Implementation of a targeted screening program to detect airflow obstruction suggestive of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease within a presurgical screening clinic
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BACKGROUND: Targeted spirometry screening for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) has been studied in primary care and community settings. Limitations regarding availability and quality of testing remain. A targeted spirometry screening program was implemented within a presurgical screening (PSS) clinic to detect undiagnosed airways disease and identify patients with COPD/asthma in need of treatment optimization.

OBJECTIVE: To determine airflow obstruction detection rates and examined characteristics of patients identified through the targeted screening program.

METHODS: The targeted spirometry screening program was implemented within the PSS clinic of a tertiary care university hospital. Current or ex-smokers with respiratory symptoms and patients with a history of COPD or asthma underwent prebronchodilator spirometry. History of airways disease and smoking status were obtained during the PSS assessment and confirmed through chart reviews.

RESULTS: After exclusions, the study sample included 449 current or ex-smokers. Abnormal spirometry results were found in 184 (41%) patients: 73 (16%) had mild, 93 (21%) had moderate and 18 (4%) had severe or very severe airflow obstruction. One hundred eighteen (26%) new cases of airflow obstruction suggestive of COPD were detected. One-half of these new cases had moderate or severe airflow obstruction. Only 34% of patients with abnormal spirometry results had reported a previous diagnosis of COPD. More than one-half of patients with abnormal spirometry results were current smokers.

CONCLUSIONS: Undiagnosed airflow obstruction was detected in a significant number of smokers and ex-smokers through a targeted screening program within a PSS clinic. These patients can be referred for early intervention and secondary preventive strategies.
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Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is highly prevalent, affecting 9% to 26% of individuals 40 years of age, and is the fourth leading cause of death in Canada (1-4). More than 750,000 Canadian adults report being diagnosed with COPD by a health care professional (5). COPD appears to be underdiagnosed because prevalence rates based on spirometry testing are two to six times higher than estimates based on patients' self-reports of a physician diagnosis (6,7).

Early diagnosis and management of COPD is important because it is a leading cause of hospitalization and emergency department visits (8-12). Early detection enables the initiation of treatment, if needed, and for secondary preventive strategies including smoking cessation, education and healthy lifestyle changes (13-15). The Canadian Thoracic Society recommends spirometry testing for individuals at risk for COPD: individuals >40 years of age with a past or present smoking history and at least one respiratory symptom, such as breathlessness, wheezing, cough, or persistent phlegm and frequent lung infections (16). Despite the simplicity of spirometry, limitations regarding access, use, quality and interpretation of results are considerable (17-19).

A novel targeted screening program was implemented within the presurgical screening (PSS) clinic of a tertiary care hospital. This was initiated in response to indications that avoidable postoperative pulmonary complications were occurring in patients with previously undiagnosed COPD and in COPD patients with poorly controlled disease. A quality assurance study was performed to evaluate the detection rate of airflow obstruction and to examine the characteristics of individuals identified through the targeted screening program.
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Description of the program
A walk-in spirometry service for patients referred for testing is offered as part of a dedicated Airways Centre within the Respirology Division of the Jewish General Hospital (JGH, Montreal, Quebec). This is open to the hospital community at large and used extensively by respirologists for patient evaluation, follow-up and education. In June 2010, a targeted COPD screening program was developed and implemented in collaboration with the hospital’s PSS clinic. The PSS clinic sees all hospital patients undergoing interventional procedures and elective surgery except those scheduled for coronary artery bypass grafts. Patients meeting the criteria of the targeted COPD screening algorithm were referred for spirometry: current or ex-smokers (pack-years > 10), ≥ 40 years of age and reporting at least one respiratory symptom on the Canadian Lung Health Test (20). During the history and physical examination, patients were asked about history of COPD and asthma. Patients reporting a history of COPD or asthma were also sent for presurgical spirometry regardless of pulmonary symptoms or smoking status (Figure 1).

Prebronchodilator spirometry was performed by a registered respiratory therapist according to American Thoracic Society standards (21) using a Spiro USB spirometer (Cardinal Health, Spirometry PC Software, version 1.5.0.0). Calibration of the device was performed daily. Measurements were taken with the patient in a seated position with a nose clip. Forced expiratory manoeuvres were repeated until three acceptable and reproducible measurements were obtained. The best forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁) and forced vital capacity (FVC) were recorded, and FEV₁/FVC was calculated. Predicted values for FEV₁ were calculated using the equations reported by Knudson et al (22). Height and weight were measured wearing indoor clothing without shoes. A written interpretation based on American Thoracic Society criteria was automatically generated. The spirometry results were reviewed by a respirologist. Based on the results and respirologist interpretation, the PSS clinic decided whether to request a respirology consultation where further evaluation and testing was performed before surgery. The present study was approved by the JGH Research Ethics Committee (Ethics # CR12-37).

Methods

Participants and setting
Patients referred for spirometry from the PSS clinic of the JGH between July 2010 and August 2012 were included in the present study. Patients who were never smokers (pack-years ≤ 10) were excluded from the sample. Only the first screening spirometry was included for patients referred more than once during the study period. Patients presenting for a preoperative screening spirometry outside of the algorithm (e.g., directly from surgeons) were excluded from the analyses. Patients with unacceptable spirometry quality or for whom essential information was missing (e.g., medical history, smoking history) were also excluded.

Procedures
Spirometry results were classified based on modified Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) criteria (23). Because postbronchodilator spirometry results were not available, prebronchodilator results were used to estimate severity. The hospital in- and outpatient record was reviewed to confirm the presence or absence of airways disease history provided during the PSS clinic assessment. Smoking status, pack-year history and presurgical respirology consultations were also reviewed. Pack-years were defined as the number of cigarettes smoked per day divided by 20 and multiplied by the number of years that the patient smoked. Patients with abnormal spirometry results were classified according to whether this was a new or a known diagnosis. Patients were categorized as new cases if they denied having a history of COPD during their preadmission evaluation and no previous diagnosis of COPD was found in their hospital record. Those whose hospital record indicated a diagnosis of COPD, including questionable diagnoses such as COPD/asthma, were categorized as having known COPD. Demographic and physiological parameters were compiled. Frequencies, means and SDs were calculated. χ² statistical analyses were conducted. All analyses were performed using SPSS version 19 (IBM Corporation, USA). Statistical significance was defined as P < 0.05.
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were smokers and 215 (48%) were ex-smokers (Table 1). Only 3% of patients referred for targeted spirometry screening were scheduled for thoracic surgery. Twenty-seven percent of patients were scheduled for abdominal surgery, and 67% for other general surgical procedures. Information regarding the type of surgery was missing for 3% of patients due to cancellation. According to modified GOLD criteria, 184 (41%) patients had abnormal spirometry: 73 (16%) had mild, 93 (21%) had moderate and 18 (4%) had severe or very severe airflow obstruction (Figure 3). Following a review of hospital records, it was determined that the abnormal spirometry results observed in six patients were due to asthma rather than airflow obstruction suggestive of COPD. One hundred eighteen patients were new-found cases of airflow obstruction suggestive of COPD while 60 were already known for having COPD, representing 26% and 13% of the screened population, respectively. Only 34% of patients with abnormal spirometry had a history of COPD. Of the known COPD cases, 49 (82%) had at least moderate airflow obstruction. One-half (50%) of patients with newly identified airflow obstruction had moderate to severe airflow obstruction (Figure 4). Patients with known COPD were significantly more likely to have more advanced modified GOLD stage COPD than patients who were newly identified ($\chi^2=17.7; P<0.001$).

More than one-half (51%) of patients with abnormal spirometry results were still smoking at the time of screening. Significantly more patients were still smoking among those newly recognized with airflow obstruction compared with those with previously documented COPD (58% versus 37%, respectively; $\chi^2=7.0; P<0.01$).

COPD misdiagnosis

A medical history of COPD was found in the charts of 68 patients. Spirometry and chart reviews including examination of chest x-rays and/or computed tomography scans, when available, revealed that the criteria for COPD diagnosis were not met in nine (13%) cases. All nine cases showed no evidence of airflow obstruction on screening spirometry and one was believed to have asthma based on history.

DISCUSSION

The results of the present study indicate that a targeted spirometry screening program to detect airflow obstruction suggestive of COPD integrated within a PSS clinic is both feasible and effective. Only a small proportion of patients referred for spirometry were unable to perform the test, while an important number of patients with undiagnosed COPD were identified as having airflow obstruction suggestive of COPD. Forty percent of screened patients were identified as having airflow obstruction. Of those referred for targeted screening, 26% represented newly detected cases while 13% were already known to have COPD. Only 34% of patients with airflow obstruction had a history of COPD. One-half of the patients with newly recognized airflow obstruction had at least moderate airflow obstruction. More than 50% of the patients with abnormal spirometry were still smoking.

COPD is a prevalent disease and a significant burden to patients and the health care system (5,11). Screening programs using spirometry have been used to estimate the true prevalence of COPD. Multiple population screening studies involving adults >40 years of age report prevalence rates of 9% to 26% (1-3,24). The utility of population spirometry screening programs for the detection of COPD is controversial (25). Screening of asymptomatic individuals has been criticized, leading to recent guidelines recommending against population screening for COPD (26,27). There is, however, evidence to suggest that targeted screening strategies may be useful (28-31). The Canadian Thoracic Society guidelines, therefore, recommend targeted screening of patients at risk for COPD (16). COPD screening studies

TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient demographics (n=449)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years, mean ± SD</td>
<td>65.3±10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>260 (58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>189 (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>234 (52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex-smoker</td>
<td>215 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pack-years, mean ± SD</td>
<td>37±22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>87 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>362 (81)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as n (%) unless otherwise indicated.
of at-risk individuals (current and former smokers, ≥40 years of age, with a smoking history ≥10 pack-years) using spirometry report prevalence rates of 23% to 30% (32,33). More recently, the Canadian Cohort of Obstructive Lung Disease (CanCOLD) study, a multisite Canadian study, reported a prevalence rate of GOLD stage 2 COPD in a cohort of at-risk patients (>40 years of age, a minimum 20 pack-year smoking history, visiting a primary care practitioner) of 21% (34). The present study found an overall prevalence of airflow obstruction suggestive of COPD of 41% based on spirometry. The higher rates demonstrated in the present study may be due to the use of prebronchodilator values and to the added requirement that those referred have a positive Canadian Lung Health Test and, therefore, were symptomatic. As in the CanCOLD study, approximately two-thirds of our screened patients with positive spirometry results were not previously aware of their diagnosis (34).

Spirometry has also been used to estimate the prevalence of COPD according to severity of illness. The CanCOLD study reports prevalence rates of 16% for moderate COPD (GOLD 2) and 4% for severe COPD (GOLD 3 or 4) in high-risk patients (34). We found that 16%, 21% and 4% of screened patients had mild, moderate, and severe or very severe airflow obstruction, respectively. One-half of the newly detected patients had mild airflow obstruction, suggesting that these patients were identified early in the disease trajectory. Most importantly, however, 50% of new-found cases had moderate, severe or very severe airflow obstruction. These individuals may be at higher risk for exacerbations, rapid functional decline and, in this prescriptive context, postoperative complications (35). Patients are often diagnosed with COPD without spirometry testing (18,36,37). Our targeted screening program identified nine patients (13%) with a history of COPD unsupported by spirometry and clinical criteria. This result is in agreement with the misdiagnosis rate of 13% identified in a study conducted in the primary care setting (34).

There is evidence that early detection and diagnosis of COPD is beneficial to patients (38,39). A recent review concluded that the most rapid rate of FEV1 decline occurs during GOLD stage 2 disease and suggests that it would be beneficial if COPD could be diagnosed and addressed before this period (40). Early identification enables access to secondary preventive care, of which smoking cessation is the most important (41-43). Smoking cessation in patients with mild COPD has been shown to slow the progression of FEV1 decline (13-15). Providing patients with spirometry evidence helps optimize smoking cessation counselling and efforts (44-47). Eighty-four percent of Canadians ≥35 years of age with self-reported COPD were or had been smokers at the time of diagnosis and almost 40% continued to smoke (5). In a cohort of patients at risk for COPD, Hill et al (34) reported that almost 50% were current smokers. Similarly, in the present study, 51% of patients with abnormal spirometry were current smokers. Of those newly identified with airflow obstruction, 58% were smokers. There are other potentially beneficial early interventions. Recent guidelines for the prevention of acute exacerbations recommend that patients with COPD receive yearly influenza vaccination (43). Furthermore, there is evidence that the introduction of maintenance pharmacotherapy during the early stages of COPD may be beneficial (39,40).

Our targeted screening program for COPD was implemented within a presurgical screening clinic following our anecdotal experience with post-surgical complications in patients with undiagnosed or suboptimally treated COPD. Patients with COPD have high hospital admission and readmission rates (10,48). They are also at higher risk for postoperative complications. A recent study reported an increasing length of stay associated with postoperative complications accounting for 82% of the costs incurred (60,61), a targeted spirometry screening program has potential for significant cost savings.

Our targeted spirometry screening intervention did not include postbronchodilator spirometry, which may have resulted in an overestimation of identification rates. However, to be accessible, screening interventions should be inexpensive, quick and simple to administer. The rationale to using postbronchodilator spirometry is primarily to exclude asthma. To limit the potential impact of asthma on our results, never smokers were excluded from the analyses. Furthermore, the presence or absence of bronchodilator reversibility is unlikely to impact the diagnosis of symptomatic moderate to severe COPD because air-flow obstruction in such patients is not likely to normalize (6). In our study, 60% of patients with abnormal spirometry results had moderate to severe airflow obstruction.

This spirometry screening program targeted at-risk patients who scored positive on the Canadian Lung Health Test. Various other case-finding questionnaires have been proposed to aid in optimizing the use of spirometry screening (62-68). A comparison of the sensitivity and specificity of these questionnaires would be useful to maximize the impact of a targeted program integrated within a PSS clinic. It would also be beneficial to evaluate the impact of this targeted screening program on healthy lifestyle changes (smoking cessation, physical activity), disease management, exacerbations and hospitalizations. Further studies are needed to evaluate the impact of the targeted presurgical COPD screening program on peri- and postoperative complications. Of particular interest would be the impact on in-hospital pulmonary complication rates, postoperative length of stay and readmissions rates due to respiratory causes.

CONCLUSION

Our findings showed that a targeted preoperative screening program for patients at risk for COPD is feasible and effective. Undiagnosed airflow obstruction can be detected in a significant number of smokers and former smokers. One-half of newly identified patients had at least moderate disease. Furthermore, many continued to smoke. This program provides an opportunity to impact disease progression in patients who would otherwise have remained undiagnosed through pharmacotherapy and secondary preventive interventions including smoking cessation.
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