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The present work is mainly concerned with the Dullin-Gottwald-Holm (DGH) equation with strong dissipation. We establish a sufficient condition to guarantee global-in-time solutions, then present persistence property for the Cauchy problem, and describe the asymptotic behavior of solutions for compactly supported initial data.

1. Introduction

Dullin et al. [1] derived a new equation describing the unidirectional propagation of surface waves in a shallow water regime:

\[ u_t - \alpha^2 u_{xxx} + \epsilon_0 u_x + 3uu_x + yu_{xxx} = \alpha^2 (2u_x u_{xx} + uu_{xxx}) , \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \ t > 0 , \]

where the constants \( \alpha^2 \) and \( \gamma/\epsilon_0 \) are squares of length scales and the constant \( \epsilon_0 > 0 \) is the critical shallow water wave speed for undisturbed water at rest at spatial infinity. Since this equation is derived by Dullin, Gottwald, and Holm, in what follows, we call this new integrable shallow water equation (1) DGH equation.

If \( \alpha = 0 \), (1) becomes the well-known KdV equation, whose solutions are global as long as the initial data is square integrable. This is proved by Bourgain [2]. If \( \gamma = 0 \) and \( \alpha = 1 \), (1) reduces to the Camassa-Holm equation which was derived physically by Camassa and Holm in [3] by approximating directly the Hamiltonian for Euler’s equations in the shallow water regime, where \( u(x,t) \) represents the free surface above a flat bottom. The properties about the well-posedness, blow-up, global existence, and propagation speed have already been studied in recent works [4–13], and the generalized version of a family of dispersive equations related to Camassa-Holm equation was discussed in [14].

It is very interesting that (1) preserves the bi-Hamiltonian structure and has the following two conserved quantities:

\[ E(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u^2 + \alpha^2 u_x^2) \, dx , \]

\[ F(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u^3 + \alpha^3 uu_x^2 + \epsilon_0 u^2 - \gamma u_x^2) \, dx . \]

Recently, in [15], local well-posedness of strong solutions to (1) was established by applying Kato’s theory [16], and some sufficient conditions were found to guarantee finite time blow-up phenomenon. Moreover, Zhou [17] found the best constants for two convolution problems on the unit circle via variational method and applied the best constants on (1) to give some blow-up criteria. Later, Zhou and Guo improved the results and got some new criteria for wave breaking [18].

In general, it is quite difficult to avoid energy dissipation mechanism in the real world. Ghidaglia [19] studied the long time behavior of solutions to the weakly dissipative KdV equation as a finite dimensional dynamic system. Moreover, some results on blow-up criteria and the global existence condition for the weakly dissipative Camassa-Holm equation are presented in [20], and very related work can be found in...
In this work, we are interested in the following model, which can be viewed as the DGH equation with dissipation
\[ u_t - \alpha^2 u_{xxt} + c_0 u_x + 3u u_x + \nu u_{xxxx} + \lambda \left( 1 - \alpha^2 \partial_x^2 \right) u = 0, \]
where \( x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0, \lambda (1 - \alpha^2 \partial_x^2) u \) is the weakly dissipative term and \( \lambda \) is a positive dissipation parameter. Set \( Q = (1 - \alpha^2 \partial_x^2)^{1/2} \), then the operator \( Q^{-2} \) can be expressed by
\[ Q^{-2} f = G * f = \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(x-y) f(y) dy, \]
for all \( f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) with \( G(x) = (1/2\alpha)e^{-|x|/\alpha} \). With this in hand, we can rewrite (3) as a quasilinear equation of hyperbolic type
\[ u_t + \left( u - \frac{\nu}{\alpha^2} \right) u_x + \partial_x G \ast F(u) + \lambda u = 0. \]  
It is the dissipative term that causes the previous conserved quantities \( E(u) \) and \( F(u) \) to be no longer conserved for (3), and this model could also be regarded as a model of a type of a certain rate-dependent continuum material called a compressible second grade fluid [23]. Our consideration is based on this fact. Furthermore, we will show how the dissipation term affects the behavior of solutions in our forthcoming paper. As a whole, the current dissipation model is of great importance mathematically and physically, and it is worthy of being considered. In what follows, we assume that \( c_0 + \nu/\alpha^2 = 0 \) and \( \alpha > 0 \) just for simplicity. Since \( u(x, t) \) is bounded by its \( H^1 \)-norm, a general case with \( c_0 + \nu/\alpha^2 \neq 0 \) does not change our results essentially, but it would lead to unnecessary technical complications. So the above equation is reduced to a simpler form as follows:
\[ u_t + (u + c_0) u_x + \partial_x G \ast F(u) + \lambda u = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t > 0, \]
where
\[ F(u) = u^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} u_x^2. \]

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we list the local well-posedness theorem for (6) with initial datum \( u_0 \in H^s, s > 3/2 \) and collect some auxiliary results. In Section 3, we establish the condition for global existence in view of the initial potential. Persistence properties of the strong solutions are explored in Section 4. Finally, in Section 5, we give a detailed description of the corresponding solution with compactly supported initial data.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we make some preparations for our consideration. Firstly, the local well-posedness of the Cauchy problem of (6) with initial data \( u_0 \in H^s \) with \( s > 3/2 \) can be obtained by applying Kato’s theorem [16]. More precisely, we have the following local well-posedness result.

**Theorem 1.** Given that \( u_0(x) \in H^s, s > 3/2, \) there exist \( T = T(\lambda, \|u_0\|_{H^s}) > 0 \) and a unique solution \( u \) to (6), such that
\[ u = u(\cdot, u_0) \in C \left( [0, T); H^s \right) \cap C^1 \left( [0, T); H^{s-1} \right). \]
Moreover, the solution depends continuously on the initial data; that is, the mapping \( u_0 \rightarrow u(\cdot, u_0) : H^s \rightarrow C \left( [0, T); H^s \right) \cap C^1 \left( [0, T); H^{s-1} \right) \) is continuous, and the maximal time of existence \( T > 0 \) is independent of \( s \).

**Proof.** Set \( A(u) = (u + c_0) \partial_x + F(u), Y = H^s, X = H^{s-1}, s > 3/2, \) and \( Q = (1 - \alpha^2 \partial_x^2)^{1/2} \). Applying Kato’s theory for abstract quasilinear evolution equation of hyperbolic type, we can obtain the local well-posedness of (6) in \( H^s, s > 3/2 \) and \( u \in C \left( [0, T]; H^s \right) \cap C^1 \left( [0, T); H^{s-1} \right) \).

The maximal value of \( T \) in Theorem 1 is called the lifespan of the solution in general. If \( T < \infty \), that is \( \lim \sup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{H^s} = \infty \), we say that the solution blows up in finite time, otherwise, the solution exists globally in time. Next, we show that the solution blows up if and only if its first-order derivative blows up.

**Lemma 2.** Given that \( u_0 \in H^s, s > 3/2, \) the solution \( u = u(\cdot, u_0) \) of (3) blows up in finite time \( T < +\infty \) if and only if
\[ \lim_{t \rightarrow T} \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}} [u_x(x, t)] \right\} = -\infty. \]

**Proof.** We first assume that \( u_0 \in H^s \) for some \( s \in \mathbb{N}, s \geq 4. \) Equation (6) can be written into the following form in terms of \( y = (1 - \alpha^2 \partial_x^2)^{1/2} u \)
\[ y_t + (yu)_x + \frac{1}{2} \left( u^2 - \alpha^2 u_x^2 \right)_x + c_0 y_x + \lambda y = 0, \]
\[ x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t > 0. \]
Multiplying (10) by \( y = (1 - \alpha^2 \partial_x^2)^{1/2} u \), and integrating by parts, we have
\[ \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} y^2 dx = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} y y_{tx} dx = -3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_x y^2 dx - 2\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}} y^2 dx. \]
Differentiating (10) with respect to the spatial variable \( x \), then multiplying by \( y_x = (1 - \alpha^2 \partial_x^2)^{1/2} u_x \), and integrating by parts again, we obtain
\[ \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} y_x^2 dx = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} y_x y_{xt} dx = -5 \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_x y_x^2 dx + \frac{2}{\alpha^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_x y_x^2 dx - 2\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}} y_x^2 dx. \]
Summarizing (11) and (12), we obtain
\[
\frac{d}{dt}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( y^2 + y_2^2 \right) dx \right) = -3 \frac{2}{\alpha^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_x y^2 dx - 5 \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_x y_2^2 dx - 2 \lambda \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( y^2 + y_2^2 \right) dx \right).
\]
(13)

If \( u_x \) is bounded from below on \([0, T]\), for example, \( u_x \geq -C \), \( C \) is a positive constant, then we get by (13) and Gronwall’s inequality the following:
\[
\left\| u \right\|_{H^s}^2 \leq \exp \left\{ (KC - 2 \lambda) t \right\} \left\| u_0 \right\|_{H^s}^2,
\]
(14)

where \( K = \max \{5, (3 - 2/\alpha^2)\} \). Therefore, the \( H^3 \)-norm of the solution to (10) does not blow up in finite time. Furthermore, similar argument shows that the \( H^k \)-norm with \( k \geq 4 \) does not blow up either in finite time. Consequently, this theorem can be proved by Theorem 1 and simple density argument for all \( s > 3/2 \).

**Lemma 3.** Let \( u_0 \in H^4 \), then as long as the solution \( u(x, t) \) given by Theorem 1 exists, for any \( t \in [0, T) \), one has
\[
\left\| u \right\|_{H^4}^2 = \exp \left\{ -2 \lambda t \right\} \left\| u_0 \right\|_{H^4}^2,
\]
(15)

where the norm is defined as
\[
\left\| u \right\|_{H^4}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( u^2 + \alpha^2 u_x^2 \right) dx.
\]
(16)

**Proof.** Multiplying both sides of (10) by \( u \) and integrating by parts on \( \mathbb{R} \), we get
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} uu_t dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (yu)_x u dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{1}{2} u_x^2 \right)_x u dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} c_0 y_x u dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda y u dx = 0.
\]
(17)

Note that
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} (yu)_x u dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{1}{2} u_x^2 \right)_x u dx = 0,
\]
(18)

\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} c_0 y_x u dx = 0. \]

Then, we have
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} u \left( u_t - \alpha^2 u_{xx} \right) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda \left( u^2 - \alpha^2 u_{xx} \right) dx = 0.
\]
(19)

Hence,
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} uu_t dx - \alpha^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} uu_{xx} dx + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^2 dx - \lambda \alpha^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} uu_{xx} dx = 0.
\]
(20)

Thus, we easily get
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( uu_t + \alpha^2 u_x u_{xx} \right) dx + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( u^2 + \alpha^2 u_{xx}^2 \right) dx = 0,
\]
(21)

and, therefore,
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left\| u \right\|_{H^4}^2 + 2 \lambda \left\| u \right\|_{L^2}^2 = 0.
\]
(22)

By integration from 0 to \( t \), we get
\[
\left\| u \right\|_{H^4}^2 = \exp \left\{ -2 \lambda t \right\} \left\| u_0 \right\|_{H^4}^2, \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, T).
\]
(23)

Hence, the lemma is proved. \( \square \)

We also need to introduce the standard particle trajectory method for later use. Consider now the following initial value problem as follows:
\[
q_t = u(t, q(t)) + c_0, \quad t \in [0, T),
\]
\[
q(0, x) = x, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},
\]
(24)

where \( u \in C^4([0, T], H^s) \) is the solution to (6) with initial data \( u_0 \in H^s \), \( s > 3/2 \) and \( T > 0 \) is the maximal time of existence. By direct computation, we have
\[
q_x(t, x) = u_x(t, q(t, x)) q_x(t, x).
\]
(25)

Then,
\[
q_x(t, x) = \exp \left( \int_0^t \left( u_x(r, q(r, x)) \right) dr \right) > 0, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},
\]
(26)

which means that \( q(t, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is a diffeomorphism of the line for every \( t \in [0, T) \). Consequently, the \( L^{\infty} \)-norm of any function \( v(t, \cdot) \) is preserved under the family of the diffeomorphism \( q(t, \cdot) \), that is,
\[
\left\| v(t, \cdot) \right\|_{L^{\infty}} = \left\| v(t, q(t, \cdot)) \right\|_{L^{\infty}}, \quad t \in [0, T).
\]
(27)

Similarly,
\[
\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} v(t, x) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} v(t, q(t, x)), \quad t \in [0, T),
\]
(28)

\[
\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} v(t, x) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} v(t, q(t, x)), \quad t \in [0, T).
\]

Moreover, one can verify the following important identity for the strong solution in its lifespan:
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( y(q(x, t), t) q_x^2(x, t) \right) = -\lambda y(q(x, t), t) q_x^2(x, t).
\]
(29)

We get that
\[
y(q(x, t), t) q_x^2(x, t) = y_0(x) \exp (-\lambda t),
\]
(30)

where \( y(x, t) \) is defined by \( y(x, t) = (1 - \alpha^2 x^2) u(x, t) \), for \( t \geq 0 \) in its lifespan.
From the expression of $u(x,t)$ in terms of $y(x,t)$, for all $t \in [0,T)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we can rewrite $u(x,t)$ and $u_x(x,t)$ as follows:

$$u(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\alpha} e^{-x/\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{\xi/\alpha} y(\xi,t) \, d\xi + \frac{1}{2\alpha} e^{x/\alpha} \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-\xi/\alpha} y(\xi,t) \, d\xi,$$

(31)

from which we get that

$$u_x(x,t) = -\frac{1}{2\alpha^2} e^{-x/\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{\xi/\alpha} y(\xi,t) \, d\xi + \frac{1}{2\alpha^2} e^{x/\alpha} \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-\xi/\alpha} y(\xi,t) \, d\xi.$$

(32)

### 3. Global Existence

It is shown that it is the sign of initial potential not the size of it that can guarantee the global existence of strong solutions.

**Theorem 4.** Assume that $u_0 \in H^s$, $s > 3/2$, and $y_0 = u_0 - \alpha^2 u_{0xx}$ satisfies

$$y_0(x) \leq 0, \quad x \in (-\infty, x_0),$$

$$y_0(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in (x_0, \infty),$$

(33)

for some point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, the solution $u(x,t)$ to (6) exists globally in time.

**Proof.** From the hypothesis and (30), we obtain that $y(x,t) \geq 0$, $q(x_0,t) \leq x < \infty$; $y(x,t) \leq 0$, $-\infty < x \leq q(x_0,t)$. According to (31) and (32), one can get that when $x > x_0$,

$$u(q(x,t),t) + \alpha u_x(q(x,t),t)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha} e^{q(x,t)/\alpha} \int_{q(x)}^{\infty} e^{-\xi/\alpha} y(\xi,t) \, d\xi \geq 0,$$

(34)

it follows that

$$-\alpha u_x(q(x,t),t) \leq u(q(x,t),t) \leq \|u\|_{L^\infty},$$

$$\leq \frac{\exp(-\lambda t)}{\sqrt{2\alpha}} \|u_0\|_{H^1} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\alpha}} \|u_0\|_{H^1},$$

(35)

that is, $u_x(x,t)$ is bounded below. Similarly, when $x < x_0$,

$$u(q(x,t),t) - \alpha u_x(q(x,t),t)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha} e^{-q(x,t)/\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{q(x)} e^{\xi/\alpha} y(\xi,t) \, d\xi \leq 0,$$

(36)

so $-\alpha u_x(q(x,t),t) \leq -u(q(x,t),t)$. We also get the bounded below result as above. Therefore, the theorem is proved by Lemma 2.

**Corollary 5.** Assume that $u_0 \in H^s$, $s > 3/2$, and $y_0 = u_0 - \alpha^2 u_{0xx}$ is of one sign, then the corresponding solution $u(x,t)$ to (6) exists globally.

In fact, if $x_0$ is regarded as $\pm \infty$, we prove this corollary immediately from Theorem 4.

### 4. Persistence Properties

In this section, we will investigate the following property for the strong solutions to (6) in $L^\infty$-space which behave algebraically at infinity as their initial profiles do. The main idea comes from the recent work of Himonas and his collaborators [7].

**Theorem 6.** Assume that for some $T > 0$ and $s > 3/2$, $u \in C([0,T]; H^s)$ is a strong solution of the initial value problem associated to (6), and that $u_0(x) = u(x,0)$ satisfies

$$|u_0(x)|, \quad |u_{0x}(x)| \sim O(x^{-\theta/\alpha}) \quad x \uparrow \infty,$$

(37)

for some $\theta \in (0,1)$ and $\alpha \geq 1$. Then,

$$|u(x,t)|, \quad |u_x(x,t)| \sim O(x^{-\theta/\alpha}) \quad x \uparrow \infty,$$

(38)

uniformly in the time interval $[0,T]$.

**Proof.** The proof is organized as follows. Firstly, we will estimate the third term in (39) and the estimates of these second term is

$$\int_{J_R} u^{2n-1} \partial_x^2 G \ast F \ast u \, dx = -\lambda \int_{J_R} u^{2n} \, dx.$$

The first term of the above identity is

$$\int_{J_R} u^{2n-1} \, dx = \frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|^n_{L^{2n}}$$

(40)

and the estimates of the second term is

$$\int_{J_R} u^{2n-1} u_x \, dx \leq \|u_x(t)\|_{L^{2n}} \|u(t)\|^n_{L^{2n}},$$

(41)

In view of Hölder’s inequality, we can obtain the following estimate for the third term in (39)

$$\left| \int_{J_R} u^{2n-1} \partial_x^2 G \ast F \ast u \, dx \right| \leq \|u(t)\|^{2n-1}_{L^{2n}} \|\partial_x^2 G \ast F \ast u\|_{L^{2n}}.$$

(42)

For the last term

$$\left| \int_{J_R} u^{2n-1} \lambda u \, dx \right| \leq \lambda \|u(t)\|^n_{L^{2n}},$$

(43)
putting all the inequalities above into (39) yields
\[
\frac{d}{dt}\|u(t)\|_{L^{2n}} \leq \left(\|u_x(t)\|_{L^{2n}} + \lambda\right)\|u(t)\|_{L^{2n}} + \|\partial^2_x G * F(u)\|_{L^{2n}}.
\]
(44)
Using the Sobolev embedding theorem, there exists a constant
\[
M = \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|u(x,t)\|_{H^1},
\]
(45)
such that we have by applying Gronwall's inequality
\[
\|u(t)\|_{L^{2n}} \leq ce^{Mt}\left(\|u(0)\|_{L^{2n}} + \int_0^t \|\partial^2_x G * F(u)\|_{L^{2n}} d\tau\right).
\]
(46)
For any \(f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\), we know that
\[
\lim_{\|f\|_{L^{\infty}} \to \infty} \|f\|_{L^{2n}} = 0.
\]
Taking the limits in (46) (notice that \(G \in L^1 \) and \(F(u) \in L^1 \cap L^{\infty}\) from (47), we get
\[
\|u(t)\|_{L^{2n}} \leq ce^{Mt}\left(\|u(0)\|_{L^{2n}} + \int_0^t \|\partial^2_x G * F(u)\|_{L^{2n}} d\tau\right).
\]
(48)
Then, differentiating (6) with respect to variable \(x\) produces the following equation:
\[
u_{xt} + u u_{xx} + c_0 u_{xx} + u_x^2 + \partial^2_x G * F(u) + \lambda u_x = 0.
\]
(49)
Again, multiplying (49) by \(u_{x}^{2n-1}\) with \(n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\), integrating the result in \(x\) variable, and considering the second term and the third term in the above identity with integration by parts, one gets
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} u u_{xx} u_x^{2n-1} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{u_{x}^{2n-1}}{2n}\right) dx = -\frac{1}{2n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_x u_x^{2n} dx,
\]
(50)
\[
c_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}} u u_{xx} u_x^{2n-1} dx = c_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{u_{x}^{2n-1}}{2n}\right) dx = 0,
\]
so, we have
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} u u_{xx} u_x^{2n-1} dx = -\frac{1}{2n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u u_x^{2n} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} u u_x^{2n+1} dx
\]
(51)
Similarly, the following inequality holds
\[
\frac{d}{dt}\|u_x(t)\|_{L^{2n}} \leq (2\|u_x(t)\|_{L^{2n}} + \lambda)\|u_x(t)\|_{L^{2n}} + \|\partial^2_x G * F(u) (t)\|_{L^{2n}},
\]
(52)
and therefore as before, we obtain
\[
\|u_x(t)\|_{L^{2n}} \leq ce^{2Mt}\left(\|u(0)\|_{L^{2n}} + \int_0^t \|\partial^2_x G * F(u)\|_{L^{2n}} d\tau\right).
\]
(53)
Taking the limits in (53), we obtain
\[
\|u_x(t)\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq ce^{2Mt}\left(\|u(0)\|_{L^{\infty}} + \int_0^t \|\partial^2_x G * F(u)\|_{L^{\infty}} d\tau\right).
\]
(54)
Next, we will introduce the weight function to get our desired result. This function \(\varphi_N(x)\) with \(N \in \mathbb{Z}^+\) is independent of \(t\) as the following:
\[
\varphi_N(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \leq 1, \\ \chi^{\theta/x}, & x \in (1, N), \\ N^{\theta/x}, & x \geq N. \end{cases}
\]
(55)
From (6) and (49), we get the following two equations:
\[
\varphi_N u_t + \varphi_N uu_x + \varphi_N c_0 u_x + \varphi_N \partial^2_x G * F(u) + \lambda \varphi_N u_x = 0,
\]
\[
\varphi_N u_{xx} + \varphi_N u u_{xx} + \varphi_N c_1 u_{xx} + \varphi_N u_x^2 + \varphi_N \partial^2_x G * F(u) + \lambda \varphi_N u_x = 0.
\]
(56)
We need some tricks to deal with the following term as in [18]:
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\varphi_N\right)^{2n-1} u^{2n-1} \varphi_N u_x dx
\]
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\varphi_N u\right)^{2n-1} \left(\varphi_N u_x\right) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\varphi_N u\right)^{2n-1} \left(\varphi_N \varphi_N u_x\right) dx
\]
\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\varphi_N u\right)^{2n-1} \left(\varphi_N u_x\right) dx
\]
\[
\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\varphi_N u\right)^{2n} dx,
\]
(57)
where we have used the fact \(0 \leq \varphi_N(x) \leq \varphi_N(x)\), a.e. \(x \in \mathbb{R}\). Similar technique is used for the term \(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\varphi_N\right)^{2n} u^{2n} \varphi_N u_x dx\). Hence, as in the weightless case, we get the following inequality in view of (48) and (54) as follows:
\[
\|u(t)\|_{L^{\infty}} + \|u_x(t)\|_{L^{\infty}}
\]
\[
\leq ce^{2Mt}\left(\|u(0)\|_{L^{\infty}} + \|u_x(0)\|_{L^{\infty}}\right) + ce^{2Mt}
\]
\[
\times \left(\int_0^t \left\|\varphi_N \partial^2_x G * F(u)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} + \left\|\varphi_N \partial^2_x G * F(u)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} d\tau\right).
\]
(58)
On the other hand, a simple calculation shows that there exists \(C > 0\), depending only on \(\alpha\) and \(\theta\) such that for any \(N \in \mathbb{Z}^+\),
\[
\varphi_N(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|x-y|/\alpha} \frac{1}{\varphi_N(y)} dy \leq C.
\]
(59)
Therefore, for any appropriate function \( g \), one obtains that
\[
\left| \varphi_N \partial_x G \ast g^2 (x) \right| = \left| \frac{1}{2\alpha} \varphi_N (x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|x-y|/\alpha} g^2 (y) \, dy \right| \\
\leq \frac{1}{2\alpha} \varphi_N (x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|x-y|/\alpha} \frac{1}{\varphi_N (y)} \varphi_N (y) g (y) g (y) \, dy \\
\leq \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left( \varphi_N (x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|x-y|/\alpha} \frac{1}{\varphi_N (y)} \, dy \right) \| g \varphi_N \|_{L^\infty} \| g \|_{L^\infty} \\
\leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \| g \varphi_N \|_{L^\infty} \| g \|_{L^\infty},
\]
and similarly, \( |\varphi_N \partial_x^2 G \ast g^2 (x)| \leq (C/\alpha) \| g \varphi_N \|_{L^\infty} \| g \|_{L^\infty} \). Using the same method, we can estimate the following two terms
\[
\left| \varphi_N G \ast g (x) \right| \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \| g \varphi_N \|_{L^\infty},
\]
\[
\left| \varphi_N \partial_x G \ast g (x) \right| \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \| g \varphi_N \|_{L^\infty}.
\]
Therefore, it follows that there exists a constant \( C_1 (M, T, \alpha, \lambda) > 0 \) such that
\[
\left\| u (t) \varphi_N \right\|_{L^\infty} + \| u_x (t) \varphi_N \|_{L^\infty} \\
\leq C_1 \left( \left\| u (0) \varphi_N \right\|_{L^\infty} + \| u_x (0) \varphi_N \|_{L^\infty} \right) \\
+ C_1 \int_0^t \left( \| u (\tau) \varphi_N \|_{L^\infty} + \| u_x (\tau) \varphi_N \|_{L^\infty} \right) \cdot \left( \| \varphi_N u (\tau) \|_{L^\infty} + \| \varphi_N u_x (\tau) \|_{L^\infty} \right) \, d\tau \\
\leq C_1 \left( \left\| u (0) \varphi_N \right\|_{L^\infty} + \| u_x (0) \varphi_N \|_{L^\infty} \right) \\
+ \int_0^t \left( \| \varphi_N u (\tau) \|_{L^\infty} + \| \varphi_N u_x (\tau) \|_{L^\infty} \right) \, d\tau.
\]
(60)

Hence, the following inequality is obtained for any \( N \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \) and any \( t \in [0, T] \):
\[
\left\| u (t) \varphi_N \right\|_{L^\infty} + \| u_x (t) \varphi_N \|_{L^\infty} \\
\leq C_1 \left( \left\| u (0) \varphi_N \right\|_{L^\infty} + \| u_x (0) \varphi_N \|_{L^\infty} \right) \\
\leq C_1 \left( \left\| u (0) \max \left( 1, x^{3/2} \alpha / \alpha \right) \right\|_{L^\infty} \\
+ \| u_x (0) \max \left( 1, x^{3/2} \alpha / \alpha \right) \|_{L^\infty} \right) .
\]
(63)

Finally, taking the limit as \( N \) goes to infinity in the above inequality, we can find that for any \( t \in [0, T] \),
\[
\left( \left\| u (x, t) x^{3/2} \alpha / \alpha \right\| + \| u_x (x, t) x^{3/2} \alpha / \alpha \right\|) \\
\leq C_1 \left( \left\| u (0) \max \left( 1, x^{3/2} \alpha / \alpha \right) \right\|_{L^\infty} \\
+ \| u_x (0) \max \left( 1, x^{3/2} \alpha / \alpha \right) \|_{L^\infty} \right) ,
\]
(64)

which completes the proof of Theorem 6.

5. Asymptotic Description

The following result is to give a detailed description on the corresponding strong solution \( u(x, t) \) in its lifespan with \( u_0(x) \) being compactly supported.

**Theorem 7.** Assume that the initial datum \( 0 \neq u_0(x) \in H^s \) with \( s > 5/2 \) is compactly supported in \([a, c]\), then the corresponding solution \( u(x, t) \in C([0, T); H^s) \) to (6) has the following property: for any \( t \in (0, T) \),
\[
u (x, t) = L(t) e^{-x/\alpha} \quad \text{as} \quad x > q(c, t),
\]
(65)
\[
u (x, t) = l(t) e^{x/\alpha} \quad \text{as} \quad x < q(a, t),
\]
where \( q(x, t) \) is defined by (24) and \( T \) is its lifespan. Furthermore, \( L(t) \) and \( l(t) \) denote continuous nonvanishing functions, with \( L(t) > 0 \) and \( l(t) < 0 \) for \( t \in (0, T) \). Moreover, \( L(t) \) is a strictly increasing function, while \( l(t) \) is strictly decreasing.

**Remark 8.** This is an interesting phenomenon for our model; it implies that the strong solution does not have compact support for any \( t > 0 \) in its lifespan anymore, although the corresponding \( u_0(x) \) is compactly supported. No matter that the initial profile \( u_0(x) \) is (no matter it is positive or negative), for any \( t > 0 \) in its lifespan, the nontrivial solution \( u(x, t) \) is always positive at infinity and negative at negative infinity. Moreover, we found that the dissipative coefficient does not affect this behavior.

**Proof.** First, since \( u_0(x) \) has a compact support, so does \( y_0(x) = (1 - \alpha x^2 \partial_x^2) u_0(x) \). Equation (30) tells us that \( y = (1 - \alpha \partial_x^2) u(x, t) = (1 - \alpha \partial_x^2) u_0(q^{-1}(x, t)) \exp(-\lambda t)) / (\partial_x q^{-1}(x, t), t)^2 \) is compactly supported in \([q(a, t), q(c, t)]\) in its lifespan. Hence, the following functions are well defined
\[
E (t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{L/\alpha} y (\xi, t) \, d\xi,
\]
(66)
\[
F (t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{L/\alpha} y (\xi, t) \, d\xi,
\]
and
\[
E (0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{L/\alpha} y_0 (\xi) \, d\xi
\]
(67)
\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{L/\alpha} y_0 (\xi) \, d\xi - \alpha^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{L/\alpha} u_{0xx} (\xi) \, d\xi = 0.
\]
(67)

And \( F(0) = 0 \) by integration by parts.

Then, for \( x > q(c, t) \), we have
\[
u (x, t) = \frac{1}{2\alpha} e^{-|x|/\alpha} * y (x, t)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2\alpha} e^{-|x|/\alpha} \int_{q(a, t)}^{q(c, t)} e^{L/\alpha} y (\xi, t) \, d\xi = \frac{1}{2\alpha} e^{-x/\alpha} E (t),
\]
(68)

where (66) is used.
Similarly, when \( x < q(a, t) \), we get
\[
 u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\alpha} e^{-|x|/\alpha} y(\xi, t) = \frac{1}{2\alpha} e^{x/\alpha} F(t). \tag{69}
\]

Because \( y(x, t) \) has a compact support in \( x \) in the interval \([q(a, t), q(c, t)]\) for any \( t \in [0, T] \), we get \( y(x, t) = u(x, t) - \alpha^2 u_{xx}(x, t) = 0 \), for \( x > q(c, t) \) or \( x < q(a, t) \). Hence, as consequences of (68) and (69), we have
\[
 u(x, t) = -\alpha u_x(x, t) = \alpha^2 u_{xx}(x, t)
\]
\[
 = \frac{1}{2\alpha} e^{-x/\alpha} E(t), \quad \text{as } x > q(c, t), \tag{70}
\]
\[
 u(x, t) = \alpha u_x(x, t) = \alpha^2 u_{xx}(x, t)
\]
\[
 = \frac{1}{2\alpha} e^{x/\alpha} F(t), \quad \text{as } x < q(a, t).
\]

On the other hand,
\[
 \frac{dE(t)}{dt} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\xi/\alpha} y(t, \xi) \, dx. \tag{71}
\]

Substituting the identity (10) into \( dE(t)/dt \), we obtain
\[
 \frac{dE(t)}{dt} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\xi/\alpha} \left[ (yu)_x + \frac{1}{2}(u^2 - \alpha^2 u_{xx}^2)_x + c_0 y_x + \lambda y \right] d\xi
\]
\[
 = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\xi/\alpha} y u \, dx + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\xi/\alpha} \left( u^2 - \alpha^2 u_{xx}^2 \right) d\xi
\]
\[
 + \frac{c_0}{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\xi/\alpha} y \, dx + \alpha^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\xi/\alpha} \lambda u_{xx} \, dx
\]
\[
 - \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\xi/\alpha} \lambda u \, d\xi = \frac{3}{2\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\xi/\alpha} u_x^2 \, dx + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\xi/\alpha} \alpha^2 u_{xx}^2 \, d\xi
\]
\[
 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\xi/\alpha} u_{xx} \, d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\xi/\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} u_x^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} u_{xx}^2 \right) d\xi > 0, \tag{72}
\]

where we used (70). Therefore, in the lifespan of the solution, we have that \( E(t) \) is an increasing function with \( E(0) = 0 \); thus, it follows that \( E(t) > 0 \) for \( t \in (0, T] \); that is,
\[
 E(t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\xi/\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} u_x^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} u_{xx}^2 \right) (\xi, \tau) \, d\xi \, d\tau > 0. \tag{73}
\]

By similar argument, one can verify that the following identity for \( F(t) \) is true:
\[
 F(t) = -\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\xi/\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} u_x^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} u_{xx}^2 \right) (\xi, \tau) \, d\xi \, d\tau < 0. \tag{74}
\]

In order to finish the proof, it is sufficient to let \( L(t) = (1/2\alpha)E(t) \), and to let \( I(t) = (1/2\alpha)F(t) \), respectively.


