of exposure, and vary from benign symptoms to life-threatening respiratory failure. Sufficient exposure.

In December 2010, the malfunctioning ice-resurfacing machine was replaced. All of the patients obtained free season tickets to the ice skating arena.

**DISCUSSION**

NO2 is a poorly soluble, toxic gas that easily penetrates the peripheral airways and alveoli, where it combines with water to produce nitric and nitrous acid. The presence of nitric acid increases cell membrane permeability, resulting in interstitial pulmonary edema and pneumonitis (1). NO2 is a combustion product of gasoline-, diesel-, and propane-butane-powered ice-resurfacing machines. An accumulation of NO2 may occur under certain conditions including malfunction of resurfacing machine engines and inadequate air ventilation (2,3). Exposure to high concentrations of NO2 in an insufficiently ventilated space (eg, an indoor ice skating arena) may result in damage to lung tissue and severe pneumonitis (3). The term 'ice hockey lung' was first coined in 1980s in North America in association with several cases of mass NO2 poisonings in indoor ice arenas. Other conditions due to acute exposure to high levels of NO2 include 'silo fillers disease', 'numismatist's pneumonitis' and firefighters' NO2 poisoning (4,5). On the other hand, chronic exposure to lower levels of NO2 may result in chronic cough, asthma-like disease or chronic bronchitis (6). Chronic cough and asthma-like disease may also develop after acute exposure (7,8).

The most frequent clinical symptoms of acute NO2 poisoning are cough, chest pain, dyspnea, hemoptysis and cyanosis (1-4,7). Weakness, headache or nausea may also be present. The symptoms usually develop within 6 h to 48 h following exposure depending on exposure time and NO2 levels in the arena. The severity of symptoms peaks 24 h to 72 h after exposure (1,7). Medical history, physical examination, CXR and/or CT scans, blood gas analysis and lung function tests contribute to the diagnosis. The diagnosis is confirmed when both malfunction of the engine of the ice-resurfacing machine (with incomplete fuel combustion) and the presence of excessive NO2 levels in the arena are substantiated. The symptoms of NO2 poisoning depend on the concentration and the duration of exposure, and vary from benign symptoms to severe respiratory failure with the need for invasive mechanical ventilation and intensive care (1,2).

Various treatment regimens have been reported. Because cases of NO2 poisoning are infrequent, there is no consensus regarding treatment. In most cases, the use of inhaled and/or intravenous corticosteroids has been reported. Corticosteroids may reduce symptoms, improve or restore lung function and prevent the development of asthma-like disease in a proportion of patients (3,7,8). Although less regularly reported, different treatment regimens have been used to reduce symptoms, prevent infection and improve lung function. These include methylxanthines, beta2-agonists and antibiotics (1,3,4,7). Short-term oxygen therapy is required for patients with respiratory failure. Invasive pulmonary ventilation and intensive care is necessary for patients with life-threatening respiratory failure.

Ice hockey is a popular sport in many countries, including the Czech Republic. Relatively scarce reports in the literature of NO2 and CO poisoning in ice skating arenas likely under-represent the problem. Environmental assessment service inquiry and measurements of NO2 and CO levels in ice arenas are essential when 'ice hockey lung' is suspected. A simple way to prevent NO2 and CO poisoning is the replacement of combustion-engine ice-resurfacing machines with electrically powered machines. Where gasoline-, diesel- or propane-butane-powered ice-resurfacing machines are in use, regular environmental assessment service controls should be performed.

In our case, we established the diagnosis within 36 h after exposure, and all 15 patients in the present report were treated in our department. All but one of the 15 patients experienced complete recovery by December 2010. Replacement of the malfunctioning ice-resurfacing machine had been arranged in December 2010. None of the patients demonstrated clinical symptoms of asthma-like disease by November 2011. Increases in FeNO may indicate mechanisms of asthma-like inflammation in the bronchi. Because there was a good clinical response to corticosteroids, we consider corticosteroid therapy to be warranted in patients with severe lung function impairment and/or respiratory failure. Precautions against exposure to NO2 and CO are strongly recommended including adequate ventilation in arenas, proper maintenance of engines and mandatory checks of resurfacing machine exhaust or replacement of combustion engines with electrically powered engines.

**Post-test**

- **Which essential conditions cause ‘ice hockey lung’?**

At least two of the following three conditions must be present for NO2 poisoning to develop:

- A malfunctioning engine of a gasoline-, propane-butane- or diesel-powered ice resurfacing machine (with incomplete combustion leading to accumulation of NO2);
- Poorly ventilated indoor ice arena; and
- Sufficient exposure.

- **Can NO2 poisoning be life-threatening?**

NO2 poisoning is rare but potentially lethal because it can lead to acute respiratory failure with pulmonary edema and the need for invasive ventilatory support. Pulse oximetry, lung function tests and blood gas examination should be performed in each patient.
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**APPENDIX**

**Corresponding United States limits for CO and NO2 (9,10)**

The current Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) permissible exposure limit for CO is 50 parts per million (ppm) or 55 mg/m3 as an 8 h time-weighted average (TWA) concentration. The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health has established a recommended exposure limit for CO of 35 ppm or 40 mg/m3 as an 8 h TWA and 200 ppm or 229 mg/m3 as a ceiling. The American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists has assigned CO a threshold limit value of 25 ppm or 29 mg/m3 as a ceiling. For a normal 8 h workday. OSHA Safety Hazard Information Bulletin on Potential Carcinogenicity of Diesel Exhaust: Limits for nitrogen dioxide: 5 ppm or 9 mg/m3 ceiling and 3 ppm or 6 mg/m3 8 h TWA.
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