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In the article titled “Comparison of Echocardiographic and Electrocardiographic Mapping for Cardiac Resynchronisation Therapy Optimisation” [1], there was an error in Figure 5, where Figures 5(a) and 5(b) were duplicated, due to a production error. The corrected figure is shown below.
Figure 5: VaT10-90 and TVaT values in each patient according to the VVD setting. LVx, intraventricular pacing interval with the left ventricle paced first by $x$ milliseconds; RVx, intraventricular pacing interval with the right ventricle paced first by $x$ milliseconds; SIM, intraventricular pacing interval with the right and left ventricles paced simultaneously; TVaT, total ventricular activation time; VaT10-90, ventricular activation time 10–90.
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