Research Article

On the Recursive Sequence $x_{n+1} = \max\{x_n, A\} / x_n^2 x_{n-1}$

Ibrahim Yalcinkaya, Cengiz Cinar, and Ali Gelisken

*Mathematics Department, Ahmet Kelesoglu Education Faculty, Selcuk University,
 Meram Yeni Yol, 42090 Konya, Turkey*

Correspondence should be addressed to Ibrahim Yalcinkaya, iyalcinkaya1708@yahoo.com

Received 17 March 2010; Accepted 11 May 2010

Academic Editor: Guang Y. Zhang

Copyright © 2010 Ibrahim Yalcinkaya et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We investigate the periodic nature of the solution of the max-type difference equation $x_{n+1} = \max\{x_n, A\} / x_n^2 x_{n-1}$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where the initial conditions are $x_{-1} = A^{r_1}$ and $x_0 = A^{r_2}$ for $A \in (0, \infty)$, and that r_1 and r_2 are positive rational numbers. The results in this paper solve the Open Problem proposed by Grove and Ladas (2005).

1. Introduction

Max-type difference equations stem from, for example, certain models in automatic control theory (see [1, 2]). Although max-type difference equations are relatively simple in form, it is, unfortunately, extremely difficult to understand thoroughly the behavior of their solutions, see, for example, [1–41] and the relevant references cited therein. Furthermore, difference equation appear naturally as a discrete analogues, and as a numerical solution of differential and delay differential equations having applications various scientific branches, such as in ecology, economy, physics, technics, sociology, and biology. For some papers on periodicity of difference equation see, for example, [8, 9, 11, 12, 15] and the relevant references cited therein.

In [22], the following open problem was posed.

Open Problem 1 (see [22, page 218, Open Problem 6.4]). Assume that $A \in (0, \infty)$, and that r_1 and r_2 are positive rational numbers. Investigate the periodic nature of the solution of the difference equation:

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{\max\{x_n, A\}}{x_n^2 x_{n-1}}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (1.1)$$

where the initial conditions are $x_{-1} = A^{r_1}$ and $x_0 = A^{r_2}$.

Now, in this paper we give answer to the open problem 6.4.

2. Main Results

2.1. The Case $A > 1$

We consider (1.1) where $A > 1$. It is clear that the change of variables

$$x_n = A^{r_n} \quad \text{for } n \geq -1 \quad (2.1)$$

reduces (1.1) to the difference equation:

$$r_{n+1} = \max\{r_n, 1\} - 2r_n - r_{n-1}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2.2)$$

where the initial conditions r_{-1} and r_0 are positive rational numbers.

In this section we consider the behavior of the solutions of (2.2) (or equivalently of (1.1)) in this case $A > 1$. We give the following lemmas which give us explicit solutions for some consecutive terms and show us the behavior of the solutions of (2.2) (or equivalently of (1.1)).

Lemma 2.1. *Assume that $\{r_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ is a solution of (2.2). If $2 < r_0 + r_{-1} = r$, then the following statements are true for some integer N such that $N > 0$.*

- (i) *If $r_N = -r$, then $r_{N-1} > 1$ and $r_{N+1} \geq 1$.*
- (ii) *If $r_N = -r$, then $r_N = r_{N+3}$ or $r_N = r_{N+5}$.*
- (iii) *If $r_N = -r$ and $r_{N+2} > 1$, then $r_{N+3} = -r$ and $r_{N+2} = r_{N-1} + r_N - 1$.*
- (iv) *If $r_N = -r$ and $r_{N+2} \leq 1$, then $r_{N+5} = -r$ and $r_{N+4} = r_{N-1} - r_N - 2$.*

Proof. (i) Assume that $2 < r_0 + r_{-1} = r$. If $r_0 > 1$, then from (2.2) we get $r_1 = -r$ and $r_2 = 1 + r_0 + 2r_{-1} > 1$.

If $r_0 \leq 1$, then we get $r_1 = 1 - 2r_0 - r_{-1} < 1$, $r_2 = 3r_0 + 2r_{-1} - 1 > 1$, $r_3 = -r$, $r_4 = 2 - r_0 \geq 1$ and $r_5 = 2r_0 + r_{-1} - 2$.

If $r_5 > 1$, then $r_6 = -r$ and $r_7 = r_{-1} + 3 > 1$.

If $r_5 \leq 1$, then $r_6 = 3 - 3r_0 - 2r_{-1} < 1$, $r_7 = 4r_0 + 3r_{-1} - 3 > 1$, $r_8 = -r$ and $r_9 = 4 - 2r_0 - r_{-1} \geq 1$.

Working inductively we have $r_{N-1} > 1$ and $r_{N+1} \geq 1$ for $r_N = -r$. So, the proof of (i) is complete.

(ii) Assume that $r_N = -r$. From (i) and (2.2) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} r_{N+1} &= 1 - 2r_N - r_{N-1} \geq 1, \\ r_{N+2} &= r_N + r_{N-1} - 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

If $r_{N+2} > 1$, then $r_{N+3} = r_N = -r$.

If $r_{N+2} \leq 1$, then $r_{N+3} = 2 - r_{N-1} < 1$, $r_{N+4} = r_{N-1} - r_N - 2 > 1$ and $r_{N+5} = r_N = -r$. So, the proof of (ii) is complete.

As for (iii) and (iv), they are immediately obtained from (ii) and (2.2). $\square$

Lemma 2.2. Assume that $\{r_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ is a solution of (2.2). If $2 < r_0 + r_{-1} = k/m$, $\text{GCD}(k, m) = 1$, then the following statements are true.

- (i) If $(8k - m) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ for $0 < N < (8k - m + 2)$, then the number of integers N for which Lemma 2.1(iii) holds is $(k - 2m)$ and the number of integers N for which Lemma 2.1(iv) holds is $(k + m)$.
- (ii) If $(8k - m) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ for $0 < N < ((8k - m)/3 + 2)$, then the number of integers N for which Lemma 2.1(iii) holds is $((k - 2m)/3)$ and the number of integers N for which Lemma 2.1(iv) holds is $((k + m)/3)$.

Proof. (i) Assume that $2 < r_0 + r_{-1} = r = k/m$, $\text{GCD}(k, m) = 1$, $(8k - m) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $0 < N < (8k - m + 2)$.

Assume that number of integers N satisfying Lemma 2.1(iii) is $(k - 2m + 1)$. This assumption made Lemma 2.1(iii) be applied consecutively for $(k - 2m + 1)$ times such that;

$$\begin{aligned}
 r_N &= r_{N+3} = r_{N+6} = \cdots = r_{N+3(k-2m)} = r_{N+3(k-2m+1)} = -r, \\
 r_{N+2} &= r_{N-1} + r_N - 1 > 1, \\
 r_{N+5} &= r_{N-1} + 2(r_N - 1) > 1, \\
 r_{N+8} &= r_{N-1} + 3(r_N - 1) > 1, \\
 &\vdots \\
 r_{N+3(k-2m)+2} &= r_{N-1} + (k - 2m + 1)(r_N - 1) > 1.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Thus, $r_{N-1} > 1 + (k - 2m + 1)(-r_N + 1) > -2r_N$. But, from Lemma 2.1(i) we have $r_{N+1} = 1 - 2r_N - r_{N-1} \geq 1$ and $(-2r_N) \geq r_{N-1}$. This means that Lemma 2.1(iii) cannot be applied consecutively for $(k - 2m + 1)$ times. So, the number of integers N satisfying Lemma 2.1(iii) is not more than $(k - 2m)$.

Similarly, assume that the number of integers N satisfying Lemma 2.1(iv) is $(k + m + 1)$. So, we can apply Lemma 2.1(iv) consecutively for $(k + m + 1)$ times such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 r_N &= r_{N+5} = r_{N+10} = \cdots = r_{N+5(k+m)} = r_{N+5(k+m+1)} = -r, \\
 r_{N+4} &= r_{N-1} - r_N - 2 > 1, \\
 r_{N+9} &= r_{N-1} + 2(-r_N - 2) > 1, \\
 r_{N+14} &= r_{N-1} + 3(-r_N - 2) > 1, \\
 &\vdots \\
 r_{N+5(k+m-1)+4} &= r_{N-1} + (k + m)(-r_N - 2) > 1.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Thus, we have $r_{N+5(k+m)+2} = r_{N-1} + (k+m)(-r_N - 2) + r_N - 1 \leq 1$ and $r_{N-1} \leq 1 + (k+m)(r_N + 2) - r_N + 1 < 1$. But, it contradicts Lemma 2.1(i). So, the number of integers N satisfying Lemma 2.1(iv) is not more than $(k+m)$.

Now, assume that the number of integers N satisfying Lemma 2.1(iii) is $(k-2m-1)$. We have just had the number of integers N satisfying Lemma 2.1(iii) is less than $(k-2m+1)$. From the proof of Lemma 2.1(i), if j is the smallest integer N satisfying Lemma 2.1(i), then we have $r_j = -r$ for $j \in \{1, 3\}$. We apply Lemma 2.1(iii) for $(k-2m-1)$ times such that

$$\begin{aligned} r_j &= r_{j+3} = r_{j+6} = \cdots = r_{j+3(k-2m-2)} \\ &= r_{j+3(k-2m-1)} = -r \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

and from Lemma 2.1(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} r_{j+3(k-2m-1)} &= r_{j+3(k-2m-1)+5} = \cdots = r_{j+3(k-2m-1)+5(k+m)} \\ &= r_{j+3(k-2m-1)+5(k+m+1)} = -r. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Thus, the number of integers N satisfying Lemma 2.1(iv) is $(k+m+1)$. But it is not possible. So, the number of integers N satisfying Lemma 2.1(iii) is $(k-2m)$.

Similarly, assume that number of integers N satisfying Lemma 2.1(iv) is $(k+m-1)$. From Lemma 2.1(ii)–(iv), we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_j &= r_{j+5} = r_{j+10} = \cdots = r_{j+5(k+m-2)} \\ &= r_{j+5(k+m-1)} = -r, \\ r_{j+5(k+m-1)} &= r_{j+5(k+m-1)+3} = \cdots = r_{j+5(k+m-1)+3(k-2m+1)} \\ &= r_{j+5(k+m-1)+3(k-2m+2)} = -r. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Thus, the number of integers N satisfying Lemma 2.1(iii) is $(k-2m+2)$. But it is not possible. So, the number of integers N satisfying Lemma 2.1(iv) is $(k+m)$. So, the proof of (i) is completed.

(ii) Proof of (ii) is similar to the proof of (i). So, it is omitted. $\square$

We omit the proofs of Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 since they can easily be obtained in a way similar to the proofs of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2.

Lemma 2.3. Assume that $\{r_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ is a solution of (2.2). If $1 < r_0 + r_{-1} = r < 2$, then the following statements are true for some integer N such that $N > 0$.

- (i) If $r_N = -r$, then $r_{N-1} > 1$ and $r_{N+1} \geq 1$.
- (ii) If $r_N = -r$, then $r_N = r_{N+5}$ or $r_N = r_{N+7}$.
- (iii) If $r_N = -r$ and $r_{N+4} > 1$, then $r_{N+5} = -r$ and $r_{N+4} = r_{N-1} - r_N - 2$.
- (iv) If $r_N = -r$ and $r_{N+4} \leq 1$, then $r_{N+7} = -r$ and $r_{N+6} = r_{N-1} - 3r_N - 3$.

Lemma 2.4. Assume that $\{r_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ is a solution of (2.2). If $1 < r_0 + r_{-1} = k/m < 2$, $\text{GCD}(k, m) = 1$, then the following statements are true.

- (i) If $(8k - m) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ for $0 < N < (8k - m - 1)$, then number of integers N for which Lemma 2.3(iii) holds is $3(k - m)$ and the number of integers N for which Lemma 2.3(iv) holds is $(2m - k)$.
- (ii) If $(8k - m) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ for $0 < N < ((8k - m)/3 - 1)$, then the number of integers N for which Lemma 2.3(iii) holds is $(k - m)$ and the number of integers N for which Lemma 2.3(iv) holds is $((2m - k)/3)$.

Lemma 2.5. Assume that $\{r_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ is a solution of (2.2). If $r_0 + r_{-1} < 1$ and $r_0 + r_{-1} \neq 1/2$, then the following statements are true for some integer N such that $N \geq -1$.

- (i) Assume that $r_N > 1$, and $r_{N+2} \geq 1$. If $r_0 + r_{-1} < 1/2$, then $r_{N+1} = r_0 + r_{-1} - 1$ or if $r_0 + r_{-1} > 1/2$, then $r_{N+1} = -r_0 - r_{-1}$.
- (ii) If $r_N > 1$ and $r_{N+2} \geq 1$, then $r_{N+3} < 1$, $r_{N+4} < 1$, $r_{N+5} < 1$, $r_{N+6} = 3 + 2r_{N+1} - r_N < 1$ and $r_{N+7} = r_N - 3(r_{N+1} + 1)$,
- (iii) If $r_N \notin (r_{N_i}, r_{N_i+1}, r_{N_i+2}, r_{N_i+3}, r_{N_i+4}, r_{N_i+5}, r_{N_i+6})$ such that $r_{N_i} > 1$ and $r_{N_i+2} \geq 1$, then $r_N \leq 1$.

Proof. (i) Assume that $r_0 + r_{-1} < 1/2$. From (2.2), we get that $r_1 = 1 - 2r_0 - r_{-1} < 1$, $r_2 = 3r_0 + 2r_{-1} - 1 < 1$ and $r_3 = 2 - 4r_0 - 3r_{-1}$.

If $r_3 > 1$, then $r_4 = r_0 + r_{-1} - 1$, $r_5 = 1 + 2r_0 + r_{-1} > 1$.

If $r_3 \leq 1$, then $r_4 = 5r_0 + 4r_{-1} - 2 < 1$, $r_5 = 3 - 6r_0 - 5r_{-1}$ and $r_5 > 1$ or $r_5 \leq 1$.

If $r_5 > 1$, then $r_6 = r_0 + r_{-1} - 1$ and $r_7 = 4r_0 + 3r_{-1} \geq 1$.

If $r_5 \leq 1$, then $r_6 = 7r_0 + 6r_{-1} - 3 < 1$, $r_7 = 4 - 8r_0 - 7r_{-1}$ and $r_7 > 1$ or $r_7 \leq 1$.

Working inductively, we have $r_{N+1} = r_0 + r_{-1} - 1$ for $r_0 + r_{-1} < 1/2$, $r_N > 1$ and $r_{N+2} \geq 1$.

Assume that $r_0 + r_{-1} > 1/2$. From (2.2), we get that $r_1 = 1 - 2r_0 - r_{-1} < 1$ and $r_2 = 3r_0 + 2r_{-1} - 1$.

If $r_2 > 1$, then $r_3 = -r_0 - r_{-1}$ and $r_4 = 2 - r_0 > 1$.

If $r_2 \leq 1$, then $r_3 = 2 - 4r_0 - 3r_{-1} < 1$ and $r_4 = 5r_0 + 4r_{-1} - 2$.

If $r_4 > 1$, then $r_5 = -r_0 - r_{-1}$ and $r_6 = 3 - 3r_0 - 2r_{-1} > 1$.

Working inductively, we have $r_{N+1} = -r_0 - r_{-1}$ for $1/2 < r_0 + r_{-1} < 1$, $r_N > 1$ and $r_{N+2} \geq 1$. So, the proof of (i) is completed.

- (ii) Assume that $r_0 + r_{-1} < 1$ and that $r_N > 1$, $r_{N+2} \geq 1$. From (2.2) and (i), we get that

$$r_{N+2} = \max\{r_{N+1}, 1\} - 2r_{N+1} - r_N = 1 - 2r_{N+1} - r_N, \quad r_N \leq -2r_{N+1}. \quad (2.9)$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
r_{N+3} &= \max\{r_{N+2}, 1\} - 2r_{N+2} - r_{N+1} = r_N + r_{N+1} - 1 < 1, \\
r_{N+4} &= \max\{r_{N+3}, 1\} - 2r_{N+3} - r_{N+2} = 2 - r_N < 1, \\
r_{N+5} &= \max\{r_{N+4}, 1\} - 2r_{N+4} - r_{N+3} = r_N - r_{N+1} - 2 < 1, \\
r_{N+6} &= \max\{r_{N+5}, 1\} - 2r_{N+5} - r_{N+4} = -r_N + 2r_{N+1} + 3 < 1, \\
r_{N+7} &= \max\{r_{N+6}, 1\} - 2r_{N+6} - r_{N+5} = r_N - 3(r_{N+1} + 1).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

So, the proof of (ii) is completed.

- (iii) Assume that the smallest integer of integers N satisfying (i) is N_1 . From the proof of (i), we have $r_j < 1$ for $j < N_1$. Also, from this assumption we have the subsequence $(r_{N_1}, r_{N_1+1}, r_{N_1+2}, r_{N_1+3}, r_{N_1+4}, r_{N_1+5}, r_{N_1+6})$ such that $r_{N_1} > 1$ and $r_{N_1+2} \geq 1$. Then, from (ii) we get that $r_{N_1+7} = r_{N_1} - 3(r_{N_1+1} + 1)$ and $r_{N_1+7} \leq 1$ or $r_{N_1+7} > 1$. If $r_{N_1+7} \leq 1$, we get that $r_{N_1+8} = -r_{N_1} + 4(r_{N_1+1} + 1) < 1$. It means that r_{N_1+7} and r_{N_1+8} are not the element previous and later subsequences satisfying (ii). If $r_{N_1+7} > 1$, then we get that $r_{N_1+9} \geq 1$ and that r_{N_1+7} is a element of the subsequence $(r_{N_1+7}, r_{N_1+8}, r_{N_1+9}, r_{N_1+10}, r_{N_1+11}, r_{N_1+12}, r_{N_1+13})$ such that $r_{N_1+7} > 1$ and $r_{N_1+9} \geq 1$. If this proceeds, we have $r_N \leq 1$, for $r_N \notin (r_{N_i}, r_{N_i+1}, r_{N_i+2}, r_{N_i+3}, r_{N_i+4}, r_{N_i+5}, r_{N_i+6})$ such that $r_{N_i} > 1$ and $r_{N_i+2} \geq 1$. $\square$

Lemma 2.6. Assume that $\{r_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ is a solution of (2.2). If $r_0 + r_{-1} = k/m < 1/2$, $\text{GCD}(k, m) = 1$, then the following statements are true.

- (i) If $(7m - 8k) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ for $N < (7m - 8k - 1)$, then number of integers N for which Lemma 2.5(ii) holds is $(m - 2k)$ and the number of integers N for which Lemma 2.5(iii) holds is $6k$.
- (ii) If $(7m - 8k) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ for $N < ((7m - 8k)/3 - 1)$, then number of integers N for which Lemma 2.5(ii) holds is $((m - 2k)/3)$ and the number of integers N for which Lemma 2.5(iii) holds is $2k$.

Lemma 2.7. Assume that $\{r_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ is a solution of (2.2). If $1/2 < r_0 + r_{-1} = k/m < 1$, $\text{GCD}(k, m) = 1$, then the following statements are true.

- (i) If $(8k - m) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ for $N < (8k - m - 1)$, then number of integers N for which Lemma 2.5(ii) holds is $(2k - m)$ and the number of integers N for which Lemma 2.5(iii) holds is $6(m - k)$.
- (ii) If $(8k - m) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ for $N < ((8k - m)/3 - 1)$, then number of integers N for which Lemma 2.5(ii) holds is $((2k - m)/3)$ and the number of integers N for which Lemma 2.5(iii) holds is $2(m - k)$.

Theorem 2.8. Consider (2.2). If $r_{-1} + r_0 = k/m \leq 1/2$ and $\text{GCD}(k, m) = 1$, then the following statements are true.

- (i) If $(7m - 8k) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, then $\{r_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ is periodic with prime period $(7m - 8k)$,
- (ii) If $(7m - 8k) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, then $\{r_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ is periodic with prime period $((7m - 8k)/3)$.

Proof. (i) Assume that $r_{-1} + r_0 = k/m < 1/2$, $\text{GCD}(k, m) = 1$ and $(7m - 8k) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. It suffices to prove $r_n = r_{n+7m-8k}$ for $n \geq -1$. We must show that $r_{-1} = r_{7m-8k-1}$ and $r_0 = r_{7m-8k}$. From Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6, for getting the last two terms we need, we can assume that

$$r_n \leq 1 \quad \text{for } n = -1, 0, \dots, (6k - 2) \quad (2.11)$$

and that

$$r_{6k-1+7l} > 1, \quad r_{6k+1+7l} \geq 1 \quad \text{for } l = 0, 1, \dots, (m - 2k - 1). \quad (2.12)$$

From this assumption and (2.2), we get that for $n = 1, 2, \dots, (3k - 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} r_{2n-1} &= r_{-1} + \sum_{i=1}^n [1 - 2(r_{-1} + r_0)] \leq 1 \\ r_{2n} &= r_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n [2(r_{-1} + r_0) - 1] \leq 1 \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} r_{6k-1} &= r_{-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{3k} [1 - 2(r_{-1} + r_0)] > 1, \\ r_{6k} &= (r_{-1} + r_0) - 1, \\ r_{6k+1} &= 2 - r_{-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{3k-1} [2(r_{-1} + r_0) - 1] \geq 1, \\ &\vdots \\ r_{6k+5} &= 3r_{-1} + 4r_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{3k-1} [2(r_{-1} + r_0) - 1] \leq 1, \\ r_{6k+6} &= 1 - (4r_{-1} + 5r_0) - \sum_{i=1}^{3k-1} [2(r_{-1} + r_0) - 1] > 1, \\ r_{6k+7} &= (r_{-1} + r_0) - 1, \\ &\vdots \\ r_{6k+12} &= 6r_{-1} + 7r_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{3k-1} [2(r_{-1} + r_0) - 1] \leq 1, \\ r_{6k+13} &= 1 - (7r_{-1} + 8r_0) - \sum_{i=1}^{3k-1} [2(r_{-1} + r_0) - 1] > 1, \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
r_{6k-1+7(m-2k-1)} &= 1 - r_{-1} - 2r_0 - 3(m-2k-1)(r_{-1} + r_0) - \sum_{i=1}^{3k-1} [2(r_{-1} + r_0) - 1] > 1, \\
&\vdots \\
r_{6k-1+7(m-2k-1)+6} &= r_0 + 3(m-2k)(r_{-1} + r_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{3k-1} [2(r_{-1} + r_0) - 1] \leq 1, \\
r_{6k-1+7(m-2k)} &= 1 - [-r_{-1} + (3m-6k+2)(r_{-1} + r_0)] - \sum_{i=1}^{3k-1} [2(r_{-1} + r_0) - 1].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Then we get $r_{7m-8k-1} = r_{-1}$ and that

$$\begin{aligned}
r_{7m-8k} &= 1 - 2r_{7m-8k-1} - r_{7m-8k-2} \\
&= 1 - 2r_{-1} - r_{7m-8k-2} - \left[r_0 + 3(m-2k)(r_{-1} + r_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{3k-1} [2(r_{-1} + r_0) - 1] \right] = r_0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

So, we have $r_n = r_{n+7m-8k}$ for $n \geq -1$.

- (ii) Assume that $r_{-1} + r_0 = 1/2$. From (2.2), we get immediately $r_1 = r_{-1}$ and $r_2 = r_0$. So, we have $r_n = r_{n+(7m-8k)/3}$ for $n \geq -1$. If $r_{-1} + r_0 < 1/2$, $\text{GCD}(k, m) = 1$ and $(7m-8k) = 0 \pmod{3}$, then the proof of (ii) is similar to the proof of (i). So, it is omitted.

□

Theorem 2.9. Consider (2.2). If $r = r_{-1} + r_0 = k/m > 1/2$ and $\text{GCD}(k, m) = 1$, then the following statements are true.

- (i) If $(8k-m) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, then $\{r_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ is periodic with prime period $(8k-m)$.
(ii) If $(8k-m) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, then $\{r_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ is periodic with prime period $((8k-m)/3)$.

Proof. (i) If $r_{-1} + r_0 = 1$, then from (2.2) we get that $r_1 = -r_0, r_2 = 1+r_0, r_3 = -1, r_4 = 2-r_0, r_5 = r_0-1, r_6 = r_{-1}$ and $r_7 = r_0$. So, we have $r_n = r_{n+8k-m}$ for $n \geq -1$.

In the case $1/2 < r_{-1} + r_0 < 1$, the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.8(i) such that; from Lemmas 2.5 and 2.7, we can assume that

$$r_n \leq 1 \quad \text{for } n = -1, 0, \dots, [6(m-k)-3] \tag{2.16}$$

and that

$$r_{6(m-k)-2+7l} > 1, \quad r_{6(m-k)+7l} \geq 1, \quad r_{6(m-k)-2+7(2k-m)} \leq 1 \tag{2.17}$$

for $l = 0, 1, \dots, (2k - m - 1)$. Thus, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} r_{2n} &= r_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n [2(r_{-1} + r_0) - 1] \leq 1, \\ r_{2n+1} &= r_{-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} [1 - 2(r_{-1} + r_0)] \leq 1 \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots, [3(m - k) - 2]$ and that

$$\begin{aligned} r_{6(m-k)-2} &= r_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{3(m-k)-1} [2(r_{-1} + r_0) - 1] > 1, \\ r_{6(m-k)-1} &= -(r_{-1} + r_0), \\ r_{6(m-k)} &= 1 + 2r_{-1} + r_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{3(m-k)-1} [1 - 2(r_{-1} + r_0)] \geq 1, \\ &\vdots \\ r_{6(m-k)-2+7(2k-m)-1} &= r_{-1} + 3(2k - m)(1 - r_{-1} - r_0) - \sum_{i=1}^{3(m-k)-1} [2(r_{-1} + r_0) - 1] \leq 1, \\ r_{6(m-k)-2+7(2k-m)} &= r_0 + 3(2k - m)(r_{-1} + r_0 - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{3(m-k)-1} [2(r_{-1} + r_0) - 1] \leq 1, \\ r_{6(m-k)+7(2k-m)-1} &= 1 - 2r_{6(m-k)+7(2k-m)-2} - r_{6(m-k)+7(2k-m)-3} = r_{-1}, \\ r_{6(m-k)+7(2k-m)} &= 1 - 2r_{6(m-k)+7(2k-m)-1} - r_{6(m-k)+7(2k-m)-2} = r_0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

So, we have $r_n = r_{n+8k-m}$ for $n \geq -1$.

Assume that $1 < r_{-1} + r_0 < 2$. From Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, for getting the last two terms, we can assume that

$$\begin{aligned} r_{N+5l_1} &= r_{N+5(l_1+1)} = -r, \\ r_{N+5[3(k-m)]+7l_2} &= r_{N+5[3(k-m)]+7(l_2+1)} = -r \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

for $l_1 = 0, 1, \dots, [3(k-m)-1]$ and $l_2 = 0, 1, \dots, (2m-k-1)$. From this assumption and Lemma 2.4(iii)-(iv), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} r_{N+4} &= r_{N-1} - r_N - 2, \\ r_{N+9} &= r_{N-1} + 2(-r_N - 2), \\ &\vdots \\ r_{N+5[3(k-m)-1]+4} &= r_{N-1} + 3(k-m)(-r_N - 2), \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} r_{N+5[3(k-m)]+6} &= r_{N+5[3(k-m)-1]+4} - 3r_N - 3, \\ r_{N+5[3(k-m)]+13} &= r_{N+5[3(k-m)-1]+4} + 2(-3r_N - 3), \\ &\vdots \\ r_{N+5[3(k-m)]+7(2m-k-1)+6} &= r_{N+5[3(k-m)-1]+4} + (2m-k)(-3r_N - 3) > 1 \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

Thus, we get $r_{N+8k-m-1} = r_{N-1}$ and $r_{N+8k-m} = r_N$. From $r_{n-1} = \max\{r_n, 1\} - 2r_n - r_{n+1}$, we have $r_n = r_{n+8k-m}$ for $n \geq -1$. Also, it is easy to see that $r_{N-1} \neq r_{N+5l_1-1}$ and $r_{N-1} \neq r_{N+5[3(k-m)]+7l_2-1}$ for $l_1 = 1, 2, \dots, [3(k-m)]$ and $l_2 = 1, 2, \dots, (2m-k)$ which imply that $8k-m$ is the smallest period.

In the case $2 < r_{-1} + r_0$, the proof is similar. So, it is omitted.

- (ii) Assume that $r_{-1} + r_0 = 2$. If $r_0 > 1$, then from (2.2) we get that $r_1 = -2$, $r_2 = 5 - r_0$, $r_3 = r_0 - 3$, $r_4 = r_{-1}$ and $r_5 = r_0$. If $r_0 \leq 1$, then $r_1 = -1 - r_0$, $r_2 = 3 + r_0$, $r_3 = -2$, $r_4 = r_{-1}$ and $r_5 = r_0$. So, we have $r_n = r_{n+(8k-m)/3}$ for $n \geq -1$. The rest of proof is similar to the proof of (i) and is omitted. $\square$

2.2. The Case $A < 1$

We consider (1.1) where $A < 1$. It is clear that the change of variables

$$x_n = A^{r_n} \quad \text{for } n \geq -1 \tag{2.23}$$

reduces (1.1) to the difference equation:

$$r_{n+1} = \min\{r_n, 1\} - 2r_n - r_{n-1}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \tag{2.24}$$

where the initial conditions r_{-1} and r_0 are positive rational numbers.

In this section we consider the behavior of the solutions of (2.24) (or equivalently of (1.1)) in this case $A < 1$. We omit the proofs of the following results since they can easily be obtained in a way similar to the proofs of the lemmas and theorems in the previous section.

Lemma 2.10. Assume that $\{r_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ is a solution of (2.24). If $r_{-1}, r_0 > 1$ and $r = r_0 + r_{-1}$, then the following statements are true for some integer $N > 0$.

- (i) If $r_N = r - 1$, then $r_{N-1} \leq 1$ and $r_{N+1} \leq 1$.
- (ii) If $r_N = r - 1$, then $r_N = r_{N+3}$ or $r_N = r_{N+5}$.
- (iii) If $r_N = r - 1$ and $r_{N+2} < 1$, then $r_{N+3} = r - 1$ and $r_{N+2} = r_{N-1} + r_N - 1$.
- (iv) If $r_N = r - 1$ and $r_{N+2} \geq 1$, then $r_{N+5} = r - 1$ and $r_{N+4} = r_{N-1} - r_N - 2$.

Lemma 2.11. Assume that $\{r_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ is a solution of (2.24) for which Lemma 2.10 holds. If $r = r_0 + r_{-1} = k/m$, $\text{GCD}(k, m) = 1$, then the following statements are true.

- (i) If $(8k - 7m) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ for $0 < N < (8k - 7m - 1)$, then number of integers N for which Lemma 2.10(iii) holds is $(k + m)$ and the number of integers N for which Lemma 2.10(iv) holds is $(k - 2m)$.
- (ii) If $(8k - 7m) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ for $0 < N < ((8k - 7m)/3 - 1)$, then number of integers N for which Lemma 2.10(iii) holds is $((k + m)/3)$ and the number of integers N for which Lemma 2.10(iv) holds is $((k - 2m)/3)$.

Lemma 2.12. Assume that $\{r_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ is a solution of (2.24). If $\max\{r_{-1}, r_0\} = r > 1$ and one of the initial conditions r_{-1}, r_0 is less than or equal to one, then the following statements are true for some integer $N > 0$.

- (i) If $r_N = r$, then $r_{N-1} \leq 1$ and $r_{N+1} \leq 1$.
- (ii) If $r_N = r$, then $r_N = r_{N+3}$ or $r_N = r_{N+5}$.
- (iii) If $r_N = r$ and $r_{N+2} < 1$, then $r_{N+3} = r$ and $r_{N+2} = r_{N-1} + r_N - 1$.
- (iv) If $r_N = r$ and $r_{N+2} \geq 1$, then $r_{N+5} = r$ and $r_{N+4} = r_{N-1} - r_N - 2$.

Lemma 2.13. Assume that $\{r_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ is a solution of (2.24) for which Lemma 2.12 holds. If $r = \max\{r_{-1}, r_0\} = k/m$, $\text{GCD}(k, m) = 1$, then the following statements are true.

- (i) If $(8k + m) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ for $0 < N < (8k + m - 1)$, then number of integers N for which Lemma 2.12(iii) holds is $(2m + k)$ and the number of integers N for which Lemma 2.12(iv) holds is $(k - m)$.
- (ii) If $(8k + m) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ for $0 < N < ((8k + m)/3 - 1)$, then number of integers N for which Lemma 2.12(iii) holds is $((2m + k)/3)$ and the number of integers N for which Lemma 2.12(iv) holds is $((k - m)/3)$.

Theorem 2.14. Consider (2.24). If $r_{-1}, r_0 > 1$, $r = (r_0 + r_{-1}) = k/m$ and $\text{GCD}(k, m) = 1$, then the following statements are true.

- (i) If $(8k - 7m) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, then $\{r_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ is periodic with prime period $(8k - 7m)$.
- (ii) If $(8k - 7m) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, then $\{r_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ is periodic with prime period $((8k - 7m)/3)$.

Theorem 2.15. Consider (2.24). If at least one of the initial conditions of (2.24) is less than or equal to one, $\max\{r_{-1}, r_0, 1\} = k/m$ and $\text{GCD}(k, m) = 1$, then the following statements are true.

- (i) If $(8k + m) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, then $\{r_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ is periodic with prime period $(8k + m)$.
- (ii) If $(8k + m) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, then $\{r_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ is periodic with prime period $((8k + m)/3)$.

3. Conclusion

Difference equation appears naturally as a discrete analogue and as a numerical solutions of differential and delay differential equations having applications various scientific branches, such as in ecology, economy, physics, technics, sociology, and biology. Specially, max-type difference equations stem from, for example, certain models in automatic control theory. In this paper, periodic nature of the solution of (1.1) which was open problem proposed by Grove and Ladas [22] was investigated. We describe a new method in investigating periodic character of max-type difference equations. It is expected that after some modifications our method will be applicable to Open Problem 6.3 in [22].

References

- [1] A. D. Myškis, "Some problems in the theory of differential equations with deviating argument," *Uspekhi Matematicheskikh Nauk*, vol. 32, no. 2(194), pp. 173–202, 1977.
- [2] E. P. Popov, *Automatic Regulation and Control*, Nauka, Moscow, Russia, 1966.
- [3] R. Abu-Saris and F. Allan, "Periodic and nonperiodic solutions of the difference equation $x_{n+1} = \max\{x_n^2, A\}/x_n x_{n-1}$," in *Advances in Difference Equations (Veszprém, 1995)*, pp. 9–17, Gordon and Breach, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1997.
- [4] R. M. Abu-Sarris and F. M. Allan, "Rational recursive sequences involving the maximum function," *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 335–342, 1999.
- [5] K. S. Berenhaut, J. D. Foley, and S. Stević, "Boundedness character of positive solutions of a max difference equation," *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, vol. 12, no. 12, pp. 1193–1199, 2006.
- [6] C. Çinar, S. Stević, and I. Yalçinkaya, "On positive solutions of a reciprocal difference equation with minimum," *Journal of Applied Mathematics & Computing*, vol. 17, no. 1-2, pp. 307–314, 2005.
- [7] E. M. Elabbasy, H. El-Metwally, and E. M. Elsayed, "On the periodic nature of some max-type difference equations," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2005, no. 14, pp. 2227–2239, 2005.
- [8] E. M. Elabbasy, H. El-Metwally, and E. M. Elsayed, "Global attractivity and periodic character of a fractional difference equation of order three," *Yokohama Mathematical Journal*, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 89–100, 2007.
- [9] E. M. Elabbasy, H. El-Metwally, and E. M. Elsayed, "Qualitative behavior of higher order difference equation," *Soochow Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 861–873, 2007.
- [10] E. M. Elabbasy, H. El-Metwally, and E. M. Elsayed, "Qualitative behavior of some max-type difference equations," *Vietnam Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 47–61, 2008.
- [11] E. M. Elabbasy and E. M. Elsayed, "On the solution of recursive sequence $x_{n+1} = \max\{x_{n-2}, 1/x_{n-2}\}$," *Fasciculi Mathematici*, vol. 41, pp. 55–63, 2009.
- [12] E. M. Elsayed, "On the solutions of higher order rational system of recursive sequences," *Mathematica Balkanica*, vol. 22, no. 3-4, pp. 287–296, 2008.
- [13] E. M. Elsayed and S. Stević, "On the max-type equation $x_{n+1} = \max\{A/x_n, x_{n-2}\}$," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 71, no. 3-4, pp. 910–922, 2009.
- [14] E. M. Elsayed and B. D. Iričanin, "On a max-type and a min-type difference equation," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 215, no. 2, pp. 608–614, 2009.
- [15] E. M. Elsayed, B. D. Iričanin, and S. Stević, "On the max-type equation $x_{n+1} = \max\{A/x_n, x_{n-1}\}$," *ARS Combinatoria*, vol. 95, 2010.
- [16] J. Feuer, "On the eventual periodicity of $x_{n+1} = \max\{1/x_n, A_n/x_{n-1}\}$ with a period-four parameter," *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 467–486, 2006.
- [17] J. Feuer and K. T. Donnell, "On the eventual periodicity of $x_{n+1} = \max\{1/x_n, A_n/x_{n-1}\}$ with a period-five parameter," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 56, no. 4, pp. 883–889, 2008.
- [18] J. Feuer, "Periodic solutions of the Lyness max equation," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 288, no. 1, pp. 147–160, 2003.
- [19] A. Gelisken, C. Cinar, and R. Karatas, "A note on the periodicity of the Lyness max equation," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2008, Article ID 651747, 5 pages, 2008.

- [20] A. Gelisken, C. Cinar, and I. Yalcinkaya, "On the periodicity of a difference equation with maximum," *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2008, Article ID 820629, 11 pages, 2008.
- [21] A. Gelisken and C. Cinar, "On the global attractivity of a max-type difference equation," *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2009, Article ID 812674, 5 pages, 2009.
- [22] E. A. Grove and G. Ladas, *Periodicities in Nonlinear Difference Equations*, vol. 4 of *Advances in Discrete Mathematics and Applications*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, Fla, USA, 2005.
- [23] C. M. Kent and M. A. Radin, "On the boundedness nature of positive solutions of the difference equation $x_{n+1} = \max\{A_n/x_n, B_n/x_{n-1}\}$ with periodic parameters," *Dynamics of Continuous, Discrete and Impulsive Systems. Series B*, vol. 2003, pp. 11–15, 2003.
- [24] E. J. Janowski, V. L. Kocic, G. Ladas, and S. W. Schultz, "Global behavior of solutions of $x_{n+1} = \max\{x_n, A\}/x_{n-1}$," in *Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Difference Equations*, pp. 273–282, Gordon and Breach, Basel, Switzerland, 1995.
- [25] G. Ladas, "Open problems and conjectures," *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, vol. 22, pp. 339–341, 1996.
- [26] G. Ladas, "Open problems and conjectures," *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, vol. 4, no. 3, p. 312, 1998.
- [27] X. Li, D. Zhu, and G. Xiao, "Behavior of solutions of certain recursions involving the maximum function," *Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 199–206, 2003.
- [28] D. P. Mishev, W. T. Patula, and H. D. Voulou, "A reciprocal difference equation with maximum," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 43, no. 8-9, pp. 1021–1026, 2002.
- [29] D. P. Mishev, W. T. Patula, and H. D. Voulou, "Periodic coefficients in a reciprocal difference equation with maximum," *Panamerican Mathematical Journal*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 43–57, 2003.
- [30] W. T. Patula and H. D. Voulou, "On a max type recurrence relation with periodic coefficients," *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 329–338, 2004.
- [31] E. C. Pielou, *An Introduction to Mathematical Ecology*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, NY, USA, 1969.
- [32] E. C. Pielou, *Population and Community Ecology*, Gordon and Breach, Yverdon, Switzerland, 1974.
- [33] D. Simsek, C. Cinar, and I. Yalcinkaya, "On the solutions of the difference equation $x_{n+1} = \max\{x_{n-1}, 1/x_{n-1}\}$," *International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 1, no. 9–12, pp. 481–487, 2006.
- [34] D. Simsek, "On the solutions of the difference equation $x_{n+1} = \max\{x_{n-2}, 1/x_{n-2}\}$," *Selcuk University Journal of Education Faculty*, vol. 23, pp. 367–377, 2007.
- [35] S. Stević, "On the recursive sequence $x_{n+1} = \max\{c, x_n^p/x_{n-1}^p\}$," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 21, no. 8, pp. 791–796, 2008.
- [36] F. Sun, "On the asymptotic behavior of a difference equation with maximum," *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2008, Article ID 243291, 6 pages, 2008.
- [37] T. Sun, B. Qin, H. Xi, and C. Han, "Global behavior of the max-type difference equation $x_{n+1} = \max\{1/x_n, A_n/x_{n-1}\}$," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2009, Article ID 152964, 10 pages, 2009.
- [38] I. Szalkai, "On the periodicity of the sequence $x_{n+1} = \max\{A_0/x_n, A_1/x_{n-1}, \dots, A_k/x_{n-k}\}$," *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 25–29, 1999.
- [39] H. D. Voulou, "Periodic solutions to a difference equation with maximum," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 131, no. 7, pp. 2155–2160, 2003.
- [40] H. D. Voulou, "On the periodic nature of the solutions of the reciprocal difference equation with maximum," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 296, no. 1, pp. 32–43, 2004.
- [41] I. Yalcinkaya, B. D. Irićanin, and C. Cinar, "On a max-type difference equation," *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2007, Article ID 47264, 10 pages, 2007.