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1. Introduction

During the last two decades, there has been much research activity concerning the oscillation and nonoscillation of solutions of neutral type delay differential equations (see [1–9]). Investigation of such equations or systems, besides of their theoretical interest, have some importance in modelling of the networks containing lossless transmission lines, in the study of vibrating masses attached to an elastic bar and also in population dynamics, and so forth (see [1, 2, 8, 10] and the references cited therein).

In this paper, we consider the second-order neutral delay differential equation with forcing term of the form

\[ [x(t) + P(t)x(t - \tau)]'' + Q_1(t)x(t - \sigma_1) - Q_2(t)x(t - \sigma_2) = h(t), \quad t \geq t_0, \quad (1.1) \]

where

\[ \tau > 0, \quad \sigma_1 \geq 0, \quad \sigma_2 \geq 0, \quad P, Q_1, Q_2, h \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R}). \quad (1.2) \]
Let \( \varphi \in C([t_0 - \sigma, t_0), \mathbb{R}) \), where \( \sigma = \max\{\tau, \sigma_1, \sigma_2\} \), be a given function and let \( x_0 \) be a given constant. By the method of steps (see [2]), it is easy to know that (1.1) has a unique solution \( x \in C([t_0 - \sigma, \infty), \mathbb{R}) \) in the sense that \( x(t) + P(t)x(t - \tau) \) is twice continuously differential for \( t \geq t_0 \), \( x(t) \) satisfies (1.1) and
\[
x(s) = \varphi(s) \quad \text{for} \quad s \in [t_0 - \sigma, t_0],
\]
\[
[x(t) + P(t)x(t - \tau)]_{t=t_0}^t = x_0.
\]

As is customary, a solution of (1.1) is called oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zeros, and otherwise it is nonoscillatory. Equation (1.1) is oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory.

When \( P(t) = p \) and the forcing term \( h(t) \equiv 0 \), (1.1) reduces to
\[
[x(t) + px(t - \tau)]'' + Q_1(t)x(t - \sigma_1) - Q_2(t)x(t - \sigma_2) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0,
\]
where \( p \in \mathbb{R}(p \neq \pm 1) \) and \( \int_{t}^{\infty} tQ_i(t) dt < \infty, \quad i = 1, 2 \). The first global result of (1.4) (with respect to \( p \)), which is a sufficient condition for the existence of a nonoscillatory solution for all values of \( p \neq \pm 1 \), have been examined by Kulenović and Hadžimurspahić [4].

Recently, Parhi and Rath [7] studied oscillation behaviors for forced first-order neutral differential equations as follows
\[
[x(t) - P(t)x(t - \tau)]'' + Q(t)G(x(t - \sigma)) = h(t), \quad t \geq t_0.
\]

Necessary and sufficient conditions are obtained in various ranges for \( P(t) \neq \pm 1 \) so that every solution of (1.5) is oscillatory or tends to zero or to \( \pm \infty \) as \( t \to \infty \).

Motivated by the idea of [4, 7], in present paper we establish sufficient conditions for existence of a nonoscillatory solution to (1.1) depending on various ranges of \( P(t) \neq \pm 1 \). Hereinafter, we assume that the following conditions hold,

(H1) \( Q_i \geq 0 \), and \( \int_{t}^{\infty} tQ_i(t) dt < \infty, \quad i = 1, 2 \).

(H2) There exists a function \( H(t) \in C^2([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R}) \) such that \( H''(t) = h(t) \) and \( \lim_{t \to \infty} H(t) = M \in \mathbb{R} \).

2. Main results

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that conditions (H1) and (H2) hold. If \( P(t) \) is in one of the following ranges:
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(i)} & \quad 0 < P(t) \leq p_1 < 1, \\
\text{(ii)} & \quad 1 < p_2 \leq P(t) \leq p_1, \\
\text{(iii)} & \quad -1 < -p_2 \leq P(t) < 0, \\
\text{(iv)} & \quad -p_2 \leq P(t) \leq -p_1 < 1,
\end{align*}
\]

then (1.1) has a nonoscillatory solution.
Proof. The proof of this theorem will be divided into four cases in terms of the four different ranges of $P(t)$.

Case (i) $(0 < P(t) \leq p_1 < 1)$. Choose a $t_1 > t_0 + \sigma$ sufficiently large such that

\[
\int_{t_1}^{\infty} s\left[Q_1(s) + Q_2(s)\right]ds < \frac{3(1 - p_1)}{4},
\]

(2.2)

\[
\int_{t_1}^{\infty} sQ_1(s)ds \leq \frac{p_1 + N_1 - 1}{N_1},
\]

(2.3)

\[
\int_{t_1}^{\infty} sQ_2(s)ds \leq \frac{1 - p_1(1 - 2N_1) - 2M_1}{2N_1},
\]

(2.4)

\[
|H(t) - M| \leq \frac{1 - p_1}{4},
\]

(2.5)

where $M_1$ and $N_1$ are positive constants such that

\[
1 - N_1 < p_1 < \frac{1 - 2M_1}{1 + 2N_1}.
\]

(2.6)

Let $X$ be the set of all continuous and bounded functions on $[t_0, \infty)$ with the sup norm. Set

\[A = \{x \in X : M_1 \leq x(t) \leq N_1, \ t \geq t_0\}.\]

(2.7)

Define a mapping $T : A \to X$ as follows:

\[
(Tx)(t) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{3(1 - p_1)}{4} - P(t)x(t - \tau) + t\int_{t_1}^{\infty} s\left[Q_1(s)x(s - \sigma_1) - Q_2(s)x(s - \sigma_2)\right]ds \\
\quad + \int_{t_1}^{t} s\left[Q_1(s)x(s - \sigma_1) - Q_2(s)x(s - \sigma_2)\right]ds + H(t) - M, & t \geq t_1 \\
(Tx)(t_1), & t_0 \leq t \leq t_1.
\end{cases}
\]

(2.8)

Clearly, $Tx$ is continuous. For every $x \in A$ and $t \geq t_1$, using (2.3) and (2.5), we get

\[
(Tx)(t) \leq 1 - p_1 + N_1\int_{t_1}^{\infty} sQ_1(s)ds \leq N_1.
\]

(2.9)

Furthermore, from (2.4) and (2.5), we have

\[
(Tx)(t) \geq \frac{1 - p_1}{2} - p_1N_1 - N_1\int_{t_1}^{\infty} sQ_2(s)ds \geq M_1.
\]

(2.10)
Thus we prove that $TA \subset A$. To apply the contraction principle, we need to prove that $T$ is a contraction mapping on $A$ since $A$ is a bounded, closed, and convex subset of $X$.

Now, for $x_1, x_2 \in A$ and $t \geq t_1$ we have

$$
\|(Tx_1)(t) - (Tx_2)(t)\| \leq \|x_1 - x_2\| \left( p_1 + \int_{t_1}^{\infty} s \left[ Q_1(s) + Q_2(s) \right] ds \right)
$$

(2.11)

where we used sup norm. From (2.2), we obtain $q_1 < 1$, which completes the proof of Case (i).

**Example 2.2.** Consider the second-order neutral delay differential equation

$$
(x(t) + e^{-t}x(t - 1))'' + e^{-t-1}x(t - 1) - 4e^{-t}x(t - 1) = h(t), \quad t \geq 1,
$$

(2.12)

where $P(t) = e^{-t}$, $Q_1(t) = e^{-t-1}$, $Q_2(t) = 4e^{-t}$, $h(t) = e^{-t} + e^{-2t}$ such that $0 < P(t) \leq e^{-1} < 1$.

Since $H(t) = e^{-t} + 1/4e^{-2t} \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$, $\int_{t_1}^{\infty} sQ_1(s) ds = 2e^{-t}$, and $\int_{t_1}^{\infty} sQ_2(s) ds = 8e^{-t}$, then the sufficient conditions—in Case (i) of Theorem 2.1—are satisfied. Therefore, the equation has a positive solution. In fact $y = e^{-t}$ is a positive solution of this equation.

**Case (ii) ($1 < p_2 \leq P(t) \leq p_1$).** Choose a $t_1 > t_0 + \sigma$ sufficiently large such that

$$
\int_{t_1}^{\infty} s \left[ Q_1(s) + Q_2(s) \right] ds < \frac{3(p_2 - 1)}{4},
$$

(2.13)

$$
\int_{t_1}^{\infty} sQ_1(s) ds \leq \frac{1 - p_2 (1 - N_2)}{N_2},
$$

(2.14)

$$
\int_{t_1}^{\infty} sQ_2(s) ds \leq \frac{p_2(p_2 - 1) - 2p_1(N_2 + p_2M_2)}{2p_1N_2},
$$

(2.15)

$$
|H(t) - M| \leq \frac{p_2 - 1}{4},
$$

(2.16)

where $M_2$ and $N_2$ are positive constants such that

$$
1 - \frac{1}{p_2} < N_2 < \frac{p_2(p_2 - 1 - 2p_1M_2)}{2p_1}.
$$

(2.17)

Let $X$ be the set as in Case (i). Set

$$
A = \{ x \in X : M_2 \leq x(t) \leq N_2, \ t \geq t_0 \}.
$$

(2.18)
Define a mapping $T : A \to X$ as follows:

$$(Tx)(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{p_2 - 1}{4P(t + \tau)} - \frac{x(t + \tau)}{P(t + \tau)} + \frac{t + \tau}{P(t + \tau)} \int_{t + \tau}^{\infty} [Q_1(s)x(s - \sigma_1) - Q_2(s)x(s - \sigma_2)] ds \\
+ \frac{1}{P(t + \tau)} \int_{t_1}^{t + \tau} s[Q_1(s)x(s - \sigma_1) - Q_2(s)x(s - \sigma_2)] ds + \frac{H(t + \tau) - M}{P(t + \tau)}, & t \geq t_1 \\
(Tx)(t_1), & t_0 \leq t \leq t_1. \end{cases}$$

(2.19)

Clearly, $Tx$ is continuous. For every $x \in A$ and $t \geq t_1$, using (2.14) and (2.16), we get

$$(Tx)(t) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{N_2}{p_2} \int_{t_1}^{\infty} sQ_1(s) ds \leq N_2. \tag{2.20}$$

Furthermore, from (2.15) and (2.16) we have

$$(Tx)(t) \geq \frac{p_2 - 1}{2p_1} - \frac{N_2}{p_2} - \frac{N_2}{p_2} \int_{t_1}^{\infty} sQ_2(s) ds \geq M_2. \tag{2.21}$$

Thus we prove that $TA \subset A$. To apply the contraction principle, we need to prove that $T$ is a contraction mapping on $A$ since $A$ is a bounded, closed, and convex subset of $X$.

Now, for $x_1, x_2 \in A$ and $t \geq t_1$, we have

$$| (Tx_1)(t) - (Tx_2)(t) | \leq \frac{1}{p_2} \|x_1 - x_2\| \left\{ 1 + \int_{t_1}^{\infty} s[Q_1(s) + Q_2(s)] ds \right\}$$

$$= q_2 \|x_1 - x_2\|, \tag{2.22}$$

where we used sup norm. From (2.13), we obtain $q_2 < 1$, which completes the proof of Case (ii).

**Example 2.3.** Consider the second-order neutral delay differential equation

$$(x(t) + (2 + e^{-t})x(t - 1))'' + e^{-t-1}x(t - 1) - 4e^{-t}x(t - 1) = h(t), \quad t \geq 1, \tag{2.23}$$

where $P(t) = 2 + e^{-t}$, $Q_1(t) = e^{-t-1}$, $Q_2(t) = 4e^{-t}$, $h(t) = e^{-t} + 2e^{-t+1} + e^{-2t}$ such that $P(t) \geq 2 + e^{-1} > 1$. Since $H(t) = e^{-t} + 1/4e^{-2t} + 2e^{-t+1} \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$, $\int_{t_1}^{\infty} sQ_1(s) ds = 2e^{-t}$, and $\int_{t_1}^{\infty} sQ_2(s) ds = 8e^{-t}$, then the sufficient conditions—in Case (ii) of Theorem 2.1—are satisfied. Therefore, the equation has a positive solution. In fact $y = e^{-t}$ is a positive solution of this equation.
Case (iii) \((-1 < -p_2 \leq P(t) < 0)\). Choose a \(t_1 > t_0 + \sigma\) sufficiently large such that

\[
\begin{align*}
\int_{t_1}^{\infty} s [Q_1(s) + Q_2(s)] ds &< \frac{3(1 - p_2)}{4}, \\
\int_{t_1}^{\infty} s Q_1(s) ds &\leq \frac{(1 - p_2)(N_3 - 1)}{N_3}, \\
\int_{t_1}^{\infty} s Q_2(s) ds &\leq \frac{(1 - p_2) - 2M_3}{2N_3}, \\
\left| H(t) - M \right| &\leq \frac{1 - p_2}{4},
\end{align*}
\]  

(2.24 - 2.27)

where \(M_3\) and \(N_3\) are positive constants such that

\[
2M_3 + p_2 < 1 < N_3.
\]  

(2.28)

Let \(X\) be the set as in Case (i). Set

\[
A = \{ x \in X : M_3 \leq x(t) \leq N_3, \ t \geq t_0 \}.
\]  

(2.29)

Define a mapping \(T : A \to X\) as follows:

\[
(Tx)(t) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{3(1 - p_2)}{4} - P(t)x(t - \tau) + \int_{t_1}^{\infty} [Q_1(s)x(s - \sigma_1) - Q_2(s)x(s - \sigma_2)] ds \\
+ \int_{t_1}^{t} s [Q_1(s)x(s - \sigma_1) - Q_2(s)x(s - \sigma_2)] ds + H(t) - M, & t \geq t_1 \\
(Tx)(t_1), & t_0 \leq t \leq t_1.
\end{cases}
\]  

(2.30)

Clearly, \(Tx\) is continuous. For every \(x \in A\) and \(t \geq t_1\), using (2.25) and (2.27), we get

\[
(Tx)(t) \leq 1 - p_2 + p_2N_3 + N_3\int_{t_1}^{\infty} sQ_1(s) ds \leq N_3.
\]  

(2.31)

Furthermore, from (2.26) and (2.27), we have

\[
(Tx)(t) \geq \frac{1 - p_2}{2} - N_3\int_{t_1}^{\infty} sQ_2(s) ds \geq M_3.
\]  

(2.32)

Thus we prove that \(TA \subset A\). To apply the contraction principle, we need to prove that \(T\) is a contraction mapping on \(A\) since \(A\) is a bounded, closed, and convex subset of \(X\).
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Now, for $x_1, x_2 \in A$ and $t \geq t_1$, we have

$$\left| (T x_1)(t) - (T x_2)(t) \right| \leq \|x_1 - x_2\| \left\{ p_2 + \int_{t_1}^t s \left[ Q_1(s) + Q_2(s) \right] ds \right\}$$

$$= q_3 \|x_1 - x_2\|,$$

(2.33)

where we used sup norm. From (2.24), we obtain $q_3 < 1$, which completes the proof of Case (iii).

**Example 2.4.** Consider the second-order neutral delay differential equation

$$(x(t) - e^{-t}x(t - 1))'' + e^{-t-1}x(t - 1) - 4e^{-t}x(t - 1) = h(t), \quad t \geq 1,$$

(2.34)

where $h(t) = e^{-t} + e^{-2t} - 8e^{-2t+1}$. This equation has a nonoscillatory solution $y = e^{-t}$ since the sufficient conditions—in Case (iii) of Theorem 2.1—are satisfied.

**Case (iv) ($-p_2 \leq P(t) \leq -p_1 < -1$).** Choose a $t_1 > t_0 + \sigma$ sufficiently large such that

$$\int_{t_1}^\infty s \left[ Q_1(s) + Q_2(s) \right] ds < \frac{3(p_1 - 1)}{4},$$

(2.35)

$$\int_{t_1}^\infty sQ_1(s)ds \leq \frac{N_4(p_1 - 1) - p_1}{N_4},$$

(2.36)

$$\int_{t_1}^\infty sQ_2(s)ds \leq \frac{p_2 - p_1(1 + M_4)(p_2 - 1)}{p_2N_4},$$

(2.37)

$$|H(t) - M| \leq p_1 - 1,$$

(2.38)

where $M_4$ and $N_4$ are positive constants such that

$$N_4 > \frac{p_1}{p_1 - 1}, \quad M_4 < \frac{p_2 - p_1(p_2 - 1)}{p_1(p_2 - 1)}.$$

(2.39)

Let $X$ be the set as in Case (i). Set

$$A = \{ x \in X : M_4 \leq x(t) \leq N_4, \quad t \geq t_0 \}.$$
Define a mapping $T : A \to X$ as follows

$$(Tx)(t) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{p(t + \tau)} - \frac{x(t + \tau)}{P(t + \tau)} + \frac{t + \tau}{P(t + \tau)} \int_{t}^{\infty} [Q_1(s)x(s - \sigma_1) - Q_2(s)x(s - \sigma_2)] ds \\
\frac{1}{P(t + \tau)} \int_{t_1}^{t+\tau} s [Q_1(s)x(s - \sigma_1) - Q_2(s)x(s - \sigma_2)] ds + \frac{H(t + \tau) - M}{P(t + \tau)}, & t \geq t_1 \\
(Tx)(t_1), & t_0 \leq t \leq t_1.
\end{cases}$$

(2.41)

Clearly, $Tx$ is continuous. For every $x \in A$ and $t \geq t_1$, using (2.37) and (2.38), we get

$$(Tx)(t) \leq \frac{1 + x(t + \tau)}{p(t + \tau)} - \frac{1}{p(t + \tau)} \int_{t_1}^{\infty} sQ_1(s)x(s - \sigma_1) ds + \frac{H(t + \tau) - M}{p(t + \tau)} \\
\leq \frac{1 + N_4}{p_1} + \frac{N_4}{p_1} \int_{t_1}^{\infty} sQ_2(s) ds + \frac{p_1 - 1}{p_1} \leq N_4. \quad (2.42)$$

Furthermore, from (2.36) and (2.38), we have

$$(Tx)(t) \geq \frac{1 + x(t + \tau)}{p(t + \tau)} - \frac{1}{p(t + \tau)} \int_{t_1}^{\infty} sQ_1(s)x(s - \sigma_1) ds + \frac{H(t + \tau) - M}{p(t + \tau)} \\
\geq \frac{1 + M_4}{p_2} - \frac{N_4}{p_1} \int_{t_1}^{\infty} sQ_1(s) ds - \frac{p_1 - 1}{p_1} \geq M_4. \quad (2.43)$$

Thus we prove that $TA \subset A$. To apply the contraction principle, we need to prove that $T$ is a contraction mapping on $A$ since $A$ is a bounded, closed, and convex subset of $X$.

Now, for $x_1, x_2 \in A$ and $t \geq t_1$, we have

$$|(Tx_1)(t) - (Tx_2)(t)| \leq \frac{1}{p_1} \|x_1 - x_2\| \left\{ 1 + \int_{t}^{\infty} s[Q_1(s) + Q_2(s)] ds \right\} \\
= q_4 \|x_1 - x_2\|, \quad (2.44)$$

where we used sup norm. From (2.35), we obtain $q_4 < 1$, which completes the proof of Case (iv).

**Example 2.5.** Consider the second-order neutral delay differential equation

$$(x(t) - (1 + e^{-t})x(t - 1))'' + e^{-t-1}x(t - 1) - e^{-t+1}x(t - 1) = h(t), \quad t \geq 1, \quad (2.45)$$

where $h(t) = e^{-t} + 4e^{-2t+1} - e^{-t+1}$. This equation has a nonoscillatory solution $y = e^{-t}$ since the sufficient conditions—in Case (iv) of Theorem 2.1—are satisfied. \qed
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