Research Article

Dynamics Behaviors of a Discrete Ratio-Dependent Predator-Prey System with Holling Type III Functional Response and Feedback Controls

Jinghui Yang

College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Jinghui Yang, muzi83488023@163.com

Received 24 April 2008; Revised 16 August 2008; Accepted 2 October 2008

Recommended by Juan Jose Nieto

A ratio-dependent predator-prey system with Holling type III functional response and feedback controls is proposed. By constructing a suitable Lyapunov function and using the comparison theorem of difference equation, sufficient conditions which ensure the permanence and global attractivity of the system are obtained. After that, under some suitable conditions, we show that the predator species y will be driven to extinction. Examples together with their numerical simulations show that the main results are verifiable.

Copyright © 2008 Jinghui Yang. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

Wang and Li [1, 2] established verifiable criteria for the existence of globally attractive positive periodic solutions of the following delayed predator-prey model with Holling type III functional response:

$$\begin{aligned} N_1'(t) &= N_1(t) \left(b_1(t) - a_1(t)N_1(t - \tau_1(t)) - \frac{\alpha_1(t)N_1(t)}{1 + mN_1^2(t)}N_2(t - \sigma(t)) \right), \\ N_2'(t) &= N_2(t) \left(-b_2(t) - a_2(t)N_2(t) + \frac{\alpha_2(t)N_1^2(t - \tau_2(t))}{1 + mN_1^2(t - \tau_2(t))} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where $N_1(t)$, $N_2(t)$ are the densities of the prey population and predator population at time t , respectively; $b_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $a_i, \tau_i, \sigma, \alpha_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ ($i = 1, 2$) are continuous functions of period T and $\int_0^T b_i(t)dt > 0$, $\alpha_i(t) \neq 0$; m is a nonnegative constant. For more works on the

predator-prey system with Holling type functional response, one could refer to [3–11] and the references cited therein. But, recently, lots of scholars found that when predators have to search for food (and, therefore, have to share or compete for food), a more suitable general predator-prey theory should be based on the so-called ratio-dependent theory, which can be roughly stated as that the per capita predator growth rate should be the so-called ratio-dependent functional response. This is strongly supported by numerous fields and laboratory experiments and observations [12, 13]. In [14], Wang and Li proposed the following ratio-dependent predator-prey system with Holling type III functional response:

$$\begin{aligned} x'(t) &= x(t) \left(a(t) - b(t) \int_{-\infty}^t k(t-s)x(s)ds \right) - \frac{c(t)x^2(t)y(t)}{m^2y^2(t) + x^2(t)}, \\ y'(t) &= y(t) \left(\frac{e(t)x^2(t-\tau)}{m^2y^2(t-\tau) + x^2(t-\tau)} - d(t) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where $x(t)$, $y(t)$ are the densities of the prey population and predator population at time t , respectively, $a(t)$, $b(t)$, $c(t)$, $d(t)$, and $e(t)$ are all positive periodic continuous functions, and $m > 0$, $\tau \geq 0$ are real constants. They found that the criteria for the permanence are exactly the same as those for the existence of positive periodic solution of (1.2). For more works on the ratio-dependent predator-prey system, one could refer to [15, 16] and the references cited therein.

On the other hand, when the size of the population is rarely small or the population has nonoverlapping generations, the discrete time models are more appropriate than the continuous ones [17, 18]. For the discrete ratio-dependent predator-prey model with Holling type III functional response, Fan and Li [19] considered the following system:

$$\begin{aligned} N_1(k+1) &= N_1(k) \exp \left\{ b_1(k) - a_1(k)N_1(k - [\tau_1]) - \frac{a_1(k)N_1(k)N_2(k)}{N_1^2(k) + m^2(k)N_2^2(k)} \right\}, \\ N_2(k+1) &= N_2(k) \exp \left\{ -b_2(k) + \frac{a_2(k)N_1^2(k - [\tau_2])}{N_1^2(k - [\tau_1]) + m^2(k)N_2^2(k - [\tau_2])} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

sufficient conditions which ensure the permanence of system (1.3) are obtained.

However, as pointed out by Huo and Li [20], “ecosystem in the real world is continuously disturbed by unpredictable forces which can result in changes in the biological parameters such as survival rates. The question of whether or not an ecosystem can withstand those unpredictable disturbances which persist for a finite period of time is of practical interest in ecology. In the language of control variables, we call the disturbance functions as control variables.” For this direction, in [15], Chen and Ji proposed the following system:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= x_1 \left[a_1(t) - b(t)x - \frac{c_1(t)}{x_1 + \alpha(t)x_2} - e_1(t)u_1 \right], \\ \dot{x}_2 &= x_2 \left[-a_2(t) + \frac{c_2(t)}{x_1 + \alpha(t)x_2} + e_2(t)u_2 \right], \\ \dot{u}_1 &= h_1(t) - d_1(t)u_1 + f_1(t)x_1, \quad \dot{u}_2 = h_2(t) - d_2(t)u_2 + f_2(t)x_2, \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

where $x_i(t)$ stand for the densities of the prey and predator, respectively, $u_i(t)$ ($i = 1, 2$) is the control variable, $\alpha(t)$, $b(t)$, $a_i(t)$, $c_i(t)$, $h_i(t)$, $d_i(t)$, $f_i(t)$, and $e_i(t)$ ($i = 1, 2$) are continuous and strictly positive functions. In this paper, they considered the almost periodic solution of system (1.4). For more work on this direction, one could refer to [15, 21–28].

To the best of the author's knowledge, so far no scholar has considered system (1.3) with feedback controls. This motivates us to propose and study the following discrete ratio-dependent predator-prey system with Holling type III and feedback controls:

$$\begin{aligned} x(n+1) &= x(n) \exp \left\{ a(n) - b(n)x(n) - \frac{c(n)x(n)y(n)}{x^2(n) + m^2(n)y^2(n)} - e_1(n)u_1(n) \right\}, \\ y(n+1) &= y(n) \exp \left\{ -d(n) + \frac{f(n)x^2(n)}{x^2(n) + m^2(n)y^2(n)} - e_2(n)u_2(n) \right\}, \\ \Delta u_1(n) &= -\eta_1(n)u_1(n) + q_1(n)x(n), \quad \Delta u_2(n) = -\eta_2(n)u_2(n) + q_2(n)y(n), \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

where $x(t)$, $y(t)$ are the densities of the prey population and predator population at time t , respectively, for $i = 1, 2$, $\{a(n)\}$, $\{b(n)\}$, $\{c(n)\}$, $\{d(n)\}$, $\{f(n)\}$, $\{m(n)\}$, $\{e_i(n)\}$, $\{\eta_i(n)\}$, and $\{q_i(n)\}$ are all bounded nonnegative sequences such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < a^L &\leq a(n) \leq a^U, & 0 < b^L &\leq b(n) \leq b^U, \\ 0 < c^L &\leq c(n) \leq c^U, & 0 < d^L &\leq d(n) \leq d^U, \\ 0 < f^L &\leq f(n) \leq f^U, & 0 < m^L &\leq m(n) \leq m^U, \\ 0 < e_i^L &\leq e_i(n) \leq e_i^U, & 0 < \eta_i^L &\leq \eta_i(n) \leq \eta_i^U < 1, \\ 0 < q_i^L &\leq q_i(n) \leq q_i^U < 1. \end{aligned} \quad (H_0)$$

Here, for any bounded sequence $\{a(n)\}$, $a^L = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{a(n)\}$, $a^U = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{a(n)\}$.

By the biological meaning, we will focus our discussion on the positive solutions of system (1.5). So, it is assumed that the initial conditions of system (1.5) are of the form

$$x(0) > 0, \quad y(0) > 0, \quad u_i(0) > 0, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (1.6)$$

It is not difficult to see that solutions of (1.5) and (1.6) are well defined and satisfy

$$x(n) > 0, \quad y(n) > 0, \quad u_i(n) > 0, \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}^+. \quad (1.7)$$

The main purpose of this paper is to derive sufficient conditions for the permanence, global attractivity, and extinction of system (1.5).

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some useful lemmas. In Section 3, we will study the permanence and global attractivity of system (1.5). In Section 4, we will study the extinction of the predator species y . In the last section, numerical simulation is presented to illustrate the feasibility of our main results.

2. Preliminaries

Now, let us state several lemmas which will be useful in proving the main results.

First, let us consider the first-order difference equation

$$y(k+1) = Ay(k) + B, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2.1)$$

where A, B are positive constants. The following Lemma 2.1 is a direct corollary of Theorem 6.2 of L. Wang and M. Q. Wang [29, page 125].

Lemma 2.1. *Assume that $|A| < 1$. For any initial $y(0)$, there exists a unique solution $y(k)$ of (2.1), which can be expressed as follows:*

$$y(k) = A^k(y(0) - y^*) + y^*, \quad (2.2)$$

where $y^* = B/(1 - A)$. Thus, for any solutions $y(k)$ of system (2.1),

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} y(k) = y^*. \quad (2.3)$$

The following comparison theorem for difference equation is Theorem 2.1 of [29, page 241].

Lemma 2.2. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_{k_0}^+ = \{k_0, k_0 + 1, \dots, k_0 + l, \dots\}$ and $r \geq 0$. For any fixed k , $g(k, r)$ is a nondecreasing function with respect to r , and for $k \geq k_0$, the following inequalities hold:*

$$y(k+1) \leq g(k, y(k)), \quad u(k+1) \geq g(k, u(k)). \quad (2.4)$$

If $y(k_0) \leq u(k_0)$, then $y(k) \leq u(k)$ for all $k \geq k_0$.

The following Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 can be found in [21].

Lemma 2.3 (see [21]). *Assume that $x(n)$ satisfies $x(n) > 0$ and*

$$x(n+1) \leq x(n) \exp(r(n) - a(n)x(n)) \quad (2.5)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $r(n)$ and $a(n)$ are nonnegative sequences bounded above and below by positive constants. Then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x(n) \leq \frac{1}{a^L} \exp(r^U - 1). \quad (2.6)$$

Lemma 2.4 (see [21]). *Assume that $\{x(n)\}$ satisfies*

$$x(n+1) \geq x(n) \exp(r(n) - a(n)x(n)), \quad k \geq N_0, \quad (2.7)$$

$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x(n) \leq x^*$ and $x(N_0) > 0$, where $r(n)$ and $a(n)$ are nonnegative sequences bounded above and below by positive constants and $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x(n) \geq \frac{r^L \exp \{r^L - a^U x^*\}}{a^U}. \quad (2.8)$$

3. Permanence and global attractivity

Now, we investigate the permanence and global attractivity of system (1.5).

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that*

$$a^L - \frac{c^U}{2m^L} - e_1^U W_1 > 0, \quad (H_1)$$

$$f^L - d^U - e_2^U W_2 > 0 \quad (H_2)$$

hold, then the species x and y are permanent, that is, for any positive solution $(x(n), y(n), u_1(n), u_2(n))$ of system (1.5) with the initial conditions (1.6),

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x(n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x(n) \leq M_1, \\ m_2 &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} y(n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} y(n) \leq M_2, \\ w_1 &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} u_1(n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} u_1(n) \leq W_1, \\ w_2 &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} u_2(n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} u_2(n) \leq W_2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 &= \frac{a^L - c^U/2m^L - e_1^U W_1}{b^U} \exp \left\{ a^L - b^U M_1 - \frac{c^U}{2m^L} - e_1^U W_1 \right\}, \\ m_2 &= \frac{2m_1(f^L - d^U - e_2^U W_2)}{f^L m^U} \exp \{ -d^U - e_2^U W_2 \}, \\ w_1 &= \frac{q_1^L m_1}{\eta_1^U}, \quad w_2 = \frac{q_2^L m_2}{\eta_2^U}, \\ M_1 &= \frac{1}{b^L} \exp \{ a^U - 1 \}, \quad M_2 = \frac{f^U M_1}{2d^L m^L} \exp \{ f^U - d^L \}, \\ W_1 &= \frac{q_1^U M_1}{\eta_1^L}, \quad W_2 = \frac{q_2^U M_2}{\eta_2^L}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. We divided the proof into five steps.

Step 1. We show

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x(n) \leq M_1. \quad (3.3)$$

From the first equation of system (1.5),

$$x(n+1) \leq x(n) \exp\{a(n) - b(n)x(n)\}. \quad (3.4)$$

Then (3.3) follows immediately from Lemma 2.3. Thus, for any positive ε , there exists an $n_0 > 0$ such that

$$x(n) \leq M_1 + \varepsilon \quad \forall n > n_0. \quad (3.5)$$

Step 2. We prove $\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} y(n) \leq M_2$ by distinguishing two cases.

Case 1. There exists an $n_1 \geq n_0$ such that $y(n_1 + 1) \geq y(n_1)$. Then, by the second equation of system (1.5), we have

$$-d(n_1) + \frac{f(n_1)x^2(n_1)}{x^2(n_1) + m^2(n_1)y^2(n_1)} - e_2(n_1)u_2(n_1) \geq 0, \quad (3.6)$$

which implies

$$-d^L + \frac{f^U x(n_1)}{2m^L y(n_1)} \geq 0. \quad (3.7)$$

The above inequality combined with (3.5) leads to $y(n_1) \leq f^U(M_1 + \varepsilon)/2m^L d^L$. Thus from the second equation of system (1.5) again we have

$$\begin{aligned} y(n_1 + 1) &\leq y(n_1) \exp \left\{ -d(n_1) + \frac{f(n_1)x^2(n_1)}{x^2(n_1) + y^2(n_1)} \right\} \\ &\leq y(n_1) \exp \{ -d^L + f^U \} \\ &\leq \frac{f^U(M_1 + \varepsilon)}{2m^L d^L} \exp \{ -d^L + f^U \} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} M_2^\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

We claim that $y(n) \leq M_2^\varepsilon$ for all $n \geq n_1$. In fact, suppose there exists $q \geq n_1 + 2$ such that $y(q) > M_2^\varepsilon$. Let q_0 be the smallest integer between n_1 and q such that $y(q_0) > M_2^\varepsilon$ and $y(q_0 - 1) \leq M_2^\varepsilon$. Then $y(q_0) > y(q_0 - 1)$ implies $y(q_0) \leq M_2^\varepsilon$, a contradiction. This proves the claim. Setting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (3.8) leads to $\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} y(n) \leq M_2$.

Case 2. Suppose $y(n+1) \leq y(n)$ for all $n \geq n_0$. In this case, $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} y(n)$ exists, denoted by $\bar{y}$. We claim that $\bar{y} \leq f^U M_1 / 2m^L d^L$. If not, suppose $\bar{y} > f^U M_1 / 2m^L d^L$. Choose $\sigma > 0$ such that $\sigma < \bar{y} - f^U M_1 / 2m^L d^L$. Taking limit in the second equation of system (1.5) produces

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left(-d(n) + \frac{f(n)x^2(n)}{x^2(n) + m^2(n)y^2(n)} - e_2(n)u_2(n) \right) = 0, \quad (3.9)$$

which is impossible as

$$-d(n) + \frac{f(n)x^2(n)}{x^2(n) + m^2(n)y^2(n)} - e_2(n)u_2(n) \leq -d^L + \frac{f^U x(n)}{2m^L y(n)} < -d^L + \frac{f^U M_1}{2m^L(\bar{y} - \sigma)} < 0 \quad (3.10)$$

for sufficiently large n . This proves the claim. Since (H_2) implies $f^U M_1 / 2m^L d^L \leq M_2$, we have proved $\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} y(n) \leq M_2$.

Step 3. We verify

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} u_1(n) \leq W_1, \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} u_2(n) \leq W_2. \quad (3.11)$$

For any positive ε , there exists n_2 such that

$$x(n) \leq M_1 + \varepsilon, \quad y(n) \leq M_2 + \varepsilon \quad \text{for } n \geq n_2. \quad (3.12)$$

For $n \geq n_2$, (3.12) combined with the third equation of (1.5) gives

$$\Delta u_1(n) \leq -\eta_1(n)u_1(n) + q_1(n)(M_1 + \varepsilon). \quad (3.13)$$

Thus

$$u_1(n+1) \leq (1 - \eta_1^L)u_1(n) + q_1^U(M_1 + \varepsilon) \quad \text{for } n \geq n_2. \quad (3.14)$$

With the help of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} u_1(n) \leq \frac{q_1^U(M_1 + \varepsilon)}{\eta_1^L}. \quad (3.15)$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we immediately get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} u_1(n) \leq W_1. \quad (3.16)$$

Similarly, one can show $\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} u_2(n) \leq W_2$.

Step 4. We check

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x(n) \geq m_1, \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} y(n) \geq m_2. \quad (3.17)$$

Conditions (H_1) and (H_2) imply that

$$a^L - \frac{c^U}{2m^L} - e_1^U(W_1 + \varepsilon) > 0, \quad f^L - d^U - e_2^U(W_1 + \varepsilon) > 0 \quad (3.18)$$

hold for all small enough positive constant ε . For any such ε , there exists n_3 such that

$$x(n) \leq M_1 + \varepsilon, \quad u_1(n) \leq W_1 + \varepsilon \quad \text{for } n \geq n_3. \quad (3.19)$$

Then, for $n \geq n_3$, it follows from (3.19) and the first equation of system (1.5) that

$$x(n+1) \geq x(n) \exp \left\{ a^L - \frac{c^U}{2m^L} - e_1^U(W_1 + \varepsilon) - b^U x(n) \right\}. \quad (3.20)$$

According to Lemma 2.4, one has

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x(n) \geq \frac{a^L - c^U/2m^L - e_1^U(W_1 + \varepsilon)}{b^U} \exp \left\{ a^L - \frac{c^U}{2m^L} - e_1^U(W_1 + \varepsilon) - b^U(M_1 + \varepsilon) \right\}. \quad (3.21)$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ leads to

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x(n) \geq m_1. \quad (3.22)$$

Now, for any small positive $\varepsilon_1 < m_1/2$, it follows from (3.19) and (3.22) that there exists $n_4 > 0$ such that

$$x(n) \geq m_1 - \varepsilon_1, \quad y(n) \leq M_2 + \varepsilon_1, \quad u_2(n) \leq W_2 + \varepsilon_1 \quad (3.23)$$

for $n \geq n_4$. This, combined with the second equation of system (1.5), gives

$$y(n+1) \geq y(n) \exp \left\{ -d^U + f^L - e_2^U(W_2 + \varepsilon_1) - \frac{f^L m^U y(n)}{2(m_1 - \varepsilon_1)} \right\}. \quad (3.24)$$

Applying Lemma 2.4, one easily obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} y(n) &\geq \frac{2(m_1 - \varepsilon_1)(f^L - d^U - e_2^U(W_2 + \varepsilon_1))}{f^L m^U} \exp \left\{ f^L - d^U - e_2^U(W_2 + \varepsilon_1) - \frac{f^L m^U (M_2 + \varepsilon_1)}{2(m_1 - \varepsilon_1)} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

Because of the arbitrariness of ε , it is not difficult to see that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} y(n) \geq m_2$.

Step 5. Finally, we only show $\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} u_1(n) \geq w_1$ as the proof of $\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} u_2(n) \geq w_2$ is similar. For any $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ such that $\varepsilon_2 < m_1$, there exists n_5 such that

$$x(n) \geq m_1 - \varepsilon_2 \quad \text{for } n \geq n_5. \quad (3.26)$$

This and the third equation of system (1.5) imply that

$$\Delta u_1(n) \geq -\eta_1(n)u_1(n) + q_1^L(m_1 - \varepsilon_2) \quad (3.27)$$

for $n \geq n_5$. Then, for $n \geq n_5$,

$$u_1(n+1) \geq (1 - \eta_1^U)u_1(n) + q_1^L(m_1 - \varepsilon_2). \quad (3.28)$$

It follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 immediately that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} u_1(n) \geq \frac{q_1^L(m_1 - \varepsilon_2)}{\eta_1^U}. \quad (3.29)$$

Letting $\varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$ gives $\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} u_1(n) \geq w_1$. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Theorem 3.2. Assume that (H_1) and (H_2) hold. Assume further that there exist positive constants α , β , γ , ζ , and δ such that

$$\alpha \min \left\{ b^L, \frac{2}{M_1} - b^U \right\} - \alpha \frac{c^U}{4(m^L)^2 m_2} - \alpha \frac{c^U M_1}{4m_1^2} - \beta \frac{f^U M_2}{2m_2 m_1} - \gamma q_1^U > \delta, \quad (H_3)$$

$$\beta \min \left\{ \frac{2f^L(m^L)^2 m_1^2 m_2}{(M_1^2 + (m^U)^2 M_2^2)^2}, \frac{2}{M_2} - \frac{f^U M_1}{2m_1 m_2} \right\} - \alpha \frac{c^U M_1}{4(m^L)^2 m_2^2} - \alpha \frac{c^U}{4m_1} - \zeta q_2^U > \delta, \quad (H_4)$$

$$\gamma \eta_1^L - \alpha e_1^U > \delta, \quad (H_5)$$

$$\zeta \eta_2^L - \beta e_2^U > \delta. \quad (H_6)$$

Then the species x and y are globally attractive, that is, for any positive solutions $(x_1(n), y_1(n), u_1(n), u_2(n))$ and $(x_2(n), y_2(n), \widetilde{u}_1(n), \widetilde{u}_2(n))$ of system (1.5) with the initial conditions (1.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |x_1(n) - x_2(n)| &= 0, & \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |y_1(n) - y_2(n)| &= 0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |u_1(n) - \widetilde{u}_1(n)| &= 0, & \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |u_2(n) - \widetilde{u}_2(n)| &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

Proof. From conditions (H_3) – (H_6) , there exists small enough positive constant $\varepsilon < \min\{m_1/2, m_2/2\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha \min \left\{ b^L, \frac{2}{(M_1 + \varepsilon)} - b^U \right\} - \alpha \frac{c^U}{4(m^L)^2(m_2 - \varepsilon)} - \alpha \frac{c^U(M_1 + \varepsilon)}{4(m_1 - \varepsilon)^2} - \beta \frac{f^U(M_2 + \varepsilon)}{2(m_1 - \varepsilon)(m_2 - \varepsilon)} - \gamma q_1^U > \delta, \\ & \beta \min \left\{ \frac{2f^L(m^L)^2(m_1 - \varepsilon)^2(m_2 - \varepsilon)}{((M_1 + \varepsilon)^2 + (m^U)^2(M_2 + \varepsilon)^2)^2}, \frac{2}{(M_2 + \varepsilon)} - \frac{f^U(M_1 + \varepsilon)}{2(m_1 - \varepsilon)(m_2 - \varepsilon)} \right\} \\ & \quad - \alpha \frac{c^U(M_1 + \varepsilon)}{4(m^L)^2(m_2 - \varepsilon)^2} - \alpha \frac{c^U}{4(m_1 - \varepsilon)} - \zeta q_2^U > \delta, \\ & \gamma \eta_1^L - \alpha e_1^U > \delta, \\ & \zeta \eta_2^L - \beta e_2^U > \delta. \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

Since (H_1) and (H_2) hold, for any positive solutions $(x_1(n), y_1(n), u_1(n), u_2(n))$ and $(x_2(n), y_2(n), \widetilde{u}_1(n), \widetilde{u}_2(n))$ of system (1.5) with the initial conditions (1.6), it follows from Theorem 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x_i(n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x_i(n) \leq M_1, \\ m_2 &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} y_i(n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} y_i(n) \leq M_2, \\ w_i &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} u_i(n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} u_i(n) \leq W_i, \\ w_i &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \widetilde{u}_i(n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \widetilde{u}_i(n) \leq W_i, \quad i = 1, 2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

Then, there exists an $n_0 > 0$ such that, for all $n > n_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 - \varepsilon &\leq x_i(n) \leq M_1 + \varepsilon, & m_2 - \varepsilon &\leq y_i(n) \leq M_2 + \varepsilon, \\ w_i - \varepsilon &\leq u_i(n) \leq W_i + \varepsilon, & w_i - \varepsilon &\leq \widetilde{u}_i(n) \leq W_i + \varepsilon, \quad i = 1, 2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

Let

$$V_1(n) = |\ln x_1(n) - \ln x_2(n)|. \quad (3.34)$$

Then, from the first equation of system (1.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_1(n+1) &= |\ln x_1(n+1) - \ln x_2(n+1)| \\ &\leq |\ln x_1(n) - \ln x_2(n) - b(n)(x_1(n) - x_2(n))| \\ &\quad + c(n) \left| \frac{x_1(n)y_1(n)}{x_1^2(n) + m^2(n)y_1^2(n)} - \frac{x_2(n)y_2(n)}{x_2^2(n) + m^2(n)y_2^2(n)} \right| \\ &\quad + e_1(n)|u_1(n) - \widetilde{u}_1(n)|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

Using the mean value theorem, we get

$$x_1(n) - x_2(n) = \exp(\ln x_1(n)) - \exp(\ln x_2(n)) = \xi_1(n)(\ln x_1(n) - \ln x_2(n)), \quad (3.36)$$

where $\xi_1(n)$ lies between $x_1(n)$ and $x_2(n)$.

It follows from (3.35) and (3.36) that

$$\begin{aligned} V_1(n+1) &\leq |\ln x_1(n) - \ln x_2(n)| - \left(\frac{1}{\xi_1(n)} - \left| \frac{1}{\xi_1(n)} - b(n) \right| \right) |x_1(n) - x_2(n)| \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{c(n)x_1(n)y_1(n)x_2(n)}{(x_1^2(n) + m^2(n)y_1^2(n))(x_2^2(n) + m^2(n)y_2^2(n))} \right| |x_1(n) - x_2(n)| \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{c(n)m^2(n)y_1^2(n)y_2(n)}{(x_1^2(n) + m^2(n)y_1^2(n))(x_2^2(n) + m^2(n)y_2^2(n))} \right| |x_1(n) - x_2(n)| \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{c(n)x_1^2(n)x_2(n)}{(x_1^2(n) + m^2(n)y_1^2(n))(x_2^2(n) + m^2(n)y_2^2(n))} \right| |y_1(n) - y_2(n)| \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{c(n)m^2(n)y_1(n)y_2(n)x_1(n)}{(x_1^2(n) + m^2(n)y_1^2(n))(x_2^2(n) + m^2(n)y_2^2(n))} \right| |y_1(n) - y_2(n)| \\ &\quad + e_1(n)|u_1(n) - \widetilde{u}_1(n)|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

So, for $n \geq n_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta V_1(n) \leq & -\min \left\{ b^L, \frac{2}{M_1 + \varepsilon} - b^U \right\} |x_1(n) - x_2(n)| + \frac{c^U}{4(m^L)^2(m_2 - \varepsilon)} |x_1(n) - x_2(n)| \\ & + \frac{c^U(M_1 + \varepsilon)}{4(m_1 - \varepsilon)^2} |x_1(n) - x_2(n)| + \frac{c^U(M_1 + \varepsilon)}{4(m^L)^2(m_2 - \varepsilon)^2} |y_1(n) - y_2(n)| \\ & + \frac{c^U}{4(m_1 - \varepsilon)} |y_1(n) - y_2(n)| + e_1^U |u_1(n) - \widetilde{u}_1(n)|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

Let

$$V_2(n) = |\ln y_1(n) - \ln y_2(n)|. \quad (3.39)$$

Then, from the second equation of system (1.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & V_2(n+1) \\ &= |\ln y_1(n+1) - \ln y_2(n+1)| \\ &= \left| \ln y_1(n) - \ln y_2(n) + f(n) \left(\frac{x_1^2(n)}{x_1^2(n) + m^2(n)y_1^2(n)} - \frac{x_2^2(n)}{x_2^2(n) + m^2(n)y_2^2(n)} \right) - e_2(n)(u_2(n) - \widetilde{u}_2(n)) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \ln y_1(n) - \ln y_2(n) - \frac{f(n)m^2(n)x_1(n)(x_1(n)y_2(n) + y_1(n)x_2(n))}{(x_1^2(n) + m^2(n)y_1^2(n))(x_2^2(n) + m^2(n)y_2^2(n))} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{f(n)m^2(n)y_1(n)(x_1(n)y_2(n) + y_1(n)x_2(n))}{(x_1^2(n) + m^2(n)y_1^2(n))(x_2^2(n) + m^2(n)y_2^2(n))} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times |x_1(n) - x_2(n)| + e_2(n)|u_1(n) - \widetilde{u}_1(n)| \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

Using the mean value theorem, we get

$$y_1(n) - y_2(n) = \exp(\ln y_1(n)) - \exp(\ln y_2(n)) = \xi_2(n)(\ln y_1(n) - \ln y_2(n)), \quad (3.41)$$

where $\xi_2(n)$ lies between $y_1(n)$ and $y_2(n)$.

Now, it follows from (3.40) and (3.41) that, for $n > n_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Delta V_2(n) \\
& \leq - \left(\frac{1}{\xi_2(n)} - \left| \frac{1}{\xi_2(n)} - \frac{f(n)m^2(n)x_1(n)(x_1(n)y_2(n) + y_1(n)x_2(n))}{(x_1^2(n) + m^2(n)y_1^2(n))(x_2^2(n) + m^2(n)y_2^2(n))} \right| \right) |y_1(n) - y_2(n)| \\
& \quad + \frac{f(n)m^2(n)y_1(n)(x_1(n)y_2(n) + y_1(n)x_2(n))}{(x_1^2(n) + m^2(n)y_1^2(n))(x_2^2(n) + m^2(n)y_2^2(n))} |x_1(n) - x_2(n)| + e_2(n)|u_2(n) - \widetilde{u}_2(n)| \\
& \leq - \min \left\{ \frac{2f^L(m^L)^2(m_1 - \varepsilon)^2(m_2 - \varepsilon)}{((M_1 + \varepsilon)^2 + (m^U)^2(M_2 + \varepsilon))^2}, \frac{2}{(M_2 + \varepsilon)} - \frac{f^U(M_1 + \varepsilon)}{2(m_1 - \varepsilon)(m_2 - \varepsilon)} \right\} \\
& \quad \times |y_1(n) - y_2(n)| + \frac{f^U(M_2 + \varepsilon)}{2(m_1 - \varepsilon)(m_2 - \varepsilon)} |x_1(n) - x_2(n)| + e_2^U |u_2(n) - \widetilde{u}_2(n)|.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

Let

$$V_3(n) = |u_1(n) - \widetilde{u}_1(n)|. \tag{3.43}$$

Then, from the third equation of system (1.5), one can easily obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
V_3(n+1) &= |u_1(n+1) - \widetilde{u}_1(n+1)| \\
&\leq (1 - \eta_1(n)) |u_1(n) - \widetilde{u}_1(n)| + q_1(n) |x_1(n) - x_2(n)|.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.44}$$

It follows from (3.44) that, for $n > n_0$,

$$\Delta V_3(n) \leq -\eta_1^L |u_1(n) - \widetilde{u}_1(n)| + q_1^U |x_1(n) - x_2(n)|. \tag{3.45}$$

Let

$$V_4(n) = |u_2(n) - \widetilde{u}_2(n)|. \tag{3.46}$$

Similar to the analysis of (3.44) and (3.45), we can get

$$\Delta V_4(n) \leq -\eta_2^L |u_2(n) - \widetilde{u}_2(n)| + q_2^U |y_1(n) - y_2(n)|. \tag{3.47}$$

Now, we define a Lyapunov function as follows:

$$V(n) = \alpha V_1(n) + \beta V_2(n) + \gamma V_3(n) + \zeta V_4(n). \quad (3.48)$$

For $n > n_0$, it follows from (3.38), (3.42), (3.45), and (3.47) that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta V(n) \leq & - \left[\alpha \min \left\{ b^L, \frac{2}{(M_1 + \varepsilon)} - b^U \right\} - \alpha \frac{c^U}{4(m^L)^2(m_2 - \varepsilon)} - \alpha \frac{c^U(M_1 + \varepsilon)}{4(m_1 - \varepsilon)^2} \right. \\ & \left. - \beta \frac{f^U(M_2 + \varepsilon)}{2(m_1 - \varepsilon)(m_2 - \varepsilon)} - \gamma q_1^U \right] \times |x_1(n) - x_2(n)| \\ & - \left[\beta \min \left\{ \frac{2f^L(m^L)^2(m_1 - \varepsilon)^2(m_2 - \varepsilon)}{((M_1 + \varepsilon)^2 + (m^U)^2(M_2 + \varepsilon)^2)^2}, \frac{2}{(M_2 + \varepsilon)} - \frac{2f^U(M_1 + \varepsilon)}{2(m_1 - \varepsilon)(m_2 - \varepsilon)} \right\} \right. \\ & \left. - \alpha \frac{c^U(M_1 + \varepsilon)}{4(m^L)^2(m_2 - \varepsilon)^2} - \alpha \frac{c^U}{4(m_1 - \varepsilon)} - \zeta q_2^U \right] \times |y_1(n) - y_2(n)| \\ & - (\gamma \eta_1^L - \alpha e_1^u) \times |u_1(n) - \widetilde{u}_1(n)| - (\zeta \eta_2^L - \beta e_2^U) \times |u_2(n) - \widetilde{u}_2(n)| \\ \leq & -\delta (|x_1(n) - x_2(n)| + |y_1(n) - y_2(n)| + |u_1(n) - \widetilde{u}_1(n)| + |u_2(n) - \widetilde{u}_2(n)|). \end{aligned} \quad (3.49)$$

Summing both sides of the above inequalities from n_0 to n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{p=n_0}^n (V(p+1) - V(p)) \\ & \leq -\delta \sum_{p=n_0}^n (|x_1(p) - x_2(p)| + |y_1(p) - y_2(p)| + |u_1(p) - \widetilde{u}_1(p)| + |u_2(p) - \widetilde{u}_2(p)|), \end{aligned} \quad (3.50)$$

which implies

$$V(n_0) \geq \delta \sum_{p=n_0}^n (|x_1(p) - x_2(p)| + |y_1(p) - y_2(p)| + |u_1(p) - \widetilde{u}_1(p)| + |u_2(p) - \widetilde{u}_2(p)|) + V(n+1). \quad (3.51)$$

It follows that

$$\frac{V(n_0)}{\delta} \geq \sum_{p=n_0}^n (|x_1(p) - x_2(p)| + |y_1(p) - y_2(p)| + |u_1(p) - \widetilde{u}_1(p)| + |u_2(p) - \widetilde{u}_2(p)|). \quad (3.52)$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ gives

$$\frac{V(n_0)}{\delta} \geq \sum_{p=n_0}^{+\infty} (|x_1(p) - x_2(p)| + |y_1(p) - y_2(p)| + |u_1(p) - \widetilde{u}_1(p)| + |u_2(p) - \widetilde{u}_2(p)|), \quad (3.53)$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|x_1(n) - x_2(n)| + |y_1(n) - y_2(n)| + |u_1(n) - \widetilde{u}_1(n)| + |u_2(n) - \widetilde{u}_2(n)|) = 0, \quad (3.54)$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_1(n) - x_2(n)| &= 0, & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |y_1(n) - y_2(n)| &= 0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |u_1(n) - \widetilde{u}_1(n)| &= 0, & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |u_2(n) - \widetilde{u}_2(n)| &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.55)$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2. $\square$

4. Extinction of the predator species

This section is devoted to studying the extinction of the predator species y .

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that*

$$-d^L + f^U < 0. \quad (H_7)$$

Then, for any solution $(x(n), y(n), u_1(n), u_2(n))$ of system (1.5),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} y(n) = 0. \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. From condition (H_7) , there exists small enough positive constant $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$-d^L + f^U < -\gamma < 0. \quad (4.2)$$

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, from (4.2) and the second equation of system (1.5), one can easily obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} y(n+1) &= y(n) \exp \left\{ -d(n) + \frac{f(n)x^2(n)}{x^2(n) + m^2(n)y^2(n)} - e_2(n)u_2(n) \right\} \\ &< y(n) \exp \{-d^L + f^U\} \\ &< y(n) \exp \{-\gamma\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Therefore,

$$y(n+1) < y(0) \exp \{-n\gamma\}, \quad (4.4)$$

which yields

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} y(n) = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is complete. $\square$

5. Examples

The following two examples show the feasibility of the main results.

Example 5.1. Consider the following system:

$$\begin{aligned} x(n+1) &= x(n) \exp \left\{ 1.225 + 0.025 \sin(\sqrt{2}n) - (5.25 + 0.25 \cos(n))x(n) \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{((0.0075 + 0.0025 \sin(n))y(n)x(n))}{x^2(n) + (0.885 + 0.005 \cos(\sqrt{3}n))^2 y^2(n)} \\ &\quad \left. - (0.002 + 0.001 \cos(\sqrt{3}n))u_1(n) \right\}, \\ y(n+1) &= y(n) \exp \left\{ - (0.1125 + 0.0025 \cos(\sqrt{2}n)) \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{(0.195 + 0.005 \sin(\sqrt{3}n))x^2(n)}{x^2(n) + (0.885 + 0.005 \cos(\sqrt{3}n))^2 y^2(n)} \\ &\quad \left. - (0.0015 + 0.0005 \sin(n))u_2(n) \right\}, \\ \Delta u_1(n) &= -(0.925 + 0.025 \sin(n))u_1(n) + (0.0375 + 0.0275 \cos(n))x(n), \\ \Delta u_2(n) &= -(0.925 + 0.025 \cos(n))u_2(n) + (0.025 + 0.005 \sin(n))y(n). \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

One could easily see that there exist $\alpha = 0.06$, $\beta = 0.05$, $\gamma = 0.01$, $\zeta = 0.001$, and $\delta = 0.00001$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} a^L - \frac{c^U}{2m^L} - e_1^U W_1 &\approx 1.2 > 0, \\ f^L - d^U - e_2^U W_2 &\approx 0.04 > 0, \\ \alpha \min \left\{ b^L, \frac{2}{M_1} - b^U \right\} - \alpha \frac{c^U}{4(m^L)^2 m_2} - \alpha \frac{c^U M_1}{4m_1^2} - \beta \frac{f^U M_2}{2m_1 m_2} - \gamma q_1^U &\approx 0.04512 > \delta, \\ \beta \min \left\{ \frac{2f^L (m^L)^2 m_1^2 m_2}{M_1^2 + (m^U)^2 M_2^2}, \frac{2}{M_2} - \frac{f^U M_1}{2m_1 m_2} \right\} - \alpha \frac{c^U M_1}{4(m^L)^2 m_2} - \alpha \frac{c^U}{4m_1} - \zeta q_2^U &\approx 0.000013 > \delta, \\ \gamma \eta_1^L - \alpha e_1^U &\approx 0.0072 > \delta, \\ \zeta \eta_2^L - \beta e_2^U &\approx 0.00087 > \delta. \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

Figure 1: Dynamics behaviors of system (5.1) with initial conditions $(x(0), y(0), u_1(0), u_2(0))^T = (0.31, 0.26, 0.02, 0.08)^T$ and $(0.18, 0.18, 0.01, 0.1)^T$, respectively.

Clearly, conditions (H_1) – (H_6) are satisfied. From Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, the system is permanent and globally attractive. Numeric simulation (Figure 1) strongly supports our results.

Example 5.2. Consider the following system:

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(n+1) &= x(n) \exp \left\{ 0.8 + 0.1 \sin(n) - (11.5 + 3.5 \cos(n))x(n) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{(0.035 + 0.025 \sin(n))y(n)x(n)}{x^2(n) + (5.75 + 0.35 \cos(\sqrt{2}n))^2 y^2(n)} - (0.025 + 0.005 \cos(n))u_1(n) \right\}, \\
 y(n+1) &= y(n) \exp \left\{ - (0.975 + 0.025 \cos(\sqrt{2}n)) + \frac{(0.195 + 0.005 \sin(\sqrt{3}n))x^2(n)}{x^2(n) + (5.75 + 0.35 \cos(\sqrt{2}n))^2 y^2(n)} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - (0.0015 + 0.0005 \cos(\sqrt{3}n))u_2(n) \right\}, \\
 \Delta u_1(n) &= -(0.925 + 0.025 \sin(n))u_1(n) + (0.0375 + 0.0275 \cos(n))x(n), \\
 \Delta u_2(n) &= -(0.925 - 0.025 \cos(n))u_2(n) + (0.025 + 0.005 \sin(n))y(n).
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

By simple computation, we can easily have

$$-d^L + f^U = -0.8 < 0. \tag{5.4}$$

Figure 2: Dynamics behaviors of system (5.2) with initial conditions $(x(0), y(0), u_1(0), u_2(0))^T = (0.06, 0.08, 0.04, 0.02)^T$.

Thus, condition (H_7) is satisfied; from Theorem 4.1, it follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} y(n) = 0$. Numeric simulation (Figure 2) strongly supports our result.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in Fujian Province University (0330-003383).

References

- [1] L.-L. Wang and W.-T. Li, "Existence of periodic solutions of a delayed predator-prey system with functional response," *International Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 1, no. 1-2, pp. 55–63, 2002.
- [2] L.-L. Wang and W.-T. Li, "Existence and global stability of positive periodic solutions of a predator-prey system with delays," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 146, no. 1, pp. 167–185, 2003.
- [3] W.-T. Li and S.-L. Wu, "Traveling waves in a diffusive predator-prey model with Holling type-III functional response," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 476–486, 2008.
- [4] G. Zeng, F. Wang, and J. J. Nieto, "Complexity of a delayed predator-prey model with impulsive harvest and Holling type II functional response," *Advances in Complex Systems*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 77–97, 2008.
- [5] M. R. Garvie and C. Trenchea, "Finite element approximation of spatially extended predator-prey interactions with the Holling type II functional response," *Numerische Mathematik*, vol. 107, no. 4, pp. 641–667, 2007.
- [6] W. Wang, J. Shen, and J. J. Nieto, "Permanence and periodic solution of predator-prey system with Holling type functional response and impulses," *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2007, Article ID 81756, 15 pages, 2007.
- [7] Y. Xia, J. Cao, and M. Lin, "Discrete-time analogues of predator-prey models with monotonic or nonmonotonic functional responses," *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 1079–1095, 2007.
- [8] H.-F. Huo, W.-T. Li, and J. J. Nieto, "Periodic solutions of delayed predator-prey model with the Beddington-DeAngelis functional response," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 505–512, 2007.
- [9] H. Su, B. Dai, Y. Chen, and K. Li, "Dynamic complexities of a predator-prey model with generalized Holling type III functional response and impulsive effects," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 56, no. 7, pp. 1715–1725, 2008.

- [10] J. J. Nieto and R. Rodríguez-López, "Hybrid metric dynamical systems with impulses," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 64, no. 2, pp. 368–380, 2006.
- [11] T. K. Kar and H. Matsuda, "Global dynamics and controllability of a harvested prey-predator system with Holling type III functional response," *Nonlinear Analysis: Hybrid Systems*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 59–67, 2007.
- [12] R. Arditi, N. Perrin, and H. Saiah, "Functional response and heterogeneities: an experiment test with cladocerans," *Oikos*, vol. 60, pp. 69–75, 1991.
- [13] V. L. Kocic and G. Ladas, *Global Behavior of Nonlinear Difference Equations of Higher Order with Applications*, Mathematics and Its Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1993.
- [14] L.-L. Wang and W.-T. Li, "Periodic solutions and permanence for a delayed nonautonomous ratio-dependent predator-prey model with Holling type functional response," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 162, no. 2, pp. 341–357, 2004.
- [15] C. Chen and K. Ji, "On a non-autonomous ratio-dependent predator-prey system with feedback controls," *Journal of Biomathematics*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 200–208, 2007.
- [16] B. Dai and J. Zou, "Periodic solutions of a discrete-time diffusive system governed by backward difference equations," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2005, no. 3, pp. 263–274, 2005.
- [17] R. P. Agarwal, *Difference Equations and Inequalities: Theory, Methods, and Applications*, vol. 228 of *Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, USA, 2nd edition, 2000.
- [18] J. D. Murry, *Mathematical Biology*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1989.
- [19] Y.-H. Fan and W.-T. Li, "Permanence for a delayed discrete ratio-dependent predator-prey system with Holling type functional response," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 299, no. 2, pp. 357–374, 2004.
- [20] H.-F. Huo and W.-T. Li, "Positive periodic solutions of a class of delay differential system with feedback control," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 148, no. 1, pp. 35–46, 2004.
- [21] F. Chen, "Permanence and global stability of nonautonomous Lotka-Volterra system with predator-prey and deviating arguments," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 173, no. 2, pp. 1082–1100, 2006.
- [22] F. Chen, "Permanence of a single species discrete model with feedback control and delay," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 20, no. 7, pp. 729–733, 2007.
- [23] F. Chen, "Permanence of a discrete n -species food-chain system with time delays," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 185, no. 1, pp. 719–726, 2007.
- [24] X. Chen and C. Fengde, "Stable periodic solution of a discrete periodic Lotka-Volterra competition system with a feedback control," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 181, no. 2, pp. 1446–1454, 2006.
- [25] F. Chen, "On the periodic solutions of periodic multi-species Kolmogorov type competitive system with delays and feedback controls," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 180, no. 1, pp. 366–373, 2006.
- [26] C. Xiaoxing and C. Fengde, "Almost-periodic solutions of a delay population equation with feedback control," *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 559–571, 2006.
- [27] F. Chen, "Permanence in nonautonomous multi-species predator-prey system with feedback controls," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 173, no. 2, pp. 694–709, 2006.
- [28] X. Li and W. Yang, "Permanence of a discrete predator-prey systems with Beddington-DeAngelis functional response and feedback controls," *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2008, Article ID 149267, 8 pages, 2008.
- [29] L. Wang and M. Q. Wang, *Ordinary Differences Equation*, Xinjiang University Press, Xinjiang, China, 1991.