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We construct simple macrodynamic models with policy lag by means of mixed difference and differential equations, and study how lags in policy response affect the macroeconomic (in)stability. Local dynamics of the prototype model are studied analytically, and the global dynamics of the prototype and the extended models are studied by means of numerical simulations. We show that the government can stabilize the intrinsically unstable economy if the policy lag is sufficiently short, but the system becomes locally unstable when the policy lag is too long. We also show the existence of cycles and complex behavior in some range of the policy lag.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In a classical paper, Friedman (1948) expressed the view that the government’s stabilization policy may be in fact destabilizing because of the existence of the lags in policy response. However, his argument is rather intuitive and his conclusion is not derived analytically from the formal model of macroeconomic interdependency. Without doubt, the analysis of policy lag is important from the practical as well as theoretical point of view. Nevertheless, even now there exist only a few formal analyses of policy lag. In this paper, we construct simple macrodynamic models with policy lag and study how lags in policy response affect the macroeconomic (in)stability. In the next section, we formulate formal models with policy lag by means of nonlinear mixed difference and differential equations (delay differential equations). Prototype model is reduced to the system with only one variable, real national income (Y). Extended version of the model is expressed by the system with two variables, real national income (Y) and real capital stock (K). In section three, we
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Phillips (1957); Asada (1991) and Yoshida (1999) are examples of such works.
study the local dynamics of the prototype model analytically, and the conditions for local stability, local instability, and cyclical movement around the equilibrium point are detected by means of the linearization method. In section four, we study the global dynamics of prototype and extended models by using the numerical simulations. We show that the government can stabilize the intrinsically unstable economy if the policy lag is sufficiently short, but the system becomes locally unstable when the policy lag is too long. We also show the existence of cycles and complex behavior in some range of the policy lag and other parameters.

2. THE MODEL

Basic system of equations in our model is expressed as follows.\(^2\)

\[ \dot{Y}(t) = \alpha[C(t) + I(t) + G(t) - Y(t)]; \quad \alpha > 0 \quad (1) \]

\[ C(t) = c(Y(t) - T(t)) + C_0; \quad 0 < c < 1, \quad C_0 \geq 0 \quad (2) \]

\[ I(t) = I(Y(t), K(t), r(t)); I_r \equiv \partial I / \partial r > 0, \quad I_Y \equiv \partial I / \partial Y < 0, \quad I_K \equiv \partial I / \partial K < 0 \quad (3) \]

\[ T(t) = rY(t) - T_0; \quad 0 < r < 1, \quad T_0 \geq 0 \quad (4) \]

\[ M/p = L(Y(t), r(t)); \quad L_r \equiv \partial L / \partial r < 0, \quad L_Y \equiv \partial L / \partial Y > 0 \quad (5) \]

\[ M/p = \text{const.} > 0 \quad (6) \]

\[ G(t) = G_0 + f(Y(t - \theta)); \quad f'(Y(t - \theta)) \leq 0; \quad (7) \]

where \( Y = \) real national income, \( C = \) real private consumption expenditure, \( I = \) real private investment expenditure, \( G = \) real government expenditure, \( T = \) real income tax, \( K = \) real capital stock, \( r = \) nominal rate of interest, \( M = \) nominal money supply, \( p = \) price level, \( t = \) time period, \( \theta = \) policy lag.

Equation (1) is the quantity adjustment process in the goods market. This equation implies that the real output fluctuates according as the excess demand in the goods market is positive or negative. Equations (2) through (5) are consumption function, investment function, income tax function, and equilibrium condition for money market respectively. Eq. (6) implies that the real money supply \((M/p)\) is fixed, which is merely a simplifying assumption. Eq. (7) is the government’s policy function with the delay in policy response to national income.

Solving Eq. (5) with respect to \( r \), we have the following ‘LM equation’.

\[ r(t) = r(Y(t)); \quad r_Y \equiv r'(Y) = -L_Y/L_r > 0 \quad (8) \]

Substituting Eq. (4) into Eq. (2), and substituting Eq. (8) into Eq. (3), we obtain the following expressions.

\[ C(t) = c(1 - \tau)Y(t) + C_0 + cT_0 \quad (9) \]

\[ I(t) = I(Y(t), K(t), r(Y(t))) \quad (10) \]

Substituting Eqs. (7), (9), and (10) into Eq. (1), we have

\[ \dot{Y}(t) = \alpha[I(Y(t), K(t), r(Y(t)))]
\]

\[ -\{1 - c(1 - \tau)\}Y(t) + C_0 + cT_0 + G_0 + f(Y(t - \theta)) \]. \quad (11) \]

This is single dynamical equation with two endogenous variables \((Y, K)\). Therefore, this system is not yet complete. We need one more equation to close the model. In this paper, we shall consider two ways to close the model.

First, let us consider the ‘short run’ model in the sense that the real capital stock is fixed, \( i.e., \)

\[ K = \bar{K}. \quad (12) \]
Substituting Eq. (12) into Eq. (11), we obtain the following prototype model.

\[
\dot{Y}(t) = \alpha[I(Y(t), \bar{K}, r(Y(t))) - \{1 - c(1 - \tau)\}Y(t) + C_0 + cT_0 + G_0 + f(Y(t - \theta))] \\
= F(Y(t), Y(t - \theta)) \quad (S_1)
\]

which is a simple type of mixed difference and differential equation (delay differential equation). We shall call the model which is summarized in the system (S_1) ‘model 1’.

An extended version of our model is the ‘intermediate run’ model in which the capital stock becomes an endogenous variable. In this case, we allow for the fact that the investment contributes to change the level of capital stock, so that we replace Eq. (12) with the following equation.

\[
\dot{Y}(t) = I(Y(t), Y(t), r(Y(t))) \quad (3)
\]

This model, which we call ‘model 2’, is reduced to the following system of equations.

(i)

\[
\dot{Y}(t) = I(Y(t), K(t), r(Y(t))) - \{1 - c(1 - \tau)\}Y(t) + C_0 + cT_0 + G_0 + f(Y(t - \theta)) \\
\equiv F_1(Y(t), Y(t - \theta), K(t))
\]

(ii)

\[
\dot{K}(t) = I(Y(t), K(t), r(Y(t))) \equiv F_2(Y(t), K(t)) \quad (S_2)
\]

‘Model 2’ is more akin to Kaldor (1940)’s business cycle theory than ‘model 1’ in spirit. We shall study ‘model 1’ analytically and numerically, but we shall study ‘model 2’ only numerically.

3. LOCAL DYNAMICS OF ‘MODEL 1’: A MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS

In this section, we shall investigate the local dynamics of ‘model 1’ analytically by means of the linear approximation method.

Let us assume that there exists an equilibrium solution \(Y^* > 0\) of the system (S_1) which satisfies

\[
F(Y^*, Y^*) = \alpha[I(Y^*, \bar{K}, r(Y^*)) - \{1 - c(1 - \tau)\}Y^* + C_0 + cT_0 + G_0 + f(Y^*)] = 0.4 \quad (14)
\]

Expanding the system (S_1) in a Taylor series around the equilibrium point \(Y^*\) and neglecting the terms of higher order than the first order, we have the following linear approximation of the system (S_1).

\[
\dot{y}(t) = ay(t) - \alpha \beta y(t - \theta); \quad (15)
\]

where

\[
a = I^*_1 + I^*_r r^*_Y - \{1 - c(1 - \tau)\}, \quad \beta = -[\partial I^*(t)/\partial Y(t - \theta)]/\alpha = -f''(Y^*) \geq 0,
\]

\(y(t) \equiv Y(t) - Y^*,\) and \(y(t - \theta) \equiv Y(t - \theta) - Y^*\). Now, let us assume

**Assumption A1**

\[
a \equiv I^*_1 + I^*_r r^*_Y - \{1 - c(1 - \tau)\} > 0.
\]

Assumption A1 implies that the propensity to invest \((I^*_1)\) at the equilibrium point is considerably large, which is a basic assumption of Kaldor (1940)’s business cycle theory.

We can study the local dynamics of the system (S_1) in the vicinity of the equilibrium point by studying the dynamics of the linearized system (15). Substituting \(y(t) = y(0)e^{\rho t}\) into Eq. (15), we obtain the following ‘characteristic equation’.

\[
\Gamma(\rho) \equiv \rho - a\alpha + \alpha \beta e^{-\rho \theta} = 0 \quad (16)
\]

---

3As for the mathematics of such an equation, see Bellman and Cooke (1963); Kuang (1993) and Gandolfo (1993) Chap. 27. There are a few examples of the applications of such an equation in economic literatures. See, among others, Kalecki (1935); Steindl (1952); Johansen (1959); Lange (1969); Mackey (1989); Asada (1991, 1994); Ioannides and Taub (1992); Asea and Zak (1999), and Yoshida (1999).

4We need not assume that \(Y^*\) is unique. In fact, we shall present a numerical example with multiple equilibria in Section 4.

5The asterisk (*) shows that the values are evaluated at the equilibrium point.
or equivalently,

\[
\frac{1}{\theta} \lambda - \alpha a + \alpha \beta e^{-\lambda} = 0
\]  

(17)

where \( \lambda = \theta \rho \). If all the roots of Eq. (17) have negative real parts, the equilibrium point of the system \((S_i)\) is locally stable. On the other hand, it becomes locally unstable if at least one root of Eq. (17) has positive real part.\(^6\) First, let us consider the characteristics of the real roots of Eq. (17).

3.1. Characteristics of the Real Roots\(^7\)

We can rewrite Eq. (17) as

\[
f_1(\lambda) \equiv e^{-\lambda} = -(1/\theta \alpha \beta) \lambda + a/\beta \equiv f_2(\lambda).
\]  

(18)

We can see from Figure 1 that Eq. (17) has one positive real root and one negative real root when \( 0 < \beta < a \).

Figure 2 illustrates the case of \( \beta = a \). In this case, \( \lambda = 0 \) is always one of the roots of Eq. (18). In addition, (i) we have one negative real root when \( \theta < 1/\alpha a \) and \( \beta = a \), and (ii) we have one positive real root when \( \theta > 1/\alpha a \) and \( \beta = a \).

The case of \( \beta > a \) is illustrated in Figure 3. This figure shows that

(i) Eq. (17) has two negative real roots when \( \theta \) is sufficiently small,

(ii) it has two positive real roots when \( \theta \) is sufficiently large, and

(iii) it has no real root at the intermediate values of \( \theta \).

Next, we shall consider the mathematical condition for the existence of the multiple real roots of Eq. (17). This condition is given by

\[
f_1'(\lambda) \equiv -e^{-\lambda} = -(1/\theta \alpha \beta) \equiv f_2'(\lambda)
\]  

(19)

or equivalently,

\[
e^{\lambda} = \theta \alpha \beta.
\]  

(20)

---

\(^6\) As for the proof, see, for example, Bellman and Cooke (1963) Chap. 11. As for the significance of the characteristic root approach to the mixed difference and differential equations, see Frisch and Holme (1935) and James and Belz (1938).

\(^7\) Subsections 3.1 and 3.2 are essentially based on Asada (1997) Chap. 2.
**MACRODYNAMICS WITH POLICY LAG**

**FIGURE 2** ($\beta = a$).

\[ f_2(\lambda; \theta < \frac{1}{\alpha a}) \]

\[ f_1(\lambda), f_2(\lambda) \]

\[ f_2(\lambda; \theta = \frac{1}{\alpha a}) \]

\[ f_2(\lambda; \theta > \frac{1}{\alpha a}) \]

\[ 1 = \frac{a}{\beta} \]

**FIGURE 3** ($\beta > a$).

\[ 0 < \theta_1 < \theta_2 < \theta_3 < \ldots \]
This condition is also equivalent to
\[ \lambda = \log (\theta \alpha \beta). \] (21)

Substituting Eqs. (20) and (21) into Eq. (18), we have
\[ \frac{1}{\theta \alpha \beta} = -\frac{1}{\theta \alpha \beta} \log (\theta \alpha \beta) + a/\beta \] (22)
or equivalently,
\[ \log (\theta \alpha \beta) = \theta \alpha a - 1. \] (23)

Solving Eq. (23) with respect to \( \beta \), we obtain the following expression.
\[ \beta = \frac{1}{\theta \alpha \gamma} e^{\theta \alpha a - 1} \equiv \varphi(\theta); \]
\[ \varphi'(\theta) = \left( (\theta \alpha a - 1)/\alpha \theta^2 \right) e^{\theta \alpha a - 1} \]
\[ \varphi(1/\alpha a) = a, \quad \lim_{\theta \to 0} \varphi(\theta) = +\infty. \] (24)

We can summarize the results of the above analysis as in Figure 4 and Table I.

### 3.2. Local Stability/Instability Analysis

Table I shows that the equilibrium point of the system \( (S) \) is locally unstable in the region \( A \cup B \). But, it is necessary to obtain the information on the complex roots to study the local stability/instability in the region \( C \cup D \). For this purpose, we can utilize the following mathematical result which is due to Hayes (1950) to get full information on the local stability of the system.

**Lemma (Hayes' theorem)**: All the roots of \( H(\lambda) = p e^{\lambda} + q - \lambda e^{\lambda} = 0 \), where \( p \) and \( q \) are real, have negative real parts if and only if

(i) \( p < 1 \), and
(ii) \( p < -q < \sqrt{x^*} \),

where \( x^* \) is the root of \( x = p \tan x \) such that \( 0 < x < \pi \). If \( p \neq 0 \), we take \( x^* = \pi/2 \).


In fact, we can show that Eq. (17) has infinite number of complex roots.

---

FIGURE 4 Four regions.
TABLE I Classification of the regions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Characteristics of the real roots</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>one positive, one negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>two positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>no real root</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>two negative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where \( x^* \) is the solution of \( g_1(x) \equiv (1/\theta \alpha) x = \tan x = g_2(x) \) such that \( 0 < x < \pi \).

We can illustrate the solution \( x^* \) as in Figure 5 when the inequality (26) (i) is satisfied.\(^9\) Furthermore, we can see from Figure 5 that \( \tan x^* \) becomes a decreasing function of \( \theta \). Therefore, we have

\[
\frac{d}{d\theta} (x^*/\theta \alpha) = a \frac{d(\tan x^*)}{d\theta} < 0. \tag{27}
\]

We can derive the following relationships from Eq. (26) (iii) and Eq. (27).\(^{10}\)

\[(i) \quad \psi'(\theta) = \frac{(x^*/\theta \alpha)}{\sqrt{(x^*/\theta \alpha)^2 + a^2}} \frac{d}{d\theta} (x^*/\theta \alpha) < 0, \quad \psi'(\theta) = \lim_{\theta \to 0} a \sqrt{(\tan^2 x^* + 1)} = +\infty \]

\[(ii) \quad \lim_{\theta \to 0} \psi'(\theta) = \lim_{\theta \to 0} a \sqrt{(\tan^2 x^* + 1)} = a. \tag{28}
\]

FIGURE 5 Solution of \( g_1(x) = g_2(x) \).

\(^9\)Note that \( d(\tan x)/dx = 1 + \tan^2 0 = 1 \) when \( x = 0 \), and the inequality (26)(i) implies that \( 1/\theta \alpha \alpha > 1 \).

\(^{10}\)We can see from Figure 5 that \( \lim_{\theta \to 0} \tan x^* = +\infty \) and \( \lim_{\theta \to 1/\alpha a} \tan x^* = 0 \).
Now, let us define the 'stable region' $S$ as

$$S \equiv \{ (\beta, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+ \mid \text{All the roots of Eq. (17)}$$

$$\text{have negative real parts} \}$$

$$\equiv \{ (\beta, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+ \mid \theta < 1 / \alpha a, \ a < \beta < \psi(\theta) \}. \quad (29)$$

We can express the region $S$ as in Figure 6 (boundary points are excluded). We can summarize the result of the above analysis as the following proposition.

**Proposition 1**

(i) If $0 > 1 / \alpha a$, the equilibrium point of the system $(S_1)$ becomes locally unstable irrespective of the value of $\beta \geq 0$.

(ii) If $0 < \theta < 1 / \alpha a$, the equilibrium point of the system $(S_1)$ is locally stable for $\beta \in (a, \psi(\theta))$ and it is locally unstable for $\beta \in [0, a) \cup (\psi(\theta), +\infty)$, where $\psi(\theta)$ is a continuous decreasing function of $\theta$ and $\lim_{\theta \to 0} \psi(\theta) = +\infty$ and $\lim_{\theta \to 1 / \alpha a} \psi(\theta) = a$.

### 3.3. Hopf-bifurcation and the Existence of the Closed Orbits

Proposition 1 says that (i) too long delay in policy response must fail to stabilize the economy, (ii) too strong policy as well as too weak policy is unsuccessful to stabilize the economy even if the policy lag ($\theta$) is relatively short, and (iii) the stabilization policy is successful at the intermediate range of the strength of the policy response ($\beta$) if $\theta$ is relatively short. These analytical results seem to suggest that the pure cyclical movements will occur at the intermediate values of $\beta$ when $\theta$ is not too large.\(^{11}\) Now, we shall prove mathematically that this conjecture is in fact correct. We can make use of the following version of the Hopf-bifurcation theorem.\(^{12}\)

**Lemma 2** Let $\dot{x}(t) = F(x(t), x(t - \theta); \varepsilon), \ x \in \mathbb{R}, \ \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ be a mixed difference and differential equation with a parameter $\varepsilon$. Suppose that the following properties are satisfied.

(i) This equation has smooth curve of equilibria $F(x^*, x^*; \varepsilon) = 0$.

(ii) The characteristic equation $\Gamma(\rho) = \rho - a - be^{-\rho \theta} = 0$ has a pair of pure imaginary roots $\rho(\varepsilon_0), \rho(\varepsilon_0)$ and no other root with zero real part, where $a \equiv (\partial F / \partial x(t))_0$ and $b \equiv (\partial F / \partial x(t-\theta))_0$ are partial derivatives of $F$ which are evaluated at $(x^*(\varepsilon_0), \varepsilon_0)$ with the parameter $\varepsilon_0$.

(iii) $\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \text{Re } \rho(\varepsilon) \neq 0$ at $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0$, where $\text{Re } \rho(\varepsilon)$ is the real part of $\rho(\varepsilon)$.

Then, there exists a continuous function $\varepsilon(\gamma)$ with $\varepsilon(0) = \varepsilon_0$, and for all sufficiently small values of $\gamma \neq 0$ there exists a continuous family of non-constant periodic solutions $x(t, \gamma)$ for the above dynamical equation, which collapses to the equilibrium point $x^*(\varepsilon_0)$ as $\gamma \to 0$. The period of the cycle

---

\(^{11}\) This phenomenon may be called the ‘policy cycle’.

\(^{12}\) Usually the Hopf-bifurcation theorem is applied to the system of differential equations (cf. Gandolfo (1996) Chap. 25 and Asada (1997) Chap. 3). However, this theorem is also applicable to the mixed difference and differential equation (cf. Rustichini (1989) and Kuang (1993) Chap. 2). Mackey (1989) and Asea and Zak (1999) are examples of the application of the Hopf-bifurcation theorem to the mixed difference and differential equation in economic theory.
is close to $2\pi/\text{Im} \rho(\varepsilon_0)$, where $\text{Im} \rho(\varepsilon_0)$ is the imaginary part of $\rho(\varepsilon_0)$.

Now, it is clear from the analyses in Sections 3.1 and 3.2 that there exists the value $\theta \in [0, 1/\alpha a)$ such that the following property $(P)$ is satisfied (see Fig. 7).

$(P)$ For all $\theta \in (\hat{\theta}, 1/\alpha a)$,

$$Z \equiv \{ (\beta, \theta) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+ | \beta = \psi(\theta) \} \subset C \quad (30)$$

where $C$ is given by Figure 4, i.e.,

$$C \equiv \{ (\beta, \theta) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+ | \beta > \varphi(\theta) \}. \quad (31)$$

**Proposition 2** Let us fix the parameter $\theta_0 \in (\hat{\theta}, 1/\alpha a)$ and select $\beta$ as a bifurcation parameter. Then, at $\beta_0 = \psi(\theta_0)$ the Hopf-bifurcation occurs. In other words, at $\beta_0$, the characteristic Eq. (16) has a pair of pure imaginary roots $\rho(\beta_0) = z_0 i, \bar{\rho}(\beta_0) = -z_0 i (i \equiv \sqrt{-1}, z_0 \neq 0)$ and no other root with zero real part, and $d(\text{Re} \rho(\beta))/d\beta > 0$ at $\beta = \beta_0$. Furthermore, $z_0 < \pi/\theta_0$ so that we have $2\pi/\text{Im} \rho(\beta_0) = 2\pi/z_0 > 2\theta_0$.

**Proof** See Appendix.

**Corollary of Proposition 2** There exist some non-constant periodic solutions of the system $(S_1)$ at some parameter values $\beta > 0$ which are sufficiently close to $\beta_0 = \psi(\theta_0)$. The period of the cycle is close to $2\pi/z_0 > 2\theta_0$.

**Proof** It directly follows from Lemma 2 and Proposition 2.

### 4. NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

In the previous section, we presented some analytical results on the local dynamics of ‘model 1’ around the equilibrium point. However, we must resort to the study of the numerical simulations to get some information on the global dynamics of the system. Furthermore, it is difficult to get even the information on the local dynamics by means of analytical approach if we consider more complicated system such as ‘model 2’. In this section, we shall present some results of the global dynamics of ‘model 1’ and ‘model 2’ by means of numerical simulations.

---

13 The functions $\psi(\theta)$ and $\varphi(\theta)$ are given in Eq. (26)(iii) and (24) respectively.
4.1. Simulation of ‘Model 1’

First, let us study ‘model 1’ by adopting the following specifications of the functional forms and the parameter values.

\[
\dot{Y}(t) = \alpha [I(Y(t), \dot{K}, r(Y(t))) - \{1 - c(1 - \tau)\}Y(t) + C_0 \\
+ cT_0 + G_0 + \beta(400 - Y(t - \theta))] \\
\equiv F(Y(t), Y(t - \theta))
\]

\[
I(Y(t), \dot{K}, r(Y(t))) \\
= \frac{400}{1 + 9 \exp[-0.1(Y(t) - 400)]} - 0.01 \sqrt{Y(t)} \\
+ 0.2 - 40
\]

\[c(1 - \tau) = 0.5, \ C_0 + cT_0 + G_0 = 200, \ \alpha = 0.9 \]

Eq. (33) is an example of the Kaldorian S-shaped investment function.

Figure 8 is the phase diagram of this system in \((Y(t), Y(t+0.3))\) plane in the case of \(\beta = 6.6\) and \(\theta = 0.2\).\(^{14}\) Because of the S-shaped investment function, there exist three equilibrium points and three limit cycles. One equilibrium point \((Y^* = 400)\) is unstable and two equilibrium points \((Y^* < 400, \ Y^{**} > 400)\) are locally stable. One (large) limit cycle is stable and two (small) limit cycles are unstable. Figure 9(a) and (b) are the bifurcation diagrams of this system with respect to the parameter \(\beta\) corresponding to the initial conditions \(Y(0) = 420\) and \(Y(0) = 390\) respectively. These figures show that we have different bifurcation diagrams corresponding to different initial conditions because of the multiple equilibria.\(^{15}\) In other words, this system has pathdependent characteristics. Figure 10 is the bifurcation diagram with respect to the policy lag \(\theta\) when \(\beta\) is fixed at \(\beta = 5.6\).

4.2. Simulation of ‘Model 2’

Next, we shall consider the numerical study of ‘model 2’ by using the following data.

\[
\dot{Y}(t) = \alpha[I(Y(t), K(t), r(Y(t))) \\
- \{1 - c(1 - \tau)\}Y(t) + C_0 + cT_0 + G_0 \\
+ \beta(400 - Y(t - \theta))] \\
\equiv F_1(Y(t), Y(t - \theta), K(t))
\]

\[
\dot{K}(t) = I(Y(t), K(t), r(Y(t))) \equiv F_2(Y(t), K(t))
\]

\(\theta\) fixed at \(0.2\) and only the maximum and minimum values of \(Y(t)\) are plotted.

---

\(^{14}\) We adopted the approximation of Eq. (32) by means of ‘Euler’s algorithm’, i.e., \(Y(t+\Delta t) = Y(t) + (\Delta t)F(Y(t), Y(t-\theta))\), \(\Delta t = 0.01\).

\(^{15}\) In Figure 9, \(\theta\) is fixed at \(\theta = 0.2\) and only the maximum and minimum values of \(Y(t)\) are plotted.
Figure 11 shows that behavior of this system can be chaotic for some parameter values. This figure illustrates a strange attractor which is produced when $\beta = 4.1$ and $\theta = 0.3$.\footnote{Also in this case, we adopted Euler’s algorithm for the approximation of equations (35) and (36), i.e., $Y(t+\Delta \tau) = Y(t) + (\Delta \tau) \frac{RI(Y(t), K(t), r(Y(t)))}{400} = 1 + 12 \exp[-0.1(Y(t) - 400)] - 0.01 \sqrt{Y(t) - 0.5K(t)}$, $K(t+\Delta \tau) = K(t) + (\Delta \tau) F_2(Y(t),K(t))$, $\Delta \tau = 0.01$. Contrary to the case of ‘model 1’, this system has only one equilibrium point $(Y^*, K^*) = (400, 61.1)$.} Figure 12(a) is the bifurcation diagram with respect to the parameter $\beta$ when $\theta$ is fixed at $\theta = 0.3$. Figure 12(b) is the same bifurcation diagram at the interval $4.0 \leq \beta \leq 4.2$. These figures show that the limit cycles are produced for both of sufficiently small values and sufficiently large values of $\beta$. At the intermediate values of $\beta$, the equilibrium point becomes stable, and at the vicinity of the parameter value $\beta = 4.1$, the behavior of the system becomes complex. Finally, Figure 13 is the bifurcation diagram with respect to the policy lag ($\theta$) when $\beta$ is fixed at $\beta = 4.1$.

\[ c(1 - \tau) = 0.5, \quad C_0 + cT_0 + G_0 = 200, \quad \alpha = 0.9 \]
FIGURE 11 Strange attractor ($\beta = 4.1, \theta = 0.3$).

FIGURE 12 Bifurcation diagrams of $Y$ when $\theta = 0.3$ (parameter: $\beta$).

(a) $2.0 \leq \beta \leq 4.5$

(b) $4.0 \leq \beta \leq 4.2$
5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper, we formulated simple macrodynamic models with policy lag by means of mixed difference and differential equations, and investigated the effects of the policy lag on the dynamic behavior of the system analytically and numerically. We found that the too long lag must fail to stabilize the system, and in some situations cyclical movement occurs. Furthermore, we found that even the chaotic movement is possible for some parameter values in a model with variable capital stock. Nevertheless, it is not correct to say that the government’s stabilization policy is entirely ineffective to stabilize the intrinsically unstable economy. In fact, the government can stabilize the economy when the policy lag is relatively short. In this sense, macroeconomic stabilization policy does not lose its significance even in the system with lags in policy response.
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APPENDIX

Proof of Proposition 2 Substituting $\rho = w \pm zi$ ($i \equiv \sqrt{-1}$) into the characteristic Eq. (16) in the text, we have

$$w - \alpha a + \alpha \beta e^{-\theta \rho} e^{\pi \theta zi} \pm zi = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A1)

Rewriting Eq. (A1) by using the following ‘Euler’s formula’

$$e^{ix} = \cos x \pm i \sin x,$$  \hspace{1cm} (A2)

we have the following expression.

$$w - \alpha a + \alpha \beta e^{-\theta \rho} \cos \theta z + [\pm z \mp \alpha \beta e^{-\theta \rho} \sin \theta z]i = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A3)

From Eq. (A3) we obtain the following nonlinear system of equations with two unknowns, $w$ and $z$.

$$w = \alpha a - \alpha \beta e^{-\theta \rho} \cos \theta z$$  \hspace{1cm} (A4a)

$$z = \alpha \beta e^{-\theta \rho} \sin \theta z$$  \hspace{1cm} (A4b)
We can solve this system of equations by adopting the method by Frisch and Holme (1935). We can rewrite Eq. (A4b) as

\[ e^{\theta w} = \frac{\theta \alpha \beta \sin \theta z}{\theta z} \]  

or equivalently,

\[ w = \left[ \log \theta \alpha \beta + \log \left( \sin \frac{\theta z}{\theta z} \right) \right] \theta. \]  

Substituting Eqs. (A4b) and (A6) into Eq. (A4a), we obtain the following equation with only unknown, \( z \).

\[
E(\theta z) \equiv \left( \frac{\theta z}{\tan \theta z} \right) + \log \left( \sin \frac{\theta z}{\theta z} \right) = \theta \alpha a - \log \theta \alpha \beta \equiv E(\theta, \beta) \]  

Let us fix \((\theta_0, \beta_0) = (\theta_0, \varphi(\theta_0)) \subset C\). In the region \( C \) there is no real root, and in this region we have \( \beta > \varphi(\theta) \), which implies that

\[
E(\theta_0, \beta_0) = \theta_0 \alpha a - \log \theta_0 \alpha \beta_0 < 1. \]  

In this case, we obtain Figure A1. This figure shows that there exist the solutions \( z_h \) such that

\[
2h\pi/\theta < z_h < (2h + 1)\pi/\theta \]  

for all \( h \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots \} \). In other words, there exist infinite number of solutions. Substituting \( z_h \) into Eq. (A6), we have the solutions for \( w \), i.e.,

\[
w_h = \left\{ \log \theta_0 \alpha \beta + \log \left( \sin \frac{\theta_0 z_h}{\theta_0 z} \right) \right\} / \theta_0, \quad h \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots \}. \]  

Eq. (A10) shows that \( w_h \) is the increasing function of \( \sin \theta_0 z_h/\theta_0 z \). This implies that

\[
w_0 > w_1 > w_2 > \cdots \]  

in other words, the smallest imaginary part \( z_0 \) corresponds to the largest real part \( w_0 \) among the solutions (see Fig. A2).

It is clear that (when \( \theta \) is fixed at \( \theta_0 \)) at the values of \( \beta \) which are slightly smaller than \( \beta_0 \), the system is locally stable so that the real parts of all roots are negative, and at the values of \( \beta \) which are larger than \( \beta_0 \), the system is locally unstable so that the real part of at least one root is positive. This implies that \( w_0 = 0 \) and \( dw_0/d\beta > 0 \) are satisfied at \( \beta = \beta_0 \), which means that the point

---

17 As for the method which is adopted here, see also Asada (1994).
$\beta = \beta_0$ is in fact the Hopf-bifurcation point. Furthermore, from Eq. (A9) we have
\begin{equation}
0 < z_0 < \pi/\theta_0 \tag{A12}
\end{equation}
so that the inequality
\begin{equation}
2\pi/\text{Im}\rho(\beta_0) = 2\pi/z_0 > 2\theta_0 \tag{A13}
\end{equation}
is satisfied. Q.E.D.
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