Research Article

Positive Solutions for System of First-Order Dynamic Equations

Da-Bin Wang,¹ Jian-Ping Sun,¹ and Xiao-Jun Li²

¹ Department of Applied Mathematics, Lanzhou University of Technology, Lanzhou, Gansu 730050, China

² School of Science, Hohai University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210098, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Da-Bin Wang, wangdb@lut.cn

Received 23 September 2009; Accepted 12 April 2010

Academic Editor: Juan Jose Nieto

Copyright © 2010 Da-Bin Wang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We study the existence of positive solutions to the system of nonlinear first-order periodic boundary value problems on time scales $x^\Delta(t) + P(t)x(\sigma(t)) = F(t, x(\sigma(t)))$, $t \in [0, T]_T$, $x(0) = x(\sigma(T))$, by using a well-known fixed point theorem in cones. Moreover, we characterize the eigenvalue intervals for $x^\Delta(t) + P(t)x(\sigma(t)) = \lambda H(t)G(x(\sigma(t)))$, $t \in [0, T]_T$, $x(0) = x(\sigma(T))$.

1. Introduction

On the one hand, periodic boundary value problems (PBVPs for short) for differential equations and difference equations have received much attention in the literature. See, for example, [1–17] and references therein. On the other hand, recently, the study of dynamic equations on time scales has become a new important branch (see, e.g., [18–22]). Naturally, some authors have focused their attention on the BVPs or PBVPs for dynamic equations on time scales [23–32], in which the works in [25, 27] concerned the singular problems on time scales (concerned the study, theory, and applications of boundary value problems involving singularities of differential equations, please see [33]). In particular, for the first-order PBVP of dynamic equations on time scales

$$\begin{aligned} x^\Delta(t) + p(t)x(\sigma(t)) &= f(t, x(\sigma(t))), \quad t \in [0, T]_T, \\ x(0) &= x(\sigma(T)), \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

the works in [24, 30] obtained the existence of at least one solution. The methods involved novel inequalities and the well-known Schaefer fixed point theorem [34].

In [31], Sun and Li obtained the some existence and multiplicity criteria of positive solutions to the following first-order PBVP on time scales

$$\begin{aligned} x^\Delta(t) + p(t)x(\sigma(t)) &= f(x(t)), \quad t \in [0, T]_{\mathbf{T}}, \\ x(0) &= x(\sigma(T)) \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

by using Guo-Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem [35], Schauder fixed point theorem [35], and Leggett-Williams fixed point theorem [36].

Very recently, Sun and Li [32] considered the following first-order PBVP on time scales

$$\begin{aligned} x^\Delta(t) + p(t)x(\sigma(t)) &= \lambda f(x(t)), \quad t \in [0, T]_{\mathbf{T}}, \\ x(0) &= x(\sigma(T)), \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where $\lambda > 0$. Some existence, multiplicity, and nonexistence criteria of positive solutions were established. The main tool used in [32] is the fixed point index theory [37].

However, up to now, there are few works for studying systems of PBVP of dynamic equations on time scales [29]. In [29], Sun and Li considered the following system of nonlinear first-order PBVP on time scales

$$\begin{aligned} u_i^\Delta(t) + g_i(t, u_1(\sigma(t)), u_2(\sigma(t)), \dots, u_n(\sigma(t))) &= 0, \quad t \in [0, T]_{\mathbf{T}}, \\ u_i(0) &= u_i(\sigma(T)), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

By using a fixed point theorem for completely continuous operators [35], they obtained some existence criteria of one positive solution to the system.

In this paper, we study the existence of positive solutions for the following system of first-order PBVP on time scale

$$\begin{aligned} x^\Delta(t) + P(t)x(\sigma(t)) &= F(t, x(\sigma(t))), \quad t \in [0, T]_{\mathbf{T}}, \\ x(0) &= x(\sigma(T)), \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

where $\mathbf{T}$ is a time scale, $[0, T]_{\mathbf{T}}$ means $[0, T] \cap \mathbf{T}$ (here $T > 0$ and $0, T \in \mathbf{T}$), $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^\top$ ($\top$ stands for the transpose), $P(t) = \text{diag}[p_1(t), p_2(t), \dots, p_n(t)]$, and $F = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)^\top$. For $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $p_i : [0, T]_{\mathbf{T}} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is right-dense continuous and $f_i : [0, T]_{\mathbf{T}} \times [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous.

The main results in this paper are proved by a fixed point theorem (see [37]) for compact maps on conical shells which are different from those used in [24, 29–32]. To do this, we extend the ideas introduced by Lan and Webb in [38] (see also [39]) to the general time scales. This approach was used in [5] for the continuous case and in [6] for the discrete case.

As an application, we study the following eigenvalue problem:

$$\begin{aligned} x^\Delta(t) + P(t)x(\sigma(t)) &= \lambda H(t)G(x(\sigma(t))), \quad t \in [0, T]_{\mathbf{T}}, \\ x(0) &= x(\sigma(T)), \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

where $H(t) = \text{diag}[h_1(t), h_2(t), \dots, h_n(t)]$, $G(x) = [g^1(x), g^2(x), \dots, g^n(x)]^T$, and $\lambda > 0$ is a positive parameter. We prove that PBVP (1.6) has at least one positive solution for each λ in an explicit eigenvalue interval. Recently, several eigenvalue characterization for different kinds of boundary value problems have appeared and we refer the readers to [32, 40–42].

It is noticed that the results obtained in this paper generalize some results in [30–32] to some degree.

In the remainder of this section, we state a fixed point theorem for compact maps on conical shell [37].

Now we recall a completely continuous operator which transforms every bounded set into a relatively compact set. If D is a subset of X , we write $D_K = D \cap K$ and $\partial_K D = (\partial D) \cap K$.

Theorem 1.1 (see [37]). *Let X be a Banach space with a cone K . Assume that Ω^1, Ω^2 are open bounded subsets of X with $\Omega_K^1 \neq \emptyset$, $\overline{\Omega_K^1} \subset \Omega_K^2$. Let $\Phi : \overline{\Omega_K^2} \rightarrow K$ be a continuous and compact operator such that*

- (i) $\|\Phi x\| \leq \|x\|$ for $x \in \partial_K \Omega^1$ (or $x \in \partial_K \Omega^2$);
- (ii) *there exists $e \in K \setminus \{0\}$ such that $x \neq \Phi x + \lambda e$ for $x \in \partial_K \Omega^2$ (or $x \in \partial_K \Omega^1$) and $\lambda > 0$.*

Then Φ has a fixed point in $(\overline{\Omega_K^2} \setminus \overline{\Omega_K^1})$.

Remark 1.2. In Theorem 1.1, the use of (ii) gives better results than the use of the common assumption $\|\Phi x\| \geq \|x\|$ for $x \in \partial_K \Omega^2$ (or $x \in \partial_K \Omega^1$).

2. Preliminaries

Let

$$A = \{x \mid x : [0, T]_T \longrightarrow R \text{ is continuous}\}. \quad (2.1)$$

For $h_i \in A$, we consider the following linear PBVP:

$$\begin{aligned} x_i^\Delta(t) + p_i(t)x_i(\sigma(t)) &= h_i(t), \quad t \in [0, T]_T, \\ x_i(0) &= x_i(\sigma(T)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Lemma 2.1 (see [30]). *For $h_i \in A, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the PBVP (2.2) has a unique solution, which can be written by*

$$x_i(t) = \frac{1}{e_{p_i}(t, 0)} \left[\int_0^t e_{p_i}(s, 0) h_i(s) \Delta s + \frac{1}{e_{p_i}(\sigma(T), 0) - 1} \int_0^{\sigma(T)} e_{p_i}(s, 0) h_i(s) \Delta s \right], \quad t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T. \quad (2.3)$$

Remark 2.2. By Lemma 2.1, for $h_i \in A, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the PBVP (2.2) has a unique solution:

$$x_i(t) = \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G_i(t, s) h_i(s) \Delta s, \quad t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T, \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$G_i(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{e_{p_i}(s, t)e_{p_i}(\sigma(T), 0)}{e_{p_i}(\sigma(T), 0) - 1}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq \sigma(T), \\ \frac{e_{p_i}(s, t)}{e_{p_i}(\sigma(T), 0) - 1}, & 0 \leq t < s \leq \sigma(T). \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let $G_i(t, s)$ be defined as Remark 2.2; then*

$$A_i \triangleq \frac{1}{e_{p_i}(\sigma(T), 0) - 1} \leq G_i(t, s) \leq \frac{e_{p_i}(\sigma(T), 0)}{e_{p_i}(\sigma(T), 0) - 1} \triangleq B_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (2.6)$$

Let

$$B = \{x \mid x : [0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is continuous}\} \quad (2.7)$$

with the norm $|x|_0 = \max_{t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}}} |x(t)|$, and $X = B^n$, for any $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X$, its norm

$$\|x\| = \max\{|x_1|_0, |x_2|_0, \dots, |x_n|_0\}, \quad (2.8)$$

and then X is a Banach space.

Let

$$K = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X : x_i(t) \geq 0, t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}}, x_i(t) \geq \delta_i |x_i|_0, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}, \quad (2.9)$$

where $\delta_i = A_i/B_i = e_{p_i}(0, \sigma(T)) \in (0, 1)$. It is not difficult to verify that K is a cone in X .

We define an operator $\Phi : K \rightarrow X$ as follows:

$$(\Phi x) = (\Phi_1 x, \Phi_2 x, \dots, \Phi_n x)^{\mathcal{C}}, \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$(\Phi_i x)(t) = \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G_i(t, s) f_i(s, x(\sigma(s))) \Delta s, \quad t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_{\mathbb{T}}. \quad (2.11)$$

By Lemma 2.1 and Remark 2.2, it is easy to see that fixed points of Φ are the solutions to the PBVP (1.5).

Lemma 2.4. $\Phi : K \rightarrow K$ is completely continuous.

Proof. First, we assert that $\Phi : K \rightarrow X$ is completely continuous.

The proof is divided into three steps.

Step 1. Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ in K . Then for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Phi_i x_n)(t) - (\Phi_i x)(t)| &= \left| \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G_i(t, s) [f_i(s, x_n(\sigma(s))) - f_i(s, x(\sigma(s)))] \Delta s \right| \\ &\leq B_i \int_0^{\sigma(T)} |f_i(s, x_n(\sigma(s))) - f_i(s, x(\sigma(s)))| \Delta s. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Since $f_i(t, x)$ is continuous in x , we have $|(\Phi_i x_n)(t) - (\Phi_i x)(t)| \rightarrow 0$, which leads to $\|\Phi_i x_n - \Phi_i x\|_0 \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), so we get $\|\Phi x_n - \Phi x\| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). That is, $\Phi : K \rightarrow X$ is continuous.

Step 2. To show that Φ maps bounded sets into bounded sets in X , let $B \subset K$ be a bounded set. Then, for $t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T$ and any $x \in B$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Phi_i x)(t)| &= \left| \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G_i(t, s) f_i(s, x(\sigma(s))) \Delta s \right| \\ &\leq B_i \int_0^{\sigma(T)} |f_i(s, x(\sigma(s)))| \Delta s. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

In virtue of the continuity of $f_i(t, x)$, we can conclude that $\Phi_i x$ is bounded uniformly for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, which leads to Φx being bounded uniformly, and so $\Phi(B)$ is a bounded set.

Step 3. To show that Φ maps bounded sets into equicontinuous sets of X , let $t_1, t_2 \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T$, $x \in B$, and then for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$

$$|(\Phi_i x)(t_1) - (\Phi_i x)(t_2)| \leq \int_0^{\sigma(T)} |G_i(t_1, s) - G_i(t_2, s)| |f_i(s, x(\sigma(s)))| \Delta s. \quad (2.14)$$

The right-hand side tends to uniformly zero as $|t_1 - t_2| \rightarrow 0$, which imply Φ maps bounded sets into equicontinuous sets of X .

Consequently, Steps 1–3 together with the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem show that $\Phi : K \rightarrow X$ is completely continuous.

Next, to show that Φ maps K into K , let $x \in K$, by Lemma 2.3; we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi_i x)(t) &\geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ (\Phi_i x)(t) &\leq B_i \int_0^{\sigma(T)} f_i(s, x(\sigma(s))) \Delta s, \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

and this implies that

$$|\Phi_i x|_0 = \max_{t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T} |(\Phi_i x)(t)| \leq B_i \int_0^{\sigma(T)} f_i(s, x(\sigma(s))) \Delta s. \quad (2.16)$$

On the other hand, from Lemma 2.3 we have

$$(\Phi_i x)(t) \geq A_i \int_0^{\sigma(T)} f_i(s, x(\sigma(s))) \Delta s. \quad (2.17)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi_i x)(t) &\geq A_i \int_0^{\sigma(T)} f_i(s, x(\sigma(s))) \Delta s \\ &= \delta_i B_i \int_0^{\sigma(T)} f_i(s, x(\sigma(s))) \Delta s \\ &\geq \delta_i |\Phi_i x|_0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

That is $\Phi(K) \subset K$. □

3. Existence of Positive Solutions for the PBVP (1.5)

In this section, we establish the existence of positive solutions for the PBVP (1.5). First we extend the ideas introduced by Lan and Webb in [38, 39] to the general time scale.

For $r > 0$, we define the open sets

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^r &= \left\{ x \in X \mid \min_{t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T} x_i(t) < \delta_i r \ \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\}, \\ B^r &= \{ x \in X \mid \|x\| < r \}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Lemma 3.1. Ω^r, B^r defined above have the following properties.

- (a) Ω_K^r and B_K^r are open relative to K .
- (b) $B_K^{\delta r} \subset \Omega_K^r \subset B_K^r$, here $\delta = \min\{\delta_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$.
- (c) $x \in \partial_K \Omega^r$ if and only if $x \in K$ and $\min_{t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T} x_j(t) = \delta_j r$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\min_{t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T} x_i(t) \leq \delta_i r$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.
- (d) If $x \in \partial_K \Omega^r$, then $\delta_j r \leq x_j(t) \leq r, t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $0 \leq x_i(t) \leq r, t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Moreover, $|x_i|_0 \leq r$.
- (e) For each $\rho > r$, the following relations hold:

$$\Omega_K^r = (\Omega^r \cap B^\rho)_K, \quad \overline{\Omega}_K^r = (\overline{\Omega^r \cap B^\rho})_K. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. (a) and (c) are obvious. So, we only prove that (b), (d), and (e) hold.

First we assert (b). Let $x \in B_K^{\delta r}$; then for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, we have $|x_i|_0 < \delta r$, so $\min_{t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T} x_i(t) < \delta r \leq \delta_i r$, and $x \in \Omega_K^r$. On the other hand, if $x \in \Omega_K^r$, then for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, we have $\min_{t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T} x_i(t) < \delta_i r$ and $x_i(t) \geq \delta_i |x_i|_0$ for $t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T$. So $|x_i|_0 < r$, that is, $\Omega_K^r \subset B_K^r$. Hence (b) holds.

Next, we assert (d). Let $x \in \partial_K \Omega^r$; so we have from (c) that there exists $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$\delta_j |x_j|_0 \leq \min_{t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T} x_j(t) = \delta_j r. \quad (3.3)$$

Thus $|x_j|_0 \leq r$ and $\delta_j r \leq x_j(t) \leq r$, $t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T$. Furthermore notice for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ that $\delta_i |x_i|_0 \leq \min_{t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T} x_i(t) \leq \delta_i r$, so $|x_i|_0 \leq r$ and $0 \leq x_i(t) \leq r$ for $t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T$; that is, (d) holds.

Finally we assert (e). From (b), the first equality is obvious. Now we prove the second equality.

Let $x \in \overline{\Omega^r}_K$; then from (c), we have that

$$\delta_i |x_i|_0 \leq \min_{t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T} x_i(t) \leq \delta_i r < \delta_i \rho, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (3.4)$$

So $|x_i|_0 < \rho$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and this implies that $x \in (\overline{\Omega^r} \cap B^\rho) \cap K$. Since Ω^r and B^ρ are open sets, we have $\overline{\Omega^r} \cap B^\rho \subset \overline{\Omega^r \cap B^\rho}$. Thus $x \in (\overline{\Omega^r \cap B^\rho})_K$, that is, $\overline{\Omega^r}_K \subseteq (\overline{\Omega^r \cap B^\rho})_K$. The reverse inclusion is trivial. $\square$

Remark 3.2. It is clear that the sets Ω^r are unbounded sets for each $r > 0$; so we cannot use Theorem 1.1 with Ω^r directly. However we will be able to apply Theorem 1.1 with Ω_K^r since (e) holds.

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose the following.*

(H₁) *For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, there exist a constant $\alpha > 0$ and a continuous function $\varphi_i : [0, T]_T \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that*

$$f_i(t, x) \geq \delta_i \alpha \varphi_i(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, T]_T, 0 \leq x_l \leq \alpha (l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}), \delta_i \alpha \leq x_i \leq \alpha, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\min_{t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T} \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G_i(t, s) \varphi_i(s) \Delta s \geq 1.$$

(H₂) *For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, there exist a constant $\beta > 0$ and a continuous function $\chi_i : [0, T]_T \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that*

$$f_i(t, x) \leq \beta \chi_i(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, T]_T, 0 \leq x_i \leq \beta, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\max_{t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T} \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G_i(t, s) \chi_i(s) \Delta s \leq 1.$$

Then, the following results hold:

(a) if $\beta < \delta\alpha$, then the PBVP (1.5) has at least one positive solution x satisfying

$$\beta \leq \|x\| \leq \alpha; \quad (3.7)$$

(b) if $\beta > \alpha$, then the PBVP (1.5) has at least one positive solution x satisfying

$$\delta\alpha \leq \|x\| \leq \beta. \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. Now we assert that the conditions of Theorem 1.1 are satisfied.

First, we assert that $\|\Phi x\| \leq \|x\|$ for $x \in \partial_K B^\beta$.

For any $x \in \partial_K B^\beta$, we have $|x_i|_0 \leq \beta$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Fix $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then from (H_2) we obtain, for each $t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi_i x)(t) &= \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G_i(t, s) f_i(s, x(\sigma(s))) \Delta s \\ &\leq \beta \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G_i(t, s) \chi_i(s) \Delta s \\ &\leq \beta \max_{t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T} \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G_i(t, s) \chi_i(s) \Delta s \\ &\leq \beta. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Hence, $|\Phi_i x|_0 \leq \|x\|$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. This implies $\|\Phi x\| \leq \|x\|$ for $x \in \partial_K B^\beta$.

Next, we assert that there exists $e \in K \setminus \{0\}$ such that $x \neq \Phi x + \lambda e$, for all $x \in \partial_K \Omega^\alpha$ and all $\lambda > 0$.

Let $e(t) \equiv 1$; so $e \in K \setminus \{0\}$. Suppose that there exist $x \in \partial_K \Omega^\alpha$ and $\lambda > 0$ such that $x = \Phi x + \lambda e$. Since $x \in \partial_K \Omega^\alpha$, then from Lemma 3.1(d) there exists $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with $\delta_j \alpha \leq x_j(t) \leq \alpha$, $t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T$, and $0 \leq x_i(t) \leq \alpha$ for $t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T$ and $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j\}$.

Hence, from (H_1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_j(t) &= (\Phi_j x)(t) + \lambda \\ &= \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G_j(t, s) f_j(s, x(\sigma(s))) \Delta s + \lambda \\ &\geq \alpha \delta_j \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G_j(t, s) \psi_j(s) \Delta s + \lambda \\ &\geq \alpha \delta_j \min_{t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T} \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G_j(t, s) \psi_j(s) \Delta s + \lambda \\ &\geq \alpha \delta_j + \lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Thus, $\min_{t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T} x_j(t) \geq \alpha \delta_j + \lambda > \alpha \delta_j$, contradicting the statement of Lemma 3.1(c). That is, there exists $e \in K \setminus \{0\}$ such that $x \neq \Phi x + \lambda e$, for all $x \in \partial_K \Omega^\alpha$ and all $\lambda > 0$.

If $\beta < \delta\alpha$, then from Lemma 3.1 we have that $\overline{B_K^\beta} \subset B_K^{\delta\alpha} \subset \overline{\Omega_K^\alpha}$, and therefore it follows from Theorem 1.1 that Φ has at least one fixed point $x \in \overline{\Omega_K^\alpha} \setminus B_K^\beta$. Hence $\|x\| \geq \beta$ and $\min_{t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T} x_i(t) \leq \delta_i \alpha$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. On the other hand, $\delta_i |x_i|_0 \leq \min_{t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T} x_i(t) \leq \delta_i \alpha$ and therefore $|x_i|_0 \leq \alpha$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. This implies that $\|x\| \leq \alpha$.

If $\beta > \alpha$, then we have that $\overline{\Omega_K^\alpha} \subset B_K^\beta$, and therefore Theorem 1.1 guarantees the existence of at least one fixed point $x \in \overline{B_K^\beta} \setminus \Omega_K^\alpha$ of Φ . So, we obtain $\delta\alpha \leq \|x\| \leq \beta$. $\square$

4. Eigenvalue Interval of PBVP (1.6)

In this section, we characterize the eigenvalue intervals of system (1.6) by employing Theorem 3.3.

First we establish one existence result for the following system:

$$\begin{aligned} x^\Delta(t) + P(t)x(\sigma(t)) &= H(t)G(x(\sigma(t))), \quad t \in [0, T]_T, \\ x(0) &= x(\sigma(T)), \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where $H(t) = \text{diag}[h_1(t), h_2(t), \dots, h_n(t)]$, $G(x) = [g^1(x), g^2(x), \dots, g^n(x)]^T$.

For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we assume the following.

(H₃) $g^i : [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous with $g^i(x) > 0$ for $\|x\| > 0$.

(H₄) $h_i : [0, T]_T \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous and $\int_0^{\sigma(T)} G_i(t, s)h_i(s)\Delta s > 0$.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that conditions (H₃) and (H₄) hold. Then the PBVP (4.1) has at least one positive solution x with x not identically vanishing on $[0, \sigma(T)]_T$ if one of the following conditions holds:*

(H₅) $0 \leq g_0^i < C_i^{-1}$ and $D_i^{-1} < g_\infty^i \leq \infty$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$;

(H₆) $0 \leq g_\infty^i < C_i^{-1}$ and $D_i^{-1} < g_0^i \leq \infty$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$;

where $g_0^i = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} (g^i(x)/\|x\|)$, $g_\infty^i = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (g^i(x)/\|x\|)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and

$$C_i = \max_{t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T} \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G_i(t, s)h_i(s)\Delta s, \quad D_i = \min_{t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T} \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G_i(t, s)h_i(s)\Delta s. \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. To see this, we will apply Theorem 3.3 with $f_i(t, x) = h_i(t)g^i(x)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Suppose that (H₅) holds; then there exists $\beta > 0$ such that $g^i(x) \leq C_i^{-1}\beta$ for $0 < \|x\| \leq \beta$.

Choose $\chi_i(t) = C_i^{-1}h_i(t)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Fix $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then $f_i(t, x) = h_i(t)g^i(x) \leq C_i^{-1}\beta h_i(t) = \beta\chi_i(t)$ if $t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T$ and $0 < x_i \leq \beta$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G_i(t, s)\chi_i(s)\Delta s &= C_i^{-1} \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G_i(t, s)h_i(s)\Delta s \\ &\leq C_i^{-1} \max_{t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T} \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G_i(t, s)h_i(s)\Delta s \\ &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Thus hypothesis (H₂) holds.

From the second part of (H₅), there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $\delta_i\alpha > \beta$ and $g^i(x) \geq D_i^{-1}\delta_i\alpha$ for $x_i \geq \delta_i\alpha$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Choose $\varphi_i(t) = D_i^{-1}h_i(t)$; then

$$f_i(t, x) = h_i(t)g^i(x) \geq D_i^{-1}\delta_i\alpha h_i(t) = \delta_i\alpha\varphi_i(t), \quad \text{if } t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T, x_i \geq \delta_i\alpha \quad (4.4)$$

(so in particular for $\delta_i\alpha \leq x_i \leq \alpha$) and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G_i(t, s)\varphi_i(s)\Delta s &= D_i^{-1} \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G_i(t, s)h_i(s)\Delta s \\ &\geq D_i^{-1} \min_{t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T} \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G_i(t, s)h_i(s)\Delta s \\ &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

This implies that hypothesis (H₁) holds. The result now follows from Theorem 3.3.

The case when (H₆) holds is similar. So we omit here. $\square$

Remark 4.2. By the proof of Theorem 4.1, we emphasize that Theorem 3.3 is very easy to apply; roughly speaking, it only requires an integral representation of the considered equation and some bounds for the kernel of the equivalent integral equation. So, in this way, the corresponding existence result, that is, [31, Theorem 4.1], is improved.

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose that conditions (H₃) and (H₄) hold. Then the PBVP (1.6) has at least one positive solution for each*

$$\lambda \in \left(\frac{1}{D \min_{i=1,2,\dots,n} \{g_\infty^i\}}, \frac{1}{C \max_{i=1,2,\dots,n} \{g_0^i\}} \right) \quad (4.6)$$

if $1/D \min_{i=1,2,\dots,n} \{g_\infty^i\} < 1/C \max_{i=1,2,\dots,n} \{g_0^i\}$. The same result remains valid for each

$$\lambda \in \left(\frac{1}{D \min_{i=1,2,\dots,n} \{g_0^i\}}, \frac{1}{C \max_{i=1,2,\dots,n} \{g_\infty^i\}} \right) \quad (4.7)$$

if $1/D \min_{i=1,2,\dots,n} \{g_0^i\} < 1/C \max_{i=1,2,\dots,n} \{g_\infty^i\}$, where

$$C = \max\{C_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}, \quad D = \min\{D_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}, \quad (4.8)$$

and one writes $1/g_\alpha^i = 0$ if $g_\alpha^i = \infty$ and $1/g_\alpha^i = \infty$ if $g_\alpha^i = 0$, here $\alpha = 0, \infty$.

Proof. We consider the case (4.6). The case (4.7) is similar.

If (4.6) holds, then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda g_0^i &\leq \lambda \max_{i=1,2,\dots,n} \{g_0^i\} < \frac{1}{C} \leq \frac{1}{C_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ \lambda g_\infty^i &\geq \lambda \min_{i=1,2,\dots,n} \{g_\infty^i\} > \frac{1}{D} \geq \frac{1}{D_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Thus Theorem 4.1 applies directly. $\square$

Remark 4.4. By Theorem 4.3, the corresponding existence results in [32] are improved.

5. Example

For convenience, the example is given here when $n = 1$.

Example 5.1. Let $T = [0, 1] \cup [2, 3]$. We consider the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} x^\Delta(t) + p(t)x(\sigma(t)) &= \lambda h(t)g(x(\sigma(t))), \quad t \in [0, 3]_T, \\ x(0) &= x(3), \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

where $p(t) \equiv 1, T = 3, h(t) \equiv 1$, and $g(x) = x^2$; it is easy to see that h and g satisfy the conditions (H_3) and (H_4) .

Then we get

$$g_0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(x)}{x} = 0, \quad g_\infty = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x)}{x} = \infty. \quad (5.2)$$

By $\int_0^{\sigma(T)} G(t, s)h(s)\Delta s \equiv 1$, we have

$$C = \max_{t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T} \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G(t, s)h(s)\Delta s = \min_{t \in [0, \sigma(T)]_T} \int_0^{\sigma(T)} G(t, s)h(s)\Delta s = D = 1. \quad (5.3)$$

Then we get $g_0 = 0 < 1 = C^-$ and $D^- = 1 < g_\infty$; that is, condition (H_5) is satisfied. So, by Theorem 4.1 the problem (5.1) has at least one positive solution when $\lambda = 1$. Furthermore, for all $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, the problem (5.1) has at least one positive solution from Theorem 4.3.

Acknowledgments

The authors express their gratitude to Dr. Jifeng Chu for his valuable help and to the referee for helping to improve the paper. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 10801068) and Excellent Young Teacher Training Program of Lanzhou University of Technology (Q200907).

References

- [1] F. M. Atici and A. Cabada, "Existence and uniqueness results for discrete second-order periodic boundary value problems," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 45, no. 6–9, pp. 1417–1427, 2003.
- [2] A. Cabada and S. Lois, "Maximum principles for fourth and sixth order periodic boundary value problems," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 29, no. 10, pp. 1161–1171, 1997.
- [3] A. Cabada and V. Otero-Espinar, "Optimal existence results for n -th order periodic boundary value difference equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 247, no. 1, pp. 67–86, 2000.
- [4] A. Cabada and V. Otero-Espinar, "Comparison results for n -th order periodic difference equations," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 2395–2406, 2001.
- [5] J. Chu, H. Chen, and D. O'Regan, "Positive periodic solutions and eigenvalue intervals for systems of second order differential equations," *Mathematische Nachrichten*, vol. 281, no. 11, pp. 1549–1556, 2008.
- [6] J. Chu and D. O'Regan, "Positive periodic solutions of system of functional difference equations," *Communications in Applied Analysis*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 235–244, 2008.
- [7] V. Lakshmikantham, "Periodic boundary value problems of first and second order differential equations," *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Simulation*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 131–138, 1989.
- [8] V. Lakshmikantham and S. Leela, "Remarks on first and second order periodic boundary value problems," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 281–287, 1984.
- [9] Y. X. Li, "Positive solutions of fourth-order periodic boundary value problems," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 54, no. 6, pp. 1069–1078, 2003.
- [10] Y. X. Li, "Positive solutions of higher-order periodic boundary value problems," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 48, no. 1–2, pp. 153–161, 2004.
- [11] S. Peng, "Positive solutions for first order periodic boundary value problem," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 158, no. 2, pp. 345–351, 2004.
- [12] I. Rachunkova, M. Tvrdy, and I. Vrkc, "Existence of nonnegative and nonpositive solutions for second order periodic boundary value problems," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 176, no. 2, pp. 445–469, 2001.
- [13] J.-P. Sun, "Positive solution for first-order discrete periodic boundary value problem," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 19, no. 11, pp. 1244–1248, 2006.
- [14] H. B. Thompson and C. Tisdell, "Systems of difference equations associated with boundary value problems for second order systems of ordinary differential equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 248, no. 2, pp. 333–347, 2000.
- [15] H. B. Thompson and C. Tisdell, "Boundary value problems for systems of difference equations associated with systems of second-order ordinary differential equations," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 761–766, 2002.
- [16] C. C. Tisdell, "Existence of solutions to first-order periodic boundary value problems," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 323, no. 2, pp. 1325–1332, 2006.
- [17] Z. Wan, Y. Chen, and J. Chen, "Remarks on the periodic boundary value problems for first-order differential equations," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 37, no. 8, pp. 49–55, 1999.
- [18] R. P. Agarwal and M. Bohner, "Basic calculus on time scales and some of its applications," *Results in Mathematics*, vol. 35, no. 1–2, pp. 3–22, 1999.
- [19] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales: An Introduction with Applications*, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass, USA, 2001.
- [20] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass, USA, 2003.
- [21] S. Hilger, "Analysis on measure chains—a unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus," *Results in Mathematics*, vol. 18, no. 1–2, pp. 18–56, 1990.

- [22] V. Lakshmikantham, S. Sivasundaram, and B. Kaymakçalan, *Dynamic Systems on Measure Chains*, vol. 370 of *Mathematics and Its Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, Mass, USA, 1996.
- [23] A. Cabada, "Extremal solutions and Green's functions of higher order periodic boundary value problems in time scales," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 290, no. 1, pp. 35–54, 2004.
- [24] Q. Dai and C. C. Tisdell, "Existence of solutions to first-order dynamic boundary value problems," *International Journal of Difference Equations*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–17, 2006.
- [25] A. Gómez González and V. Otero-Espinar, "Existence and uniqueness of positive solution for singular BVPs on time scales," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2009, Article ID 728484, 12 pages, 2009.
- [26] S. G. Topal, "Second-order periodic boundary value problems on time scales," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 48, no. 3–4, pp. 637–648, 2004.
- [27] L.-G. Hu, T.-J. Xiao, and J. Liang, "Positive solutions to singular and delay higher-order differential equations on time scales," *Boundary Value Problems*, vol. 2009, Article ID 937064, 19 pages, 2009.
- [28] R. A. Khan, J. J. Nieto, and V. Otero-Espinar, "Existence and approximation of solution of three-point boundary value problems on time scales," *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, vol. 14, no. 7, pp. 723–736, 2008.
- [29] J.-P. Sun and W.-T. Li, "Positive solution for system of nonlinear first-order PBVPs on time scales," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 131–139, 2005.
- [30] J.-P. Sun and W.-T. Li, "Existence of solutions to nonlinear first-order PBVPs on time scales," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 67, no. 3, pp. 883–888, 2007.
- [31] J.-P. Sun and W.-T. Li, "Existence and multiplicity of positive solutions to nonlinear first-order PBVPs on time scales," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 54, no. 6, pp. 861–871, 2007.
- [32] J.-P. Sun and W.-T. Li, "Positive solutions to nonlinear first-order PBVPs with parameter on time scales," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 70, no. 3, pp. 1133–1145, 2009.
- [33] J. J. Nieto and D. O'Regan, "Singular boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations," *Boundary Value Problems*, vol. 2009, Article ID 89529, 2 pages, 2009.
- [34] N. G. Lloyd, *Degree Theory*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1978.
- [35] D. J. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*, vol. 5 of *Notes and Reports in Mathematics in Science and Engineering*, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1988.
- [36] R. W. Leggett and L. R. Williams, "Multiple positive fixed points of nonlinear operators on ordered Banach spaces," *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 673–688, 1979.
- [37] M. A. Krasnosel'skiĭ, *Positive Solutions of Operator Equations*, Noordhoff, Groningen, The Netherlands, 1964.
- [38] K. Lan and J. R. L. Webb, "Positive solutions of semilinear differential equations with singularities," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 148, no. 2, pp. 407–421, 1998.
- [39] K. Q. Lan, "Multiple positive solutions of semilinear differential equations with singularities," *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 63, no. 3, pp. 690–704, 2001.
- [40] D. R. Anderson, "Eigenvalue intervals for a two-point boundary value problem on a measure chain," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 141, no. 1–2, pp. 57–64, 2002.
- [41] J. Chu, D. O'Regan, and M. Zhang, "Positive solutions and eigenvalue intervals for nonlinear systems," *Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 117, no. 1, pp. 85–95, 2007.
- [42] J. Chu and D. Jiang, "Eigenvalues and discrete boundary value problems for the one-dimensional p -Laplacian," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 305, no. 2, pp. 452–465, 2005.