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A nonautonomous discrete two-species Lotka-Volterra commensalism system with delays is considered in this paper. Based on the discrete comparison theorem, the permanence of the system is obtained. Then, by constructing a new discrete Lyapunov functional, a set of sufficient conditions which guarantee the system global attractivity are obtained. If the coefficients are almost periodic, there exists an almost periodic solution and the almost periodic solution is globally attractive.

1. Introduction

The study of the dynamic behaviors of discrete time systems governed by difference equation has become one of the most important topics in mathematic biology during the last decade. There are three main types of interaction between two species: (i) predator-prey, (ii) competition, and (iii) mutualism or symbiosis. Topics such as permanence and global attractivity of these types were extensively investigated by scholars; see [1–9] and the references cited therein. However, commensalism, a typical relationship like epiphyte and plants with epiphyte, has few people to study it.

Sun and Wei [10] proposed the following commensalism system:

\[
\begin{align*}
    \frac{dx}{dt} &= r_1 x \left( 1 - \frac{x}{K_1} + \alpha \frac{y}{K_1} \right), \\
    \frac{dy}{dt} &= r_2 y \left( 1 - \frac{y}{K_2} \right),
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

where \(r_1, r_2, K_1, K_2\), and \(\alpha\) are all positive constants. By linearization of the system at positive equilibrium, they obtain that its corresponding linearization matrix has two negative eigenvalues; that is, the unique positive equilibrium is a stable node (Type I). Therefore, from First Degree Approximation Theory, we know that the unique positive equilibrium is asymptotically stable without any conditions.

Though much progress has been seen in the traditional Lotka-Volterra model, such models are not well studied in the sense that most results are continuous time cases related. Many authors have argued that the discrete time systems governed by difference equations are more approximate than the continuous ones when the populations have nonoverlapping generations. Discrete time models can also provide efficient computational models for numerical simulations. In a traditional continuous Lotka-Volterra cooperative system, if there is a positive equilibrium, the positive equilibrium is globally stable. But discrete Lotka-Volterra cooperative system cannot be permanent under any conditions. Therefore, the discrete systems are more difficult and complex to deal with compared to the continuous ones.

In this paper, we study the following discrete commensalism system:

\[
\begin{align*}
    x(n+1) &= x(n) \exp \left[ r_1(n) \left( 1 - \frac{x(n-\tau_1)}{K_1(n)} + \alpha(n) \frac{y(n-\tau_2)}{K_1(n)} \right) \right], \\
    y(n+1) &= y(n) \exp \left[ r_2(n) \left( 1 - \frac{y(n-\tau_2)}{K_2(n)} \right) \right],
\end{align*}
\]

(2)

where \(x(n)\) and \(y(n)\) represent the sizes or the densities of species \(x\) and \(y\) at \(n\)th generation, respectively. Parameters \(r_i(n), K_i(n)\) \((i = 1, 2)\), and \(\alpha(n)\) are bounded nonnegative
almost periodic sequences (for the definition, see Section 4) such that
\[
0 < r_i^L \leq r_i(n) \leq r_i^M, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad \alpha^L \leq \alpha(n) \leq \alpha^M, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

Here, denote the sets of integers and nonnegative integers by \(\mathbb{Z}\) and \(\mathbb{Z}^+\), respectively, and \(\tau = \max\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3\}\). For any bounded sequence \(g(n)\) defined on \(\mathbb{Z}\), denote \(g^M = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g(n)\) and \(g^L = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g(n)\).

For biological reasons, we consider system (2) with the following initial conditions:
\[
(x(\theta), y(\theta)) = (\varphi(\theta), \psi(\theta)) > 0, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{N}[-\tau, 0].
\]

It is not difficult to see that solutions of (2) are well defined for all \(n \geq 0\) and satisfy \(x(n) > 0\).

The organization of this paper is as follows. In the next section, we show that (2) is permanent. In Section 3, a set of conditions which ensure that (2) is globally attractive are obtained. In Section 4, we will show that the almost periodic solutions are globally attractive. In Section 5, an example together with its numeric simulation is given to illustrate the feasibility of the main result.

\section{Permanence}

We first introduce some lemma.

\textbf{Lemma 1} (see [11]). Assume that \(|x(n)|\) satisfies \(x(n) > 0\) and for \(n \in [N_0, +\infty)\), \(x(n + 1) \leq x(n)\exp[r(n)(1 - ax(n))]\), where \(a\) is a positive constant. Then
\[
\limsup_{n \to +\infty} x(n) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha^M} \exp\left(r^M - 1\right), \quad (5)
\]

\textbf{Lemma 2} (see [11]). Assume that \(|x(n)|\) satisfies \(x(n) > 0\) and \(x(n)\exp[r(n)(1 - ax(n))]\), \(n \geq N_0\), \(\limsup_{n \to +\infty} x(n) \leq x^*\), and \(x(N_0) > 0\), where \(a\) is a positive constant such that \(a x^* > 1\) and \(N_0 \in \mathbb{N}\). Then
\[
\liminf_{n \to +\infty} x(n) \geq \frac{1}{a} \exp\left(r^M(1 - ax^*)\right). \quad (6)
\]

\textbf{Theorem A}. For every positive solution \((x(n), y(n))\) of system (2), one has
\[
m_1 \leq \liminf_{n \to +\infty} x(n) \leq \limsup_{n \to +\infty} x(n) \leq M_1,
\]
\[
m_2 \leq \liminf_{n \to +\infty} y(n) \leq \limsup_{n \to +\infty} y(n) \leq M_2,
\]
where
\[
M_2 = \frac{K^M}{r^L_2} \exp\left(r^M_2 (\tau_3 + 1) - 1\right),
\]
\[
m_2 = \frac{K^L_2}{\exp(-P\tau_3)} \exp\left[r^M_2 \left(1 - \frac{\exp(-P\tau_3)}{K^M_2} M_2\right)\right],
\]
\[
M_1 = \frac{K^M_1}{r^L_1} \exp\left(P (\tau_1 + 1) - 1\right),
\]
\[
m_1 = \frac{K^L_1 T}{\exp(-P\tau_1)} \exp\left[r^M_1 \left(T - \frac{\exp(-P\tau_1)}{K^M_1} M_1\right)\right],
\]
\[
P = r^L_2 \left(1 - \frac{M_1}{K^M_1}\right),
\]
\[
Q = r^M_1 \left(1 + \frac{\alpha^M M_2}{K^M_1}\right),
\]
\[
T = 1 + \frac{\alpha^L m_2}{K^M_1},
\]
\[
R = r^M_1 \left(T - \frac{M_1}{K^M_1}\right).
\]

\textbf{Proof of Theorem A}. Let \((x(n), y(n))\) be an arbitrary solution of system (2). From the second equation of system (2), it follows that
\[
y(n + 1) \leq y(n) \exp\left(r^M_2\right).
\]

From the above inequality, one has
\[
y(n - \tau_3) \geq y(n) \exp\left(-r^M_2 \tau_3\right).
\]

Substituting (10) into the second equation of (2), it follows that
\[
y(n + 1) \leq y(n) \exp\left[r^L_2 (1 - \frac{M_2}{K^M_2} \frac{\exp(-P\tau_3)}{K^M_2} M_2)\right].
\]

From Lemma 1 and (11), one has
\[
\limsup_{n \to +\infty} y(n) \leq \frac{K^M}{r^L_2} \exp\left(r^M_2 (\tau_3 + 1) - 1\right) = M_2.
\]

For any positive constant \(\varepsilon\) small enough, it follows from (12) that there exists a \(N_1 > 0\) such that, for all \(n \geq N_1\),
\[
y(n) < M_2 + \varepsilon.
\]
It follows from (13) and the second equation of (2), for $n \geq N_1 + \tau_3$, that
\[ y(n + 1) \geq y(n) \exp \left[ r_2(n) \left( 1 - \frac{M_2 + \epsilon}{K_2(n)} \right) \right] \geq y(n) \exp \left[ r_2(n) \left( 1 - \frac{M_2 + \epsilon}{K_2^L} \right) \right]. \tag{14} \]

Noting the fact that $(\exp(x - 1))/x \geq 1$, for $x > 0$, we obtain
\[ 1 - M_2 + \epsilon < 1 - \frac{M_2}{K_2^L} \]
\[ = 1 - \frac{M_2^L}{K_2^L} \exp \left( \frac{r_2^M(\tau_3 + 1) - 1}{r_2^L} \right) \leq -\tau_3 \tag{15} \]
\[ < 0. \]

Therefore, from (14) and (15), we have
\[ y(n + 1) \geq y(n) \exp \left[ r_2(n) \left( 1 - \frac{M_2 + \epsilon}{K_2^L} \right) \right] \geq y(n) \exp \left( P \right). \tag{16} \]

By using (16), one could easily obtain that
\[ y(n - \tau_3) \leq y(n) \exp (-P\tau_3). \tag{17} \]

Substituting (17) into the second equation of (2), we have
\[ y(n + 1) \geq y(n) \exp \left[ r_2(n) \left( 1 - \frac{\exp (-P\tau_3) \cdot y(n)}{K_2(n)} \right) \right] \geq y(n) \exp \left[ r_2(n) \left( 1 - \frac{\exp (-P\tau_3) \cdot y(n)}{K_2^L} \right) \right]. \tag{18} \]

Noting that $P \epsilon < 0$,
\[ \exp (-P\tau_3) \frac{K_2^M}{K_2^L} \exp \left( \frac{r_2^M(\tau_3 + 1) - 1}{r_2^L} \right) > 1 + \tau_3 \tag{19} \]
\[ > 1. \]

Thus, according to Lemma 2 and (18), one has
\[ \liminf_{n \to +\infty} y(n) \geq \frac{K_2^L}{\exp (-P\tau_3)} \exp \left[ r_2^M \left( 1 - \frac{\exp (-P\tau_3) \cdot M_2}{K_2^L} \right) \right] = m_2, \tag{20} \]

where $P = r_2^M(1 - M_2/K_2^L)$.

For the above $\epsilon$, there exists a $N_2 > N_1$ such that, for all $n > N_2$,
\[ m_2 - \epsilon < y(n) < M_2 + \epsilon. \tag{22} \]

From the first equation of system (2) and (22), for all $n - \tau_2 > N_2$,
\[ x(n + 1) \leq x(n) \exp \left[ r_1(n) \left( 1 + \alpha M_2^\epsilon \right) \frac{\exp (-Q\tau_1) \cdot x(n)}{K_1(n)} \right] \leq x(n) \exp \left[ \frac{r_1^M}{K_1^L} \left( 1 + \alpha M_2^\epsilon \right) \right] \tag{23} \]
\[ = x(n) \exp \left( Q \right). \]

From the above inequality, we have
\[ x(n - \tau_1) \geq x(n) \exp (-Q\tau_1). \tag{24} \]

Substituting (24) into the first equation of (2), it follows that
\[ x(n + 1) \leq x(n) \exp \left[ r_1(n) \left( 1 + \alpha M_2^\epsilon \right) \frac{\exp (-Q\tau_1) \cdot x(n)}{K_1(n)} \right] \leq x(n) \exp \left[ r_1(n) \left( 1 + \alpha M_2^\epsilon \right) \right] \tag{25} \]
\[ = x(n) \exp \left( Q \right). \]

From Lemma 1 and (25), we have
\[ \limsup_{n \to +\infty} x(n) \leq \frac{K_1^M}{r_1^L} \exp \left( Q \tau_1 + 1 \right) - 1 \tag{26} \]

Setting $\epsilon \to 0$, it follows that
\[ \limsup_{n \to +\infty} x(n) \leq \frac{K_1^M}{r_1^L} \exp \left( Q \tau_1 + 1 \right) = M_1, \tag{27} \]

where $Q = r_1^M(1 + \alpha M_2^\epsilon (M_2/K_1^L))$.

There exists a $N_3 > N_2$ such that, for all $n \geq N_3$,
\[ x(n) < M_1 + \epsilon. \tag{28} \]

It follows from (28) and the first equation of (2), for $n > N_3 + \tau_1$, that
\[ x(n + 1) \geq x(n) \exp \left[ r_1(n) \left( 1 + \alpha M_2^\epsilon \right) \frac{x(n)}{K_1(n)} \right] \geq x(n) \exp \left[ r_1(n) \left( 1 + \alpha M_2^\epsilon \right) \right] \tag{29} \]
\[ = x(n) \exp \left( Q \right). \]
Noting the fact that \((\exp(x−1))/x ≥ 1\), for \(x > 0\), we obtain

\[
1 + \alpha^L \frac{m_2}{K_1^M} - \frac{M_1}{K_1^L} = \left(1 + \alpha^L \frac{m_2}{K_1^M}\right) - \frac{K_1^M}{K_1^L} \exp\left(Q(\tau_1 + 1) - 1\right)
\]

\[
\leq \left(1 + \alpha^L \frac{m_2}{K_1^M}\right) - \frac{K_1^M}{K_1^L} \frac{Q(\tau_1 + 1)}{r_1^M}
\]

\[
\leq \left(1 + \alpha^L \frac{m_2}{K_1^M}\right) - \left(1 + \alpha^M \frac{M_2}{K_1^L}\right)(\tau_1 + 1)
\]

\[
< \left(1 + \alpha^L \frac{m_2}{K_1^M}\right) - \left(1 + \alpha^M \frac{M_2}{K_1^L}\right) < 0
\]

\[
\text{such that}
\]

\[
1 + \alpha^L \frac{m_2 - \epsilon}{K_1^M} - \frac{M_1 + \epsilon}{K_1^L} < 0, \quad \epsilon > 0.
\]

Therefore, from the above inequality and (29), one has

\[
x(n + 1) \geq x(n) \exp\left[r_1^L \left(1 + \alpha^L \frac{m_2 - \epsilon}{K_1^M} - \frac{M_1 + \epsilon}{K_1^L}\right)\right]
\]

\[
\equiv x(n) \exp(-R_1 \tau_1).
\]

Using (32), we could easily obtain that

\[
x(n - \tau_1) \leq x(n) \exp(-R_2 \tau_1).
\]

Substituting (33) into the first equation of (2), for \(n ≥ N_3 + \tau_1\), deduces

\[
x(n + 1) ≥ x(n) \exp\left[r_1(n) \left(1 + \alpha^L \frac{m_2 - \epsilon}{K_1^M} - \frac{M_1 + \epsilon}{K_1^L}\right)\right]
\]

\[
\cdot \exp\left(\left[1 - \frac{\exp(-R_1 \tau_1)}{K_1^L \left(1 + \alpha^L ((m_2 - \epsilon)/K_1^M)\right)}\right] x(n)\right) = x(n)
\]

\[
\cdot \exp\left(\left[1 - \frac{\exp(-R_1 \tau_1)}{K_1^L \left(1 + \alpha^L ((m_2 - \epsilon)/K_1^M)\right)}\right] r_1(n)\right)
\]

\[
\cdot \exp\left(\left[1 - \frac{\exp(-R_1 \tau_1)}{K_1^L \left(1 + \alpha^L ((m_2 - \epsilon)/K_1^M)\right)}\right] x(n)\right) = x(n)
\]

Noting the fact that \((\exp(x−1))/x ≥ 1\), for \(x > 0\), we obtain

\[
\frac{\exp(-R_1 \tau_1)}{K_1^L \left(1 + \alpha^L ((m_2 - \epsilon)/K_1^M)\right)} M_1
\]

\[
= \frac{\exp(-R_1 \tau_1)}{K_1^L \left(1 + \alpha^L ((m_2 - \epsilon)/K_1^M)\right)} \frac{Q(\tau_1 + 1)}{r_1^M}
\]

\[
> \frac{\exp(-R_1 \tau_1)}{K_1^L \left(1 + \alpha^L ((m_2 - \epsilon)/K_1^M)\right)} \frac{Q(\tau_1 + 1)}{r_1^M}
\]

\[
= \exp(-R_1 \tau_1) \frac{K_1^L}{K_1} \frac{Q(\tau_1 + 1)}{r_1^M} \frac{1 + \alpha^L ((m_2 - \epsilon)/K_1^M)}{r_1^M} (\tau_1 + 1)
\]

\[
> 1.
\]

According to (34) and Lemma 2, one has

\[
\lim_{n \to +\infty} \exp\left(r_1^M \left(1 + \alpha^L ((m_2 - \epsilon)/K_1^M)\right) \frac{Q(\tau_1 + 1)}{r_1^M} \frac{1 + \alpha^L ((m_2 - \epsilon)/K_1^M)}{r_1^M} (\tau_1 + 1)\right)
\]

\[
\cdot \exp\left[\frac{K_1^L T}{\exp(-R_1 \tau_1)} \exp\left[r_1^M \left(1 - \frac{\exp(-R_1 \tau_1)}{K_1^L \left(1 + \alpha^L ((m_2 - \epsilon)/K_1^M)\right)}\right]\right]\]
\]

\[
\equiv m_1,
\]

where \(T = 1 + \alpha^L ((m_2 - \epsilon)/K_1^M)\) and \(R = r_1^M (T - M_1/K_1^L)\). This completes the proof of Theorem A.

\section{3. Global Attractivity}

Assume that

\[
\frac{K_2^M}{K_3^L} \exp\left(r_2^M (1 + \tau_3) - 1\right) < \frac{2}{1 + 2\tau_3}.
\]

\[
\frac{2r_1^L K_1^L}{r_1^M K_1^M M_1} ≥ \frac{r_1^M}{2K_1^L} (2\tau_1 + 1) \left(2 + \alpha^M\right) + \frac{\alpha^M}{m_1}
\]

\textbf{Theorem B.} Assume that (H1) and (H2) hold; then system (2) is globally attractive; that is, for any positive solutions \((x(n), y(n))\) and \((x_1(n), y_1(n))\) of system (2), one has

\[
\lim_{n \to +\infty} (x(n) - x_1(n)) = 0,
\]

\[
\lim_{n \to +\infty} (y(n) - y_1(n)) = 0.
\]

\textbf{Proof of Theorem B.} Let \((x(n), y(n))\) and \((x_1(n), y_1(n))\) be any positive solutions of system (2).

According to the proof of Theorem 3.1 of [12], \(\lim_{n \to +\infty} (y(n) - y_1(n)) = 0\) holds under the condition
(H₁) and there exists a positive constant M such that
\[ \sum_{p=n_0}^{+\infty} (y(p) - y_1(p))^2 \leq M. \]
Now we just need to prove
\[ \lim_{n \to +\infty} (x(n) - x_1(n)) = 0. \]
Condition (H₂) implies that
\[ \frac{2r_1^2}{K_1^2 M_1} - \frac{r_1}{K_1^2} \left( \frac{r_1}{K_1^2} (2r_1 + 1) + \alpha \frac{r_1}{K_1^2} \left( r_1 + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{\alpha}{m_1} \right) > 0. \]
Therefore, we choose \( \delta > 0 \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \) small enough such that
\[ C_\varepsilon = \frac{2r_1^2}{K_1^2 M_1 + \varepsilon} - \frac{r_1}{K_1^2} \left( \frac{r_1}{K_1^2} (2r_1 + 1) + \alpha \frac{r_1}{K_1^2} \left( r_1 + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{\alpha}{m_1} \right) > \delta. \]
For any solutions \( (x(n), y(n)) \) and \( (x_1(n), y_1(n)) \) of system (2), it follows from Theorem A that
\[ m_1 \leq \lim_{n \to +\infty} \inf \, x(n) \leq \lim_{n \to +\infty} \sup \, x(n) \leq M_1, \]
\[ m_1 \leq \lim_{n \to +\infty} \inf \, x_1(n) \leq \lim_{n \to +\infty} \sup \, x_1(n) \leq M_1. \]
For the above \( \varepsilon \), there exists an integer \( n_0 \) such that, for all \( n \geq n_0 \),
\[ m_1 - \varepsilon \leq x(n), \]
\[ x_1(n) \leq M_1 + \varepsilon. \]
Using the mean value theorem, we get
\[ \ln x(n) - \ln x_1(n) = \frac{1}{\theta(n)} \left( x(n) - x_1(n) \right), \]
where \( \theta(n) \) lies between \( x(n) \) and \( x_1(n) \).
Let
\[ W_1(n) = \ln x(n) - \ln x_1(n) \]
\[ = \ln x(n) - \ln x_1(n) \]
\[ + \alpha \frac{r_1(n)}{K_1(n)} \left( y(n) - y_1(n) - y_1(n) \right) \]
\[ - \sum_{s=0}^{n} \frac{r_1(s + \tau_1)}{K_1(s + \tau_1)} \left( x(s) - x_1(s) \right). \]
Then, from system (2), we obtain
\[ \Delta W_1(n) = W_1(n + 1) - W_1(n) \]
\[ = - \frac{r_1(n + \tau_1)}{K_1(n + \tau_1)} \left( x(n) - x_1(n) \right) + A(n), \]
where \( A(n) = \alpha(n)(r_1(n)/K_1(n))(y(n - \tau_2) - y_1(n - \tau_2)). \)
Define
\[ V_1(n) = W_1^2(n). \]
Then
\[ \Delta V_1(n) = W_1(n + 1) - W_1(n) = \Delta W_1(n) \]
\[ \cdot \left( W_1(n + 1) + W_1(n) \right) \]
\[ = - \frac{r_1(n + \tau_1)}{K_1(n + \tau_1)} \left( x(n) - x_1(n) \right) + A(n) \]
\[ + W_1(n) \]
\[ - 2 \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \frac{r_1(s + \tau_1)}{K_1(s + \tau_1)} \left( x(s) - x_1(s) \right) \]
\[ - \frac{r_1(n + \tau_1)}{K_1(n + \tau_1)} \left( x(n) - x_1(n) \right) + A(n) \]
\[ \cdot \left( 2 \ln x(n) - \ln x_1(n) \right) \]
\[ - \ln x_1(n) \left( x(n) - x_1(n) \right) + 2 \]
\[ \cdot \frac{r_1(n + \tau_1)}{K_1(n + \tau_1)} \left( x(n) - x_1(n) - x_1(n) \right) \]
\[ \cdot \left( x(n) - x_1(n) \right) + 2 \frac{r_1(n + \tau_1)}{K_1(n + \tau_1)} \]
\[ \cdot \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \frac{r_1(s + \tau_1)}{K_1(s + \tau_1)} \left( x(s) - x_1(s) \right) \left( x(n) - x_1(n) \right) \]
\[ + \frac{r_1^2(n + \tau_1)}{K_1^2(n + \tau_1)} \left( x(n) - x_1(n) \right)^2 + A(n) B(n), \]
where \( B(n) = \frac{r_1(n + \tau_1)}{K_1(n + \tau_1)} \left( x(n) - x_1(n) \right). \)
Hence, from (43) and (48) and $2ab \leq a^2 + b^2$, we have

$$\Delta V_1(n)$$

$$\leq -\frac{r_1(n + \tau_1)}{K_1(n + \tau_1)} \sum_{\mathcal{D}} \left[ \frac{1}{\theta(n)} (x(n) - x_1(n))^2 + \frac{r_1(n + \tau_1)}{K_1(n + \tau_1)} (x(n) - x_1(n))^2 \right.$$  

$$+ \frac{r_1(n + \tau_1)}{K_1(n + \tau_1)} r_1(n) (x(n) - x_1(n))^2$$

$$+ \frac{r_1(n + \tau_1)}{K_1(n + \tau_1)} \sum_{s=n-1-\tau_1}^{n-1} \left[ \frac{1}{K_1(s + \tau_1)} (x(s) - x_1(s))^2 + \frac{r_1(n + \tau_1)}{K_1(n + \tau_1)} \frac{r_1(n)}{K_1(n)} (x(n) - x_1(n))^2 \right]$$

$$\left. + A(n)B(n) \right].$$

Let

$$V_2(n)$$

$$= \sum_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{r_1(n + \tau_1)}{K_1(n + \tau_1)} \frac{r_1(n + \tau_1)}{K_1(n + \tau_1)} (x(n) - x_1(n))^2,$$

$$V_3(n) = \sum_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{r_1(n + \tau_1)}{K_1(n + \tau_1)} (x(n) - x_1(n))^2,$$

Then

$$\Delta V_2(n) = r_1(n + 2\tau_1) r_1(n + \tau_1) \frac{r_1(n + \tau_1)}{K_1(n + \tau_1)} (x(n) - x_1(n))^2$$

$$- \frac{r_1(n + \tau_1)}{K_1(n + \tau_1)} r_1(n) (x(n) - x_1(n))^2,$$

$$\Delta V_3(n) = \sum_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{r_1(n + \tau_1)}{K_1(n + \tau_1)} (x(n) - x_1(n))^2$$

Define

$$V_4(n) = V_1(n) + V_2(n) + V_3(n).$$

Then it follows from (49) and (51) that

$$\Delta V_4(n) \leq -\frac{r_1(n + \tau_1)}{K_1(n + \tau_1)} \left( \frac{2}{\theta(n)} - \sum_{s=n-\tau_1}^{n-1} \frac{r_1(s + \tau_1)}{K_1(s + \tau_1)} \right)$$

$$\cdot (x(n) - x_1(n))^2 + A(n)B(n),$$

where

$$A(n)B(n) = \frac{2\alpha(n) r_1(n)}{\theta(n) K_1(n)} (x(n) - x_1(n)) (y(n - \tau_2) - y_1(n - \tau_2))$$

$$- \frac{2\alpha(n) r_1(n)}{\theta(n) K_1(n)} (x(n) - x_1(n)) (y(n - \tau_2) - y_1(n - \tau_2))$$

$$- \frac{2\alpha(n) r_1(n)}{\theta(n) K_1(n)} (x(n) - x_1(n)) (y(n - \tau_2) - y_1(n - \tau_2))$$

$$- \frac{2\alpha(n) r_1(n)}{\theta(n) K_1(n)} (x(n) - x_1(n)) (y(n - \tau_2) - y_1(n - \tau_2))$$

$$+ \frac{\alpha^2(n) r_1^2(n)}{K_1^2(n)} (y(n - \tau_2) - y_1(n - \tau_2))^2.$$
Therefore, for all $n > n_0 + \tau$, 
\[ C(n) \geq C_e, \]
\[ D(n) \leq \alpha(n) \frac{r_1(n + \tau_1) r_1(n)}{2K_1(n + \tau_1) K_1(n)} (y(n - \tau_2)) \]
\[ - y_1(n - \tau_2))^2. \]
\[ \leq \frac{1}{m_1 - \epsilon} \frac{r_1^M}{K_1} (\tau_1 + \frac{3}{2}) \leq D_e. \] (61)

From (59) and (61), it follows that 
\[ \Delta V(n) \leq -C_e (x(n) - x_1(n))^2 \]
\[ + D_e (y(n - \tau_2) - y_1(n - \tau_2))^2 \]
\[ < -\delta (x(n) - x_1(n))^2 \]
\[ + D_e (y(n - \tau_2) - y_1(n - \tau_2))^2. \]

Summating both sides of the above inequalities from $n_0 + \tau$ to $n$, we have 
\[ \sum_{p=n_0+\tau}^{n} (V(p+1) - V(p)) \]
\[ \leq -\delta \sum_{p=n_0+\tau}^{n} (x(p) - x_1(p))^2 \]
\[ + D_e \sum_{p=n_0+\tau}^{n} (y(p - \tau_2) - y_1(p - \tau_2))^2, \]
which implies 
\[ \sum_{p=n_0+\tau}^{n} (x(p) - x_1(p))^2 \]
\[ \leq \frac{D_e}{\delta} \sum_{p=n_0+\tau}^{n} (y(p - \tau_2) - y_1(p - \tau_2))^2 \]
\[ + \frac{V(n_0 + \tau)}{\delta}. \]

It follows that $V_i(n_0 + \tau), i = 1, 2, 3, 5, 6$, are all bounded. Hence 
\[ \sum_{p=n_0+\tau}^{n} (x(p) - x_1(p))^2 \]
\[ \leq \frac{D_e}{\delta} \sum_{p=n_0+\tau}^{n} (y(p - \tau_2) - y_1(p - \tau_2))^2 \]
\[ + \frac{V(n_0 + \tau)}{\delta} < +\infty, \]

which means that 
\[ \sum_{p=n_0+\tau}^{\infty} (x(p) - x_1(p))^2 \]
\[ \leq \frac{D_e}{\delta} \sum_{p=n_0+\tau}^{\infty} (y(p - \tau_2) - y_1(p - \tau_2))^2 \]
\[ + \frac{V(n_0 + \tau)}{\delta} < +\infty. \]
This implies that $\lim_{n \to \infty} (x(n) - x_1(n))^2 = 0$ or $\lim_{n \to \infty} (x(n) - x_1(n)) = 0$. This completes the proof of Theorem B. \hfill \Box

4. Almost Periodic Solution

The main purpose of this paper is to study the existence of a globally attractive almost periodic sequence solution of system (2). First, we give some definitions and lemmas.

Definition 3 (see [13]). Sequence $x : Z \to R$ is called an almost periodic sequence if the $\epsilon$-translation set of $x$,

\[ E[\epsilon, x] = \{ \tau \in Z : |x(n + \tau) - x(n)| < \epsilon, \forall n \in Z \}, \quad (67) \]

is a relatively dense set in $Z$ for all $\epsilon > 0$; that is, for any given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an integer $l(\epsilon) > 0$ such that each interval of length $l(\epsilon)$ contains an integer $\tau \in E[\epsilon, x]$ with

\[ |x(n + \tau) - x(n)| < \epsilon, \quad \forall n \in Z. \quad (68) \]

The integer $\tau$ is called an $\epsilon$-translation number of $x(n)$.

Definition 4 (see [14]). Let $D$ be an open subset of $R^m$. A function $f : Z \times D \to R^m$ is said to be almost periodic in $n$ uniformly for $x \in D$, if, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and any compact set $S \subset D$, there exists a positive integer $l = l(\epsilon, S)$ such that any interval of length $l(\epsilon)$ contains an integer $\tau$, for which

\[ |f(n + \tau, x) - f(n, x)| < \epsilon, \quad \forall (n, x) \in Z \times S. \quad (69) \]

$\tau$ is called an $\epsilon$-translation number of $f(n, x)$.

Definition 5 (see [15]). The hull of $f$, denoted by $H(f)$, is defined by

\[ H(f) = \left\{ g(n, x) : \lim_{k \to \infty} f(n + \tau_k, x) = g(n, x) \text{ uniformly on } Z \times S \right\} \quad (70) \]

for some sequence $\{\tau_k\}$, where $S$ is any compact set in $D$.

Definition 6 (see [15]). Suppose that $X(n) = (x(n), y(n))$ is a solution of system (2). It is said to be a strictly positive solution in $Z$ if, for $n \in Z$,

\[ 0 < \inf_{n \in Z} x(t) \leq \sup_{n \in Z} x(t) < \infty, \quad (71) \]

\[ 0 < \inf_{n \in Z} y(t) \leq \sup_{n \in Z} y(t) < \infty. \]

Lemma 7 (see [16]). Suppose that $\{x(n)\}$ is an almost periodic sequence if and only if, for any integer sequence $\{k_i\}$, there exists a subsequence $\{k_i\} \subset \{k_i\}$ such that the sequence $\{x(n + k_i)\}$ converges uniformly for all $n \in Z$ as $i \to \infty$. Furthermore, the limit sequence is also an almost periodic sequence.

From Theorem 3.4 in [17], we can easily obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 8. If each hull equation of system (2) has a unique strictly positive solution, then the almost periodic difference system (2) has a unique strictly positive almost periodic solution.

Under the consumption of $\{r_i(n)\}, \{K_i(n)\}$ and $\{x(n)\}$ being bounded nonnegative almost periodic sequences, we have the following Theorem.

Theorem C. Assume that (H₁) and (H₂) hold; then the almost periodic difference system (2) admits a unique almost periodic solution which is globally attractive.

Proof of Theorem C. By Lemma 7, we only need to prove that each hull equation of (2) has a unique strictly positive solution. Suppose $(x(n), y(n))$ is any positive solution of hull equation of (72). First, we prove that the hull equation of (2) has at least one strictly positive solution. By Theorem A, we have

\[ m_1 \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{n \in Z} x(n) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{n \in Z} x(n) \leq M_1, \quad (73) \]

\[ m_2 \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{n \in Z} y(n) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{n \in Z} y(n) \leq M_2, \]

which gives

\[ 0 < \inf_{n \in Z} x(n) \leq \sup_{n \in Z} x(n) < \infty, \quad (74) \]

\[ 0 < \inf_{n \in Z} y(n) \leq \sup_{n \in Z} y(n) < \infty. \]

Let $\epsilon$ be an arbitrary small positive number. It follows from (73) that there exists a positive integer $N_0$ such that, for all $n > N_0$, we have $m_1 - \epsilon \leq x(n) \leq M_1 + \epsilon, m_2 - \epsilon \leq y(n) \leq M_2 + \epsilon$. Define $x_k(n) = x(n + k \epsilon), y_k(n) = y(n + k \epsilon)$, for all $n > N_0 + \tau - k \epsilon, k \in Z^+$. For any positive integer $q$, it is easy to see that there exists a sequence $\{x_q(n) : k \geq q\}, \{y_q(n) : k \geq q\}$ such that the sequence $\{x_q(n)\}, \{y_q(n)\}$ has a subsequence, denoted by $\{x_q(n)\}, \{y_q(n)\}$ again, converging on any finite
interval of $Z$ as $k \to \infty$. Thus we have a sequence $\{x_k(n)\}$, $\{y_k(n)\}$ satisfying
\[
x_k(n) \to x^1(n),
\]
\[
y_k(n) \to y^1(n),
\]
for $n \in Z$ as $k \to \infty$.

Thus, combined with
\[
x_k(n + 1) = x_k(n) \exp \left[ r_1^*(n + \tau_k) \right]
\cdot \left( 1 - \frac{x_k(n - \tau_k)}{K_1^*(n + \tau_k)} + \alpha^*(n) \frac{y_k(n - \tau_k)}{K_1^*(n + \tau_k)} \right),
\]
yields
\[
y_k(n + 1) = y_k(n) \exp \left[ r_2^*(n + \tau_k) \right]
\cdot \left( 1 - \frac{y_k(n - \tau_k)}{K_2^*(n + \tau_k)} \right).
\]

Calculating the difference of $V^*(n)$ along the solution of the hull equation (72) and the uniqueness of the second equation of (72), we have
\[
\Delta V^*(n) \leq -\delta (x^*(n) - x^1_1(n))^2, \quad \text{for } n \in Z.
\]

From (81), we can see that $V^*(n)$ is a nonincreasing function on $Z$. Summing both sides of the above inequality from $n$ to 0, we obtain
\[
\delta \sum_{p=n}^{0} (x^*(p) - x^1_1(p))^2 \leq V^*(n) - V^*(1),
\]
for $n < 0$.

Hence each hull equation of almost periodic difference system (2) has at least one strictly positive solution.

Now we prove the uniqueness of the strictly positive solution of each hull equation (72). Suppose that the hull equation has two arbitrary strictly positive solutions $(x^n(n), y^n(n))$ and $(x^1(n), y^1(n))$. From Theorem 1.3 in [12], we easily obtain that the hull equation of the second equation of (2) has a unique almost periodic solution which is globally attractive under condition $(H_1)$.

Similar to the proof of Theorem B, we define a Lyapunov functional
\[
V^*(n) = V_1^*(n) + V_2^*(n) + V_3^*(n) + V_4^*(n) + V_5^*(n),
\]
where
\[
V_1^*(n) = \ln x^*(n) - \ln x^1_1(n)
\]
\[
- \frac{n-1}{\inf_{s=n}^{r_1^*(u + \tau_1)}(r_1^*(u + \tau_1))} \left( x^*(s) - x^1_1(s) \right)^2,
\]
\[
V_2^*(n) = \sum_{s=n}^{r_1^*(s + 2\tau_1)} K_1^*(s + \tau_1) \left( x^*(s) - x^1_1(s) \right)^2,
\]
\[
V_3^*(n) = \sum_{s=n}^{r_1^*(s + 2\tau_1)} \left( x^*(s) - x^1_1(s) \right)^2,
\]
\[
V_4^*(n) = \sum_{s=n}^{r_1^*(s + 2\tau_1)} \left( x^*(s) - x^1_1(s) \right)^2.
\]

Noting that $V^*(n)$ is bounded. Hence we have $\sum_{p=n}^{0} (x^*(p) - x^1_1(p))^2 < +\infty$, which implies that
\[
\lim_{n \to -\infty} (x^*(n) - x^1_1(n)) = 0.
\]

Denote $E = (1/m_1 + r_1^*(r_1^M/K_1^M)^2 + (r_1 \tau_1 + 1)(1 + \alpha^M)/(2r_1^M/K_1^M)^2).$ Let $e$ be an arbitrary small positive number. It follows from (83) that there exists a positive integer $N_1 > 0$ such that $|x^*(n) - x^1_1(n)| < \sqrt{E}/n, n < -N_1$. By the mean value theorem, we obtain $\ln x^*(n) - \ln x^1_1(n) = (1/\theta(n))(x^*(n) - x^1_1(n))$, where $m_1 \leq \theta(n) \leq M_1$. 


Hence, for $n < -N_1$, we have

$$V_1^*(n) \leq \left( \frac{1}{\theta(n)} + \sum_{s=n-r_1}^{n-1} r_1 s + t_1 \right)^2$$

$$\cdot \max_{p \in \mathbb{N}} |x^*(p) - x_1^*(p)|^2 \leq \left( \frac{1}{m_1 + r_1 M_2} \right)^2 \frac{\varepsilon}{E}$$

$$V_2^*(n) \leq \tau_1 \left( \frac{r_1 M_2}{K_1^2} \right)^2 \max_{p \in \mathbb{N}} |x^*(p) - x_1^*(p)|^2$$

$$\leq \tau_1 \left( \frac{r_1 M_2}{K_1} \right)^2 \frac{\varepsilon}{E}$$

$$V_3^*(n) \leq \left( \frac{r_1 M_2}{K_1} \right)^2 \max_{p \in \mathbb{N}} |x^*(p) - x_1^*(p)|^2$$

$$\leq \tau_1 \left( \frac{r_1 M_2}{K_1} \right)^2 \frac{\varepsilon}{E}$$

5. Numerical Simulations

In this section, we give an example to check the feasibility of our result.

Example 9. Consider the following discrete commensalism system:

$$x(n + 1) = x(n) \exp \left[ \frac{0.2 (1 - \frac{x(n-1)}{2}) + (0.03 + 0.01 \cos(\sqrt{3}n)) y(n - 2)}{2} \right]$$

$$y(n + 1) = y(n) \exp \left[ (0.17 + 0.07 \cos(\sqrt{2}n)) (1 - \frac{y(n-1)}{2.1 + 0.1 \sin(\sqrt{5}n)}) \right]$$

It is easy to calculate that $M_2 = 5.4498$, $m_2 = 0.6249$ and $M_1 = 5.2540$, $m_1 = 0.8608$; then

$$\frac{2}{1 + 2r_3} \cdot \frac{K_2^M}{K_1^2} \exp \left( \frac{(r_2^M) (1 + r_3) - 1}{2} \right) \approx 0.0127 > 0,$$

$$\frac{2r_1 K_1^2}{r_1 M_2 K_1 M_1} - \frac{r_1^M}{2K_1^2} (2r_1 + 1) (2 + \alpha^M) - \frac{\alpha^M}{m_1} \approx 0.0282 > 0.$$
of system (2). Using almost periodic functional hull theory, $(H_1)$ and $(H_2)$ are obtained for the existence of a unique globally attractive almost periodic sequence solution of system (2). Furthermore, $\tau_1$ and $\tau_3$ cannot be too large and at the same time $\tau_2$ has no influence on the global attractivity of the second species of system (2).
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