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We study the stability of a class of nonlinear fractional neutral differential difference systems equipped with the Caputo derivative. We extend Lyapunov-Krasovskii theorem for the nonlinear fractional neutral systems. Conditions of stability and instability are obtained for the nonlinear fractional neutral systems.

1. Introduction

Recently, fractional differential equations have attracted great attention. It has been proved that fractional differential equations are valuable tools in the modeling of many phenomena in various fields of engineering, physics, and economics. For details and examples, see [1–5] and the references therein.

Stability analysis is always one of the most important issues in the theory of differential equations and their applications for both deterministic and stochastic cases. Recently, stability of fractional differential equations has attracted increasing interest. Since fractional derivatives are nonlocal and have weakly singular kernels, the analysis on stability of fractional differential equations is more complex than that of classical differential equations. The earliest study on stability of fractional differential equations started in [6]; the author studied the case of linear Caputo fractional differential equations. Since then, many researchers have done further studies on the stability of fractional differential systems [7–18]. For more details about the stability results and the methods available to analyze the stability of fractional differential systems, the reader may refer to the recent survey papers [19, 20] and the references therein.

As we all know, Lyapunov’s second method provides a way to analyze the stability of a system without explicitly solving the differential equations. It is necessary to extend Lyapunov’s second method to fractional systems. In [13, 14], the fractional Lyapunov’s second method was proposed, and the authors extended the exponential stability of integer order differential system to the Mittag-Leffler stability of fractional differential system. In [15], by using Bihari’s and Bellman-Gronwall’s inequality, an extension of Lyapunov’s second method for fractional-order systems was proposed. In [16–18], Baleanu et al. extended Lyapunov’s method to fractional functional differential systems and developed the Lyapunov-Krasovskii stability theorem, Lyapunov-Razumikhin stability theorem, and Mittag-Leffler stability theorem for fractional functional differential systems.

As far as we know, there are few papers with respect to the stability of fractional neutral systems. In this paper, we consider the stability of a class of nonlinear fractional neutral differential difference equations with the Caputo derivative. Motivated by Li et al. [13, 14], Baleanu et al. [16], and Cruz and Hale [21], we address the stability of fractional neutral systems. Specifically, we extend the Lyapunov-Krasovskii method for the nonlinear fractional neutral differential difference systems.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some notations and recall some concepts and preparation results. In Section 3, we develop the Lyapunov-Krasovskii theorem for the nonlinear fractional neutral differential difference systems; results of stability, asymptotically stability, uniform stability, uniform asymptotically stability, and instability for the nonlinear fractional neutral systems are
presented. Section 4 brings an example to illustrate the results presented and finally Section 5 concludes the work.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce notations, definitions, and preliminary facts needed here. Throughout this paper, let \( \mathbb{R}^n \) be a real \( n \)-dimensional linear vector space with the norm \( |\cdot| \); let \( C([r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n) \) be the space of continuous functions taking \([r, 0] \) into \( \mathbb{R}^n \) with \( ||\phi|| \), \( \phi \in C \) defined by \( ||\phi|| = \sup_{s \in [r,0]} |\phi(s)| \), \( C(M) = \{ \phi \in C : ||\phi|| \leq M \} \), \( r > 0 \), \( M > 0 \) be constants. Let \( AC([a, b], \mathbb{R}) \) be the space of functions \( f(t) \) which are absolutely continuous on \([a, b] \) and let \( AC^n([a, b], \mathbb{R}) \) be the space of functions \( f(t) \) which have continuous derivatives up to order \( n - 1 \) on \([a, b] \) such that \( f^{n-1}(t) \in AC([a, b], \mathbb{R}) \). If \( \sigma \in \mathbb{R}, A > 0 \) and \( x \in C((\sigma - r, \sigma + A], \mathbb{R}^n) \), then, for any \( t \in [\sigma, \sigma + A] \), we let \( x_l \in C \) be defined by \( x_l(\theta) = x(t+\theta), \theta \in [-r, 0] \).

Let us recall the following definitions. For more details, we refer the reader to [1–5].

Definition 1. The fractional order integral of a function \( f : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) of order \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+ = (0, +\infty) \) is defined by
\[
\mathcal{I}_{t_0}^\alpha f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) \, ds,
\]
where \( \Gamma(\cdot) \) is the gamma function.

Definition 2. For a function \( f \) given on the interval \([t_0, \infty) \), the \( \alpha \) order Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of \( f \) is defined by
\[
\mathcal{D}_{t_0}^\alpha f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^n \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} f(s) \, ds,
\]
where \( n \in \mathbb{N}, n-1 < \alpha < n \).

Definition 3. For a function \( f \in AC^n([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R}) \), the \( \alpha \) order Caputo fractional derivative of \( f \) is defined by
\[
\mathcal{D}_{t_0}^\alpha f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} f^{(n)}(s) \, ds,
\]
where \( n \in \mathbb{N}, n-1 < \alpha < n \).

Some properties of the aforementioned operators are recalled as follows [1, 5].

Property 4. The following results are especially interesting.
(i) For \( \nu > -1 \), we have \( \mathcal{D}_{t_0}^\nu f(t) = (\Gamma(1+ \nu) / \Gamma(1+ \nu - \alpha))(t-t_0)^{\nu-\alpha} \).
(ii) When \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \), we have
\[
\mathcal{D}_{t_0}^\alpha f(t) = \mathcal{D}_{t_0}^\nu f(t) - \frac{f(t_0) (t-t_0)^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}.
\]
(iii) For \( \alpha \in (0, 1), T \geq t_0 \), and \( f \in C([t_0, T], \mathbb{R}^n) \), we have \( \mathcal{D}_{t_0}^\alpha \mathcal{I}_{t_0}^\nu f(t) = f(t), \mathcal{I}_{t_0}^\nu \mathcal{D}_{t_0}^\alpha f(t) = f(t) - f(t_0) \).

Remark 5. From Property 4, if \( \mathcal{D}_{t_0}^\alpha f(t) \geq 0, \alpha \in (0, 1) \), then, for \( t \geq t_0 \), we have the following.
(i) \( f(t) \geq f(t_0) \).
(ii) In general, it is not true that \( f(t) \) is nondecreasing in \( t \).

In [21], Cruz and Hale studied a class of functional difference operators which are very useful in stability theory and the asymptotic behavior of solutions of functional differential equations of neutral type. Suppose \( \tau \in \mathbb{R}, g : [\tau, +\infty) \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) is continuous, \( g(t, \phi) \) is linear in \( \phi \), and there are an \( n \times n \) matrix \( \mu(t, \theta), t \in [\tau, +\infty), \theta \in [-r, 0] \), of bounded variation in \( \theta \) and a scalar function \( l(s) \) continuously nondecreasing for \( s \in [0, r], l(0) = 0 \), such that
\[
\left| g(t, \phi) \right| \leq l(s) \sup_{\theta \in [-r, 0]} |\phi(\theta)|,
\]
for all \( t \in [\tau, +\infty), \phi \in C \). Define the linear functional difference operator
\[
\mathcal{D} : \mathbb{R} \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n
\]
by
\[
\mathcal{D}(t, \phi) = \phi(0) - g(t, \phi).
\]
For any \( H \in C([\tau, +\infty), \mathbb{R}^n) \), the space of continuous functions taking \([\tau, +\infty) \) into \( \mathbb{R}^n \), the solution \( x(t) \) of (8) satisfies
\[
\mathcal{D}(t, x(t_0)) = H(t) - H(\sigma), \quad t \geq \sigma, \quad x_{\sigma} = \phi, \quad \phi \in C.
\]

Lemma 7 (see [21]). If \( \mathcal{D} \) is uniformly stable with respect to \( C([\tau, +\infty), \mathbb{R}^n) \), then there are constants \( K, M \) such that, for any \( \phi \in C, \sigma \in [\tau, +\infty) \) and \( \mathcal{D} \), the solution \( x(t, \sigma, \varphi, H) \) of the equation
\[
\mathcal{D}(t, x(t_0)) = H(t), \quad t \geq \sigma, \quad x_{\sigma} = \phi, \quad \varphi \in C
\]
satisfies
\[
\|x(t, \sigma, \varphi, H)\| \leq K|\varphi| + M \sup_{u \in [\sigma, t]} |H(u) - H(\sigma)|, \quad t \geq \sigma.
\]
for all \( t \geq \sigma \). Furthermore, the constants \( a, b, c, d \) can be chosen so that for any \( s \in [\sigma, +\infty) \)
\[
\|x_t(\sigma, \varphi, h)\| \leq e^{-a(t-\sigma)} \left( b \|\varphi\| + c \sup_{u \in [\sigma, t]} |h(u)| \right) + d \sup_{u \in [\sigma, t]} |h(u)|,
\]
for all \( t \geq s + r \).

**Definition 8** (see [22]). Suppose \( \mathcal{D} : \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{R}^n \) is linear, continuous, and atomic at 0 and let \( \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}} = \{ \varphi \in \mathcal{C} : \mathcal{D}(\varphi) = 0 \} \). The operator \( \mathcal{D} \) is said to be stable if the zero solution of the homogeneous difference equation,
\[
\mathcal{D}(y_\cdot) = 0, \quad t \geq 0, \quad y_0 = \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}},
\]
is uniformly asymptotically stable.

**Definition 9** (see [23]). The matrix \( B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \) is Schur stable if the spectrum of the matrix lies in the open unit disc of the complex plane.

Consider a simple operator \( \mathcal{D} : \mathcal{D}(x_t) = x(t) - Bx(t - r) \), where the matrix \( B \) is Schur stable. Let \( x(t) \) be a solution of the homogeneous difference equation
\[
\mathcal{D}(x_t) = 0, \quad t \geq 0, \quad x_0 = \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}},
\]
(14) then, for a given \( k \) such that \( t \in [(k - 1)r, kr] \). It follows from (14) that
\[
x(t) = B^k x(t - kr),
\]
(15) since the matrix \( B \) is Schur stable, there exist \( L \geq 1 \) and \( 0 < \zeta < 1 \) such that the inequality \( \|B^k\|_0 \leq L \xi^k \) holds. Therefore we have
\[
|x(t)| = \|B^k x(t - kr)| \leq \|B^k\|_0 \|x(t - kr)\| \leq L \xi^k \|\varphi\|.
\]
Since \( \lim_{k \to \infty} \xi^k = 0 \), it follows from (16) that the zero solution of the homogeneous difference equation (14) is uniformly asymptotically stable. Therefore we have the following remark.

**Remark 10.** Let \( \mathcal{D}(t, x_t) = x(t) - Bx(t - r) \), where \( B \) is Schur stable. Then \( \mathcal{D} \) is stable.

### 3. Main Results

In this section, we consider the stability of the following nonlinear fractional neutral differential difference system:

\[
^C \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha \mathcal{D}(t, x_t) = f(t, x_t), \quad t > t_0,
\]
(17) with the initial condition
\[
x_{t_0} = \varphi,
\]
(18) where \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \), \( f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{R}^n \) is continuous, Lipschitz in \( x_t \), and takes closed bounded sets into bounded sets and \( g(t, 0) = f(t, 0) \equiv 0 \); the linear difference operator \( \mathcal{D} \) is defined in (7) with \( g \) satisfying (5); that is, \( g(t, \varphi) \) is uniformly nonatomic at zero [22]. Here, we always assume that fractional order neutral system (17) with initial condition (18) has a unique continuous solution \( x(t) \) which depends continuously upon \( t_0, \varphi \).

If \( V : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{R} \) is continuously differentiable, we define the Caputo fractional derivative \( ^C \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha V(t, \varphi) \) along the solution \( x_t = x_t(t_0, \varphi) \) of (17)-(18) as
\[
^C \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha V(t, x_t) = \frac{1}{Γ(1-\gamma)} \int_{t_0}^t (t - s)^{\gamma-1} V(s, x_s) ds,
\]
(19) for any \( 0 < \gamma < 1 \).

**Definition 11.** We say that the zero solution \( x = 0 \) of (17) is stable if, for any \( t_0 \in \mathbb{R} \) and any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists a \( \delta = \delta(t_0, \varepsilon) \) such that any solution \( x(t) = x(t, t_0, \varphi) \) of (17) with initial value \( \varphi \) at \( t_0 \), \( \|\varphi\| < \delta \), satisfies \( |x(t)| < \varepsilon \) for \( t \geq t_0 \). It is asymptotically stable if it is stable and, for any \( t_0 \in \mathbb{R} \) and any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists a \( \delta_0 = \delta(t_0, \varepsilon) \) such that \( \|\varphi\| < \delta_0 \) implies \( |x(t)| < \varepsilon \) for \( t \geq t_0 + T(t_0, \varepsilon) \); that is, \( \lim_{t \to +\infty} x(t) = 0 \). It is uniformly stable if it is asymptotically stable and there exists \( \delta_0 > 0 \); for any \( \eta > 0 \), there exists a \( T = T(\eta) > 0 \) such that \( \|\varphi\| < \delta_0 \) implies \( |x(t)| < \eta \) for \( t > t_0 + T \).

Next, we will address the main core of the paper, the stability of the nonlinear fractional neutral systems (17). Firstly, we consider the case \( \mathcal{D}(t, \varphi) = \mathcal{D}(\varphi) \); that is, \( \mathcal{D} \) is independent of \( t \). Now, we give the following Lyapunov-Krasovskii theorems for nonlinear fractional neutral systems (17).

**Theorem 12.** Let \( \mathcal{D}(t, x_t) = x(t) - Bx(t - r) \), let \( B \) be Schur stable, and let \( x = 0 \) be an equilibrium point of system (17). Then the zero solution of the system (17) is stable if and only if there exist a functional \( V : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{C}(M) \to \mathbb{R} \) and a continuous function \( u(s) \) with \( u(s) > 0 \) for \( s > 0 \) and \( u(0) \to 0 \) such that the following conditions are satisfied.

1. \( V(t, 0) = 0 \).
2. \( V(t, \varphi) \geq u([\mathcal{D}(\varphi)]) \).
3. For any given \( t_0 \), the functional \( V(t_0, \varphi) \) is continuous in \( \varphi \) at the point 0; that is, for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exists \( \delta > 0 \) such that the inequality \( \|\varphi\| < \delta \) implies \( |V(t_0, \varphi) - V(t_0, 0)| = V(t_0, \varphi) < \varepsilon \).
4. Along the solutions of system (17) the functional \( V(t, \varphi) \) satisfies \( V(t, x(t_0, \varphi)) \leq V(t_0, \varphi) \) for \( t \geq t_0 \).

**Proof.**

**Sufficiency.** Since the matrix \( B \) is Schur stable, there exist \( L \geq 1 \) and \( 0 < \zeta < 1 \) such that the inequality \( \|B^k\|_0 \leq L \xi^k \) holds for \( k \geq 0 \).

For a given \( \varepsilon > 0 \) (\( \varepsilon < M \)), we set \( \varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon(1 - \zeta)/L > 0 \).

Since for a given \( t_0 \) functional \( V(t_0, \varphi) \) is continuous in \( \varphi \) at the point 0, there exists \( \delta_1(\varepsilon, t_0) \) such that \( V(t_0, \varphi) < u(\varepsilon_1) \)
for any \( \phi \in C \), with \( \|\phi\| < \delta_1(\varepsilon, t_0) \). Here, we claim that \( \delta_1(\varepsilon, t_0) \leq \varepsilon_1 \). Then, there exists an initial function \( \phi \in C \) such that \( \|\phi\| < \delta_1(\varepsilon, t_0) \) and \( \|\phi(t)\| = \varepsilon_1 \). On the one hand, for this initial function, we have \( u(||\phi||) = u(\varepsilon_1) \). On the other hand, \( u(||\phi||) \leq V(t_0, \phi) < u(\varepsilon_1) \). The contradiction proves the desired inequality.

Now we take \( \delta(\varepsilon, t_0) = \delta_1(\varepsilon, t_0)/(1 + \Lambda \xi) \). For \( \phi \in C \) with \( \|\phi\| < \delta(\varepsilon, t_0) \), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
u \left( \left| D \left( x_t(t_0, \phi) \right) \right| \right) &\leq V(t_0, \phi) < u(\varepsilon_1), \quad t \geq t_0. 
\end{align*}
\]
Next, we wish to show
\[
\begin{align*}
\left| D \left( x_t(t_0, \phi) \right) \right| &< \varepsilon_1, \quad t \geq t_0.
\end{align*}
\]
Assume by contradiction that there exists a \( t_1 \geq t_0 \) for which
\[
\begin{align*}
\left| D \left( x_{t_1}(t_0, \phi) \right) \right| &\geq \varepsilon_1.
\end{align*}
\]
Since
\[
\begin{align*}
\left| D \left( x_{t}(t_0, \phi) \right) \right| &= \left| D \left( \phi \right) \right| \leq (1 + \Lambda \xi) \|\phi\| < \delta_1(\varepsilon, t_0) \leq \varepsilon_1,
\end{align*}
\]
and \( |D(x, t_0, \phi)| \) is a continuous function of \( t \), there exists \( f \in [t_0, t_1] \) such that
\[
\begin{align*}
\left| D \left( x_f(t_0, \phi) \right) \right| &= \varepsilon_1.
\end{align*}
\]
On the one hand, we have
\[
\begin{align*}
u \left( \left| D \left( x_f(t_0, \phi) \right) \right| \right) &= u(\varepsilon_1).
\end{align*}
\]
On the other hand, relation (20) provides the following inequality:
\[
\begin{align*}
u \left( \left| D \left( x_f(t_0, \phi) \right) \right| \right) < u(\varepsilon_1).
\end{align*}
\]
The contradiction proves that inequality (22) is wrong and relation (21) is true. Then there exists a function \( z(t) \) with \( |z(t)| < \varepsilon_1, t \geq t_0 \) such that
\[
\begin{align*}
|x(t, t_0, \phi)| &= B x(t - r, t_0, \phi) + z(t), \quad t \geq t_0.
\end{align*}
\]
For a given \( t \geq t_0 \), there must exist a positive integer number \( k \) such that \( t \in [t_0 + (k-1)r, t_0 + kr] \). Iterating equality (27) \( k - 1 \) times we obtain
\[
\begin{align*}
x(t, t_0, \phi) &= B^k x(t - kr, t_0, \phi) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} B^i z(t - ir).
\end{align*}
\]
Since \( t - kr \in [t_0 - r, t_0] \),
\[
\begin{align*}
|x(t - kr, t_0, \phi)| \leq \|\phi\| < \delta(\varepsilon, t_0) \leq \varepsilon_1,
\end{align*}
\]
and we obtain the following inequality:
\[
\begin{align*}
|x(t, t_0, \phi)| &\leq \|B^k\| |x(t - kr, t_0, \phi)| + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \|B^i\| |z(t - ir)|
< L_k^r \delta(\varepsilon, t_0) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} L_i \xi \varepsilon_1 < \frac{L}{1 - \xi} \varepsilon_1
= \varepsilon_1, \quad t \geq t_0.
\end{align*}
\]
Therefore, the zero solution of system (17) is stable.

**Necessity.** Now, the zero solution of system (17) is stable, and we must prove that there exist a function \( u(s) \) and a functional \( V(t, \phi) \) that satisfy the conditions (1)–(4).

Since the zero solution of system (17) is stable, for \( \varepsilon = M \) there exists \( \delta(M, t_0) \) such that the inequality \( |\phi| < \delta(M, t_0) \) implies that \( |x(t, t_0, \phi)| < M \) for \( t \geq t_0 \). We define the function \( u(s) = s, s \in \mathbb{R} \) and the functional \( V(t, \phi) \) as follows:
\[
\begin{align*}
V(t, x_1(t_0, \phi)) &= \sup_{s \geq t} |D(x_1(t_0, \phi))|,
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
&= \begin{cases} 
\sup_{s \geq t} |D(x_1(t_0, \phi))|, & \text{if } |x(t_0, \phi)| < M, \text{ for } s \geq t_0, \\
(1 + \Lambda \xi) M, & \text{if } \exists \tau \geq t_0 \text{ such that } |x(T, t_0, \phi)| \geq M.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]
Since for \( \phi = 0 \) the corresponding solution is trivial, \( x(t, t_0, 0) = 0 \), \( t \geq t_0 \), we obtain \( V(t, 0) = 0 \). In the case where \( |x(s, t_0, \phi)| < M, s \geq t_0 \), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
u \left( \left| D \left( x_1(t_0, \phi) \right) \right| \right) &= |D(x_1(t_0, \phi))| \\
&\leq \sup_{s \geq t} |D(x_1(t_0, \phi))| = V(t, x_1(t_0, \phi)).
\end{align*}
\]
In the other case where there exists \( T \geq t_0 \) such that \( |x(T, t_0, \phi)| \geq M \), the following inequality holds:
\[
\begin{align*}
u \left( \left| D \left( x_1(t_0, \phi) \right) \right| \right) &= |D(x_1(t_0, \phi))| \\
&\leq (1 + \Lambda \xi) M = V(t, x_1(t_0, \phi)).
\end{align*}
\]
Further, for a given \( t_0 \), the stability of the zero solution means that for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exists \( \delta_1 = \delta(\varepsilon/(1 + \Lambda \xi), t_0) \) such that \( |\phi| \leq \delta_1 \) implies
\[
\begin{align*}
|x(t, t_0, \phi)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + \Lambda \xi}, \quad t \geq t_0.
\end{align*}
\]
Then
\[
\begin{align*}
|D(x_1(t_0, \phi))| &\leq |x(t, t_0, \phi)| + \Lambda \xi |x(t - r, t_0, \phi)| \\
&< \varepsilon, \quad t \geq t_0.
\end{align*}
\]
Therefore,
\[
\begin{align*}
V(t, x_1(t_0, \phi)) - V(t_0, 0) &= V(t_0, 0) \leq \varepsilon.
\end{align*}
\]
That is, for a fixed \( t_0 \) the functional \( V(t_0, \phi) \) is continuous in \( \phi \) at the point 0.

Finally, we need to show \( V(t, x_1(t_0, \phi)) \leq V(t_0, \phi), t \geq t_0 \). First, if \( |x(s, t_0, \phi)| < M \) for \( s \geq t_0 \),
\[
\begin{align*}
V(t, \phi) &= \sup_{s \geq t} |D(x_1(t_0, \phi))|, \\
V(t, x_1(t_0, \phi)) &= \sup_{s \geq t} |D(x_1(t_0, \phi))|.
\end{align*}
\]
Note that \( |s - s| \geq 0 \) for \( t \geq t_0 \), then we have
\[
\begin{align*}
V(t, x_1(t_0, \phi)) \leq V(t_0, \phi).
\end{align*}
\]
In the second case, there exists $T \geq t_0$ such that $|x(T, t_0, \varphi)| \geq M$; we have

$$V(t, x(t, t_0, \varphi)) = V(t_0, \varphi) = (1 + L\xi) M.$$  

(39)

Therefore, we have

$$V(t, x(t, t_0, \varphi)) \leq V(t_0, \varphi), \quad t \geq t_0.$$  

(40)

Then, the proof is complete.

Remark 13. The functional (31) has only an academic value. Obviously, we cannot use such functionals in applications. The computation of practically useful Lyapunov functionals is a very difficult task.

Theorem 14. Let $\mathcal{D}(t, x) = x(t) - Bx(t - r)$, $B$ be Schur stable and let $x = 0$ be an equilibrium point of system (17). Suppose $u(s)$ is a continuous function with $u(s) > 0$ for $s > 0$ and $u(0) = 0$. If there exists a continuous differentiable functional $V : \mathbb{R} \times C(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:

1. \( V(t, 0) = 0 \),
2. \( V(t, \varphi) \geq u(|\mathcal{D}(\varphi)|) \),
3. along the solutions of the system (5) the functional $V(t, \varphi)$ is continuously differentiable and satisfies

$$\dot{e}\mathcal{D}^\beta_t V(t, x(t, t_0, \varphi)) \leq 0, \quad t \geq t_0,$$

where $\beta \in (0, 1]$, \( \beta > 0 \), then the zero solution of system (17) is stable.

Proof. Note that the theorem conditions imply that of Theorem 12; therefore, the zero solution of system (17) is stable.

Theorem 15. Suppose that the assumptions in Theorem 14 are satisfied except replacing $\dot{e}\mathcal{D}^\beta_t$ by $\dot{D}^\beta_t$; then one has the same result for stability.

Proof. By using Property 4 we have

$$\dot{e}\mathcal{D}^\beta_t V(t, x(t, t_0, \varphi)) = \dot{D}^\beta_t V(t, x_t) - V(t_0, \varphi) \frac{(t - t_0)^\beta}{\Gamma(1 + \beta)}.$$

(42)

Since $V(t_0, \varphi) \geq 0$, then $\dot{e}\mathcal{D}^\beta_t V(t, x(t)) \leq \dot{D}^\beta_t V(t, x_t)$. Then we can obtain the same result for stability.

Next, we present the following sufficient conditions for the asymptotic stability of the zero solution of system (17).

Theorem 16. Let $\mathcal{D}(t, x) = x(t) - Bx(t - r)$, let $B$ be Schur stable, and let $x = 0$ be an equilibrium point of system (17). Suppose $u(s), w(s)$ are continuous functions, $u(s), w(s)$ are positive for $s > 0$, and $u(0) = w(0) = 0$. If there exists a continuously differentiable functional $V : \mathbb{R} \times C(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $V(t, 0) = 0$,
2. $V(t, \varphi) \geq u(|\mathcal{D}(\varphi)|)$,
3. $\dot{\mathcal{D}}^\beta_t V(t, x(t_0, \varphi)) \leq -\omega(|\mathcal{D}(x(t_0, \varphi))|)$, where $\beta \in (0, 1]$, then the zero solution of system (17) is asymptotically stable.

Proof. Since the matrix $B$ is Schur stable, there exist $L \geq 1$ and $0 < \zeta < 1$ such that the inequality $\|B^k\| \leq L\zeta^k$ holds for $k \geq 0$.

Note that the conditions (1)–(3) of the theorem imply that of Theorem 14; then the zero solution of system (17) is stable; that is, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $t_0$, there exists $\delta(\varepsilon, t_0) > 0$ such that for every initial function $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$, with $\|\varphi\| < \delta(\varepsilon, t_0)$, the following inequality holds:

$$|x(t, t_0, \varphi)| < \varepsilon, \quad t \geq t_0.$$  

(43)

Now, let $\delta(\varepsilon, t_0) = \delta(\varepsilon/(1 + L\zeta), t_0) > 0$. Given $t_0$ and an initial function $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\|\varphi\| < \delta(\varepsilon, t_0)$, we have

$$|x(t, t_0, \varphi)| < \varepsilon \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + L\zeta}, \quad t \geq t_0,$$

(44)

Next, we wish to show

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \mathcal{D}(x_0, t_0, \varphi) = \lim_{t \to \infty} |x(t, t_0, \varphi) - Bx(t - r, t_0, \varphi)| = 0.$$

(45)

Suppose not, then there exist $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and a sequence $\{t_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, $t_k \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$ such that

$$|x(t_k, t_0, \varphi) - Bx(t_k - r, t_0, \varphi)| \geq \varepsilon_0, \quad k \geq 1.$$

(46)

Without loss of generality we may assume that $t_k + 1 - t_k \geq r$ for $k \geq 0$. It follows from system (17) that

$$\mathcal{D}(x(t_k, t_0, \varphi)) = \mathcal{D}(\varphi) + t_k^{\alpha} f(t_k, x(t_k, t_0, \varphi)),$$

(47)

Then, we have

$$\mathcal{D}(x(t, t_0, \varphi)) = \mathcal{D}(x_k(t_0, \varphi)) + t_k^{\alpha} f(t_k, x(t_k, t_0, \varphi))$$

(48)

Since $f$ takes bounded sets into bounded sets, there is a constant $L_0$ such that $|f(x(t, t_0, \varphi))| \leq L_0$ for $t \geq t_0, \|\varphi\| \leq \delta(\varepsilon, t_0)$. Then

$$|\mathcal{D}(x(t, t_0, \varphi))| \geq \varepsilon_0 - \frac{2L_0(t - t_k)^\alpha}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha)}, \quad t \geq t_k.$$  

(49)
Hence, for any $k \geq 1$, we have
\[
\left| \mathcal{D} (x_1 (t_0, \varphi)) \right| \geq \frac{\delta_0}{2}, \quad t \in [t_k, t_k + \theta],
\]
where $\theta = \min \{r, [1 \times \alpha] \varepsilon_0 / 4 L_0 \}^{1/\alpha}$. Let $\xi = \min_{t \leq s \leq t_0} u(s) > 0$. Then there exists some $t_0 \in I_{k+1}$ such that
\[
V(t_0, \varphi) < \frac{\xi}{\Gamma(1 + \beta)} (t_0 - t_0)^\beta.
\]
From the third condition of the theorem, we have
\[
\mathcal{D}^\beta V (t_0, x(t_0, \varphi)) \leq -w (\mathcal{D} (x_1 (t_0, \varphi))) \leq -\xi.
\]
That is,
\[
V(t_n, x(t_0, \varphi)) \leq V(t_0, \varphi) - \frac{\xi}{\Gamma(1 + \beta)} (t_n - t_0)^\beta < 0,
\]
which contradicts the condition (2) of the theorem. Therefore, relation (45) is true. This means that there exists a function $z(t)$ with limit as $t \to \infty |z(t)| = 0$ such that
\[
x(t, t_0, \varphi) = Bx(t - r, t_0, \varphi) + z(t), \quad t \geq t_0.
\]
(54)

And given a positive value $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon$, there exists $t_1 > t_0$ such that
\[
|z(t)| \leq \frac{1 - \xi}{2L} \varepsilon_1, \quad t \geq t_1.
\]
Therefore, there exists $k_0$ such that $L_\xi^k \varepsilon < (1/2) \varepsilon_1$ for $k \geq k_0$. Thus, we have
\[
x(t, t_0, \varphi) = B^{k_0} x(t - k_0 r, t_0, \varphi)
+ \sum_{i=0}^{k_0 - 1} B^i z(t - ir), \quad t \geq t_1 + k_0 r,
\]
\[
|z(t, t_0, \varphi)| \leq \left\| B^{k_0} \right\| \left| x(t - k_0 r, t_0, \varphi) \right| + \sum_{i=0}^{k_0 - 1} \left\| B^i \right\| |z(t - ir)|
\]
\[
< L_\xi^{k_0} \varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} < \varepsilon_1, \quad t \geq t_1 + k_0 r.
\]
Therefore, we have $\lim_{t \to \infty} x(t, t_0, \varphi) = 0$; that is, the zero solution of system (17) is asymptotically stable.

**Theorem 17.** Suppose that the assumptions in Theorem 16 are satisfied except replacing $\mathcal{D}^\beta_t$ by $\mathcal{D}^\beta$, then one has the same result for asymptotical stability.

**Proof.** By using Property 4 we have
\[
\mathcal{D}^\beta V (t, x_1) = \mathcal{D}^\beta_t V (t, x_1) - V (t_0, \varphi) \frac{(t - t_0)^\beta}{\Gamma(1 + \beta)}.
\]
Since $V(t_0, \varphi) \geq 0$, then $\mathcal{D}^\beta V (t, x_1) \leq D^\beta_t V(t, x_1)$. Then we can obtain the same result for asymptotical stability.

Here, we present the following sufficient conditions for the instability of the zero solution of system (17).

**Theorem 18.** Suppose $\mathcal{D}$ in (17) is independent of $t$, $V : C \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and maps bounded sets into bounded sets, and there exist continuous, nondecreasing nonnegative functions $a(s), b(s), s \geq 0$, positive for $s > 0$, an open set $S$ in $C$, and a bounded open neighborhood $U$ of zero in $C$ such that $V(\varphi)$ satisfies the following.

(i) $V(\varphi) > 0$ on $S$, $V(\varphi) = 0$ on the boundary $\partial S$ of $S$.

(ii) $0$ belongs to the closure of $S \cap U$.

(iii) $V(\varphi) \leq a(||\mathcal{D}(\varphi)||)$ on $\mathbb{R} \times (S \cap U)$.

(iv) $\mathcal{D}^\beta V(\varphi) \geq b(\mathcal{D}(\varphi))$ on $\mathbb{R} \times (S \cap U), \beta \in (0, 1]$.

Under these conditions, the zero solution of (17) is unstable. More specifically, each solution $x = x(t, t_0, \varphi)$ of (17) with initial value $\varphi \in S \cap U$ at $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ must reach the boundary of $U$ in finite time.

**Proof.** Suppose $\varphi_0 \in S \cap U, t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $V(\varphi_0) > 0$. From (iv), the solution $x(t, t_0, \varphi_0)$ satisfies $V(x_1) \geq V(\varphi_0)$ as long as $x_1 \in S \cap U$. From (iii) and (iv), this implies
\[
\mathcal{D}^\beta V(x_1) \geq b(\mathcal{D}(x_1)) \geq b(a^{-1}(V(x_1)))
\]
\[
\geq b(a^{-1}(V(\varphi_0))) > 0,
\]
(58)
as long as $x_1 \in S \cap U$. Then this relation implies
\[
V(x_1) \geq V(\varphi_0) + b(a^{-1}(V(\varphi_0))) \frac{(t - t_0)^\beta}{\Gamma(1 + \beta)},
\]
(59)
as long as $x_1 \in S \cap U$. Since $U$ is bounded and $V$ is bounded on $U$, there must be a $t_1$ such that $x_{t_1} \in \partial(S \cap U)$. But hypothesis (i) implies that $x_{t_1} \in \partial(U)$. This proves the last assertion of the theorem. Hypothesis (ii) implies that each neighborhood of zero contains a $\varphi_0$ in $S \cap U$. Thus, $x = 0$ is unstable and the theorem is proved.

Finally, we consider that the case $\mathcal{D}$ is dependent of $t$ and give the following Lyapunov-Krasovskii theorems for nonlinear fractional neutral systems (17).

**Theorem 19.** Suppose $\mathcal{D}$ is uniformly stable with respect to $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n), u(s), v(s), w(s)$ are continuous nondecreasing functions, $u(s), v(s)$ are positive for $s > 0, u(0) = v(0) = 0$, and $w(s)$ is nonnegative. If there exists a continuously differentiable functional $V : \mathbb{R} \times C \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

(i) $u(||\mathcal{D}(t, \varphi)||) \leq V(t, \varphi) \leq v(||\varphi||)$,

(ii) $\mathcal{D}^\beta_t V(t, x_1) \leq -w(||\mathcal{D}(t, x_1)||), \beta \in (0, 1]$,

then the zero solution of (17) is uniformly stable. If, in addition, $w(s) > 0$ for $s > 0$, then it is uniformly asymptotically stable.

**Proof.** Suppose the constants $b, c, d$ are defined as in Lemma 7, and $x(t) = x(t, t_0, \varphi)$ is solution of (17)-(18). For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find a sufficiently small $\delta$ such that
\( b\delta < \varepsilon/2, \forall \delta < u(\varepsilon/2(c + d)). \) Hence, for any initial time \( t_0 \) and any initial condition \( x_{t_0} = \phi \) with \( \|\phi\| < \delta, \) we have \( \psi^c \mathcal{D}_t^\beta V(t, x_t(t_0, \phi)) \leq 0, \) and therefore \( V(t, x_t(t_0, \phi)) \leq V(t_0, \phi) \) for any \( t \geq t_0. \) This implies that
\[
\psi^c \mathcal{D}_t^\beta V(t, x_t(t_0, \phi)) \leq V(t, x_t(t_0, \phi)) \leq V(t_0, \phi) \leq \nu(\delta/2), \quad \|\phi\| < \delta/2 \nu(1/(1 + \beta)). \]
which implies that \( \|\mathcal{D}(t, x_t(t_0, \phi))\| < \varepsilon/2(c + d) \) for \( t \geq t_0. \)
Since \( \mathcal{D} \) is uniformly stable, Lemma 7 implies
\[
\|x_t(t_0, \phi)\| \leq b \|\phi\| + (c + d) \frac{\varepsilon}{2(c + d)}, \quad t \geq t_0. \tag{61}
\]
\[
\|x_t(t_0, \phi)\| < b\delta + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon. \tag{62}
\]

Therefore, the zero solution is uniformly stable.

To prove uniform asymptotic stability, let \( \varepsilon_0 := \varepsilon = 1; \) choose \( \delta_0 := \delta(1) > 0 \) which correspond to uniform stability. Then, for any \( t_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \|\phi\| < \delta_0 \) implies
\[
\|x_t(t_0, \phi)\| < \varepsilon_0, \quad \|\mathcal{D}(t, x_t(t_0, \phi))\| < \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2(c + d)}, \quad t \geq t_0. \tag{63}
\]

Next, for any \( \eta > 0, \) we wish to show that there is a \( T(\delta_0, \eta) \) such that any solution \( x(t, t_0, \phi) \) of (17) with \( \|\phi\| < \delta_0 \) satisfies \( \|x_t(t_0, \phi)\| < \eta \) for \( t \geq t_0 + T(\delta_0, \eta). \) To do this, we show that there is a \( T(\delta_0, \eta) \) and \( t_1 \) in \([t_0, t_0 + T(\delta_0, \eta)]\) such that \( \|x_t(t_0, \phi)\| < \delta_0 \), where \( \delta = \delta(\delta_0) \) is the above constant for uniform stability. The uniform stability then implies that \( \|x_t(t_0, \phi)\| < \eta \) for \( t \geq t_1 \) and, in particular, for \( t \geq t_0 + T(\delta_0, \eta). \)

For \( a, b, c, d \) as in Lemma 7, choose \( N = N(\delta_0, \eta) \) so that
\[
e^{-aN} \left( b\delta_0 + \frac{c}{2(c + d)} \right) \leq \frac{\delta}{2}. \tag{64}
\]
Let \( T(\delta_0, \eta) = N + r + [\eta(\delta_0)\Gamma(1 + \beta)/\nu(\delta/2d)]^{1/\beta}. \) Suppose there is a solution \( x(t, t_0, \phi) \) of (17) with \( \|\phi\| < \delta_0 \) and \( \|x(t, t_0, \phi)\| \geq \delta \) for \( t \geq t_0. \) Taking \( s = t_0 + [\eta(\delta_0)\Gamma(1 + \beta)/\nu(\delta/2d)]^{1/\beta}, t = s' := s + N + r \) in relation (12), we have
\[
\delta \leq \|x_{s'}\| \leq e^{-aN} \left( b\delta_0 + \frac{c}{2(c + d)} \right) + d \sup_{u \in [s',s']} \|\mathcal{D}(u, x_u)\| + \rho(\eta, \delta_0) \Gamma(1 + \beta), \quad t = s' := s + N + r \tag{65}
\]
\[
\leq e^{-aN} \left( b\delta_0 + \frac{c}{2(c + d)} \right) + d \sup_{u \in [s',s+N+r]} \|\mathcal{D}(u, x_u)\| \leq \frac{\delta}{2} + d \sup_{u \in [s',s'']} \|\mathcal{D}(u, x_u)\|. \tag{66}
\]
Therefore, there exists a \( t' \in [s', s''] \) such that \( \|\mathcal{D}(t', x_{t'})\| > \delta/2d. \) Then, by the condition (ii) of the theorem, we have
\[
\psi^c \mathcal{D}_t^\beta V(t, x_t) \leq -w \left( \|\mathcal{D}(t, x_{t'})\| \right) \leq -w \left( \frac{\delta}{2d} \right), \tag{67}
\]
and hence by Property 4 we conclude
\[
\psi^c \mathcal{D}_t^\beta \left[ V(t, x_t') + w \left( \frac{\delta}{2d} \right) \frac{(t - t_0)^\beta}{\Gamma(1 + \beta)} \right] \leq 0. \tag{68}
\]

This contradiction proves that there exists a \( t_1 \in [t_0, t_0 + T(\delta_0, \eta)] \) such that \( \|x_t(t_0, \phi)\| < \delta. \) Thus, we have \( |x(t, t_0, \phi)| < \eta, t \geq t_0 + T(\delta_0, \eta), \) whenever \( \|\phi\| < \delta_0. \) This proves the uniform asymptotic stability of the zero solution of (17). \( \square \)

**Theorem 20.** Suppose that the assumptions in Theorem 19 are satisfied except replacing \( \psi^c \mathcal{D}_t^\beta \) by \( \psi^c \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha \); then one has the same result for uniform stability and uniform asymptotic stability.

**Proof.** By using Property 4 we have
\[
\psi^c \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha V(t, x_t) = \psi^c \mathcal{D}_t^\beta V(t, x_t) - V(t_0, \phi) \frac{(t - t_0)^\beta}{\Gamma(1 + \beta)}. \tag{69}
\]
Since \( V(t_0, \phi) \geq 0, \) then \( \psi^c \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha V(t, x_t) \leq \psi^c \mathcal{D}_t^\beta V(t, x_t). \) Then we can obtain the same result for uniform stability and uniform asymptotic stability. \( \square \)

**4. An Illustrative Example**

A fractional neutral differential difference system is considered in the following state-space description:
\[
\psi^c \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha V(t, x_t) = f(D(x_t)), \tag{70}
\]
where \( 0 < \alpha < 1, D(x_t) = x(t) - Bx(t - r), B \) is Schur stable, \( f(0) = 0, f(x)(df(x)/dx)x \leq 0, \) and there exist a continuous function \( u(s) \) with \( u(s) > 0 \) for \( s > 0 \) and \( u(0) = 0 \) such that \( u(|D(x_t)|) \leq |f(D(x_t))|; \) then the equilibrium point \( x = 0 \) of system (70) is stable.
Proof. Let the Lyapunov candidate be $V(t, x_t) = f^2(D(x_t))$, then, for $0 < \beta < 1$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{D}_D^\beta V(t, x_t) &= \frac{dV(t, x_t)}{dt} \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \int_0^t \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^{1-\beta} f(D(x)) \right] \\
&= 2\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} \left[ f(D(x)) \right] \frac{dD(x)}{dt} \\
&= 2\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} \left[ f(D(x)) \right] \frac{dD(x)}{dt} \leq 0.
\end{align*}
$$

Then, it follows from Theorem 14 that the equilibrium point $x = 0$ of system (70) is stable.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, we have studied the stability of a class of nonlinear fractional neutral differential difference systems. We introduce the Lyapunov-Krasovskii approach for fractional neutral systems, which enrich the knowledge of both the system theory and the fractional calculus. By using Lyapunov-Krasovskii technique, stability and instability criteria are obtained for the nonlinear fractional neutral differential difference systems. Finally, we point out that since the computation of practically useful Lyapunov functionals is a very difficult task, fractional Lyapunov method has its own limitations. In other words, the present paper is only an introduction to the topic, and there remains a lot of work to do.
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