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By using the Banach fixed point theorem and step method, we study the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the Cauchy-type problems of fractional differential equations. Meanwhile, by citing some counterexamples, it is pointed out that there exist a few defects in the proofs of the known results.

1. Introduction

Recently, fractional differential equations are applied widely in various fields of science and engineering. Regarding applications of fractional differential equations, we refer to [1–15] and references cited therein. However, the investigation of basic theory of fractional differential equations is still not complete, and there is a great deal of work which needs to be done. Most of the investigations in this field involve the existence and uniqueness of solutions to fractional differential equations on the finite interval \([a, b]\). In 1938, Pitcher and Sewell [16] first considered the nonlinear fractional differential equation

\[
(D^{a}_{a+} y)(x) = f(x, y(x)),
\]

with the following initial conditions:

\[
(D^{a_{-k}}_{a+} y)(a+) = b_k, \quad b_k \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (k = 1, \ldots, n, \quad n = -[-\alpha]),
\]
where $0 < \alpha < 1$, and $D^\alpha_{a+}$ is Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative. Barrett [17], in 1954, first considered the Cauchy-type problem for the linear fractional differential equation

$$(D^\alpha_{a+} y)(x) - \lambda y(x) = f(x), \quad (n - 1) \leq R(\alpha) < n, \quad \alpha \neq n - 1), \quad (1.3)$$

with the same initial conditions (1.2). Afterwards, there is a great deal of work about the basic theory [18–27]. In [28], Kilbas et al. summarized systematically the main results.

In this paper we consider the cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2); here $D^\alpha_{a+}$ can be Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative, and Hadamard-type fractional derivative. We establish some results about the existence and uniqueness of solution of (1.1)-(1.2). By the way, we will point out that there exist several defects in the proofs of the related theorems of [28].

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we introduce some preliminaries and notations; main results are proved in Section 3; in Section 4, by citing several counterexamples, we will point out the defects in [28]; Section 5 is a brief summary of this paper.

2. Preliminaries and Notations

In this section, we introduce some basic definitions and notations about fractional calculus. Meanwhile, several known theorems are given, which are useful in this paper.

Definition 2.1 (see [28]). Let $\Omega = (a, b]$ ($-\infty < a < b < \infty$) be a finite interval on the real axis $\mathbb{R}$. The Riemann-Liouville left-sided fractional integral $I^\alpha_{a+} g$ of the function $g$ with order $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ($\alpha > 0$) is defined by

$$(I^\alpha_{a+} g)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^x \frac{g(t)dt}{(x - t)^{1-\alpha}}, \quad (x > a), \quad (2.1)$$

where the real function $g$ is defined on the interval $\Omega$ and the right-side integral of the above equality is assumed to make sense.

Definition 2.2 (see [28]). Let $\Omega = (a, b]$ ($-\infty < a < b < \infty$) be a finite interval on the real axis $\mathbb{R}$. The Riemann-Liouville left-sided fractional derivative $D^\alpha_{a+} g$ of the function $g$ with order $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ($\alpha \geq 0$) is defined by

$$(D^\alpha_{a+} g)(x) = \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^n (I^{n-\alpha}_{a+} g)(x)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^n \int_a^x \frac{g(t)dt}{(x - t)^{n-\alpha}}, \quad (x > a; \quad n = -[\alpha]), \quad (2.2)$$

where the real function $g$ is defined on the interval $\Omega$ and the right side of the above equality is assumed to make sense.
**Definition 2.3.** Assume that $f [x, y]$ is defined on the set $(a, b] \times G$ ($G \subset \mathbb{R}$). $f [x, y]$ is said to satisfy Lipschitzian condition with respect to the second variable, if for all $x \in (a, b]$ and for any $y_1, y_2 \in G$ one has

$$|f [x, y_1] - f [x, y_2]| \leq A|y_1 - y_2|,$$

(2.3)

where $A > 0$ does not depend on $x \in (a, b]$.

**Definition 2.4** (see [28]). Let $n - 1 < a \leq n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), then the space $C_{n-a}^a [a, b]$ is defined by

$$C_{n-a}^a [a, b] = \{ y(x) \in C_{n-a} [a, b] : (D_{a+}^a y)(x) \in C_{n-a} [a, b] \}.$$  

(2.4)

Here $C_{n-a} [a, b]$ is a weighted space of continuous functions

$$C_{n-a} [a, b] = \{ g : (a, b] \to \mathbb{R} : (x-a)^{n-a}g(x) \in C [a, b] \},$$

(2.5)

and $D_{a+}^a$ is the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative.

In the space $C_{n-a} [a, b]$, we define the norm

$$\|g\|_{C_{n-a}} = \|(x-a)^{n-a}g(x)\|_{C}.$$  

**Definition 2.5** (see [28]). Let $(a, b)$ $(0 < a < b \leq \infty)$ be a finite or infinite interval of the half-axis $\mathbb{R}^+$. The Hadamard type left-sided fractional integral $J_{a+}^a h$ of the function $h$ with order $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \ (\alpha > 0)$ is defined by

$$(J_{a+}^a h)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^x \left( \ln \frac{x}{t} \right)^{a-1} h(t) \frac{dt}{t}, \quad (a < x < b),$$

(2.6)

where $h : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ and the right-side integral of the above equality is assumed to make sense.

**Definition 2.6** (see [28]). Let $\delta = xD$ ($D = d/dx$) be the $\delta$-derivative. The Hadamard left-sided fractional derivative $\mathcal{D}_{a+}^\alpha y$ of the function $y$ on $(a, b)$ with order $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \ (\alpha \geq 0)$ is defined by

$$(\mathcal{D}_{a+}^\alpha y)(x) = \delta^n (J_{a+}^{n-a} y)(x)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-a)} \left( x \frac{d}{dx} \right)^n \int_a^x \left( \ln \frac{x}{t} \right)^{n-a-1} y(t) \frac{dt}{t}, \quad (a < x < b; \ n = [-\alpha]),$$

(2.7)

where $y : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}, \delta^n = \delta \cdots \delta_n$ and the right side of the above equality is assumed to make sense.

**Definition 2.7** (see [28]). Let $n - 1 < \alpha \leq n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), $0 < a < b \leq +\infty$, and $0 \leq \gamma < 1$. The space $C_{\alpha,a,\gamma}^\alpha [a, b]$ is defined by

$$C_{\alpha,a,\gamma}^\alpha [a, b] = \{ y(x) \in C_{n-a,\infty} [a, b] : (\mathcal{D}_{a+}^\alpha y) \in C_{\gamma,\infty} [a, b] \},$$

(2.8)
Theorem 2.9 Let $u$ be a Riemann-Liouville left-sided fractional derivative. Then $u \in C_{\gamma}\left[a,b\right]$ is a weighted space of continuous functions

$$C_{\gamma}\left[a,b\right] = \left\{ g : (a,b) \to \mathbb{R} : \left( \ln \frac{x}{a} \right)^{\gamma} g(x) \in C\left[a,b\right] \right\}. \quad (2.9)$$

In the space $C_{\gamma}\left[a,b\right]$, we define the norm $\|g\|_{C_{\gamma}} = \|\left(\ln(x/a)^{\gamma} g(x)\right)\|_{C}$.

Theorem 2.10 Let $\alpha > 0$, $n = -[-\alpha]$. Let $f : (a,b] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that $f[x,y(x)] \in C_{\gamma}[a,b]$ for any $y(x) \in C_{\gamma}[a,b]$. If $y(x) \in C_{\gamma}[a,b]$, then $y(x)$ satisfies the relations:

$$\left(D_{a+}^{\alpha} y\right)(x) = f[x,y(x)], \quad (\alpha > 0), \quad (2.10)$$

$$\left(D_{a+}^{n} y\right)(a+) = b_{k}, \quad b_{k} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (k = 1,\ldots,n = -[-\alpha]), \quad (2.11)$$

if and only if $y(x)$ satisfies the Volterra integral equation

$$y(x) = y_{0}(x) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{x} \frac{f[t,y(t)]}{(x-t)^{1-\alpha}} dt, \quad (x > a), \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$y_{0}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{b_{j}}{\Gamma(\alpha - j + 1)} (x-a)^{\alpha-j}, \quad (2.13)$$

where $D_{a+}^{\alpha}$ is a Riemann-Liouville left-sided fractional derivative.

Theorem 2.11 (Banach Fixed Point Theorem) Let $(U,d)$ be a nonempty complete metric space, let $0 \leq \omega < 1$, and let $T : U \to U$ be a map such that, for every $u,v \in U$, the relation

$$d(Tu,Tv) \leq \omega d(u,v), \quad (0 \leq \omega < 1) \quad (2.14)$$

holds. Then the operator $T$ has a unique fixed point $u^{*} \in U$.

Theorem 2.12 (see [28]). Let $0 < a < b < \infty$, $\alpha > 0$, $n = -[-\alpha]$, and $0 \leq \gamma < 1$. Let $f : (a,b] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that $f[x,y(x)] \in C_{\gamma}[a,b]$ for any $y(x) \in C_{\gamma}[a,b]$. If $y(x) \in C_{\gamma}[a,b]$, then $y(x)$ satisfies

$$\left(\mathcal{D}_{a+}^{\alpha} y\right)(x) = f[x,y(x)], \quad (x > a), \quad (2.15)$$

$$\left(\mathcal{D}_{a+}^{n} y\right)(a+) = b_{k}, \quad b_{k} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (k = 1,\ldots,n; \ n = -[-\alpha]), \quad (2.16)$$
Lemma 3.1. Let $\mathcal{G}^n$ be given; then, in the sense of Hadamard fractional derivative, we have the similar result.

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{b_j}{\Gamma(n-j+1)} \left( \ln \frac{x}{a} \right)^{a-j} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{a}^{x} \left( \ln \frac{t}{a} \right)^{a-1} f(t, y(t)) \frac{dt}{t}, \quad (x > a),$$

(2.16)

where $\mathcal{G}^n$ is a Hadamard-type left-sided fractional derivative.

Remark 2.11. It should be worthy noting that the conditions in Theorems 2.8 and 2.10 are a little different from the ones in [28, pages 163, 213]. In [28], $G$ is an open set in $R$ and $f$ is assumed to be a function such that $f(x, y) \in C_{n-\alpha}(a, b)(C_{1,\ln}(a, b))$ for any $y \in G$. In fact, we think that such assumption is not complete for the proof of the related conclusion.

3. Main Results

In this section, we will establish several useful lemmas. It should be pointed out that, in [28], some analogous lemmas play important roles in the proofs of the related results. However, we have found out that there exist a few defects in these lemmas of [28], which means that the proofs of the related results in [28] are not complete. Several counterexamples will be given in Section 4. In a sense, our lemmas are to mend these cracks. Furthermore, several theorems about the existence and uniqueness of solution for the cauchy-type problem (2.10)-(2.11) will be given; then, in the sense of Hadamard fractional derivative, we have the similar result.

Lemma 3.1. Let $y \in [0, \infty)$, $a < c < b$, $g \in C_1[a, c]$, and $g \in C[c, b]$. Then $g \in C_1[a, b]$ and

$$\|g\|_{C_1[a,b]} \leq \max\left\{ \|g\|_{C_1[a,c]} \left( (b-a)^\gamma \right) \|g\|_{C[a,b]} \right\}. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Since $g \in C_1[a, c]$ and $g \in C[c, b]$, then $g \in C(a, b)$ and $g \in C_1[a, b]$. Now we prove the estimate. Because $g \in C_1[a, b]$, there exists $x_0 \in [a, b]$ such that

$$\|g\|_{C_1[a,b]} = \left| (x_0 - a)^\gamma g(x_0) \right|. \quad (3.2)$$

If $x_0 \in [a, c]$, then

$$\|g\|_{C_1[a,b]} \leq \|g\|_{C_1[a,c]}. \quad (3.3)$$

If $x_0 \in [c, b]$, then

$$\|g\|_{C_1[a,b]} \leq (b-a)^\gamma \|g\|_{C[a,b]}. \quad (3.4)$$

Hence we have

$$\|g\|_{C_1[a,b]} \leq \max\left\{ \|g\|_{C_1[a,c]} \left( (b-a)^\gamma \right) \|g\|_{C[a,b]} \right\}. \quad (3.5)$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1. \qed
Lemma 3.2 (see [28]). If \( y \in \mathbb{R}(0 \leq y < 1) \), then the fractional integration operator \( I_{a^+}^\alpha \) with order \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \) \( \alpha > 0 \) is a mapping from \( C_\gamma[a,b] \) to \( C_\gamma[a,b] \), and

\[
\|I_{a^+}^\alpha g\|_{C_\gamma} \leq (b-a)^\alpha \frac{\Gamma(1-y)}{\Gamma(1+a-y)} \|g\|_{C_\gamma},
\]  

(3.6)

here \( I_{a^+}^\alpha \) is a Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operator and \( g \in C_\gamma[a,b] \).

Furthermore, we have the following conclusion.

Lemma 3.3. The fractional integration operator \( I_{a^+}^\alpha \) with order \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R}(\alpha > 0) \) is a mapping from \( C[a,b] \) to \( C[a,b] \), and

\[
\|I_{a^+}^\alpha g\|_C \leq \frac{(b-a)^\alpha}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \|g\|_C,
\]

(3.7)

where \( I_{a^+}^\alpha \) is a Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operator and \( g \in C[a,b] \).

Proof. Firstly we prove that if \( g \in C[a,b] \), then \((I_{a^+}^\alpha g)(x) \in C[a,b]\). For any \( x \in [a,b] \) and \( \Delta x > 0 \), \( x + \Delta x \leq b \), we have

\[
\left| (I_{a^+}^\alpha g)(x + \Delta x) - (I_{a^+}^\alpha g)(x) \right| = \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{x+\Delta x} g(t) dt \frac{1}{(x + \Delta x - t)^{1-\alpha}} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{x} g(t) dt \frac{1}{(x - t)^{1-\alpha}} \right|
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left\{ \left| \int_{a}^{x} g(t) \left[ \frac{1}{(x + \Delta x - t)^{1-\alpha}} - \frac{1}{(x - t)^{1-\alpha}} \right] dt \right| 
\right. \\
\left. + \int_{x}^{x+\Delta x} \frac{g(t)}{(x + \Delta x - t)^{1-\alpha}} dt \right\}
\]
\[
\leq \frac{\|g\|_{C[a,b]}}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \left\{ [(x + \Delta x - a)^{\alpha} - (x - a)^{\alpha}] + (\Delta x)^{\alpha} + (\Delta x)^{\alpha} \right\}.
\]

(3.8)

It is easy to see that as \( \Delta x \to 0^+ \), we have

\[
\left| (I_{a^+}^\alpha g)(x + \Delta x) - (I_{a^+}^\alpha g)(x) \right| \to 0.
\]

(3.9)

Similarly, we can prove that as \( \Delta x \to 0^- \), we have

\[
\left| (I_{a^+}^\alpha g)(x + \Delta x) - (I_{a^+}^\alpha g)(x) \right| \to 0.
\]

(3.10)

Thus \( I_{a^+}^\alpha g \in C[a,b] \).
Now we prove the estimate. In fact
\[
\|I_{a+}^\alpha g\|_{C[a,b]} = \max_{x \in [a,b]} \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^x \frac{g(t)\,dt}{(x-t)^{1-\alpha}} \right|
\leq \frac{\|g\|_{C[a,b]}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} \,dt
\leq \frac{(b-a)^\alpha}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \|g\|_C.
\tag{3.11}
\]

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.3.

\textbf{Lemma 3.4.} Let \( \gamma \in [0, \infty), 0 < a < c < b < \infty, g \in C_{\gamma,\ln}[a,c] \) and \( g \in C[c,b] \). Then \( g \in C_{\gamma,\ln}[a,b] \) and
\[
\|g\|_{C_{\gamma,\ln}[a,b]} \leq \max \left\{ \|g\|_{C_{\gamma,\ln}[a,c]}, \left( \ln \frac{b}{a} \right)^\gamma \|g\|_{C[c,b]} \right\}.
\tag{3.12}
\]

\textit{Proof.} The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.1. Since \( g \in C_{\gamma,\ln}[a,c] \) and \( g \in C[c,b] \), we have \( g \in C(a,b) \), that is, \( g \in C_{\gamma,\ln}[a,b] \).

Next we give the estimate. Because \( g \in C_{\gamma,\ln}[a,b] \), there exists at least \( x^* \in [a,b] \) such that
\[
\|g\|_{C_{\gamma,\ln}[a,b]} = \left| \left( \ln \frac{x^*}{a} \right)^\gamma g(x^*) \right|.
\tag{3.13}
\]

If \( x^* \in [a,c] \), then
\[
\|g\|_{C_{\gamma,\ln}[a,b]} \leq \|g\|_{C_{\gamma,\ln}[a,c]}.
\tag{3.14}
\]

If \( x^* \in [c,b] \), then
\[
\|g\|_{C_{\gamma,\ln}[a,b]} \leq \left( \ln \frac{b}{a} \right)^\gamma \|g\|_{C[c,b]}.
\tag{3.15}
\]

Hence we have
\[
\|g\|_{C_{\gamma,\ln}[a,b]} \leq \max \left\{ \|g\|_{C_{\gamma,\ln}[a,c]}, \left( \ln \frac{b}{a} \right)^\gamma \|g\|_{C[c,b]} \right\}.
\tag{3.16}
\]

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.4.

Next, on the basis of above lemmas, we establish the results about the existence and uniqueness of solution for the cauchy-type problem (2.10)-(2.11) in the sense of Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative and Hadamard fractional derivative.
Theorem 3.5. Let $\alpha > 0$ and $n = [-\alpha]$. Let $f : (a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that $f[x, y(x)] \in C_{n-\alpha}[a, b]$ for any $y(x) \in C_{n-\alpha}[a, b]$ and the Lipschitzian condition holds with respect to the second variable $y$. Then there exists a unique solution $y(x) \in C_{n-\alpha}^a[a, b]$ for the cauchy-type problem (2.10)-(2.11).

**Proof.** First we prove the existence of a unique solution $y(x) \in C_{n-\alpha}[a, b]$. According to Theorem 2.8, it is sufficient to prove the existence of a unique solution $y(x) \in C_{n-\alpha}[a, b]$ to the nonlinear Volterra integral equation (2.12). Equation (2.12) makes sense in any interval $(a, x_1] \subset (a, b)$ $(a < x_1 < b)$. Choose $x_1$ such that

$$A(x_1 - a)^a \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - n + 1)}{\Gamma(2\alpha - n + 1)} < 1,$$

(3.17)

where $A > 0$ is the Lipschitzian coefficient. Next we prove the existence of a unique solution $y(x) \in C_{n-\alpha}[a, x_1]$ to (2.12) on the interval $(a, x_1]$. For this, we use the Banach fixed point theorem for the space $C_{n-\alpha}[a, x_1]$, which is a complete metric space with the distance given by

$$d(y_1, y_2) = \|y_1 - y_2\|_{C_{n-\alpha}[a, x_1]} = \max_{x \in [a, x_1]} |(x - a)^{n-\alpha} y_1(x) - y_2(x)|.\quad (3.18)$$

We rewrite the integral (2.12) in the form

$$y(x) = (Ty)(x),$$

(3.19)

where

$$(Ty)(x) = y_0(x) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^x f[t, y(t)] dt.$$

(3.20)

To apply Theorem 2.9, we have to prove the following: (1) if $y(x) \in C_{n-\alpha}[a, x_1]$, then $(Ty)(x) \in C_{n-\alpha}[a, x_1]$; (2) for any $y_1, y_2 \in C_{n-\alpha}[a, x_1]$ the following estimate holds:

$$\|Ty_1 - Ty_2\|_{C_{n-\alpha}[a, x_1]} \leq \omega \|y_1 - y_2\|_{C_{n-\alpha}[a, x_1]}, \quad \omega = A(x_1 - a)^a \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - n + 1)}{\Gamma(2\alpha - n + 1)}.$$

(3.21)

It follows from (2.13) that $y_0(x) \in C_{n-\alpha}[a, x_1]$. Since $f[x, y(x)] \in C_{n-\alpha}[a, x_1]$ for any $y(x) \in C_{n-\alpha}[a, x_1]$, then, by Lemma 3.2 [28] (with $\gamma = n - \alpha, b = x_1$, and $g(x) = f[x, y(x)]$), the integral in the right-hand side of (3.19) also belongs to $C_{n-\alpha}[a, x_1]$, and hence $(Ty)(x) \in C_{n-\alpha}[a, x_1]$. Now we prove the estimate in (3.21). By (3.20), using the Lipschitzian condition
and applying the relation (3.6) (with \( \gamma = n - a, b = x_1 \), and \( g(x) = f[x, y_1(x)] - f[x, y_2(x)] \)), we have

\[
\|Ty_1 - Ty_2\|_{C_{n-a}[a,x_1]} \leq A\|I_{x_1}^{\alpha} \left[ f[t, y_1(t)] - f[t, y_2(t)] \right]\|_{C_{n-a}[a,x_1]}
\]

\[
\leq A\|I_{x_1}^{\alpha} \left[ |y_1(t) - y_2(t)| \right]\|_{C_{n-a}[a,x_1]}
\]

\[
\leq A(x_1 - a) \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - n + 1)}{\Gamma(2\alpha - n + 1)} \|y_1 - y_2\|_{C_{n-a}[a,x_1]},
\]

which yields the estimate (3.21). In accordance with (3.17), \( 0 < \omega < 1 \), and hence, by Theorem 2.9, there exists a unique solution \( y^*(x) \in C_{n-a}[a,x_1] \) to (2.12) on the interval \([a, x_1]\).

By Theorem 2.9, this solution \( y^*(x) \) is a limit of a convergent sequence \((T^m y_0)(x)\):

\[
\lim_{m \to \infty} \|T^m y_0 - y^*\|_{C_{n-a}[a,x_1]} = 0,
\]

where \( y_0(x) \) is any function in \( C_{n-a}[a,x_1] \). If there is at least one \( b_k \neq 0 \) in the initial condition (2.11), then we can take \( y_0(x) = y_0(x) \) with \( y_0(x) \) defined by (2.13). The last relation can be rewritten into the form

\[
\lim_{m \to \infty} \|y_m - y^*\|_{C_{n-a}[a,x_1]} = 0,
\]

where

\[
y_m(x) = (T^m y_0)(x) = y_0(x) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{x_1}^{x} \frac{f[t, (T^{m-1} y_0)(t)]dt}{(x - t)^{1-\alpha}}, \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}).
\]

Next we consider the interval \([x_1, b]\). Rewrite (2.12) in the form

\[
y(x) = y_{01}(x) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{x_1}^{x} \frac{f[t, y(t)]dt}{(x - t)^{1-\alpha}},
\]

where \( y_{01}(x) \) is defined by

\[
y_{01}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{b_j}{\Gamma(\alpha - j + 1)} (x - a)^{\alpha - j} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{x_1} f[t, y(t)]dt - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{x_1} f[t, y(t)]dt.
\]

We obtain \( y_{01}(x) \in C[x_1, b] \). Next we prove the existence of a unique solution \( y(x) \in C[x_1, b] \) to (2.12) on the interval \([x_1, b]\). For this, we also use Banach fixed point theorem for the space \( C[x_1, x_2] \), where \( x_2 \) satisfies

\[
\frac{A(x_2 - x_1)^{\alpha}}{a\Gamma(\alpha)} < 1.
\]
$C[x_1, x_2]$ is a complete metric space with the distance given by

$$d(y_1 - y_2) = \|y_1 - y_2\|_{C[x_1, x_2]} = \max_{x \in [x_1, x_2]} |y_1(x) - y_2(x)|. \quad (3.29)$$

We rewrite the integral equation (3.26) into the form

$$y(x) = (Ty)(x), \quad (3.30)$$

where

$$(Ty)(x) = y_{01}(x) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{x_1}^{x} f[t, y(t)] dt.$$(3.31)

To apply Theorem 2.9, we have to prove the following: (1) if $y(x) \in C[x_1, x_2]$, then $(Ty)(x) \in C[x_1, x_2]$; (2) for any $y_1, y_2 \in C[x_1, x_2]$, the following estimate holds:

$$\|Ty_1 - Ty_2\|_{C[x_1, x_2]} \leq \omega \|y_1 - y_2\|_{C[x_1, x_2]}, \quad \omega = \frac{A(x_2 - x_1)^a}{a\Gamma(a)}. \quad (3.32)$$

Since $f[x, y(x)] \in C_{\alpha}[a, b]$ for any $y(x) \in C_{\alpha}[a, b]$, then, by Lemma 3.3, the integral in the right-hand side of (3.31) also belongs to $C[x_1, x_2]$, and hence $(Ty)(x) \in C[x_1, x_2]$. Now we prove the estimate in (3.32) as follows:

$$\|Ty_1 - Ty_2\|_{C[x_1, x_2]} \leq \|I_{\alpha}^a[f \cdot y_1(t)] - f \cdot y_2(t)\|_{C[x_1, x_2]}$$

$$\leq \frac{A(x_2 - x_1)^a}{a\Gamma(a)} \|y_1 - y_2\|_{C[x_1, x_2]}, \quad (3.33)$$

which yields the estimate (3.32). In accordance with (3.28), then $0 < \omega < 1$, and hence by Theorem 2.9, there exists a unique solution $y_1^*(x) \in C[x_1, x_2]$ to (2.12) on the interval $[x_1, x_2]$. By Theorem 2.9, this solution in $C[x_1, x_2]$ is a limit of a convergent sequence $(T^m y_{01}^*)^m(x)$:

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \|T^m y_{01}^* - y_1^*\|_{C[x_1, x_2]} = 0, \quad (3.34)$$

where $y_{01}^*(x)$ is any function in $C[x_1, x_2]$. If $y_0(x) \neq 0$ on $[x_1, x_2]$, then we can take $y_{01}^*(x) = y_0(x)$ with $y_0(x)$ defined by (2.13). The last relation can be rewritten in the form

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \|y_m - y_1^*\|_{C[x_1, x_2]} = 0, \quad (3.35)$$

where

$$y_m(x) = (T^m y_{01}^*)^m(x) = y_{01}(x) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{x_1}^{x} f[t, (T^{m-1} y_{01}^*)^m] \frac{dt}{(x - t)^{1-a}}, \quad (m \in N). \quad (3.36)$$
Next we consider the interval \([x_2, x_3]\), where \(x_3 = x_2 + h_2\) such that \(x_3 \leq b\) and 
\((A(x_3 - x_2)^a / a \Gamma(a)) < 1\). Using the same arguments as the above, we derive that there exists a 
unique solution \(y'_2(x) \in C[x_2, x_3]\) to (2.12) on the interval \([x_2, x_3]\). If \(x_3 \neq b\), then take the next 
interval \([x_3, x_4]\), where \(x_4 = x_3 + h_3\) and \(h_3 > 0\) such that \(x_4 \leq b\) and 
\((A(x_4 - x_3)^a / a \Gamma(a)) < 1\). If \(x_4 < b\), repeating the above process, then we find that there exists a unique solution \(y(x)\) 
to (2.12), \(y(x) = y'_2(x)\), and \(y'_2(x) \in C[x_k, x_{k+1}](k = 1, \ldots, L)\), where \(x_0 < x_1 < \ldots < x_{L+1} = b\) 
and \((A(x_{k+1} - x_k)^a / a \Gamma(a)) < 1\), and we take \(y_0(x) = y_{0_k}(x)\), and \(y'_0(x) = y'_{0_k}(x)(k = 1, \ldots, L)\) 
on each interval \([x_k, x_{k+1}]\). By \((A(x_{k+1} - x_k)^a / a \Gamma(a)) < 1\), we know that by finite steps we can 
arrive at \(x_{L+1} = b\).

Then there exists a unique solution \(y(x) \in C[x_1, b]\) to (2.12) on the interval \([x_1, b]\). 
By Lemma 3.1, we obtain that there exists a unique solution \(y(x) \in C_{n-a}[a, b]\) to the Volterra 
integral equation (2.12) on the whole interval \([a, b]\), and hence \(y(x) \in C_{n-a}[a, b]\) is the unique 
solution to the cauchy-type problem (2.10)-(2.11).

To complete the proof of Theorem 3.5, we must show that such a unique solution 
\(y(x) \in C_{n-a}[a, b]\) belongs to the the space \(C_{n-a}[a, b]\); it is sufficient to prove that \((D_{a^+}^a y)(x) \in C_{n-a}[a, b]\). By the above proof, the solution \(y(x) \in C_{n-a}[a, b]\) is a limit of the sequence \(y_m(x)\), 
where \(y_m(x) = (T^m y_0) \in C_{n-a}[a, b]\):

\[
\lim_{m \to \infty} \|y_m - y\|_{C_{n-a}[a, b]} = 0, \tag{3.37}
\]

with the choice of certain \(y'_m(x)\) on each \([a, x_1], \ldots, [x_L, b]\).

If \(y_0(x) \neq 0\), then we can take \(y'_0(x) = y_0(x)\).

By (2.10) and the Lipschitzian-condition, we have

\[
\|D_{a^+}^a y_m - D_{a^+}^a y\|_{C_{n-a}} = \|f[x, y_m] - f[x, y]\|_{C_{n-a}} \leq A\|y_m - y\|_{C_{n-a}}. \tag{3.38}
\]

Thus

\[
\lim_{m \to \infty} \|D_{a^+}^a y_m - D_{a^+}^a y\|_{C_{n-a}} = 0. \tag{3.39}
\]

By \((D_{a^+}^a y_m)(x) = f[x, y_{m-1}(x)]\) and \(f[x, y(x)] \in C_{n-a}[a, b]\) for any \(y(x) \in C_{n-a}[a, b]\), 
we have \(f[x, y_{m-1}(x)] \in C_{n-a}[a, b]\), that is, \((D_{a^+}^a y_m)(x) \in C_{n-a}[a, b]\). Hence \((D_{a^+}^a y)(x) \in C_{n-a}[a, b]\).

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.5.

\[\square\]

**Corollary 3.6.** Let \(\alpha > 0\) and \(n = \lceil -\alpha \rceil\). Let \(f : (a, b) \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\) be a function such that 
\(f(x, y) \in C_{n-a}[a, b]\) for any \(y \in \mathbb{R}\); the Lipschitzian condition holds with respect to \(y\) and 
\(\lim_{x \to a^-(a - x)} \alpha^{-\alpha} f(x, y(x))\), and \(\lim_{x \to a^-(a - x)} \alpha^{-\alpha} f(x, y(x))\) exist for any \(y(x) \in C_{n-a}[a, b]\). 
Then there exists a unique solution \(y(x) \in C_{n-a}[a, b]\) for the cauchy-type problem (2.10)-(2.11).

**Remark 3.7.** It should be pointed out that the conditions in Theorem 3.5 are different from the ones in 
[28, Theorem 3.11, page 165]. In [28], \(G\) is an open set in \(R\) and \(f\) is assumed to be a function such that \(f[x, y] \in C_{n-a}[a, b]\) for any \(y \in G\). In fact, such assumptions are not complete for the proof of the related conclusion. A counterexample will be given in Section 4. 
There exists the similar problem in [28, Theorem 3.29, page 213]. By applying Lemma 3.4, 
modifying the conditions in [28, Theorem 3.29, page 213] and using the similar arguments to 
the proof of Theorem 3.5, we arrive at the following result.
Theorem 3.8. Let $\alpha > 0$, $n = -[\alpha]$, and $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ such that $\gamma \geq n - \alpha$. Let $f : (a, b) \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} (a > 0)$ be a function such that $f(x, y(x)) \in C_{\gamma, \ln}[a, b]$ for any $y(x) \in C_{\gamma, \ln}[a, b]$ and the Lipschitzian condition holds with respect to $y$. Then there exists a unique solution $y(x)$ for the Cauchy-type problem

\[
\begin{align*}
(\mathcal{S}_a^\alpha, y)(x) &= f(x, y(x)), \quad \alpha > 0; x > a, \\
(\mathcal{S}_a^{\alpha-k} y)(a+) &= b_k, \quad b_k \in \mathbb{R} (k = 1, \ldots, n, n = -[\alpha]).
\end{align*}
\]

in the space $C_{\delta, n-\alpha, \gamma}^\alpha[a, b]$, where $\mathcal{S}_a^\alpha$ is a Hadamard fractional derivative.

4. Counterexamples

In this section, by citing some counterexamples we would like to point out that, in [28, Lemmas 3.4.3.9. and 3.10, pages 165, 202, and 213] are not complete.

Example 4.1. Let one consider the function

\[
g(x) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\mu}{(x-a)^\gamma} & x \in (a, c], \\
\frac{\mu}{(x-c)^\gamma} & x \in (c, b],
\end{cases}
\]

where $\mu \neq 0$ is a constant number and $\gamma \in (0, \infty)$.

From the above definition of $g(x)$, we know that $g(x) \in C_\gamma[a, c]$ and $g(x) \in C_\gamma[c, b]$, but we cannot get the conclusion $g(x) \in C_\gamma[a, b]$ and $\|g\|_{C_\gamma[a, b]} \leq \max\{\|g\|_{C_\gamma[a, c]}, \|g\|_{C_\gamma[c, b]}\}$. Hence the conclusion of [28, Lemma 3.4, page 165] does not hold. We cannot apply it to prove [28, Theorem 3.11, page 165]. Furthermore, there also exists a problem about $f$ in [28, Theorem 3.11, page 165]. For example, choosing $f(x, y) = y \sin(1/(x-a))$, we know that $f(x, y) \in C_\gamma[a, b]$ for any $y$, $f(x, y)$ satisfies Lipschitz condition with respect to the second variable $y$. However, choosing $y(x) = 1/(x-a)^\gamma$, we cannot arrive at $(x-a)^\gamma f(x, y(x)) = \sin(1/(x-a)) \in C[a, b]$. Hence the condition of $f$ in [28, Theorem 3.11, page 165] is not proper.

The next example illustrates that there also exists a problem in [28, Lemma 3.9, page 202].

Example 4.2. Consider the function

\[
\phi(x) = \ln x, \quad x \in [a, b], \quad 1 < a < b.
\]

It is evident that $\phi(x)$ belongs to the space $C^1[a, b] = \{\psi(x) : \psi^{(1)}(x) \in C[a, b], \|\psi\|_{C^1[a, b]} = \|\psi\|_{C[a, b]} + \|\psi^{(1)}\|_{C[a, b]}\}$.

Setting $c \in (a, b)$, that is, $a < c < b$, then

\[
\phi(x) \in C^1[a, b], \quad \phi(x) \in C^1[a, c], \quad \phi(x) \in C^1[c, b].
\]
We could not conclude that
\[ \|\phi\|_{C^1[a,b]} \leq \max \left\{ \|\phi\|_{C^1[a,c]}, \|\phi\|_{C^1[c,b]} \right\}, \tag{4.4} \]
because
\[ \|\phi\|_{C^1[a,b]} = \ln b + \frac{1}{a}, \quad \|\phi\|_{C^1[a,c]} = \ln c + \frac{1}{a}, \quad \|\phi\|_{C^1[c,b]} = \ln b + \frac{1}{c}. \tag{4.5} \]
However, we have
\[ \|\phi\|_{C^1[a,b]} \leq \|\phi\|_{C^1[a,c]} + \|\phi\|_{C^1[c,b]} \tag{4.6} \]

The following example is for [28, Lemma 3.10, page 213].

**Example 4.3.** Let one consider the function
\[ h(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\mu}{(\ln x/a)^\gamma} & x \in (a, c], \\ \frac{\mu}{(\ln x/c)^\gamma} & x \in (c, b], \end{cases} \tag{4.7} \]
where \( \mu \neq 0 \) is a constant number and \( \gamma \in (0, \infty) \).

The same problem exists in [28, Lemma 3.10, page 213]. From the definition of \( h(x) \), we have \( h(x) \in C_{\gamma,\ln}[a, c] \) and \( h(x) \in C_{\gamma,\ln}[c, b] \). However, the conclusion that \( h(x) \in C_{\gamma,\ln}[a, b] \) and \( \|h\|_{C_{\gamma,\ln}[a, b]} \leq \max \{\|h\|_{C_{\gamma,\ln}[a, c]}, \|h\|_{C_{\gamma,\ln}[c, b]}\} \) is still not correct. This defect means that [28, Lemma 3.10] could not be applied to prove [28, Theorem 3.29].

In a sense, our lemmas and main results have remedied these defects.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we first get several useful lemmas, especially Lemmas 3.1 and 3.4, which have improved the corresponding lemmas in [28]. By modifying the conditions on \( f \) and improving the method used in [28], we have established the results of existence and uniqueness of solution for the cauchy-type problems involving the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative and the Hadamard fractional derivative in the weight space of continuous functions. Meanwhile, we have given some counterexamples to prove that [28, Lemmas 3.4, 3.9, and 3.10, pages 165, 203, and 213] are not complete, which means that there exist some defects in the proofs of the related results in [28].
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