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Aging leads to a multitude of changes in the cardiovascular system, including increased vascular stiffness and increased pulse pressure. In this paper we will review the effects of age-associated increased vascular stiffness on systolic blood pressure, pulse pressure, augmentation index, and cardiac workload. Additionally we will describe pulse wave velocity as a method to measure vascular stiffness and review the impact of increased vascular stiffness as an index of vascular health and as a predictor of adverse cardiovascular outcomes. Furthermore, we will discuss the underlying mechanisms and how these may be modified in order to change the outcomes. A thorough understanding of these concepts is of paramount importance and has therapeutic implications for the increasingly elderly population.

1. Hallmarks of the Aging Cardiovascular System

Aging leads to a multitude of changes in the cardiovascular system including increased vascular stiffness. In fact, age-related increases in blood pressure are mainly attributable to an increase in systolic blood pressure while maintaining or having a slight decrease in diastolic blood pressure. This leads to a widening in pulse pressure (difference between systolic and diastolic blood pressure) [1]. Systolic hypertension is so closely related to aging that people 65 years of age have a 90% chance of developing hypertension in their lifetime [1]. Isolated systolic hypertension is the most common subtype of hypertension in the middle-aged and elderly and is tightly coupled to increased arterial stiffness and pressure augmentation by reflected waves. Other causes of a widened pulse pressure, including severe anemia, aortic insufficiency, thyrotoxicosis or arteriovenous shunting, are much more uncommon.

The arterial system has two major functions. Firstly, it acts as a conduit to deliver oxygenated blood and nutrients to the organs. Secondly, it provides a cushion to soften the pulsations generated by the heart such that capillary blood flow is almost continuous. The human body is highly adapted to achieve those functions. The composition of the arteries, especially the media, changes significantly as one moves from proximal (central large arteries, e.g., aorta and its major branches) to distal (peripheral, predominantly muscular arteries, e.g., brachial or radial). While the predominant fibrous elements in the thoracic aorta contain mainly elastin, the more distal arteries contain mainly collagen. This difference is vital for the central vessels to maintain their Windkessel function of cushioning pulsatile blood flow. With aging disruption of the cross-linking of elastin molecules leads to weakening of the elastin array with predisposition to mineralization by calcium and phosphorous, all of which lead to increased arterial stiffness [2, 3]. The widening pulse pressure seen with aging is a direct surrogate of arterial stiffness. The increase in vascular stiffness has direct implications for ventricular-arterial coupling (interaction of the heart with the systemic vasculature) [4]. The increase in systolic blood pressure increases the systolic workload of the left ventricle and increases left ventricular end-systolic stiffness and reduces diastolic compliance [4]. This leads to increased oxygen consumption, left ventricular hypertrophy, and potentially subendocardial ischemia due to imbalance in myocardial oxygen supply and demand.
2. Vascular Stiffness: Mechanisms

The normal young vascular tree, particularly the aorta, has the ability to cushion the pulsatile ventricular ejection and to transform it into almost continuous flow [5]. This phenomenon is often described as the Windkessel function and requires a high degree of aortic compliance [6], defined as a change in volume in response to a change in pressure \( \Delta V/\Delta P \). Vascular stiffness or elasticity is the reciprocal of compliance. This needs to be distinguished from (i) resistance which characterizes the relationship between mean pressure and flow and (ii) impedance which is a measure of how much a structure resists motion when subjected to a given force. In oscillating systems, instantaneous measurements are also influenced by those that immediately precede them.

The elasticity of a given arterial segment is not constant but rather depends on its distending pressure [7]. A higher distending pressure leads to an increase in recruitment of collagen fibers and therefore a reduction in elasticity [8]. This distending pressure is determined by the mean arterial pressure and must be considered whenever measurements of arterial stiffness are made. In addition to elastin, arterial wall smooth muscle bulk and tone influence arterial stiffness. Thus, the endothelium because of its capacity to modulate smooth muscle tone modulates stiffness. Moreover, vessel thickness also influences the stiffness of vessels. In general smaller vessels are relatively stiffer than bigger vessels because of their smaller radius [9]. A large vessel can accept a larger volume for the same change in distending pressure and thus has a greater compliance. Furthermore, wall composition varies with size, with the media of large central vessels composed mainly from elastin, while peripheral conduit arteries contain relatively more collagen. With aging, this structure of the arterial wall changes as a consequence of fractures of the elastic lamina, loss of muscle attachments, increase in collagen fibers, local inflammation, infiltration of vascular smooth muscle cells and macrophages, fibrosis, deposition of mucoid material, focal media smooth muscle cell necrosis, and calcification. The intima-media thickness triples between the ages 20 and 90 [10, 11]. A major component of this compositional change with aging is a consequence of elastin fracture, with elastin being progressively replaced by collagen [12]. This results in major age-related changes in the vasculature: it increases arterial stiffness leading to increases in systolic blood pressure and a widening pulse pressure. Furthermore these changes result in arterial dilatation as weight bearing elastin breaks down [2, 3].

Stiffness is also increased by the accrual of advanced glycation end (AGE) products [14]. These result from irreversible nonenzymatic glycation of proteins (e.g., collagen) [15]. Cross-linking and AGES formation can also involve elastin, degrading the elastic matrix of the vessel wall [16]. Furthermore AGE increases the formation of oxygen radicals, proinflammatory cytokines, growth factors, and vascular adhesion molecules [17]. These mediators increase vascular stiffness via matrix metalloproteinase, increasing smooth muscle tone, attenuating vasodilation, and promoting atherosclerotic plaques [18–21]. In a recent clinical trial by Kass et al., the nonenzymatic breaker of advanced glycation end-product crosslinks ALT-711, has been shown to improve total arterial compliance in aged humans with vascular stiffness, and may therefore provide a novel therapeutic approach for this abnormality [22].

In addition to the aforementioned changes, vascular smooth muscle tone and endothelial signaling exert a significant effect on vascular stiffness [17]. Mechano-stimulation can directly alter vascular tone by cell stretch, changes in calcium signaling, oxidative stress, and nitric oxide production [24–26]. The major mediator of endothelium-dependent vasorelaxation is nitric oxide (NO) [27]. It is derived from L-arginine by NOS (nitric oxide synthetase) [28]. NOS uncoupling, the generation of reactive oxygen species instead of NO [29], contributes to age-related endothelial dysfunction [30], increased vascular stiffness, slower ventricular relaxation [31], and atherosclerosis [32], all of which increase PWV. NOS uncoupling can have several etiologies including limited substrate (arginine) or cofactor (Tetrahydrobiopterin) availability, as well as a recently identified posttranslational modification by the enzyme glutathionylation (oxidized glutathione) [33–35]. In addition to its vasoactive effects, NO modulates the activity of the matrix crosslinking enzyme transglutaminase (TG) via S-nitrosylation, also leading to increases in arterial stiffening [36, 37]. Other mechanisms recognized as contributing to the development of increased vascular stiffness in aging include a decrease in NOS expression [38], an increase in xanthine oxidase activity [39, 40], and an increase in reactive oxygen species [39, 41], while stiffening itself can lead to a decrease in NOS activity [42].

3. Measurements of Vascular Stiffness

The arterial pressure waveform is a composite of two waveforms, namely, a forward pressure wave due to ventricular contraction and ejection of blood into the aorta and a backward wave created by reflections at vascular branching points and at points of impedance mismatch (branch points, abrupt change in vessel diameter, and high resistance arterioles; Figure 1) [23]. The speed of travel of this wave along the artery is called pulse wave velocity (PWV) [13]. In young vascular beds, the reflected wave arrives back at the aortic root during diastole [12]. Increased arterial stiffness, as that occurring for example with aging, results in an increase in PWV and the reflected wave arrives back to the central circulation during systolic ejection. This adds to the forward wave, augmenting systolic blood pressure and widening pulse pressure. This amplification can be quantified by measuring the augmentation index utilizing applanation tonometry. The augmented component is represented by the difference between the first and second systolic peaks, and the augmentation index is defined as the ratio of this component to pulse pressure (Figure 2). Therefore the augmentation index represents a complex measure of wave reflection and incorporates arterial stiffness but is not in itself a measure of stiffness [43]. Another index of vascular stiffness
is pulse pressure amplification, which can be quantified as the ratio of the amplitude of proximal pulse pressure and distal pulse pressure [44]. Of note, there is recent evidence by Mitchell et al. that questions the dominant role of the reflected pressure wave. They studied an unselected community-based population and suggested that the late-life increases in pulse pressure are attributable predominantly to an increase in forward pressure wave amplitude and that wave reflection plays only a minimal role [45]. Irrespective of which factor contributes the most, aging is associated with systolic hypertension, increased pulse pressure, and increased ventricular loading conditions. Augmentation index, pulse pressure amplification, and especially PWV are increasingly utilized as a marker of cardiovascular disease [46]. PWV increases with stiffness and is defined by the Moens-Korteweg equation: \[ \text{PWV} = \sqrt{(Eh/2pR)}, \] where \( E \) is Young’s modulus of the arterial wall, \( h \) is wall thickness, \( R \) is arterial radius, and \( p \) is blood density. Despite this rather complex formula, measurement of PWV is relatively simple. The arterial pulse wave is recorded at both proximal artery site (e.g., common carotid) and distal artery site (e.g., femoral or brachial) [47]. The time delay between the arrival of the pulse wave is obtained either by simultaneous measurement or by gating to the peak of the R-wave of the ECG. The distance is measured over the body surface and the PWV is calculated as distance/time (m/s). The measurement of distance between the two points is only an estimate of the true distance given the individual body habitus. Arterial pulse wave can be detected by pressure sensitive transducers, Doppler ultrasound, or applanation tonometry. Another noninvasive but more complex option is to measure PWV by MRI. This has the advantage of determining the exact path of the pressure wave but is time consuming, impractical clinically, and very costly.

Central vascular stiffness can be assessed noninvasively by measuring pulse wave velocity, assessing pressure waveforms, or measuring pulse pressure. Caution must be exercised when utilizing pulse pressure as an index of central stiffness. In peripheral arteries, reflection sites are closer, and this results in a greater amplification of the pressure wave in peripheral arteries. Hence in young individuals with healthy vessel properties, peripheral pulse pressure is normally greater. In elderly individuals, including patients with hypertension or diabetes, central pulse pressure increases because of altered stiffness properties, and central pulse pressure can approach and indeed equal peripheral pulse pressure.

### 4. Vascular Stiffness Measurements as a Prognostic Indicator

Many large epidemiologic studies, including one of the Framingham cohorts including 2,232 patients, established the role of systolic blood pressure and pulse wave velocity as a predictor for adverse cardiovascular events [48, 49]. Additional studies have demonstrated that brachial pulse pressure is a strong and independent predictor for congestive heart failure and stroke in hypertensive patients and in the general population [50–54]. In the ABC study with 2,488 elderly participants, higher PWV was associated with higher cardiovascular mortality, congestive heart failure, and stroke after adjustment for age, gender, race, systolic blood pressure, known cardiovascular disease, and other recognized cardiovascular risk factors [55]. Similar results were obtained in the
In young individuals, similar brachial blood pressures, central blood pressures vary considerably. Pulse pressure augmentation in old, stiff vessels leads to a significant increase in central blood pressure, and coronary filling.

While the pulse pressures (see [23]) mark the outward traveling blood pressure wave, the second (P2) and first (P1) systolic peaks (delta P) as a percentage of pulse pressure were independent predictors of cardiac mortality and stroke [61]. This was again reinforced by an even larger study in Paris, involving 125,151 middle-aged patients over 12 years without cardiovascular disease undergoing a regular health checkup [62]. The authors showed that brachial pulse pressure, calculated carotid pulse pressure, and carotid-brachial pulse pressure amplification all predict cardiovascular mortality, with carotid-brachial pulse pressure amplification being the strongest predictor [62].

In addition to being a predictor of cardiovascular events in the general population, pulse pressure has also been shown to be independently and significantly associated with renal dysfunction and renal failure after coronary artery bypass graft surgery [63]. In this international prospective multicenter clinical trial involving 4801 patients, every 20 mmHg increase in perioperative pulse pressure above 40 mmHg was associated with significant increase in the rate of renal dysfunction or renal failure [63]. Furthermore, elevated pulse pressure has been shown to be a predictor of stroke after cardiac surgery [64]. More recently pulse pressure has also been shown to be an independent predictor of cardiovascular deaths in a similar cohort after coronary artery bypass graft surgery [65].

A recent systematic review and meta-analysis by Vlachopoulos et al. evaluated 17 longitudinal studies that studied the effects of aortic PWV on a total of 15,877 patients for an average of almost 8 years [46]. They showed that the pooled relative risks increase in a stepwise fashion from the first to the third tertile. Furthermore they divided their analyses into three categories: (a) high versus low aortic PWV, (b) increase in aortic PWV by 1 m/s, and (c) increase in aortic PWV by 1 standard deviation, all of which show that in high-risk (subjects with coronary artery disease, renal disease, hypertension or diabetes) and low-risk subjects (general population) there is an increase in total cardiovascular events, cardiovascular mortality, and all-cause mortality [46] (Figure 3). They therefore conclude that PWV is a very strong predictor of cardiovascular events and all-cause mortality and supported the implementation of aortic pulse wave velocity into clinical practice [46]. This study was followed by an initiative to determine and establish reference values for pulse wave velocity in healthy subjects that can now be used to identify people at higher risk in a certain age group [66].

5. Vascular Stiffness and Treatment/Management Strategies

Central pulse pressure is a strong predictor of adverse cardiovascular outcomes. The CAFE study which involved 2,199 patients in five centers evaluated two different blood pressure regimens [67]. A combination of amlodipine and an ACE inhibitor was superior in lowering central pressures compared to a combination of atenolol and a thiazide diuretic.
Figure 3: This figure shows pooled RR and 95% CI for aortic PWV and total CV events (a), CV mortality (b), and total mortality (c), according to baseline risk and disease state. Data are provided for high versus low aortic pulse wave velocity (PWV) (left column), increase in aortic PWV by 1 m/s (middle column), and increase in aortic PWV by 1 SD (right column) (see [46]).

[67]. This was true despite the fact that both medical regimens lowered brachial blood pressures to the same extent. Moreover, long-term cardiovascular outcomes were superior in the group treated with a combination of amlodipine plus an ACE inhibitor. The authors suggested that differences in central aortic pressures may be a potential mechanism underlying the different clinical outcomes between different blood pressure treatments. Subsequent analyses showed that the main reason underlying the apparent lack of effect of beta-blockers plus a diuretic on central pressures was that beta-blockers lowered heart rate to a greater degree than amlodipine plus ACE inhibitors. This leads to a higher augmentation index and therefore higher central aortic pressures. The reasons for this are twofold: (i) a reduction in heart rate leads to an increase in stroke volume to maintain cardiac output, which when ejected into a stiff aorta causes an increase in systolic blood pressure, (ii) a lower heart rate prolongs the cardiac cycle duration, which delays the time to peak of the outgoing pulse wave and causes the reflected wave to return in late systole, resulting also in an increase in systolic blood pressure. After these investigators adjusted for heart rate, the differences in central systolic and pulse pressures between treatment arms were no longer significant and the differences in indices of central blood pressure augmentation were minimal.

Research in the area of vascular stiffness is motivated by the desire to understand and interrogate underlying mechanisms and thus to modulate stiffness and the resultant cardiovascular sequelae. Many interventions involving lifestyle and dietary modifications, for example, smoking cessation [68], use of unsaturated fatty acids [69], isoflavones (abundant in soy beans) [70], reduced dietary salt intake [71], regular cardiovascular exercise [72], and moderate alcohol consumption [73, 74] have all been linked to reducing vascular stiffness. Other strategies involve pharmacologic interventions like calcium channel blocker, diuretics, ACE inhibitors, beta-blockers, nitrates, phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitors, and statins. Even though all these therapies lower blood pressure, their effect on arterial stiffness is only modest. A study of the REASON trial by de Luca et al. on 146 subjects showed that a combination of ACE inhibitor and a nonthiazide sulphonamide lowered left ventricular mass, as well as central and brachial blood pressure to a greater extend than atenolol therapy [75]. Similar results were seen in a study by Morgan et al. on 32 elderly patients; they showed that augmentation pressures on beta-blockers was greater than with placebo, while augmentation pressures following ACE inhibitors, calcium channel blockers, or diuretics were significantly less compared to placebo [76]. The lowest central aortic pressures were achieved with calcium-blocking drugs and diuretics [76]. In a small study by Hayashi et al., on 24 normotensive elderly subjects, administration of an ACE-inhibitor ameliorated age-related increases in carotid arterial
stiffness [77]. Nitrates, on the other hand, did not influence aortic stiffness even though they reduced pulse pressure by selective venodilatation and attenuation of peripheral wave reflection [78]. Phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitors like sildenafil work similarly to nitrates and also reduce wave reflection and lower pulse pressure, but without the side effects of nitrates [79]. Arterial stiffness could potentially be improved with HMG-co A enzyme inhibition by statins, although this effect is at least partially attributable to a reduction in LDL cholesterol and NOS activation [80, 81]. However, a recent meta-analysis, which included 471 participants, was unable to demonstrate that statins cause a decrease in arterial stiffness. The authors recommended that prospective randomized clinical trials should be conducted in order to reach more robust conclusions [82].

6. Conclusions
Aging leads to a multitude of changes in the cardio-vascular system, and it is a powerful predictor of adverse cardiovascular events. A hallmark of this process is increased central vascular stiffness, which results in an earlier return of the reflected pulse wave, adding to the forward wave and consequently augmenting central systolic blood pressure, widening pulse pressure, increasing cardiac loading conditions, and compromising vital organ perfusion. Although systolic blood pressure and pulse pressure are surrogates for this process, vascular stiffness can be measured more precisely utilizing pulse wave velocity (carotid-femoral) [43].

Vascular stiffness, an index of vascular health, has been shown to confer additional independent predictive value for adverse cardiovascular outcomes. Vascular stiffness is potentially modifiable if we understand the specific underlying mechanisms. Importantly, even in the absence of targeted therapies, an understanding of these concepts has prognostic implications, a concept already established in cardiology and emerging in the area of perioperative medicine.
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