Six minute walk distance is a predictor of survival in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease undergoing pulmonary rehabilitation
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BACKGROUND: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a progressive and distressing disease with a trajectory that is often difficult to predict. OBJECTIVE: To determine whether initial 6 min walk distance (6MWD) or change in 6MWD following inpatient pulmonary rehabilitation (PR) predicted survival. METHODS: Patients referred for PR in 2010 were studied in a retrospective chart review. Measures of 6MWD before and following PR were recorded. Initial 6MWD was categorized as ≥250 m, 150 m to 249 m and ≤149 m. Government databases provided survival status up until December 2013 and survival analyses were performed. Initial 6MWD and a minimally important difference (MID) of ≥30 m were used for survival analysis. RESULTS: The cohort consisted of 237 patients (92 men, 145 women) with severe COPD. Mean (± SD) forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1) was 0.75±0.36 L, with a mean FEV1/forced vital capacity (FVC) ratio of 0.57±0.16. Overall three-year survival was 58%. Mean survival for the study period as per predefined categories of 6MWD of ≥250 m, 150 m to 249 m and ≤149 m was 42.2, 37.0 and 27.8 months (P<0.001), respectively, with a three-year survival of 81%, 66% and 34% observed, respectively. Overall mean change in 6MWD was 62±57 m, and a minimal improvement of ≥30 m was observed in 72% of patients. In the lowest walking group, early mortality was significantly higher among those who did not achieve minimal improvement. Older age, male sex and shorter initial 6MWD were negative predictors of survival. CONCLUSION: In patients with severe COPD, initial 6MWD was predictive of survival. Overall survival at three years was only 58% and was especially poor (34%) in patients with low (<150 m) initial walk distance. Key Words: 6MWD; COPD; Pulmonary rehabilitation; Mortality

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is the third leading cause of death worldwide, and its prevalence and consequent mortality is expected to increase in the coming decades (1). The morbidity associated with COPD is significant and accounts for one of the most common reasons for hospitalization in Canada (3). Patients with COPD cope with progressive symptoms that are both distressing and debilitating. The trajectory of disease and, in particular, mortality, is often difficult to predict (4). Exercise testing and composite indexes, such as the BODE index, have been used to predict mortality, but can be time consuming to collect and are, therefore, often not utilized clinically (5-7). The use of the 6 min walk test (6MWT) as a clinical tool to assess patients with COPD is of interest (8,9). The 6MWT is used to evaluate exercise capacity. It is simple to perform and correlates well with more sophisticated tests requiring a treadmill or cycle ergometer (5,10). As such, the distance walked in 6 min (6MWD) is frequently used as an outcome measure in evaluating the benefit of pulmonary rehabilitation (PR) (11-13). The 6MWT has also been used as a single measure of functional status in COPD, but is not commonly used as an individual parameter to help predict mortality in patients with this disease (1). Despite its increased utilization in patients with moderate to severe COPD, we believed that further study was necessary to determine its usefulness and predictive power. Specifically, our goal was to determine whether the initial 6MWD or change in 6MWD following PR was a predictor of survival in patients with severe COPD.

METHODS

Inpatient PR program

Patients referred to the authors’ centre are typically those admitted following an acute exacerbation, or due to progressive decline from their disease. In addition to medical management, extensive patient education and psychosocial support, the PR program at Mount Sinai Hospital (MSH, Montreal, Quebec) includes exercise sessions given five times per week for three weeks. These sessions consist of endurance and resistance training, and are supervised by physiotherapists. Patients are able to perform their endurance training on either a treadmill or cycle
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TABLE 1

Demographics and clinical characteristics according to categories of 6 min walk distance (6MWD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>≥250</th>
<th>150 – 249</th>
<th>≤149</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>57 (24)</td>
<td>98 (41)</td>
<td>82 (35)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, male/female, n/n</td>
<td>24/33</td>
<td>44/54</td>
<td>24/58</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>68±8.6</td>
<td>68±8.9</td>
<td>71±8.7*</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1, L</td>
<td>0.91±0.46*</td>
<td>0.69±0.28</td>
<td>0.70±0.34</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1, % predicted</td>
<td>39.3±19.9*</td>
<td>30.6±13.0</td>
<td>32.2±14.7</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC, L</td>
<td>1.62±0.70*</td>
<td>1.28±0.45</td>
<td>1.17±0.41</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1/FVC</td>
<td>56.5±14.7</td>
<td>56.2±17.1</td>
<td>59.1±16.8</td>
<td>0.491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities (≥4), n (%)</td>
<td>45 (80)</td>
<td>84 (87)</td>
<td>73 (94)</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6MWD at admission, m: 307.8±46.0 vs 202.0±29.7 vs 88.9±37.3 (P<0.001). 6MWD at discharge, m: 344.5±64.5 vs 261.9±52.1 vs 174.1±73.5 (P<0.001). Change in 6MWD, m: 36.7±51.1 vs 57.6±49.3 vs 84.9±61.2 (P<0.001). Patients attaining MID, n (%): 35 (61) vs 71 (72) vs 65 (79) (P=0.69).

One-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s method for multiple comparisons when necessary. *Indicates that the value in this group is significantly different from the other two groups. FEV1 Forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC Forced vital capacity; MID Minimally important difference

Measure of functional status

The 6MWT is a self-paced protocol that permits patients to choose their own walking pace intensity and allows them to stop and rest during the test. The total distance walked is recorded as the 6MWD. This test was performed as per American Thoracic Society standards (11) as part of the physiotherapy assessment at admission and before discharge from the PR program.

Statistical analyses

Demographic and clinical data are summarized using means, SDs and medians for continuous variables, and expressed in percentages for categorical variables. Clinical outcomes are presented as the proportion of patients experiencing outcomes of interest (categories of 6MWD and MID). Differences in mean values for descriptive variables in each of the three 6MWD categories were analyzed using a one-way ANOVA. If an overall difference was significant according to ANOVA, post hoc analyses using the Bonferroni method were performed. The starting point for survival was date of admission to hospital. In cases in which there were multiple admissions in the same year, only the first admission was used. Mortality data were obtained from government databases up until December 15, 2013, and survival was censored as of this date. Censoring time was fixed at December 15, 2013, for a potential maximum follow-up time of 47.5 months and patients who were alive at this date were considered to be censored. Survival was evaluated descriptively using Kaplan-Meier methods for censored data (15,16).

An exploratory analysis was conducted to identify the prognostic factors for survival. The log-rank statistic was used to make nonparametric comparisons using the Kaplan-Meier as well as Cox proportional hazard methods. The following baseline confounding variables were used for Cox regression: age, sex, comorbidity, FEV1 and initial 6MWD. As an exploratory analysis, demographic and clinical data were presented separately for three groups of 6MWD, and differences in key characteristics between the groups were investigated. Within the three categories of admission 6MWD, statistical significance was accepted at P≤0.05. Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS version 20 (IBM Corporation, USA).

RESULTS

The medical charts of 282 patients admitted and considered for PR were reviewed and, of these, 45 were excluded from the analysis due to missing values for either both pre- and post-6MWD. Therefore, 237 patients with severe COPD comprised the final cohort.

The mean (± SD) age of the study group was 69±8.8 years and women outnumbered men (145 women, 92 men). The mean FEV1 of 0.75±0.36 L indicated a population with serious airway obstruction. Forty percent of patients were transferred to PR from acute-care hospitals, while 60% were admitted from home. Multiple comorbidities were common in this patient cohort, with 85% (202 of 237) having ≥4 comorbid conditions. More specifically, the most commonly occurring comorbid conditions as per ICD-10 classifications were: endocrine, nutritional and metabolic diseases (62%), hypertension (50%), diseases of the digestive system (44%), coronary artery diseases (39%), and anxiety and depression (39%). The mean 6MWD at entry to PR was 188.3±91.1 m. Following PR, the mean 6MWD was 251.4±90.4 m, and the mean change in 6MWD was 62.0±57.0 m. The MID of ≥30 m was observed in 72% (171 of 237) of patients.

Demographic and clinical characteristics according to 6MWD category are presented in Table 1. Patients in the low walking category were predominantly female and most had ≥4 comorbid conditions. Patients in all three groups improved their 6MWD following PR. Those with the lowest initial 6MWD had the greatest relative improvement post-PR.

When conducting survival analysis, 90 deaths were observed. Patients excluded from study due to a missing 6MWD pre- or post-PR, had a similar overall survival as those included in the cohort (P=0.45). Mean overall survival for the observation period was 35.7 months (95% confidence interval [CI] 31.6, 39.8). The starting point for survival analysis was date of admission to hospital. In cases in which there were multiple admissions in the same year, only the first admission was used. Mortality data were obtained from government databases up until December 15, 2013, and survival was censored as of this date. Censoring time was fixed at December 15, 2013, for a potential maximum follow-up time of 47.5 months and patients who were alive at this date were considered to be censored. Survival was evaluated descriptively using Kaplan-Meier methods for censored data (15,16).

An exploratory analysis was conducted to identify the prognostic factors for survival. The log-rank statistic was used to make nonparametric comparisons using the Kaplan-Meier as well as Cox proportional hazard methods. The following baseline confounding variables were used for Cox regression: age, sex, comorbidity, FEV1 and initial 6MWD. As an exploratory analysis, demographic and clinical data were presented separately for three groups of 6MWD, and differences in key characteristics between the groups were investigated. Within the three categories of admission 6MWD, statistical significance was accepted at P≤0.05. Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS version 20 (IBM Corporation, USA).

RESULTS

The medical charts of 282 patients admitted and considered for PR were reviewed and, of these, 45 were excluded from the analysis due to missing values for either both pre- and post-6MWD. Therefore, 237 patients with severe COPD comprised the final cohort.

The mean (± SD) age of the study group was 69±8.8 years and women outnumbered men (145 women, 92 men). The mean FEV1 of 0.75±0.36 L indicated a population with serious airway obstruction. Forty percent of patients were transferred to PR from acute-care hospitals, while 60% were admitted from home. Multiple comorbidities were common in this patient cohort, with 85% (202 of 237) having ≥4 comorbid conditions. More specifically, the most commonly occurring comorbid conditions as per ICD-10 classifications were: endocrine, nutritional and metabolic diseases (62%), hypertension (50%), diseases of the digestive system (44%), coronary artery diseases (39%), and anxiety and depression (39%). The mean 6MWD at entry to PR was 188.3±91.1 m. Following PR, the mean 6MWD was 251.4±90.4 m, and the mean change in 6MWD was 62.0±57.0 m. The MID of ≥30 m was observed in 72% (171 of 237) of patients.

Demographic and clinical characteristics according to 6MWD category are presented in Table 1. Patients in the low walking category were predominantly female and most had ≥4 comorbid conditions. Patients in all three groups improved their 6MWD following PR. Those with the lowest initial 6MWD had the greatest relative improvement post-PR.

When conducting survival analysis, 90 deaths were observed. Patients excluded from study due to a missing 6MWD pre- or post-PR, had a similar overall survival as those included in the cohort (P=0.45). Mean overall survival for the observation period was 35.7 months (95% confidence interval [CI] 31.6, 39.8).
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CI 33.6 to 37.9 months), with a one-, two- and three-year survival of 71%, 66% and 58%, respectively. The median survival had not yet been reached. Mean survival for the study period in different walking categories was 42.2 months (95% CI 39.5 to 44.9 months) for high walkers, 37.0 months (95% CI 33.9 to 40.1 months) for medium walkers and 27.8 months (95% CI 23.9 to 31.8 months) for low walkers (P<0.001). One, two- and three-year survival for each walking category are presented in Table 2. As shown, survival in the lowest walking category was 55% at one year and only 34% at three years. This is illustrated graphically in a Kaplan-Meier survival curve in Figure 1. When analyzed for only the first year, an initial survival benefit was observed in patients who attained an MID (≥30 m) in 6MWD; however, no significant difference in overall survival was detected when all survival data were analyzed (Figure 2). There was no survival difference between patients who reached an MID versus those who did not in the high walking (≥250 m) and medium walking (150 m to 249 m) groups. However, for those in the lowest walking category (≤149 m), a significant difference in median survival was observed for those who achieved an MID with PR (P=0.034). In those in the lowest walking category, median survival for the study period was 34.1 months (95% CI 22.4 to 45.7 months) in those who attained an MID (65 of 82 patients) compared with 18.9 months (95% CI 0.6 to 37.3 months) in those who did not reach an MID (17 of 82 patients) (Figure 3). This corresponded to a three-year survival of 39% in those who achieved an MID versus 18% in those who did not.

The final multivariate Cox proportional hazard model is presented in Table 3. From the variables included in the model, only age, sex and initial 6MWD were predictive of survival. Results demonstrate that the probability of death increased by 4% for every additional year of life (ie, increasing age) while adjusting for other variables (sex, initial 6MWD and FEV1). However, the number of comorbid conditions and lung function (FEV1, % predicted) were not predictive of survival in the present cohort.

### Table 2

Percent survival per year for each walk distance category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Walk distance category</th>
<th>1 year</th>
<th>2 years</th>
<th>3 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≥250 m</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 m – 249 m</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤149 m</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data presented as %

### Table 3

Cox proportional hazard model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable in the equation</th>
<th>HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.049 (1.023–1.075)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex*</td>
<td>0.623 (0.400–0.970)</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial 6 min walk distance</td>
<td>0.995 (0.992–0.997)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forced expiratory volume in 1 s</td>
<td>0.521 (0.281–1.039)</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Categorical variable (female sex decreased the probability of death by 38%)*
DISCUSSION

The present chart review of patients with severe COPD and significant comorbidity attending an inpatient PR program revealed that initial 6MWD was predictive of survival. Overall survival at three years was only 58%, and was particularly poor in patients with a low (<150 m) initial walk distance (34%). Although the majority of patients achieved a minimally important improvement in 6MWD following PR (an important outcome for efficacy of the program), this was not predictive of survival. However, this was predictive of mortality in the subgroup with the lowest 6MWD whose survival in those who failed to achieve an MID was only 18% at three years. The Cox regression proportional hazards model used to identify factors associated with survival was important in further defining risk in this COPD population. In the current study, older age, male sex and shorter initial 6MWD were negative predictors of survival.

The 6MWT is a standardized, reliable and practical measure that can be used in the clinical setting to assess functional capacity (9,17). This inexpensive, minimally invasive test is reflective of activities of daily living (11), and has been used to characterize disease severity and assess patient progress before and after clinical interventions such as PR (7,10). Of importance, a low 6MWD has been associated with mortality in a variety of pulmonary settings (18-20). The 6MWD has also been used along with measures of lung function, BMI and dyspnea to calculate the BODE index (5). Our study has shown that determination of the 6MWD alone may provide a simpler way to predict mortality in patients with COPD.

There have been previous attempts to predict survival in COPD. Studies have shown that exercise capacity is a better predictor of mortality than other measures such as FEV₁ and BMI (4,9). A review conducted by Celli (4), investigating predictors of mortality in COPD, stated that exercise capacity is the most important predictor of survival. Waschki et al (21) found that physical activity was the strongest predictor of all-cause mortality in patients with COPD. In that study, physical activity was measured by the use of a multisensory arm band to record activity. However, the 6MWD, a simpler measure, was also found to be predictive of mortality. Pinto-Plata et al (9) showed that 6MWD was able to predict survival in COPD patients and that patients unable to walk >100 m had an extremely high mortality (92%) at one year. Similarly, our study revealed high mortality in those with severe COPD, with an overall survival of only 58% at three years; however, our study is unique in that we have been able to further categorize survival on the basis of exercise capacity as measured by the 6MWD and response to PR.

Other authors have studied predictors of mortality in the PR setting in which the 6MWD is commonly utilized. Similar to our retrospective chart review, Enfield et al (22) performed a retrospective study involving >800 patients with end-stage COPD undergoing inpatient PR. These authors found that post-PR 6MWD was predictive of survival but initial 6MWD was not. An association between change in 6MWD and survival was also noted. The authors believed that while the initial 6MWD was the most important assessment, it was also the most confounding because many patients were recovering from a recent COPD exacerbation. Our study demonstrated that initial 6MWD was predictive of survival, and that 6MWD improved significantly with PR in patients with severe COPD. In contrast to the findings of Enfield et al (22), an association between change in 6MWD and survival was not evident for the group as a whole, but found only in the subgroup with the poorest exercise capacity. Differences in results may, in part, be related to the cohorts studied. Classification of disease in the study by Enfield et al (22) was based on the presence of comorbid illness, recent exacerbation and need for inpatient PR. Although, the present study also included patients with significant comorbidity, an important difference is that they were referred for PR from a variety of sources. This included acute care hospitals, community nursing services and outpatient clinics. Many patients had been admitted from home rather than hospital (60% versus 40%). Furthermore, not all patients were immediately recovering from an acute exacerbation, nor were they determined to be end stage as in the study by Enfield et al (22). Our study population had been referred from a large metropolitan region, and those in this cohort are typical of the more severe patients seen in most Canadian PR programs.

Previous studies have shown that change in 6MWD following PR is predictive of survival (4,9,13). Despite the lack of a difference in survival for the group as a whole in our study, patients in the lowest walking group who had not achieved an MID had a significantly poorer survival compared with those who did. Although this finding is subject to the limitations of a subgroup analysis, the results are striking, warrant attention and require further confirmatory studies. This novel finding is indicative of a very high risk subgroup. Individuals with extremely limited exercise capacity and an inability to improve with an intensive PR program likely represent those with the greatest burden of disease who may be at or near the final stages of their illness.

The results of the present study are in agreement with previous findings in that patients with severe COPD and a low 6MWD have poor survival (23,24). As such, patients with COPD and very limited exercise capacity as measured by the 6MWT must be considered to have reduced life expectancy, not unlike that of patients with various advanced carcinomas such as non-small cell lung cancer (25-27). Although the present study showed relatively high mortality in patients with severe COPD and poor functional status, it should be recognized that this was found despite aggressive treatment including PR. It is conceivable that mortality in similar patients not receiving PR could be even higher. This is relevant given the scarcity of resources and that the majority of patients with COPD do not participate in – or have access to – PR (28).

There were several limitations to the present study. First, this was a retrospective chart review with the inherent caveats of this methodology. As such, it was at times difficult to obtain precise information about patient comorbidity and medication use. Second, there were several patients who were excluded due to missing data with respect to 6MWD, which was a primary outcome of PR. However, as mentioned previously, this did not significantly affect survival results in the present study. Finally, it was not always possible to ascertain whether patients experienced a recent exacerbation. This could have been important because patients with a recent exacerbation may have been individuals with a higher potential mortality. Despite these limitations, this cohort was a good representation of the type of patients seen in clinical PR programs, thereby making the present study more clinically relevant.

CONCLUSION

Patients with severe COPD who have poor functional status as measured by 6MWD have a high potential mortality. Patients with the lowest exercise capacity and who are unable to achieve improvement may be those who have the very worst prognosis. Given the difficulty in projecting patient trajectory, measurement of 6MWD is useful as a predictive tool. In addition, the present study highlights the role of PR to better evaluate prognosis in a severely limited COPD patient population. This information can serve as a method to focus clinical interventions and perhaps in some cases, to bridge the gap between active treatment and symptom management.
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