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1. Introduction

Synchronization has attracted a great deal of interest due to its important applications in ecological systems [1], physical systems [2], chemical systems [3], modeling brain activity, system identification, pattern recognition phenomena and secure communications [4], and so forth. Since the pioneering work of Pecora and Carroll [5], various synchronization scenarios have been studied for chaotic systems, including complete synchronization [6], phase synchronization [7], lag synchronization [8], Q-S synchronization [9], and projective synchronization [10]. As a much more universal synchronization manner, the modified function projective synchronization (MFPS) means that the drive and response systems could be synchronized up to a scaling function matrix, but not a constant matrix. Obviously, the unpredictability of the scaling functions in MFPS can additionally enhance the security of communication [11–13].

In recent years, the study on the nonlinear dynamics and synchronization control of fractional-order chaotic systems has become a hot topic in nonlinear research area. It is demonstrated that many fractional-order differential systems behave chaotically or hyperchaotically, such as the fractional-order Chua circuit [14], the fractional-order Arneodo system [15], the fractional-order Chen system [16], the fractional-order hyperchaotic Lorenz system [17], the fractional-order hyperchaotic Lü system [18], and so forth. Studies show that a fractional-order controller can provide better performances than an integer order one and lead to more robust control performance [19]. According to different definitions of the fractional-order differential equation from the integer order differential equation, most of the methods and results of chaos synchronization in the ordinary differential systems cannot be simply extended to the case of the fractional-order systems. Some approaches have been proposed to achieve chaos synchronization in fractional-order chaotic systems, such as active control [20], adaptive control [21], a scalar transmitted signal method [22], sliding mode control [23], track control [24] and fuzzy logic constant control [25], and so forth. From above and the other related literatures, one can see that, like the studies on the synchronization of integer-order chaotic systems, the synchronization in fractional-order chaotic systems is still the dominant one among the various research of fractional-order systems.

At present, many existing schemes focus on synchronization of the fractional-order systems with the same dimension. However, in many real systems, chaos synchronization between different dimensional systems usually occurs, especially in biological and social sciences [26, 27]. Therefore, the synchronization of different dimensional fractional-order systems becomes a meaningful problem. To the best of
of our knowledge, only a few conclusions focus on the chaos synchronization of fractional-order systems with different dimensions. In [28, 29], Wang et al. advised two methods for hybrid projective synchronization of fractional-order chaotic systems with different dimensions. Hybrid projective synchronization is a different projective synchronization mode, in which the scaling factor matrix is not a diagonal matrix and a state variable in drive system can synchronize to multiple variables in response system. The synchronization mode varies from traditional synchronization concept and is devoid of generality in real applications.

In this paper, we discussed the MFPS problem between different dimensional fractional-order chaotic systems with known or unknown parameters. By reduced order or added order, the problem is transformed to the MFPS between identical dimension chaotic systems. Based on adaptive control method and stability theory of fractional-order systems, an effective controller and a parameter update law for MFPS are proposed by rigorous theoretical analysis. Two groups of examples are considered and their numerical simulations are performed. Numerical simulations verify that MFPS really can occur between different dimension fractional systems.

2. A MFPS Scheme in Fractional-Order Chaotic System with Different Dimensions

2.1. The Reduced-Order and Added-Order Schemes. There are several definitions of fractional derivatives. The Caputo derivative is more popular in the real applications, because the inhomogeneous initial conditions are allowed if such conditions are necessary. The Caputo fractional derivative is defined as

\[
d^\alpha f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m - q)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{m-\alpha-1} f^{(m)}(\tau) \, d\tau,
\]

where \( m \) is the smallest integer larger than \( q \), \( D^\alpha \) denotes the Caputo definition of the fractional derivative, \( f^{(m)}(t) \) is the \( m \)-order derivative in the usual sense, and \( \Gamma \) stands for gamma function.

Now, consider the fractional-order drive and response systems are described as system (2) and (3), respectively:

\[
D^\alpha X_1 = f_1 (X_1),
\]

\[
D^\alpha Y = g (Y, \theta),
\]

where \( X_1 \in R^m, Y \in R^n, q_d \in R^n, \), and \( q_e \in R^n \) are fractional-order satisfying \( 0 < q_d < 1 \), \( 0 < q_e < 1 \), and \( f_1 : R^m \rightarrow R^m \) and \( g : R^d \rightarrow R^n \) are two different continuous vector functions. Vector \( \theta \) is system parameters of response system.

When \( m = n \) and \( f_1 = g \), the drive system is identical to the response system, and this kind of synchronization problem has been well studied. However, when the order of the response system is not equal to that of the drive system, reduced order for the case \( m > n \) and added order for the opposite case \( m < n \) can be introduced to solve the dimensional diversity.

Case I (the reduced-order scheme when \( m > n \)). When the orders of two systems satisfy the condition \( m > n \) (of course \( f_1 \neq g \)), that is, the order of the drive system is greater than that of the response system, the synchronization can be attained by reducing the order of drive system, in which the controlled response system synchronize to the projection of the drive system. Therefore, we can divide the drive system into two parts. One is the projection:

\[
D^\beta X = f (X_1),
\]

where \( X \in R^n \) and \( f : R^m \rightarrow R^n \). The rest is

\[
D^\beta Y_s = f_s (X_1),
\]

where \( X_s \in R^s, q_e \in R^s, \) and \( f_s : R^m \rightarrow R^s \) and \( n, s \) satisfy \( n + s = m \).

In order to unify the order of drive and response system, we can choose arbitrary \( n \) state variables of drive system to project. Hereby, the possible number of projection (4) is \( C_m = m! / (n! (m - n) !) \).

Case II (the added-order scheme when \( m < n \)). When the order of the drive system is lower than that of the response system, we can increase the order of drive system and construct an auxiliary state vector with order \( n - m \) which is the function of state vector of drive system. Obviously, the defined function may also be constant function. Therefore, we define \( X_2 = (x_{n+1}, \ldots, x_n) \) and \( f_2 (X_1) = (\phi_1 (X_1), \phi_2 (X_1), \ldots, \phi_{n-m} (X_1)) \), and then we get a new \( n \) dimension state vector \( X = (x_1, \ldots, x_m, x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_n) \). The drive system is rewritten as follows:

\[
D^\beta X = f (X_1),
\]

where \( X = (X_1, X_2) \), \( f (X_1) = (f_1 (X_1), f_2 (X_1)) \), \( X_2 \in R^{n-m} \), \( f_2 : R^m \rightarrow R^{n-m} \).

After the above transformation, the dimension of drive system (4) or (6) comes the same as response system (3) via reduced order or added order. Thus, the aim of MFPS is to design a suitable controller, which is able to synchronize the state of the transformed drive system and the response system up to different arbitrary scaling functions.

2.2. A MFPS Strategy of Fractional-Order Chaotic Systems. When the parameters \( \theta \) in system (3) are uncertain, the controlled response system and a parameter update law are expressed by

\[
D^\beta Y = g \left( Y, \bar{\theta} \right) + u (X, Y, \theta),
\]

\[
D^\beta \bar{\theta} = p (X, Y),
\]

where \( \bar{\theta} \) are unknown parameters to be estimated and \( u (X, Y, \theta) \) are adaptive controller to be designed.

Definition 1 (MFPS). For the drive system (4) or system (6) and controlled system (7), it is said to be modified
Now, vector \( u_2(X, Y, \theta) \) and parameter update law are chosen as
\[
\begin{align*}
u_2(X, Y, \theta) &= b_2(X, Y, \theta) \left( \begin{array}{c} e \\ \bar{e}_\theta \end{array} \right), \quad (14) \\
D^\theta \bar{\theta} &= D^\theta \bar{e}_\theta = b_3(X, Y) \left( \begin{array}{c} e \\ \bar{e}_\theta \end{array} \right), \quad (15)
\end{align*}
\]
where \( b_2(X, Y, \theta) \) is an \( n \times (n + k) \) real matrix to be designed and \( b_3(X, Y, \theta) \) is a \( k \times (n + k) \) real matrix to be designed.

From (12)–(15), we can yield
\[
\begin{align*}
D^\theta e &= \left( b_1(X, Y, \theta) + b_2(X, Y, \theta) \right) e \\
&\quad + b(X, Y, \theta) \left( \begin{array}{c} e \\ \bar{e}_\theta \end{array} \right). \quad (16)
\end{align*}
\]

Now the MFPS problem between drive system (4) or system (6) and controlled system (7) has been transformed into the following problem: choose suitable real matrix \( b_2(X, Y, \theta) \) and \( b_3(X, Y) \) such that system (16) is asymptotically stable to zero.

**Theorem 3.** If the elements \( b_{ij} (i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n + k) \) of \( (n + k) \times (n + k) \) matrix \( b(X, Y, \theta) \) and the corresponding nonzero eigenvector is \( \beta \), that is,
\[
b(X, Y, \theta) \beta = \lambda \beta. \quad (17)
\]

Taking conjugate transpose on both sides of the above equation, one can yield
\[
[b(X, Y, \theta) \beta]^T = \bar{\lambda} \beta^T. \quad (18)
\]

From (17) multiplied left by \( \beta^T \) plus (18) multiplied right by \( \beta \), we derive that
\[
\beta^T \left( b(X, Y, \theta) + b(X, Y, \theta)^T \right) \beta = \beta^T (\lambda + \bar{\lambda}) \beta. \quad (19)
\]

So, \( \lambda + \bar{\lambda} = \beta^T (b(X, Y, \theta) + b(X, Y, \theta)^T) \beta / \beta \beta^T \beta \). Because \( b_{ij} = -b_{ji} (i \neq j) \) for matrix \( b(X, Y, \theta) \), it is easy to get that
\[
\lambda + \bar{\lambda} = \beta^T \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 2b_{11} & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 2b_{22} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{array} \right) \beta \times \left( \beta^T \beta \right)^{-1}. \quad (20)
\]

Since \( b_{ij} \leq 0 \) and all \( b_{ij} \) are not equal to zero, we can obtain
\[
\lambda + \bar{\lambda} \leq 0. \quad (21)
\]
From (21), we have \(|\arg \lambda[b(X, Y, \theta)]| \geq \pi/2 > q\pi/2\).
According to the stability theory of fractional-order systems [30], we can yield that system (16) is asymptotically stable. That is, \(\lim_{t \to +\infty} e(t) = 0\).

The proof is completed. \(\square\)

Therefore, for the drive system (4) or (6) and controlled system (7), the MFPS can be achieved and the uncertain parameters will be estimated.

Remark 4. Theorem 3 will still apply to the condition that the parameters of response system are known. In this case, \(b_1(X, Y, \theta)\) and \(b_2(X, Y, \theta)\) are all \(n \times n\) real matrix and \(b(X, Y, \theta) = b_1(X, Y, \theta) + b_2(X, Y, \theta)\). The corresponding examples will be illustrated in Section 3.2.

Remark 5. The main difference in the design of the controller between this paper and [28] is that the controller in [28] based on decomposing the drive and response system into linear parts and nonlinear parts, which does not apply to all fractional-order systems. The controller in this paper is general for all fractional-order systems.

3. Illustrative Examples

In this section, to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed MFPS scheme for different dimension fractional-order chaotic systems, two numerical examples are respectively used to discuss two kinds of cases: the reduced order synchronization with \(m > n\) and the increased order synchronization with \(m < n\). These examples include hyperchaotic system drives chaotic system and 3-dimension chaotic system drives 4-dimension chaotic system. And a predictor-corrector scheme [31] is chosen for the following simulations of the fractional-order differential equations.

3.1. Reduced-Order MFPS with \(m > n\). In this subsection, fractional-order hyperchaotic Lorenz system [17] as drive and fractional-order Chen system [16] as response are chosen to obtain the reduced-order MFPS behavior of fractional-order chaotic systems with unknown parameters.

The fractional-order hyperchaotic Lorenz dynamical differential equation can be given by

\[
\begin{align*}
D^q x_1 &= \sigma (x_2 - x_1) + x_4, \\
D^q x_2 &= \alpha x_1 - x_2 - x_1 x_3, \\
D^q x_3 &= x_1 x_2 - \beta x_3, \\
D^q x_4 &= -x_2 x_3 + \gamma x_4,
\end{align*}
\]

(22)

where system parameters are chosen as \((\sigma, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (10, 28, 8/3, -1)\). The fractional-order system exhibits hyperchaotic behavior for \(q = 0.98\).

In order to investigate the reduced-order MFPS behavior between hyperchaotic Lorenz system and Chen system, we assume that the \(x_1 - x_2 - x_3\) projection of system (22) is the drive system and it can be presented in the form of

\[
\begin{align*}
D^q x_1 &= \sigma (x_2 - x_1) + x_4, \\
D^q x_2 &= \alpha x_1 - x_2 - x_1 x_3, \\
D^q x_3 &= x_1 x_2 - \beta x_3.
\end{align*}
\]

(23)

The controller fractional-order Chen system with uncertain parameters is defined as

\[
\begin{align*}
D^q y_1 &= \tilde{a} (y_2 - y_1) + u(X, Y, \theta), \\
D^q y_2 &= \gamma y_2 - \tilde{b} y_3, \\
D^q y_3 &= y_1 + y_2.
\end{align*}
\]

(24)

where \(\theta = (a, b, c) = (35, 3, 27)\). When \(q \geq 0.83\), the fractional-order Chen system shows chaotic characteristic.

According to the above discussion, we can obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
D^q \tilde{a} &= (y_1 - y_2) e_1 + y_1 e_2, \\
D^q \tilde{b} &= y_3 e_3, \\
D^q \tilde{c} &= -(y_1 + y_2) e_2.
\end{align*}
\]

(26)

Therefore, the possible parameter update law and real matrix \(b_2 (X, Y, \theta)\) are chosen as

\[
\begin{align*}
b_2 (X, Y, \theta) &= \begin{pmatrix}
\alpha_3 (t) x_3 - c - c - 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
- \alpha_2 (t) x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\end{align*}
\]

(27)

Consequently, it is easy to obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
b (X, Y, \theta) &= \begin{pmatrix}
-a & a & 0 & y_2 - y_1 & 0 & 0 \\
-a & -1 & -y_1 & -y_1 & 0 & y_1 + y_2 \\
0 & y_1 & -b & 0 & -y_3 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & y_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & - (y_1 + y_2) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\end{align*}
\]

(28)

Obviously, the elements in \(b(X, Y, \theta)\) satisfy conditions (2)-(3) given in Theorem 3. Thus, the MFPS between projected system (23) and response system (24) can be achieved and the uncertain parameters can be exactly estimated. Here, choose scale function vector \(\Lambda(t) = (1 + \sin(t), 2 + t, 2 + 0.8 \cos(t))\). The initial conditions are \((x_1(0), x_2(0), x_3(0), x_4(0)) = (2, -1, 1, 1)\), \((y_1(0), y_2(0), y_3(0)) = (1, -2, 3)\) and initial values of unknown parameters are \((\tilde{a}(0), \tilde{b}(0), \tilde{c}(0)) = (30, 1, 25)\).
The corresponding numerical results are shown in Figures 1-2. Figure 1 displays the error state trajectories of the drive system (23) and response system (24). Figure 2 shows that the estimated values of parameters in system (24) converge to their true values \( a = 35, \, b = 3, \) and \( c = 27 \) as \( t \to \infty \).

These results show that the MFPS between hyperchaotic system (22) and chaotic system (24) and parameters identification have been achieved with the adaptive control law \( u(X,Y,\theta) \) and the parameter update laws (26).

### 3.2. Added-Order MFPS with \( m < n \)

It is assumed that the fractional-order chaotic Arneodo system [15] drives the fractional-order hyperchaotic Lü system [18] with known parameters. The order of Arneodo system is increased to synchronize to 4-dimensional Lü system.

The fractional-order Arneodo system is defined as

\[
\begin{align*}
D^q x_1 &= x_2, \\
D^q x_2 &= x_3, \\
D^q x_3 &= \alpha x_1 + \beta x_2 + \gamma x_3 + \delta x_1^3, \\
D^q x_4 &= x_1 (1 - x_2).
\end{align*}
\]  

(29)

When \((\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = (5.5, -3.5, -1, -1)\) and \(q = 0.95\), the Arneodo system exhibits chaotic behavior.

The equations of the 4-dimensional fractional-order Lü system are

\[
\begin{align*}
D^q y_1 &= a (y_2 - y_1) + y_4, \\
D^q y_2 &= cy_2 - y_1 y_3, \\
D^q y_3 &= y_1 y_2 - by_3, \\
D^q y_4 &= y_1 y_3 - y_4.
\end{align*}
\]  

(30)

It has been shown that system (30) will exhibit hyperchaotic behavior when \(q = 0.95\) and \(a = 36, \, b = 3, \) and \(c = 20\).

Based on the above method, we construct an auxiliary state variable and its fractional derivative \(D^q x_4 = x_1 (1 - x_2)\) for Arneodo system; the drive system; after adding order can be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
D^q x_1 &= x_2, \\
D^q x_2 &= x_3, \\
D^q x_3 &= \alpha x_1 + \beta x_2 + \gamma x_3 + \delta x_1^3, \\
D^q x_4 &= x_1 (1 - x_2).
\end{align*}
\]  

(31)

According to the discussion in Section 2, we can obtain that

\[
b_1 (X,Y,\theta) = \begin{pmatrix}
-a & a & 0 & 1 \\
-\alpha_3 (t) x_3 & c & -y_1 & 0 \\
\alpha_2 (t) x_2 & y_1 & -b & 0 \\
\alpha_3 (t) x_3 & 0 & y_1 & -1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]  

(32)

Now, we can choose

\[
b_2 (X,Y,\theta) = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-a + \alpha_3 (t) x_3 & -1 - c & 0 & 0 \\
-\alpha_2 (t) x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 - \alpha_3 (t) x_3 & 0 & -y_1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]  

(33)

So,

\[
b (X,Y,\theta) = \begin{pmatrix}
-a & a & 0 & 1 \\
-a & -1 & y_1 & 0 \\
0 & y_1 & -b & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]  

(34)

According to the above theorem, the MFPS between 3-dimensional Arneodo system and 4-dimensional Lü system can be achieved via added order. We have performed some numerical simulations to verify the above theoretical analysis.
Figure 3: The MFPS errors between added-order system (31) and system (30).

For example, choose $A(t) = (1 + \sin(t), 2t + 3, 2 + 0.8 \cos(t), 4 \cos(t))$. The corresponding numerical results are shown in Figure 3.

In Figure 3, the initial conditions for drive system (30) and response system (29) are $(x_1(0), x_2(0), x_3(0), x_4(0)) = (1, 2, 1, 2)$ and $(y_1(0), y_2(0), y_3(0), y_4(0)) = (2, 7, 3, 6)$, respectively. From the results in Figure 3, we can see that added-order 3-dimensional system and 4-dimensional system can synchronize up to a scale function matrix.

4. Conclusions

In summary, the adaptive reduced-order or added-order MFPS of chaotic systems with different dimensions is discussed. When the order of drive system is slightly large, the drive system is order-reduced to synchronize response. If drive system has lower dimension, some auxiliary states are added to increase the dimension of drive system. According to the stability theorems of linear fractional-order systems, an adaptive controller and a parameter update law are presented to synchronize different dimensional systems. It is shown that the response system can not only synchronize with the projection of the drive system but also synchronize with the drive system that includes auxiliary state. This technique has been successfully applied to two examples: fractional-order hyperchaotic Lorenz system drives fractional-order Chen system with unknown parameters; fractional-order Arneodo system drives the fractional-order hyperchaotic Lü system with known parameters.

In this paper, we propose a general method to achieve MFPS of fractional-order chaotic systems even though their dimensions are distinct. The method can be extended to the condition that the derivative orders of drive and response systems are unequal or the fractional-order system with incommensurate orders.
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