Bifurcation in a Discrete-Time Piecewise Constant Dynamical System
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The study of recurrent neural networks with piecewise constant transition or control functions has attracted much attention recently because they can be used to simulate many physical phenomena. A recurrent and discontinuous two-state dynamical system involving a nonnegative bifurcation parameter is studied. By elementary but novel arguments, we are able to give a complete analysis on its asymptotic behavior when the parameter varies from 0 to ∞. It is hoped that our analysis will provide motivation for further results on large-scale recurrent McCulloch-Pitts-type neural networks and piecewise continuous discrete-time dynamical systems.

1. Introduction

It is generally accepted that the McCulloch-Pitts model of a neural network can be used as the components of computer-like systems. That is, where neural networks are commonly used to learn something, a McCulloch-Pitts neuron is constructed to do a particular job. Although the same job can be done by means of traditional Boolean components, it is interesting to see how it all works using components which are closer to “biological” components. One particular important component can be described by means of the step (activation) function defined by

\[ f_\sigma (u) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u \leq \sigma \\ -1 & \text{if } u > \sigma \end{cases} \] (1)

with a nonnegative (threshold) real parameter \( \sigma \). Roughly, a neuron may receive an inhibitory (indicated by a \(-1\) sign) value if the input signal has strength exceeding the biological threshold \( \sigma \) and otherwise it remains intact with an excitatory (indicated by a \(+1\) sign) value.

Therefore, if we let \( x_n \) be the state value of a neural unit during the time period \( n \), then the following recurrence relation:

\[ x_n = F (f_\sigma (x_{n-1}), x_{n-2}) \] (2)

may be used to describe a one-neuron McCulloch-Pitts system where the state value is updated from the two most recent state values. There are now many studies that are concerned with such neural networks.

For a neural network system that contains two or more neural units, things are much more complicated, and a variety of models can be designed. In usual practice, we can build a mathematical model and use it to generate simulation results, and from these results, we may understand the general properties of our models. What is now more difficult but important is to make mathematical conclusions that may provide a full understanding of all the properties observed.

In this paper, we consider a two-neuron dynamical neural network system:

\[ \begin{align*}
  x_n &= ax_{n-2} + (1 - a) f_\sigma (y_{n-1}), \\
  y_n &= ay_{n-2} + (1 - a) f_\sigma (x_{n-1})
\end{align*} \] (3)

for \( n \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\} \), where \( a \in (0, 1) \), and \( f_\sigma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) is the function in. Note that if we make the change of variables

\[ \begin{align*}
  u_n &= \frac{1 - a}{b} x_n, \\
  v_n &= \frac{1 - a}{b} y_n
\end{align*} \] (4)
where $b$ is an arbitrary positive number, then (3) becomes the "more general" system:

$$u_n = au_{n-2} + b f_{b/(1-a)}(v_n)$$
$$v_n = av_{n-2} + b f_{b/(1-a)}(u_n),$$

and hence all properties of (5) can be inferred from those of (3).

In this paper, we are concerned with the asymptotic behavior of (3) when the nonnegative parameter $\sigma$ is treated as a bifurcation variable and allowed to vary from 0 to $\infty$ (cf. [1, 2]). What is interesting is that a complete asymptotic bifurcation analysis can be obtained. With such success, it is expected that more general discontinuous recurrent McCulloch-Pitts-type neural networks [3] can be dealt with to some extent in a similar manner.

To simplify matters, note that if we let $z = (x, y)$ and

$$F_\sigma(z) = \left(f_\sigma(y), f_\sigma(x)\right),$$

then the above system (3) can be written as

$$z_n = az_{n-2} + a'F_\sigma(z_{n-1}), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where we write $z_n = (x_n, y_n)$ and $a' = 1 - a$ for the sake of convenience. The above vector equation is a three-term recurrence relation. Hence for given $z_{-2}$ and $z_{-1}$ in the plane, a unique sequence $\{z_k\}_{k=-2}^{\infty}$ can be calculated from it. Such a sequence is called a solution of (7) determined by $z_{-2}$ and $z_{-1}$.

Depending on the locations of $z_{-2}$ and $z_{-1}$, it is clear that the behavior of the corresponding solution may differ. For this reason, it is convenient to distinguish various parts of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Before doing so, it is convenient to adopt several simplifying conventions. First, we set

$$R^- = \left(-\infty, \sigma\right], \quad R^+ = (\sigma, \infty).$$

Next, if $I$ and $J$ are real intervals, their cross-product $I \times J$ will be denoted by $IJ$ instead, and we will assume that this product receives the priority attention in a mathematical expression. By means of these conventions,

$$R_\sigma R_\sigma = (\sigma, \infty), \quad R_\sigma R_\sigma = (\sigma, \infty) \times (-\infty, \sigma],$$
$$R^- R_\sigma = (-\infty, \sigma), \quad R^- R_\sigma = (-\infty, \sigma] \times (\sigma, \infty).$$

Clearly, $\{R_\sigma R_\sigma, R_\sigma R_\sigma, R^- R_\sigma, R^- R_\sigma\}$ is a partition of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Other subsets of the plane will be introduced in the subsequent sections.

For solutions originated from $z_{-2}$ and $z_{-1}$ in the above subsets, we will show that they are all "asymptotically periodic." More precisely, we say that a positive integer $\eta$ is a period of a scalar or vector sequence $v = \{v_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ if $v_{n+\eta} = v_n$ for all $n \geq \alpha$ and that $r$ is the least or prime period of $\{v_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ if $r$ is the least among all periods of $\{v_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. The sequence $\{v_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is said to be $r$-periodic if $r$ is the least period of $\{v_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. The sequence $\{v_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is said to be asymptotically periodic if there exist real numbers $v^{(0)}, v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(\omega-1)}$, where $\omega$ is a positive integer such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} v_{n+\omega} = v^{(i)}, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, \omega - 1.$$

In case $\{v^{(0)}, v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(\omega-1)}\}$ is also an $\omega$-periodic sequence, we say that $v$ is an asymptotically $\omega$-periodic sequence tending to the limit $\omega$-cycle (this term is introduced since the underlying concept is similar to that of the limit cycle in the theory of ordinary differential equations) $\{v^{(0)}, v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(\omega-1)}\}$. For the sake of convenience, for such an asymptotically $\omega$-periodic sequence $v$, we write

$$v_n \to \left\langle v^{(0)}, v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(\omega-1)}\right\rangle.$$

Note that in case $v$ is asymptotically 1-periodic, then it is a sequence convergent to $v^{(0)}$ for some $v^{(0)}$. The converse is also true. In such a case, we simply write $v_n \to v^{(0)}$ instead of $v_n \to \left\langle v^{(0)}\right\rangle$.

Having these terminologies at hand, our main issue is to show that each solution of (3) originated from $\mathbb{R}^2$ is either asymptotically 1- or 2-periodic. Note, however, that since $f_\sigma$ is a discontinuous function, the standard theories that employ continuous arguments cannot be applied to yield asymptotic criteria. Fortunately, we may resort to elementary arguments as to be shown below.

Before doing so, let us make a few remarks. First note that our system (7) is autonomous (time invariant) and also symmetric in the sense that under two sets of "symmetric initial conditions," the behaviors of the corresponding solutions are also "symmetric." This statement can be made more precise in mathematical terms. However, a simple example is sufficient to illustrate this: if $\{z_1\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a solution of (3) with $z_{-2}, z_{-1} \in R_\sigma^2 \times R_\sigma^2$, then as will be seen below, $z_{2n} \to (1, 1)$ and $z_{2n+1} \to (-1, 1)$. If we now replace the condition $z_{-2}, z_{-1} \in R_\sigma^2 \times R_\sigma^2$ with the symmetric initial condition $(z_{-2}, z_{-1}) \in R_\sigma^2 \times R_\sigma^2$, then we will end up with the conclusion that $z_{2n} \to (1, 1)$ and $z_{2n+1} \to (1, -1)$. Such two conclusions will be referred to as dual results, and the principle of proof for either one can be applied to that of the other.

In the sequel, we will first distinguish three different cases (i) $0 \leq \sigma < 1$, (ii) $\sigma = 1$, and (iii) $\sigma > 1$ and then consider different $z_{-2}, z_{-1}$ in $R_\sigma^2 \times R_\sigma^2, R_\sigma^2, R^-_\sigma$, or $R^-_\sigma$ and the (asymptotic) behaviors of the corresponding solutions determined by them.

We will need the following simple but useful results. First, let $\{x_k\}_{k=-2}^{\infty}$ be real scalar (or vector) sequences that satisfy

$$x_{2k} = ax_{2k-2} + d, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$
$$x_{2k+1} = ax_{2k-1} + d, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $a \in (0, 1)$, and $d$ is a real number (resp., a real vector).

(i) If $\{x_k\}_{k=-2}^{\infty}$ is a sequence which satisfies (12), then

$$x_{2k} = a^{k+1} x_{-2} + \frac{(1 - a^{k+1})}{1 - a} d, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(ii) If $\{x_k\}_{k=-2}^{\infty}$ is a sequence which satisfies (13), then

$$x_{2k+1} = a^{k+1} x_{-1} + \frac{(1 - a^{k+1})}{1 - a} d, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$
Second, the function $F_\sigma$ in (6) satisfies
\[ F_\sigma (R^+_\sigma R^-_\sigma) = -\overline{k}, \quad F_\sigma (R^+_\sigma R^+_\sigma) = \overline{k}, \]
\[ F_\sigma (R^-_\sigma R^-_\sigma) = -\overline{1}, \quad F_\sigma (R^-_\sigma R^+_\sigma) = \overline{1}, \]
where $\overline{1} = (1, -1)$ and $\overline{k} = (1, 1)$.

Third, we need to consider various ordering arrangements for three nonnegative integers $k$, $p$, and $l$ or four nonnegative integers $k$, $p$, $l$, and $m$. First, the ordering arrangements of three integers $k$, $p$, and $l$ can be classified into 6 cases:
\[(A1) \quad k = p \leq l \quad (A2) \quad l = k < p \]
\[(A3) \quad p = l < k \quad (A4) \quad k < \min \{p, l\} \]
\[(A5) \quad p < \min \{k, l\} \quad (A6) \quad l < \min \{k, p\} .\]

Indeed, let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, since either $a < b$, $a = b$ or $a > b$, we see that
\[ a < b \implies c \in (-\infty, a), \]
\[ a = b \implies c \in (a, b), \quad c = b \quad \text{or} \quad c \in (b, \infty), \]
\[ a > b \implies c \in (-\infty, b), \]
\[ c = b, \quad c \in (b, a), \quad c = a \quad \text{or} \quad c \in (a, \infty). \]
These are equivalent to
\[ a = b \leq c, \quad c = a < b, \quad b = c < a, \]
\[ a < \min \{b, c\}, \quad b < \min \{a, c\}, \quad c < \min \{a, b\} , \]
(19)

by comparing the two sets of statements (18) and (19).

By similar reasoning, there are 12 ordering arrangements for four nonnegative integers $k$, $p$, $l$, and $m$:
\[(B1) \quad k = p \leq \min \{l, m\} \quad (B2) \quad k < \min \{l, p, m\} \]
\[(B3) \quad p < \min \{l, k, m\} \quad (B4) \quad p = l < \min \{k, m\} \]
\[(B5) \quad p = l = m < k \quad (B6) \quad l < \min \{p, k, m\} \]
\[(B7) \quad l = m < \min \{k, p\} \quad (B8) \quad l = m = k < p \]
\[(B9) \quad m < \min \{l, k, p\} \quad (B10) \quad m = k < \min \{l, p\} \]
\[(B11) \quad p = m < \min \{l, k\} \quad (B12) \quad k = l < \min \{p, m\} . \]
(20)

In the sequel, if $a, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $I, J$ are real intervals, then we adopt the convention that
\[ aI = \{ax \mid x \in I\}, \quad a + I = \{a + x \mid x \in I\}, \]
\[ aJ = \{ax \mid x \in I\} \times \{ay \mid y \in J\}, \]
\[ (a, \beta) + IJ = \{a + x \mid x \in I\} \times \{\beta + y \mid y \in J\} . \]
(21)

On the other hand, since $a \in (0, 1)$, $\sigma > 0$, we have
\[ \frac{\sigma}{a} < \frac{\sigma + (1 - a)}{a^2} < \frac{\sigma + (1 - a^2)}{a^3} < \cdots < \frac{\sigma + (1 - a^k)}{a^{k+1}} \longrightarrow +\infty. \]

If we let
\[ f^{(k)} = \left( \frac{\sigma + (1 - a^k)}{a^k}, \frac{\sigma + (1 - a^{k+1})}{a^{k+1}} \right), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \]
\[ f^{(1)} = f^{(0)} - a = (a\sigma - (1 - a), \sigma), \]
then
\[ af^{(k)} - a' = f^{(k-1)}, \quad k \geq 0, \]
\[ f^{(k-1)} \subset R^+_{\sigma}, \quad R^+_{\sigma} = \bigcup_{k=0}^\infty f^{(k)}, \]
\[ R^+_aR^+_a = \bigcup_{k=0}^\infty f^{(k)}R^+_a = \bigcup_{k=0}^\infty R^+_af^{(k)}. \]
(25)

2. The Case $\sigma = 1$

In this section, we assume that $\sigma = 1$.
Let $\{z_n\}_{n=-2}^\infty$ be a solution of (3). Let us consider first the case where $(z_n, z_{n+1}) \in R^+_1 R^-_1 \times R^-_1 R^+_1$. Then by (7) and (16), we see that
\[ z_{n+1} = az_n + a' \overline{k}, \]
\[ aR^-_1 R^-_1 + a' F_1 (R^-_1 R^+_1) = aR^-_1 R^-_1 + a' \overline{k} \]
\[ = a ((-\infty, 1] \times (-\infty, 1]) \]
\[ + (1 - a) (1, 1) \]
\[ = (-\infty, 1] \times (-\infty, 1] \]
\[ = R^-_1 R^-_1. \]
Hence, we may see further that $z_{n+1} \in R^-_1 R^-_1$. Similarly, since
\[ af^{(k)} R^-_1 + a' F_1 (R^-_1 f^{(k)}) \]
\[ = a f^{(k)} R^-_1 + a' \overline{-1} \]
\[ = a \left( f^{(k)} \times (-\infty, 1] \right) + (1 - a) \left( -1, 1 \right) \]
\[ = f^{(k-1)} \times (-\infty, \sigma] \]
\[ = f^{(k-1)} R^-_1, \]
(27)
we see that if $(z_{n}, z_{n+1}) \in f^{(k)} R^-_1 \times f^{(k)} R^-_1$, then $z_{n+2} \in f^{(k-1)} R^-_1$. By similar considerations, we may build a “directed graph” represented by Table 1.

In this table, we record the fact that $aR^-_1 R^-_1 + a' F_1 (R^-_1 R^+_1) \subseteq R^-_1 R^-_1$ as the $(R^-_1 R^-_1, R^-_1 R^-_1)$ entry and so forth.
Next, we let $\{z_n\}_{n=-2}^\infty$ be a solution of (3) again. If $(z_7, z_8) \in R^+_1 R^-_1 \times R^-_1 R^+_1$, then from Table 1 we see that $z_8 \in R^-_1 R^-_1$. Now that $(z_7, z_8) \in R^-_1 R^-_1 \times R^-_1 R^+_1$, we may use Table 1 to infer that $z_7 \in R^-_1 R^-_1$ again. By induction, we see that $z_n \in R^-_1 R^-_1$ for all $n \geq -2$. By (7), we then see that
\[ z_n = az_{n-2} + a' \overline{k}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \]
(28)
and hence by (14) and (15), we see that $z_n \to \mathbf{k}$ as $n \to \infty$. If $(z_{-2}, z_{-1}) \in R_1 R_1^* \times R_1^* R_1$, then from the $(R_1^* R_1^* R_1^*)$ entry of Table 1 we see that $z_0 \in R_1^* R_1$. Now that $(z_{-1}, z_0) \in R_1^* R_1^* R_1^* R_1^*$, by Table 1 again, we see that
\[
z_1 = az_{-1} + a'(z_{-1}) \in R_1^* R_1^* R_1^* R_1^*.
\] By induction, we see that $z_{2n} \in R_1^* R_1^* R_1^* R_1^*$ and $z_{2n+1} \in R_1^* R_1^*$ for $k \geq 1$. By (7), we may see further that
\[
z_{2n} = az_{2n-2} + a'\mathbf{k},
\]
\[
z_{2n+1} = az_{2n-1} - a'\mathbf{k},
\] for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence by (14) and (15), $z_{2n} \to \mathbf{k}$ and $z_{2n+1} \to -\mathbf{k}$ as $n \to \infty$. By principle that we consider this plane, we may apply the same principle to obtain Table 2.

In this table, there are seven indeterminate cases. Let us go through two cases. First, let $(z_{-2}, z_{-1}) \in R_1^* R_1^* R_1^* R_1^*$. Then in view of (25), $(z_{-2}, z_{-1}) \in f^{(k)} R_1^* R_1^* R_1^* R_1^*$ for some $k, s \in \mathbb{N}$. There are then two subcases: (i) $0 \leq k \leq s$ or (ii) $0 \leq s < k$. In the former case, by Table 1,
\[
z_0 = az_{-2} + a'F_1(z_{-1}) \in f^{(k-1)} R_1^* R_1^* R_1^* R_1^*.
\] and (hence)
\[
z_1 = az_{-1} + a'F_1(z_{0}) \in R_1^* R_1^* R_1^* R_1^*.
\] and by induction,
\[
z_{2k} \in R_1^* R_1^* R_1^* R_1^*
\]
\[
z_{2k+1} \in R_1^* R_1^* R_1^* R_1^*.
\] In the latter case, we may similarly show that $(z_{2n}, z_{2n+1}) \in R_1^* R_1^* R_1^* R_1^*$. By considering $(z_{-2}, z_{-1})$ in different parts of the plane, we may then construct the following three self-explanatory tables 3, 4, and 5.

As a consequence, if $(z_{-2}, z_{-1}) \in f^{(k)} R_1^* R_1^* R_1^* R_1^*$ and $0 \leq k \leq s$, then the solution of (3) originated from $z_{-2}$ and $z_{-1}$ will satisfy $(z_{2k}, z_{2k+1}) \in R_1^* R_1^* R_1^* R_1^*$. Then by Table 2, $z_n \to \langle -\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \rangle$.

Next, let $(z_{-2}, z_{-1}) \in R_1^* R_1^* R_1^* R_1^*$. Then by (25), $(z_{-2}, z_{-1}) \in f^{(k)} f^{(p)} f^{(m)}$ for some $k, p, l, m \in \mathbb{N}$. As mentioned before, there are twelve different ordering arrangements B1-B12 for these integers. In case B1 holds, we may make use of Table 1 repeatedly to show that $(z_{2k}, z_{2k+1}) \in R_1^* R_1^* R_1^* R_1^* (which is recorded in self-explanatory Table 6), and then by Table 2, we finally see that $z_n \to \langle -\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \rangle$.

In conclusion, we have shown the following result.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that $\alpha = 1$. Then, a solution of (3) must either be asymptotically 1-periodic tending to the limit cycles
\[
\langle \mathbf{i}, \langle -\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{k} \rangle, \langle \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \rangle.
\] or asymptotically 2-periodic tending to the limit cycles
\[
\langle \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{k} \rangle, \langle -\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{k} \rangle, \langle -\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \rangle.
\]
In this section, we assume that \( \sigma > 1 \).

**Lemma 3.** Suppose that \( \sigma > 1 \). Let \( \{z_n\}_{n=-2}^{\infty} \) be a solution of (3) originated from \( z_{-2}, z_{-1} \in R^+_\sigma R^+_\sigma \). Then, there exists \( m \in \{-1, 0, \ldots\} \) such that \( z_m \in R^+_\sigma R^+_\sigma \) and \( z_{m+1} \in R^+ \setminus R^+_\sigma R^+_\sigma \).

Proof. Let \( \{z_n\}_{n=-2}^{\infty} \) be a solution of (3) originated from \( z_{-2}, z_{-1} \in R^+_\sigma R^+_\sigma \). Assume to the contrary that \( z_n \in R^+_\sigma R^+_\sigma \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Then,

\[
z_n = a z_{n-2} + a' (-\tilde{k}), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

By (14) and (15), we see that \( z_n \to -\tilde{k} \) as \( n \to \infty \). But \( \sigma > 1 \) implies \( -\tilde{k} \) is in the interior of \( R^+_\sigma R^+_\sigma \) which is disjointed from \( R^+_\sigma R^+_\sigma \). A contradiction is, thus, obtained.

In view of Lemma 3, we only need to investigate the asymptotic behaviors of solutions \( \{z_n\}_{n=-2}^{\infty} \) of (3) such that \( z_{-2} \) and \( z_{-1} \) are not simultaneously in \( R^+_\sigma R^+_\sigma \). To this end, we first note that

\[
\sigma < \frac{\sigma \pm (1 - a)}{a^2} < \frac{\sigma \pm (1 - a^2)}{a^3} < \cdots < \frac{\sigma \pm (1 - a^k)}{a^{k+1}} \to +\infty.
\]

Hence, if we let

\[
I^{(k)} = \left[ \frac{\sigma - (1 - a^k)}{a^k}, \frac{\sigma - (1 - a^{k+1})}{a^{k+1}} \right], \quad k \in \mathbb{N},
\]

then

\[
a I^{(k)} + a' = (a\sigma + (1 - a), \sigma],
\]

\[
I^{(-1)} = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} I^{(k)} - a I^{(k)} + a' = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} I^{(k)} R^+_\sigma.
\]

As in the previous section, we may build a table (consisting of two separate parts Tables 7 and 8) which plays the same role as Table 1.

Then, we may construct Tables 9–14 which play the same role as Tables 3–6.

Then by Lemma 3 and these tables, we may show the following result.
Lemma 4. Suppose that $\sigma > 1$. Let $[z_n]_{n=-2}^\infty$ be a solution of (3). Then, there exists $m \in \{-2, -1, \ldots\}$ such that $z_m, z_{m+1} \in R^*_{\sigma} R_\sigma^*.$

Proof. In view of Lemma 3, we may assume that $z_-2 \in R^2$ and $z_-1 \in R^1 \times R^*_\sigma R_\sigma^*$, or $z_-2 \in R^2 \setminus R^*_\sigma R_\sigma^*$ and $z_-1 \in R^*_\sigma R_\sigma^*.$

Consider first the case where $z_-2 \in R'^*_\sigma R_\sigma^*$ and $z_-1 \in R'^*_\sigma R_\sigma^*.$

Then, $z_-2 \in f^{(k)} R'^*_\sigma$ and $z_-1 \in R'^*_\sigma f^{(l)}$ for some $k, l \in \mathbb{N}.$ By Table 11, if $0 \leq k \leq s$, then $(z_{2-k}, z_{2-k+1}) \in R'^*_\sigma f^{(k-\nu)} R'^*_\sigma.$ Since $f^{(k-\nu)} \subset (\sigma, +\infty)$, there exists some $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(z_{2-k}, z_{2-k+1}) \in R'^*_\sigma f^{(k-\nu)} R'^*_\sigma.$ By the last entry in Table 9, we see that there is some $m$ such that $z_m, z_{m+1} \in R'^*_\sigma R_\sigma^*.$

Consider next the case where $z_-2 \in R^*_\sigma R'^*_\sigma$ and $z_-1 \in R^*_\sigma R'^*_\sigma.$ Then, $z_-1 \in f^{(k)} R^*_\sigma$ for some $k, l \in \mathbb{N}.$ By Table 12, if $0 \leq k \leq s$, then $(z_{2-k}, z_{2-k+1}) \in R^*_\sigma f^{(k-\nu)} R'^*_\sigma.$ By the last entry in Table 9, we see that there is some $m$ such that $z_m, z_{m+1} \in R'^*_\sigma R_\sigma^*.$

Consider next the case where $z_-2 \in R'^*_\sigma R_\sigma^*$ and $z_-1 \in R'^*_\sigma R'^*_\sigma.$ Then, $z_-2 \in f^{(k)} R'^*_\sigma$ and $z_-1 \in f^{(l)} f^{(p)}$ for some $k, l, p \in \mathbb{N}.$ By Table 13, if $A1$ holds, that is, $0 \leq k \leq l$, then $(z_{2-k}, z_{2-k+1}) \in R'^*_\sigma f^{(k-l)} R'^*_\sigma.$ Then, there exists $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(z_{2-k-1}, z_{2-k}) \in R'^*_\sigma f^{(k-l)} R'^*_\sigma.$ By Table 12 again, if $0 \leq l < m$, then $(z_{2-k-1}, z_{2-k}) \in R'^*_\sigma f^{(k-l)} f^{(p-l)} R'^*_\sigma.$ By the last entry in Table 10, we see that there is some $m$ such that $z_m, z_{m+1} \in R'^*_\sigma R_\sigma^*.$

Consider next the case where $z_-2 \in R'^*_\sigma R_\sigma^*$ and $z_-1 \in R'^*_\sigma R'^*_\sigma.$ Then, $z_-2 \in f^{(k)} R'^*_\sigma$ and $z_-1 \in f^{(l)} f^{(p)}$ for some $k, l, p \in \mathbb{N}.$ By Table 14, if $A1$ holds, that is, $0 \leq k \leq l$, then $(z_{2-k}, z_{2-k+1}) \in R'^*_\sigma f^{(k-l)} f^{(p-l)} R'^*_\sigma.$ Then, there exists $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(z_{2-k-1}, z_{2-k}) \in R'^*_\sigma f^{(k-l)} f^{(p-l)} R'^*_\sigma.$ By Table 12 again, if $0 \leq l < m$, then $(z_{2-k-1}, z_{2-k}) \in R'^*_\sigma f^{(k-l)} f^{(p-l)} R'^*_\sigma.$ By the last entry in Table 10, we see that there is some $m$ such that $z_m, z_{m+1} \in R'^*_\sigma R_\sigma^*.$

If $A5$ holds, that is, $0 \leq p < \min(k, l)$, then $(z_{2-p}, z_{2-p+1}) \in f^{(k-l-1)} R'^*_\sigma \times f^{(p-1)} R'^*_\sigma.$ Then, there exists $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(z_{2-p}, z_{2-p+1}) \in f^{(k-l-1)} R'^*_\sigma \times f^{(p-1)} f^{(p-1)} R'^*_\sigma.$ By the last entry in Table 9, we see that there is some $m$ such that $z_m, z_{m+1} \in R'^*_\sigma R_\sigma^*$.
is, \( l - 1 < \min(k, p - 1) \), then by Table 13, \((z_{2l-1}, z_{2l}) \in f^{(k-l)}R_0^+ \times f^{(p-l)}R_0^-\). If \( k - l \leq p - l - 1 \), then by Table II, \((z_{2k}, z_{2k+1}) \in f^{(p-k)}R_0^- \times f^{(p-k)}R_0^+\). Then, there exists \( t \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \((z_{2k}, z_{2k+1}) \in R_0^{-1} \times R_0^+\). By the last entry in Table 9, we see that there is some \( m \) such that \( z_m, z_{m+1} \in R_0^- R_0^+\); if \( p - l - 1 < k - l \), then by Table II, \((z_{2p-1}, z_{2p}) \in f^{(p-k)}R_0^- \times R_0^+\). Then there exists some \( t \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \((z_{2p-1}, z_{2p}) \in f^{(p-k)}R_0^- \times R_0^+\). By the last entry in Table 9, we see that there is some \( m \) such that \( z_m, z_{m+1} \in R_0^- R_0^+\). The other cases are similarly proved.

The proof is complete.

**Theorem 5.** Suppose that \( \sigma > 1 \). Then, every solution of (3) tends to \( k \).

Indeed, let \( \{z_n\}_{n=-2}^{\infty} \) be a solution of (3). In view of Lemma 4, one may assume that \( z_{-2}, z_{-1} \in R_0^- R_0^+\). Then by the \((R_0^+ R_0^+, R_0^- R_0^+)\) entry in Table 7, one may see that \( z_0 \in R_0^- R_0^+\) and by induction, \( z_n \in R_0^- R_0^+\) for all \( n \geq -2 \). By (14) and (15), then see that \( z_n \to k \) as required.

**4. The Case** \( 0 \leq \sigma < 1 \)

Suppose that \( 0 \leq \sigma < 1 \), then,

\[
\sigma > \frac{\sigma - (1 - a)}{a} > \frac{\sigma - (1 - a^2)}{a^2} > \ldots \Rightarrow \frac{\sigma - (1 - a^k)}{a^k} \to -\infty.
\]

If we let

\[
k^{(k)} = \left( \frac{\sigma - (1 - a^{k+1})}{a^{k+1}} - \frac{\sigma - (1 - a^k)}{a^k} \right), \quad k \in \mathbb{N},
\]

\[
k^{(-1)} = aK^{(0)} + a' = (\sigma, a\sigma + (1 - a)],
\]

then

\[
\begin{align*}
aK^{(k)} + a' &= K^{(k-1)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \\
R_0^+ &= \bigcup_{k=0}^\infty f^{(k)}, \\
R_0^- &= \bigcup_{k=0}^\infty K^{(k)}, \\
R_0^+ R_0^- &= \bigcup_{k=0}^\infty K^{(k)} R_0^- = \bigcup_{k=0}^\infty R_0^- K^{(k)} = \bigcup_{k=0}^\infty K^{(k)} f^{(k)} = \bigcup_{k=0}^\infty f^{(k)} R_0^+ = \bigcup_{k=0}^\infty R_0^+ f^{(k)} = \bigcup_{k=0}^\infty f^{(k)} J^{(s)},
\end{align*}
\]

Let \( \{z_n\}_{n=-2}^{\infty} \) be a solution of (3). Let us consider first the case where \( z_n, z_{n+1} \in R_0^+ R_0^-\). Then by (7) and (16), we see that

\[
z_{n+2} = a z_n \pm a' i.
\]

Note that (in view of our assumption that \( 0 \leq \sigma < 1 \))

\[
\begin{align*}
a R_0^+ R_0^- + a' F_0 \left( R_0^+ R_0^- \right) &= a R_0^+ R_0^- + a' \mathbf{k} \\
&= a ((\sigma, \infty) \times (-\infty, \sigma)) \\
&\quad + (1 - a) (1, -1) \\
&\quad = (a\sigma + (1 - a), \infty) \\
&\quad \times (-\infty, a\sigma - (1 - a)] \\
&\subseteq R_0^+ R_0^-.
\end{align*}
\]

Hence, we may see further that \( z_{n+2} \in R_0^+ R_0^-\). Similarly, since

\[
\begin{align*}
a R_0^+ R_0^- + a' F_0 \left( R_0^+ R_0^- \right) &= a R_0^- R_0^+ - a' \mathbf{k} \\
&= a ((-\infty, \sigma] \times (-\infty, \sigma]) \\
&\quad + (1 - a) (1, 1) \\
&\quad = (a\sigma + (1 - a), \infty) \\
&\quad \times (-\infty, a\sigma - (1 - a)] \\
&\subseteq R_0^- R_0^+,
\end{align*}
\]

we see that if \( \{z_n, z_{n+1}\} \in R_0^+ R_0^- \times R_0^- R_0^+ \), then \( z_{n+2} \in R_0^+ R_0^- \) and \( z_{n+3} \in R_0^+ R_0^-\). By similar considerations, we may build a table (consisting of two separate parts Tables 15 and 16) which plays the same role as Table 1.
Next, we let \( \{z_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) be a solution of (3) again. If \((z_{-1}, z_0) \in R_R^{-a} \times R_{a}^{-} R_{a}^{*}\), then by the \((K^{(k)} R_a^{-}, f^{(k)} R_a^{-})\) entry of Table 15, we see that \(z_0 \in R_R^{-a} R_{a}^{*}\). Now that \((z_{-1}, z_0) \in \)
\[(z_{-2}, z_{-1}) \in K^{(k)} R_{s} \times R_{a}^{e} K^{(i)} \]

for some \(k, s \in \mathbb{N}\). There are then two subcases: (i) \(0 \leq k \leq s \) or (ii) \(0 \leq s < k\). In the former case, by Table 15,

\[
z_{0} = az_{-2} + a^{f} F_{0} (z_{-1}) \in K^{(k-1)} R_{s}^{-}, \tag{48}
\]

and hence

\[
z_{1} = az_{0} + a^{f} F_{0} (z_{0}) \in R_{a}^{e} K^{(i-1)}, \tag{49}
\]

and so forth, and finally,

\[
z_{2k} \in K^{(-1)} R_{s}^{-} \leq R_{a}^{e} R_{s}^{-}, \tag{50}
\]

\[
z_{2k+1} \in R_{a}^{e} R_{s}^{-}.
\]

In the latter case, we may similarly show that \((z_{2s+1}, z_{2s+2}) \in R_{a}^{e} R_{s}^{-} \times R_{a}^{e} R_{s}^{-}\). By considering \((z_{-2}, z_{-1})\) in different parts, we may then construct four self-explanatory Tables 18, 19, 20, and 21.

As a consequence, if \((z_{-2}, z_{-1}) \in K^{(k)} R_{s} \times R_{a}^{e} K^{(i)}\) and \(0 \leq k \leq s\), then the solution of (3) originated from \(z_{-2}\) and \(z_{-1}\) will satisfy \((z_{2k}, z_{2k+1}) \in R_{a}^{e} R_{s}^{-} \times R_{a}^{e} R_{s}^{-}\). Then by Table 17, \(z_{n} \to \langle i \rangle\).

Next, let \((z_{-2}, z_{-1}) \in K^{(k)} f^{(p)} \times f^{(i)} K^{(m)}\) for some \(k, p, l, m \in \mathbb{N}\). As mentioned before, there are twelve different ordering arrangements B1–B12 for the nonnegative integers \(k, p, l, m\). In case B2 holds, we may make use of Table 22 repeatedly to show that \((z_{2k}, z_{2k+1}) \in R_{a}^{e} f^{(p-k-1)} \times f^{(l-k-1)} R_{s}^{-}\) (which is recorded in self-explanatory Tables 22 and 23). If \(0 \leq p-k-1 \leq l-k-1\), then by Table 19, \((z_{2p}, z_{2p+1}) \in R_{a}^{e} R_{s}^{-} \times R_{a}^{e} R_{s}^{-}\). By Table 17 again, \(z_{n} \to \langle i \rangle\).

In conclusion, we have shown the following result.

**Theorem 6.** **Suppose that** \(0 \leq \sigma < 1\). **Then, a solution of (3) must be either asymptotically 1-periodic tending to the limit cycles \((\langle i \rangle)\) or \((\langle -i \rangle)\) or asymptotically 2-periodic tending to the limit cycle \((\langle k \rangle, \langle -k \rangle)\).**

We remark that, as can be seen from the above exhaustive arguments and Example 2, the region of attraction of each
limit cycle can be given precisely (by implementing a simple computer program, if necessary).

5. Conclusions

In neural network terminologies, we have discussed a simple two-neuron recurrent McCulloch-Pitts-type neural network with a nonnegative threshold $\sigma$. For $\sigma > 1$, all solutions tend to the limiting state $(1, 1)$; for $\sigma = 1$, all solutions must either be tending to the limiting states $(-1, 1), (1, -1)$ or $(1, 1)$, or asymptotically 2-periodic tending to the limit cycles $\langle(1, -1), (1, 1)\rangle, \langle(-1, 1), (1, 1)\rangle$, or $\langle(-1, -1), (1, 1)\rangle$; and for $0 \leq \sigma < 1$, all solutions must either be tending to the steady states $(-1, 1)$, or $(1, -1)$ or asymptotically 2-periodic tending to the limit cycle $\langle(1, 1), (-1, -1)\rangle$. In all cases, the corresponding region of attraction of each limit cycle can be given.

Our proofs show that more general multiple-neuron recurrent McCulloch-Pitts-type neural networks possess similar behaviors. However, the derivations may involve more delicate graph theoretic arguments and are better left for other studies.
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