Development of a web-based, work-related asthma educational tool for patients with asthma
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BACKGROUND: Asthma is a common chronic condition. Work-related asthma (WRA) has a large socioeconomic impact and is increasing in prevalence but remains under-recognized. Although international guidelines recommend patient education, no widely available educational tool exists.

OBJECTIVE: To develop a WRA educational website for adults with asthma.

METHODS: An evidence-based database for website content was developed, which applied evidence-based website design principles to create a website prototype. This was subsequently tested and serially revised according to patient feedback in three moderated phases (one focus group and two interview phases), followed by face validation by asthma educators.

RESULTS: Patients (n=10) were 20 to 28 years of age; seven (70%) were female, three (30%) were in university, two (20%) were in college and five (50%) were currently employed. Key format preferences included: well-spaced, bulleted text; movies (as opposed to animations); photos (as opposed to cartoons); an explicit listing of website aims on the home page; and an exploding tab structure. Participants disliked integrated games and knowledge quizzes. Desired informational content included a list of triggers, prevention/control methods, currently available tools and resources, a self-test for WRA, real-life scenario presentations, compensation information, information for colleagues on how to react during an asthma attack and a WRA discussion forum.

CONCLUSIONS: The website met the perceived needs of young asthmatic patients. This resource could be disseminated widely and should be tested for its effects on patient behaviour, including job choice, workplace irritant/allergen avoidance and/or protective equipment, asthma medication use and physician prompting for management of WRA symptoms.
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Asthma is a common chronic condition, affecting 9% to 12% of young adults (18 to 25 years of age) in North America (1). Work-related asthma (WRA) consists of either work-exacerbated asthma (WEA) or occupational asthma (OA). WEA occurs when workplace exposures cause exacerbation of pre-existing asthma, which occurs in up to 25% of workers with asthma (2). OA occurs when asthma develops newly as a result of a workplace exposure, and is less common than WEA (3). The socioeconomic impact of WRA is large, has detrimental effects on quality of life, occupational success and economic viability (4), in addition to loss of work days and income (5). The American Thoracic Society estimates that 15% of asthma in adults is attributable to occupational factors (6) and worldwide prevalence is increasing (7,8).

The prevalence of asthma in the preworking age population (10 to 14 years of age) is high, suggesting that adolescents entering the workforce may be particularly at risk for WEA (9). It has been estimated that 1.4 million WRA cases could be prevented annually through education, precautionary measures and medication (10). However, suboptimal exposure and trigger control, as well as medication nonadherence, are well described among adolescents with asthma, and these patients are at greater risk of denying their symptoms and self-medicating (11). Among patients with asthma between 16 and 22 years of age, 65% did not consider asthma to be a factor in career planning and only 54% believed that it was important to wear protective equipment in the workplace when indicated (12). Additionally, 44% could not identify occupations that would aggravate asthma and a majority did not discuss their occupation with their physicians (12). A consensus statement from the American College of Chest Physicians and a European Task Force statement on WRA has recommended improving worker education to address these gaps (13,14). However, no widely available educational tool exists for this purpose.

Accordingly, we aimed to develop a web-based program to provide
education on WRA to young adults with asthma who were about to enter or had recently entered the workforce. A web-based educational platform was chosen for its free access, scalability and accessibility. The present article describes the methods and outcome of the development and validation process for this website.

**METHODS**

The research protocol was approved by the St Michael's Hospital and University of Toronto Research Ethics Boards (Toronto, Ontario).

**Participant recruitment**

Patients 18 to 25 years of age were recruited from a previously established cohort of subjects with a self-reported physician diagnosis of asthma (12), and through flyers posted in trade schools, youth employment offices, asthma clinics and primary care physician offices.

**Content development**

Based on existing literature regarding knowledge gaps (11,12), the following key goals for the tool were defined: defining WRA (including WEA and OA); detailing potential workplace triggers (according to trigger and work type); describing preventive measures (eg, protective equipment, trigger avoidance, controller medications and appropriate health care professional consultation); and providing access to key resources. Content experts (SMT, GML, MR) developed a database of information to be included based on published literature, and industry- and government-sponsored information. Where relevant to WRA, these experts included core asthma educational information recommended in any asthma education program according to best evidence (15). Concurrently, they developed a questionnaire to test the knowledge of WRA concepts.

**Website prototyping**

Human factors engineering experts (SGK, MC) performed a literature search exploring favourable usability features of health education websites, particularly asthma education websites, and any websites targeting young adults and adolescents. Evidence-based criteria were used to evaluate the quality of these websites and to establish a set of target features to guide development (Table 1). User-centred design principles (16,17) were used to design a basic website prototype, including the graphical user interface and organization of content within web pages. User-centred interaction design involves four basic activities: identifying user needs; developing design ideas meeting user needs; building prototypes; and testing the prototypes with end users (16). These activities are serially repeated, with resulting iterative changes to the prototype until designers and end-users are satisfied. By integrating stakeholder perspectives, this iterative ‘improvement’ cycle yields products that are appropriate to the local context and more effectively meet the needs of end users (18,19). The initial prototype was a simple, low-fidelity format to motivate participants to share design recommendations (as opposed to a high-fidelity prototype, which may stifle participant creativity). This prototype was elaborated into the final website through four iterative phases (Figure 1). Sessions were audiotaped, transcribed verbatim and supplemented by moderator field notes. Investigators analyzed and interpreted data between each phase.

**Website development phases**

**Phase 1:** A 2 h moderated focus group was conducted with five participants. Before the session, participants completed a web-based questionnaire ascertaining demographics, asthma history, and knowledge of asthma and WRA. A moderator introduced the study and
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RESULTS

Participants

Participants in phases 1 to 3 (n=10) were 20 to 28 years of age; seven (70%) were female, three (30%) were in university, two (20%) were in college and five (50%) were currently employed. Other background characteristics are presented in Table 2.

Phases

Phase 1: Participants correctly answered a mean (± SD) of 91±4.8% of baseline questions. They identified the following asthma information resources: physicians, drugstore/doctor’s office pamphlets, television; the ‘WebMD’ website; and friends and family who were health care providers or who had asthma. No participant had previously searched the web for WRA information. Participants indicated a high priority for the following elements: definitions; risks/ triggers/allergens; prevention/control methods; how to know that a person has WRA; real-life case scenarios; compensation information for employers; information for colleagues on how to react during an asthma attack; a section for frequently asked questions; information on asthma-related tools; a discussion forum; and currently available resources for WRA. Two participants indicated that personal patient testimonials would be effective. They preferred information to be organized in content groups based on these priority areas, with use of bulleted text for content, and movies or animations for case scenarios. They noted that games, quizzes and any web-based test of knowledge would be undesirable.

Participants appreciated the simplicity and clarity of the prototype and approved its font, colours and layout, tabs on the left (shifting to the left when selected), content in the centre and links on top. They approved of scenarios but preferred real-life pictures/films to cartoons/animations. They preferred the home page to have a basic definition of WRA rather than case scenarios.

Based on these findings, the following changes were made: added three new requested sections – rights of workers, information for work colleagues and a WRA self-test; removed case scenarios from the homepage and included the definition of WRA in the welcome note; produced three video case scenarios; and removed all cartoons.

Phase 2: Pre- and post-knowledge-questionnaire results are summarized in Table 3. Subjects provided positive feedback about website layout (particularly the tab structure) and informational content. However, all noted that the ‘density’ of text rendered the website ‘boring’ and unattractive.

Quantitative results from task completion and SUS scores are provided in Table 3. The most problematic task was one that required participants to look for the specific trigger that should be avoided in a bakery. This was likely due to organization of triggers in the website (divided into OA and WEA tables, each on a separate webpage). Users spent either a long time searching each table for a bakery or were diverted to a separate webpage called ‘Common Triggers’, which did not contain the required information.
The most commonly listed newly learned topics were: that there are two types of WRA; ways to prevent/control/treat WRA; how to make a WRA claim; WRA triggers and jobs; and the effect of isocyanates.

With the help of a commissioned media designer, the following changes were made: removed information deemed ‘unnecessary’; divided long passages into smaller ones on multiple webpages; introduced new pictures and a new colour scheme; reformatted trigger tables by eliminating the ‘Common Triggers’ page and categorizing all triggers as either WEA or OA related; added relevant pictures to each trigger table; emphasized the difference between OA and WEA; and reformatted the logos to increase visual appeal. To determine whether the changes rendered trigger tables easier to navigate, an extra task was added to step 2 of the next phase, asking users to locate a specific trigger in the OA and WEA tables, as opposed to a specific workplace.

**Phase 3**: Pre- and postknowledge questionnaire results are summarized in Table 3. They again provided positive feedback about the website’s layout and informational content. This time, all were satisfied with the amount and usefulness of provided information, and the ease and flow of information presentation. They had no difficulties with task completion and suggested reducing the literacy level to a grade 6 to 8 (21) level from a previous grade 12 level. Similar changes have been recommended in previous reports and have been linked to increased website uptake (20,29). Accordingly, all content was revised to a grade 8 reading and comprehension level.

A screenshot of the final website is depicted in Figure 2 and a web content map in Figure 3. Other unique website features include a printable WRA information sheet for patients to deliver to their physicians (to prompt physicians to consider a WRA diagnosis); a printable fact sheet for colleagues (informing them of what steps to take in the event of a serious workplace asthma attack); and hover pop-up definitions for uncommon terms. The website is available for viewing at <http://lung.ca/workrelatedasthma/>. It should be noted that the discussion forum has not yet been added, pending official viewing at <http://lung.ca/workrelatedasthma/>.

**Figure 3** Web content map. FAQ Frequently asked question; OA Occupational asthma; WEA Work-exacerbated asthma; WRA Work-related asthma

**TABLE 2**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Participants*, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asthma care provided by</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family physician</td>
<td>8 (80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergist</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parents/family member</td>
<td>3 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergies</td>
<td>9 (90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergic rhinitis</td>
<td>4 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eczema</td>
<td>4 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoking</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current medications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-acting beta agonist</td>
<td>7 (70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhaled corticosteroid</td>
<td>5 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-acting beta agonist</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined inhaled corticosteroid and</td>
<td>2 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>long-acting beta agonist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukotriene receptor antagonist</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous ER visit(s)</td>
<td>6 (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous hospital admission(s)</td>
<td>4 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nocturnal asthma arousals (past week)</td>
<td>3 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity limitation due to asthma</td>
<td>6 (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;3 puffs of rescue bronchodilator (past week)</td>
<td>3 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agreed with statement: &quot;It is important for people with asthma to use protective measures (eg, masks) in the workplace if asthma triggers are present&quot;</td>
<td>7 (70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agreed with statement: &quot;Asthma has been an important factor in determining my choice of a future career&quot;</td>
<td>2 (20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Five subjects participated in phase 1, five in phase 2 (four of the five had also participated in phase 1 and four in phase 3). Thus, a total of 10 individuals participated in phases 1 to 3.

**TABLE 3**
| Knowledge, task completion and system usability scale results according to phase |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Characteristics                                 | Phase 2               | Phase 3               |
| Participants, n                                | 5                     | 4                     |
| Knowledge scores, %                            |                        |                        |
| Pretest                                         | 89±11                 | 91±7                  |
| Post-test                                       | 92±9                  | 89±5                  |
| Task completion scores*                         | 1.37±0.4              | 1.77±0.32             |
| System usability scores                         | 74.5±13               | 62±15                 |

Data presented as mean ± SD unless otherwise indicated. *Task completion score grading: 0 – not completed, 1 – completed with difficulty, 2 – completed easily.
Given evidence that visual appeal and design influence early user decisions to engage with websites (25), particular attention was devoted to the website’s design itself, adhering to evidence-based, visual design criteria used to evaluate the quality of health websites (Table 1). The SUS has been shown to be a robust and reliable measure of interface and website usability (30). Although all SUS scores were favourable, they were surprisingly lower in phase 3 than in phase 2, contrary to task completion scores and qualitative usability feedback. This may be because four of five phase 2 users had interacted with a version of the website in phase 1 and, thus, had more website familiarity than naive users in phase 3. The mean overall SUS rating assigned in phase 2 corresponded to an adjectival description between ‘Good’ and ‘Excellent’, whereas the mean SUS in phase 3 placed usability between ‘OK’ and ‘Good’ (30).

The Internet is a well-suited educational medium for young adults because they have a high rate of access and the required technical ability, and perceive computers to be an attractive leisure activity (31). Our interactive online tool enables dynamic and flexible content presentation that can rapidly be modified to reflect new data and/or changing user needs. It is self-initiated and convenient, has 24 h accessibility and increased privacy of access (access need not occur in a physician or guidance counsellor’s office/classroom). These features address many of the health system barriers to conventional asthma education, including limited availability of practitioner time and reimbursement, the need for sustainable access across various settings (school, home, clinic) and the need to adjust content to meet individuals’ needs (32). Qualitative studies have demonstrated the willingness of patients with asthma, and of young adults in particular, to use web-based asthma education (33). Quantitative studies have shown high levels of acceptance, improved knowledge, and improved asthma-related health outcomes in children and adolescents exposed to web-based asthma education (34). Furthermore, adolescents with asthma value peer information sharing as part of learning (33). Programmed social interaction features support friendships and provide peer supports to those who may otherwise feel isolated due to their health condition (31). Accordingly, our discussion forum will prove valuable in enabling open communication and learning among peers about particular asthma-related workplace dangers and mitigating strategies.

Our website could be used as part of a knowledge translation intervention to address several known barriers to prevention, diagnosis and management of WRA. First, patients themselves remain largely unaware of the potential interaction between asthma and the workplace, which acts as a barrier to both prevention and early diagnosis (35). Our website addresses patient knowledge and behaviour gaps directly. Next, even when they were aware of work exposure risks, patients were statistically more likely to discuss the impact of their asthma on their future career with their parents or friends than with their practitioner (12,35). Furthermore, practitioner ascertainment of occupational histories among patients with asthma is often incomplete and discordant with patient-report (36). This likely reflects inadequate physician awareness and knowledge of WRA due to lack of training. Our website encourages patients to risk-stratify themselves using a self-test and to raise the possibility of WRA with their health care practitioner with the help of a simple, printable information resource. This resource not only acts as a tool for patient-mediated knowledge translation, but also addresses practitioner gaps in both awareness and knowledge.

Our study had several limitations. First, the sample size was small. More focus groups may have been beneficial in increasing reliability, saturation in qualitative themes and external validity. Knowledge scores were very high at baseline, suggesting that this test was too easy, creating a ceiling effect that prevented us from demonstrating increased knowledge with website use. A volunteer bias for participants with an interest in and/or pre-existing knowledge of WRA may also partly account for the high baseline knowledge scores. Volunteers may also have had a higher level of concern about their asthma and higher literacy than average patients; this was not formally assessed.

Although we did not receive any negative feedback regarding readability of the website from participants, we did attempt to address this possibility by reducing the website’s reading level from a grade 12 to a grade 8 level after all focus groups were completed. In terms of external validity, we acknowledge that it would be difficult for 10 subjects to adequately represent the full spectrum of the young adult asthma population. However, subject medications and health care utilization data suggest that patients across a spectrum of asthma severity, from mild to severe disease, were included in the study. A majority of subjects had poorly controlled asthma according to Canadian Asthma guidelines (37); however, this is similar to the Canadian asthma population at large (38). Our sample was also split evenly between students and workers. Although all subjects were in their 20s, we would not expect the preferences of young adults in their late teens to be systematically different than those of our subjects.

Future research should measure the effects of this tool on actual patient behaviour and health outcomes in a larger pool of participants. Ultimately, this resource could be disseminated and tested through job-educational and guidance-counselling sessions in schools and continuing education settings, through links on online job-search sites such as ‘Workopolis’, as well as asthma, lung health and occupational health websites, and by various health care professionals.
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APPENDIX 1 – ADDITIONAL WEBSITE SCREENSHOTS
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*Figure 1* Prototype website baker’s asthma case description (no trigger page was available at this stage)
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