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MicroRNAs are currently considered as key regulators of a wide variety of biological pathways and regulate many processes of life and obtained more and more attention in recent years. In this paper, we investigate the dynamics of gene network regulated by miR-34a (microRNA) involved in triple negative feedback loop. As we know that the p53 network involves cancer, How the cancer arise is unclear. We investigate this negative feedback network by using mathematical model and drive the theoretical results of globally asymptotic stability and provide the sufficient conditions for the periodic oscillation. These results are propitious to understand how p53 network involved in miR-34a induces the cancer.

1. Introduction

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are a family of small regulatory RNAs whose function is to regulate the activity and stability of specific mRNA targets through posttranscriptional regulatory mechanism and play a role in repressing translation of mRNA or degrading mRNAs [1–9]. Recent studies show that microRNAs play a central role in many biological (cellular) processes, including developmental timing, cell proliferation, apoptosis, metabolism, cell differentiation, somitogenesis and tumour genesis [1]. In this paper, we focus on miR-34a which may behave as inhibitor depending on biological context. Resecting normal tumor tissues of 25 human hepatocellular carcinoma patients demonstrated an inverse correlation between miR-34a and c-Met-protein [2]. MiR-34a is proposed as a marker for the activity of the p53 pathway in chronic lymphocytic leukemia [3] (Table 1). The induction of miR-34a was most pronounced among all differential regulations. Also expression of the primary miR-34a transcript was induced after p53 activation [4]. MiR-34a may play a cytoprotective role in cell survival [5]. In U87 cell, miR-34a plays a negative role in the regulation of Dll1 target gene through downregulating Dll1 protein but not mRNA [6].

Expression of miR-34a is induced which may mediate the target gene and result in the cells arresting in G1 and G2 phase to repair DNA [7].

In order to understand further the miR-34a involved in the network with p53 and Sirt1, we have planned to model this network with mathematical model. It is well known that the time delay is quite ubiquitous in nature, so we also investigate the relationship between the time delay and the network with miR-34a, p53, and Sirt1. We know that the delay is often caused by a finite signal transmission speed and memory effects, so the time delay can sometimes destabilize the stable unique equilibrium. If the time delay reaches a threshold value, the system will generate the phenomenon with self-oscillation. In nature, the oscillation often occurs in physiological regulatory systems with time delay which can induce the complex behaviors.

In recent years, many scientists deemed that mathematical modeling could be used to investigate the differences at the dynamical level between healthy and pathologic configurations of biological pathways [10]. By using the mathematical model, the researchers can detect the key points regulating main properties of biological system and find the methods to solve the different diseases.
Table 1: Notation for species concentrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Notation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mdm2</td>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>Murine double minute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p53</td>
<td>$x_2$</td>
<td>Deacetylated (inactive) p53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p53*</td>
<td>$x_3$</td>
<td>Acetylated (active) p53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-34a</td>
<td>$x_4$</td>
<td>MicroRNA-34a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sirt1</td>
<td>$x_5$</td>
<td>Silent information regulator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBC1</td>
<td>$x_6$</td>
<td>Deleted gene in breast cancer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this paper, we will investigate the dynamics of the gene network composed of miR-34a, p53, and Sirt1 and reveal how the dynamics of microRNA regulation is affected by time delay associated with translation degradation of mRNA. In Section 2, we give the gene network represented by mathematical model and some theoretical results. In Section 3, we show the numerical analysis of the network. Finally, we summarize the results.

2. Gene Regulatory Network Mediated by MicroRNAs

2.1. A Simple Mathematical Model of Gene Regulation with Time Delay. In [11], a mathematical description of miRNA regulation process is presented. Figure 1 shows the relationship among the related factors comprised in this model [11]. Using the network in Figure 1, Lai et al. [11] gave the ODE model and investigated different possible designs of the silencing mechanism exerted by miR-34a on Sirt1. The network is composed of four main parts: (1) the activation of p53 in response to DNA damage-mediated signals; (2) the positive feedback loop integrated by p53, miR-34a, and Sirt1; (3) the DNA damage induced enhancement in DBC1 activity and its inhibitory effect on Sirt1; and (4) the negative feedback loop between Mdm2 and active p53. And the variables are as follows: expression level of the p53-inhibitor MDM2, fraction of nonactive (deacetylated) p53, fraction of active (acetylated) p53 (p53*), expression level of the miR-34a, expression level of the p53 acetylation inhibitor Sirt1, and expression level of the Sirt1-activity inhibitor DBC1. The dynamics of the network is described by the following system:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dx_1}{dt} &= k_{11} + k_{12}x_3(t - \tau_1) - k_{13}x_1, \\
\frac{dx_2}{dt} &= k_{21} + k_{22} + \frac{k_{31}x_3x_5}{x_6} + k_{24}x_4x_2 - k_{24}x_4x_2m, \\
\frac{dx_3}{dt} &= k_{32}x_2 - \frac{k_{31}x_3x_5}{x_6} - k_{24}x_4x_3, \\
\frac{dx_4}{dt} &= k_{41} + k_{42}x_3(t - \tau_2) - k_{43}x_4, \\
\frac{dx_5}{dt} &= \frac{k_{51}}{x_4(t - \tau_5)} - k_{52}x_5, \\
\frac{dx_6}{dt} &= k_{61} + k_{62} - k_{63}x_6.
\end{align*}
\]

Assume that the activation rates are $k_{12}$, $k_{22}$, $k_{42}$, and $k_{62}$ for Mdm2, p53, miR-34a, and DBC1, the degradation rates are $k_{13}$, $k_{24}$, $k_{43}$, and $k_{63}$ for Mdm2, p53, miR-34a, Sirt1, and DBC1, the synthesis rates are $k_{11}$, $k_{21}$, $k_{41}$, $k_{51}$, and $k_{61}$ for Mdm2, p53, miR-34a, Sirt1, and DBC1, and the translational repression rates are $k_{31}$, $k_{23}$ for p53* and p53. In addition, the inhibition rate is $k$.

2.2. Oscillation Induced by Time Delay. In this subsection, we consider the system (1) with $(x_{10}, x_{20}, x_{30}, x_{40}, x_{50}, x_{60})$ as state variables. Based on Hopf bifurcation theory, we investigate the stability of the time delay. Then the linearized system of (1) at equilibrium $(x_{10}, x_{20}, x_{30}, x_{40}, x_{50}, x_{60})$ is as follows:

\[
\frac{dx}{dt} = A_0x + B_0x(t - \tau), \quad x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6)^T,
\]

where

\[
A_0 = \begin{pmatrix}
-k_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-k_{21}x_{20} & -k_{23} - k_{24}x_{10} & k_{31}x_{30} & \frac{k_{31}x_{30}}{x_{60}} & 0 & 0 \\
-k_{24}x_{30} & k_{23} & k_{31}x_{30} - k_{24}x_{10} & 0 & -\frac{k_{31}x_{30}}{x_{60}} & k_{31}x_{30}x_{50} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -k_{43} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -k_{52} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -k_{63}
\end{pmatrix}
\]
\[ B_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & k_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & - \frac{k_{33}}{x_{50}^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \]  

Then we can obtain the characteristic equation of (1) at the equilibrium \((x_{10}, x_{20}, x_{30}, x_{40}, x_{50}, x_{60})\) as follows:

\[ |\lambda I - A_0 - B_0 e^{-\lambda \tau_i}| = 0, \tag{4} \]

where \(I\) is the 6 \(\times\) 6 identity matrix, and the characteristic equation (4) has the following form:

\[
(\lambda - s) \left[ \lambda^5 - HA_3 - LA_3 - M\lambda^3 - N\lambda - P \right. \\
\left. - \left( A\lambda^3 + B\lambda^2 + CL + D \right) e^{-\lambda \tau_i} \right. \\
\left. - \left( E\lambda^2 + F\lambda + G \right) e^{-\lambda \tau_i} \right] = 0, 
\]

where \(A = hb, B = cjb - hbp - hbd - hbr, C = hbdp - hbr - hbp - cjbcbp, D = cjbpr - hbdpr, \tau_2 = \tau_3 + \tau_4, E = nql, F = -dnql + jnqf - anql, G = -ajnqf + adnq, H = -w - d - p - a - r, L = ap + wp + pr + aw + wr - jt + dr + ar + dp + dw + ad, M = ajt - wpr + jtp - adw - jtr - apr - dfr - drw - adr - awr, N = adwp - ajtp + dwpr - ajt + drw + awpr - jtr + apr, \)

\[
P = ajtr - adwp, a = -k_{13}, b = k_{12}, c = -k_{34}x_{20}, d = -kk_{23} - k_{34}x_{10}, \tau = k_{31}x_{50}/x_{60}, f = k_{31}x_{30}/x_{60}, g = -k_{31}x_{30}x_{50}/x_{60}^2, h = -k_{24}x_{50}/x_{60}, j = kk_{23}, w = -(k_{31}x_{50}/x_{60}) - k_{24}x_{10}, l = -k_{31}x_{30}/x_{60}, m = k_{31}x_{30}x_{50}/x_{60}^2, p = -k_{43}, q = -k_{42}, \text{ and } q = -k_{51}/x_{60}. \]

In order to simplify the equation, we can suppose \(s < 0\), and we only consider

\[
\lambda_5 - HA_3 - LA_3 - M\lambda_2 - N\lambda - P - \left( A\lambda_3 + B\lambda_2 + CL + D \right) e^{-\lambda \tau_i} - \left( E\lambda_2 + F\lambda + G \right) e^{-\lambda \tau_i} = 0. \tag{5} \]

If we assume that \(\tau_1 = \tau_4 = \tau, \) we will have

\[ \lambda_5 - HA_3 - LA_3 - M\lambda_2 - N\lambda - P - \left( A\lambda_3 + (B + E)\lambda_2 + (C + F)\lambda + D + G \right) e^{-\lambda \tau} = 0. \tag{7} \]

Considering the transcendental equation (7), clearly \(i\omega (\omega > 0)\) is the root of (7) if and only if

\[
H\omega^4 - M\omega^2 + P + i \left( \omega^5 + L\omega^3 - N\omega \right) \\
= A\omega^3 \sin(\omega \tau) + B\omega^2 \cos(\omega \tau) + E\omega^2 \cos(\omega \tau) \\
- C\omega \sin(\omega \tau) - F\omega \sin(\omega \tau) - D \cos(\omega \tau) - G \cos(\omega \tau) \\
+ i \left( -A\omega^3 + B\omega^2 \sin(\omega \tau) + E\omega^2 \sin(\omega \tau) + C\omega \cos(\omega \tau) \\
+ F\omega \cos(\omega \tau) - D \sin(\omega \tau) - G \sin(\omega \tau) \right). \tag{8} \]

Now separating the real and imaginary parts, we have

\[
H\omega^4 - M\omega^2 + P \\
= A\omega^3 \sin(\omega \tau) + B\omega^2 \cos(\omega \tau) + E\omega^2 \cos(\omega \tau) \\
- C\omega \sin(\omega \tau) - F\omega \sin(\omega \tau) - D \cos(\omega \tau) - G \cos(\omega \tau), \\
\omega^5 + L\omega^3 - N\omega \\
= -A\omega^3 + B\omega^2 \sin(\omega \tau) + E\omega^2 \sin(\omega \tau) + C\omega \cos(\omega \tau), \\
+ F\omega \cos(\omega \tau) - D \sin(\omega \tau) - G \sin(\omega \tau). \tag{9} \]

Adding up the squares of both equations above, we obtain

\[
\omega^{10} + \left( H^2 + 2L \right) \omega^8 + \left( -2N + L^2 - A^2 - 2HM \right) \omega^6 \\
+ \left( 2AC + 2AF + 2PH - 2BE - 2LN + M^2 - E^2 - B^2 \right) \omega^4 \\
+ \left( -2MP + 2EQ - 2FC + 2BQ - C^2 + N^2 \right) \omega^2 \\
+ P^2 - Q^2, \tag{10} \]

where \(Q = D + G. \) Let \(z = \omega^2, \) and then (10) becomes

\[ z^5 + A_1z^4 + A_2z^3 + A_3z^2 + A_4z + A_5 = 0. \tag{11} \]
Figure 1: Schematic diagram of the complex network which illustrates the mechanisms. (a) Original model and (b) abstract model.

Suppose that (13) has five positive roots, denoted by $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4$, and $z_5$, respectively. Hence, (10) has five positive roots, say $\omega_1 = \sqrt{\gamma_1}, \omega_2 = \sqrt{\gamma_2}, \omega_3 = \sqrt{\gamma_3}, \omega_4 = \sqrt{\gamma_4},$ and $\omega_5 = \sqrt{\gamma_5}$. From (9), we can get

$$t_i^* = \arccos \left( \frac{R + X}{Y + Z} \right),$$

where $R = BP\omega_k^2 + (BH + HE + C + F - AL)\omega_k^6 - A\omega_k^8 + PG + PD$, $X = (CL - BM + FL + AN - HD - HG - ME)\omega_k^4 + (PE + MG + MD - CN - FN), Y = (B^2 + E^2 + 2BE - 2AF - 2AC)\omega_k^4 + 2DG + A^2\omega_k^2$, $Z = (C^2 + F^2 - 2BD - 2BG - 2DE - 2GE + 2CF)\omega_k^4 + D^2 + G^2, k = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots.$

Define $t_0 = \min\{t_i^*\}$, and let $\lambda(\tau) = \eta(\tau) + i\omega(\tau)$ be the root of (7) satisfying $\eta(t_0) = 0, \omega(t_0) = 0$, and if $(\eta(\tau), \omega(\tau)) > 0$, then Hopf bifurcation occurs. From (7) when $\tau = 0$, we can obtain

$$\lambda^5 + B_1\lambda^4 + B_2\lambda^3 + B_3\lambda + B_4 = 0,$$

where $B_1 = -H, B_2 = -A - L, B_3 = -B - M - E, B_4C + F + N, B_5 = D + G + P$, and by the Routh-Hurwitz criterion, all roots of (15) have negative real parts if and only if $B_1 > 0, B_2 > 0, B_3B_4 + B_1B_5 > B_1^2B_4 + B_2^2$.

Lemma 4. Consider the exponential polynomial

$$P(\lambda, e^{-\lambda\tau_1}, \ldots, e^{-\lambda\tau_m})$$

where $\tau_i > 0 (i = 1, 2, \ldots, m)$ and $p_i(\lambda)$ is polynomial about $\lambda$. As $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_m)$ vary, the sum of the orders of the zeros of $P(\lambda, e^{-\lambda\tau_1}, \ldots, e^{-\lambda\tau_m})$ on the open right half plane can change only if a zero appears on or across the imaginary axis.

Then, we have the following theoretical results.

Theorem 5. Suppose that $B_1 > 0, B_5 > 0, B_1B_2 > B_3, B_1B_2B_3 + B_1B_5 > B_1^2B_4 + B_2^2$ is true.
(i) If $A_1 > 0, A_5 > 0$ and $A_1A_2 > A_3, A_1A_2A_3 + A_1A_5 > A_2A_3$, then all roots of (7) have negative real parts for all $\tau > 0$; thus, the steady state $(x_{10}, x_{20}, x_{30}, x_{40}, x_{50}, x_{60})$ of (7) is absolutely stable.

(ii) If $A_3 < 0$ or $A_5 > 0, z_{22} > 0, h(z_{22}) < 0$, then all the roots of (7) have negative real parts when $\tau \in (0, \tau_0)$; thus, the steady state of (7) is asymptotically stable.

(iii) If the condition of (ii) is satisfied, $\tau = \tau_0$, and $h'(z_0) \neq 0$, $z_0 = \omega_0^1$, then $\omega_0$ is a pair of simple purely imaginary roots of (13) and all other roots have negative real parts. Moreover, $(dy/d\tau)|_{\tau=\tau_0} > 0$, Thus, (7) exhibits the Hopf bifurcation at $(x_{10}, x_{20}, x_{30}, x_{40}, x_{50}, x_{60})$.

The another case we can discuss as above, and ignore it here.

3. Numerical Analysis

In this section, we present some numerical results of system (1) to verify the analytical predictions obtained in Section 2. Without loss of generality, if we take $k_{11} = 0.11, k_{12} = 1, k_{13} = 1, k_{21} = 1, k_{22} = 1, k_{23} = 1, k_{24} = 1, k_{31} = 1, k_{41} = 1, k_{42} = 1, k_{43} = 1, k_{51} = 1, k_{52} = 1, k_{61} = 1, k_{62} = 1, k_{63} = 1$, then the system (1) becomes

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dx_1}{dt} &= 0.11 + x_3 (t - \tau_1) - x_1, \\
\frac{dx_2}{dt} &= 2 + x_2 x_5 / x_6 - x_2 - x_1 x_2, \\
\frac{dx_3}{dt} &= x_2 - x_3 x_5 / x_6 - x_1 x_3, \\
\frac{dx_4}{dt} &= 1 + x_3 (t - \tau_2) - x_4, \\
\frac{dx_5}{dt} &= \frac{1}{x_4 (t - \tau_3)} - x_5, \\
\frac{dx_6}{dt} &= 2 - x_6,
\end{align*}
\]

which has a positive equilibrium $Z = (0.9969, 1.1192, 0.8869, 1.8869, 0.5260, 2)$ which satisfies the conditions indicated in Section 2. When $\tau_1 = \tau_2 + \tau_3 = 0$, $Z$ is asymptotically stable (see Figure 2).

When $\tau < \tau_0 = 4.9859$, the system (17) is illustrated by the computer simulations (see Figure 2). When $\tau$ passes through the critical value $\tau_0$, the positive equilibrium $Z$ loses its stability and the Hopf bifurcation occurs (see Figures 3 and 4).

Figure 3 shows the behaviors and phase portraits of system (17) with $\tau_1 = \tau_2 + \tau_3 = 2 < \tau_0$, and the positive equilibrium $Z$ is asymptotically stable.

Figure 4 shows the behaviors and phase portraits of system (17) with $\tau_1 = \tau_2 + \tau_3 = 8 > \tau_0$ and Hopf bifurcation occurs from the positive equilibrium $Z$ as showed in Figure 5, we can know that the expression of protein and the oscillation occurs when the time delay overpasses the critical points. Above the critical value of time delay, the expression of small RNA also oscillates periodically and provides the capabilities inside and outside cell to communicate.

In system (1), the time delay approximately represents transportation or diffusion process from nucleus to cytoplasm of mRNA and from cytoplasm to nucleus of protein, respectively. These delays play different roles in the dynamical behaviors of the system (1).
steady state is asymptotically stable; when $\tau > \tau_0$, there are periodical oscillations and the Hopf bifurcation appears.

From the above discussion, we understand how the microRNA regulate the negative feedback loop in cancer signalling network (Figure 1), so we can use these results to explain how the prostate cancer stem cells are regulated by miR-34 [12] and give a clear understanding on the possible reason of prostate cancer.

Finally, it is worth noting that microRNA-mediated regulation has gained recent attention, and computational studies have revealed various regulatory properties unique to microRNAs. These findings will be helpful for our understanding of the operating mechanisms and biological implications of microRNA-mediated regulation. They also have great potential for biotechnological and therapeutic applications and synthetic biology.

5. Conclusions

We analyzed a simple model of the interactions between miR-34a and target protein p53 and Sirt1 and others. Our goal is to explore the oscillatory dynamics and how the microRNAs repress its target protein. Finally, we derive explicit conditions on how the dynamics of a time delay model of the interaction between the microRNA (miR-34a) cluster and p53 and Sirt1 depends on system parameters. Our analysis reveals the complex behavior of the network and there is a limit cycle after a Hopf bifurcation for the time delay parameter and it shows that the analytical results agree with numerical simulations.
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