Under the assumption of the stock price, interest rate, and default intensity obeying the stochastic differential equation driven by fractional Brownian motion, the jump-diffusion model is established for the financial market in fractional Brownian motion setting. With the changes of measures, the traditional pricing method is simplified and the general pricing formula is obtained for the European vulnerable option with stochastic interest rate. At the same time, the explicit expression for it comes into being.

1. Introduction

Vulnerable option is a kind of option with credit risk that refers to a risk, a borrower that will default on any type of debt by failing to make required payments. Johnson and Stulz [1] firstly substituted default risk into option pricing and advanced a new definition called vulnerable option. Klein [2] obtained the pricing formula for vulnerable option with martingale method. Ammann [3] developed Klein’s model on the basis of structural approach. He finally obtained the explicit expression for vulnerable option under the assuming of interest rate and default intensity obeying the stochastic differential equation. What is more, other academics such as Chang and Hung [4] also discussed this problem, while all the discussions stated above are in the environment of geometric Brownian motion. Because of the inadequacies of geometric Brownian motion in describing the self-similarity and long-term dependence of stock prices, fractional Brownian motion is widely used into asset pricing. Hu and Øksendal [5] developed the structural approach in the condition that the stock prices followed a fractional Brownian motion and they proved that the correspondence to fractional Black-Scholes market had no arbitrage. For more literature on fractional Brownian motion, we can refer to Øksendal [6]. But there is another problem that fractional Black-Scholes market does not have equivalent martingale measure according to Sottinen and Valkeila [7]. Necula [8] applied quasi-martingale method to the risk neutral measure. Huang et al. [9] obtained the explicit expression for the European option price under the assuming of fractional Black-Scholes market. Su and Wang [10] and Li and Ma [11] derived the closed form formula for the price of the vulnerable European option by the method of changing measures.

In this paper, we will use quasi-martingale method to change measures, so we can derive the general pricing formula for the European vulnerable option under the assuming of the stock price obeying the jump-diffusion model, the interest rate and default intensity obeying Vasicek model which are driven by fractional Brownian motion.

2. Market Environment

Let the uncertainty in the economy be described by the filtered probability space \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T})\). \(r(t)\) is the short-term interest rate which is consistently positive and \(\mathcal{F}_t\)-measurable in this space. Assume that \(Q\) is a risk neutral martingale measure under which the discounted asset price processes are martingales.

Suppose the stock price is given by

\[
dS(t) = r(t)S(t) \, dt + \sigma_1 S(t) \, dB_{H_1}(t) + S(t) \, dJ(t),
\]  

(1)
where $\sigma_1$ is positive constant and $\{B_{H}(t), t \geq 0\}$ is a fractional Brownian motion whose Hurst parameter is $H(1/2 \leq H < 1)$ in the space $(\Omega, F, P, (F_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T})$. If $\{J(t), t \geq 0\}$ is a composite Poisson process, $J(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} Y_i$, and $Y_i$ represents the $i$th jump range of $J(t)$ (using a convention that $Y_0 = 0$ if there are no jumps). Consider $E(Y_i) = \theta$. $Y_i$ is a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables with the finite expected value. $Y_i$ and $B_{H}(t)$ are mutually independent and $Y_i$ and $N(t)$ are mutually independent. $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ is a Poisson process whose intensity is $\lambda(t)$. $\lambda(t), t \geq 0$ is a nonnegative adapted stochastic process which is integrable on any finite time interval. $N(t), \lambda(t),$ and $B_{H}(t)$ are mutually independent.

Suppose that $Y_1 + 1$ follows log-normal distribution $\ln \{\mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)\}$ so that $\ln(\theta + 1) = \mathbb{E}[\ln(Y_1 + 1)] = \mu_1 + (1/2)\sigma_1^2$; then we have

$$\ln(Y_1 + 1) \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\ln(\theta + 1) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_1^2, \sigma_1^2\right).$$

Suppose that the interest rate and default intensity follow Vasicek model under the risk neutral measure

$$dr(t) = \alpha [\beta - r(t)] dt + \sigma_2 dB_{H2}(t)$$

$$d\lambda(t) = \alpha [b - \lambda(t)] dt + \sigma_3 dB_{H3}(t),$$

where $\alpha, \beta, a, b, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$ are all positive constants. The covariance matrix of $B_{H1}(t), B_{H2}(t), B_{H3}(t)$ is

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho_{12} & \rho_{13} \\ \rho_{12} & 1 & \rho_{23} \\ \rho_{13} & \rho_{23} & 1 \end{pmatrix} t.$$

In order to prove the theorem, we introduce two lemmas firstly.

**Lemma 1** (see [8]). We denote by $\mathbb{E}_t[\cdot]$ the quasi-conditional expectation with respect to the risk neutral measure. Then the price at every $t \in [0, T]$ of a bounded $F_T$-measurable claim $F$ is given by

$$F_t = e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}_t[F].$$

**Lemma 2** (see [12]). If $(X, Y) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$, where $\mu = \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu_X \\ \mu_Y \end{array}\right)$, $\Sigma = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \sigma_X^2 & \rho_{X,Y}\sigma_X\sigma_Y \\ \rho_{X,Y}\sigma_X\sigma_Y & \sigma_Y^2 \end{array}\right)$, then

$$E(e^{\gamma \lambda(I_{[X \leq b]})}) = \exp\left(\mu_X + \frac{\sigma_X^2}{2}N\left(-\frac{b + \mu_Y + \text{cov}(X, Y)}{\sigma_Y}\right)\right),$$

where $N(\cdot)$ is a standard normal distribution.

### 3. Pricing Options

In this section, we intend to discuss pricing vulnerable options in a Fractional Brownian Motion Environment.

We define that the default time is $\tau$ and $\omega$ represents the recovery rate due to the bankruptcy or reorganization, where $\omega$ is a constant. When the writer of the option defaults, the payoff is given by $\omega$ times the payoff of the default-free option at maturity. The price at every $t \in [0, T]$ of an European vulnerable call option with strike price $K$ and maturity $T$ is given by

$$C(t, T) = \omega \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\int_t^\tau r(\omega)du}(S_T - K)^+ I_{[\tau \leq T]} \right]$$

$$+ (S_T - K)^+ I_{[\tau > T]} \mid F_t \right].$$

Note that $I_{[\tau \leq T]} + I_{[\tau > T]} = 1$; we have

$$C(t, T) = \omega \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\int_t^\tau r(\omega)du}(S_T - K)^+ I_{[\tau \leq T]} \mid F_t \right]$$

$$+ (1 - \omega) \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\int_t^\tau [r(\omega) + \lambda(\omega)]du} (S_T - K)^+ I_{[\tau > T]} \mid F_t \right].$$

Since $(F_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ is a filtration, then $F_t \subseteq F_T$. Suppose there is no default at present time, by the law of iterated conditional expectations; therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\int_t^\tau r(\omega)du}(S_T - K)^+ I_{[\tau > T]} \mid F_t \right] = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\int_t^\tau [r(\omega) + \lambda(\omega)]du} (S_T - K)^+ I_{[\tau > T]} \mid F_T \right].$$

Obviously $e^{-\int_t^\tau r(\omega)du}(S_T - K)^+ I_{[\tau > T]}$ is bounded and absolutely integrable, so we can interchange the two expectations by Fubini’s theorem

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\int_t^\tau r(\omega)du}(S_T - K)^+ I_{[\tau > T]} \mid F_t \right] = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\int_t^\tau [r(\omega) + \lambda(\omega)]du} (S_T - K)^+ I_{[\tau > T]} \mid F_T \right].$$

Since the full path of $\lambda(t)$ is known at time $T$, we have [13]

$$\mathbb{E}\left[I_{[\tau > T]} \mid F_T \right] = P(\tau > T) = e^{-\int_0^T \lambda(u)du}.$$

Then (9) can be written as

$$C(t, T) = \omega \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\int_t^\tau r(\omega)du}(S_T - K)^+ \mid F_t \right]$$

$$+ (1 - \omega) \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\int_t^\tau [r(\omega) + \lambda(\omega)]du} (S_T - K)^+ \mid F_t \right].$$

For convenience, let $C(t, T) = I + II$, where $I = \omega \mathbb{E}[e^{-\int_t^\tau r(\omega)du}(S_T - K)^+ \mid F_T]$ and $II = (1 - \omega)\mathbb{E}[e^{-\int_t^\tau [r(\omega) + \lambda(\omega)]du} (S_T - K)^+ \mid F_T]$; $I$ and $II$ are given as follows.
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\[ I = \omega \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(t)(T-t)^n e^{-\lambda(t)(T-t)}}{n!} \cdot [(1 + \theta)^n S_1 N (d_1(n)) + KP(t,T)N(d_2(n))] , \]

where

\[ d_2(n) = \frac{-\ln(K/S_1) + \Delta(t,T) + \Pi(t,T) + \ln(1 + \theta)^n - n\sigma^2/2}{\sqrt{n\sigma^2 + 2H} \int_{t}^{T} \sigma^*(u,t)^2 u^{2H-1} du} \]

\[ d_1(n) = d_2(n) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n\sigma^2 + 2H} \int_{t}^{T} \sigma^*(u,t)^2 u^{2H-1} du} \]

\[ \sigma^*(u,t)^2 = \sigma_2^2 M(u,t,\alpha)^2 + \alpha^2 + 2\rho_1 \alpha \sigma_2 M(u,t,\alpha) \]

\[ P(t,T) = \exp \left\{ -\beta(T-t) - \int_{t}^{T} [r(u) - \beta] M(u,t,\alpha) \right\} \]

Using (3) we can have the expression for \( r(t) \) [14]

\[ r(t) = e^{-\alpha(t-u)} r(s) + \beta \left( 1 - e^{-\alpha(t-u)} \right) + \sigma_2 \int_{t}^{T} e^{-\alpha(t-u)} dB_{H2}(u) . \]
\( B_{H_t}^T(u) \) and \( B_{H_2}^T(u) \) are fractional Brownian motions under measure \( Q^T \).

So, we can calculate \( I = \omega E^T[P(t, T)(S_T - K)^+] \) under measure \( Q^T \).

Since

\[
E^T \left[ P(t, T) (S_T - K)^+ \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] = E^T \left[ P(t, T) S_T I_{[S_T \geq K]} \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] - KE^T \left[ P(t, T) I_{[S_T \geq K]} \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right].
\]

(25)

we define \( I_1 = E^T[P(t, T)S_T I_{[S_T \geq K]} \mid \mathcal{F}_t] \) and \( I_2 = KE^T[P(t, T)I_{[S_T \geq K]} \mid \mathcal{F}_t] \) for convenience. We will calculate \( I_2 \) firstly.

Using (1) we have the expression for \( S_T \)

\[
S_T = S_t e^{\beta (T-t)+\int \sigma(t, T) dW_t\tilde{\mathbb{B}}(u)+\sum_{i=0}^{N_t} \ln(Y_{i+1})}
\]

(37)

Using fractional Girsanov’s theorem, we have the expression for \( S_T \) under measure \( Q^T \)

\[
S_T = S_t e^{\beta (T-t)+\int \sigma(t, T) dW_t\tilde{\mathbb{B}}(u)+\sum_{i=0}^{N_t} \ln(Y_{i+1})}
\]

(38)

where

\[
\Pi(t, T)
\]

\[
= -2H \int_0^T \left[ \sigma_2^2 M(u, T, \alpha) \right] + \rho_{12} \sigma_1 \sigma_2 M(u, T, \alpha)
\]

(29)

\[
\cdot u^{2H-1} du.
\]

Since \( I_2 = KE[P(t, T)I_{[S_T \geq K]} \mid \mathcal{F}_t] = KP(t, T)E^T[I_{[S_T \geq K]} \mid \mathcal{F}_t] \), we substitute \( S_T \) into \( I_{[S_T \geq K]} \); then

\[
I_{[S_T \geq K]}
\]

\[
= I_{[\sigma(t, T) dW_t\tilde{\mathbb{B}}(u)+\sum_{i=0}^{N_t} \ln(Y_{i+1})\mid \mathcal{F}_t]}(0, 0, \sigma_1^2 (T^{2H} - t^{2H}))
\]

(30)

since

\[
\sigma_2 \int_0^T M(u, T, \alpha) dW_t^H(u)
\]

\[
\sim N \left( 0, 2H \sigma_2 \int_0^T M(u, T, \alpha)^2 u^{2H-1} du \right)
\]

(31)

\[
\int_t^T \sigma_1 dW_t^H(u) \sim N \left( 0, \sigma_1^2 (T^{2H} - t^{2H}) \right).
\]

Then we will calculate \( I_1 \).

Since \( I_1 = E[P(t, T)S_T I_{[S_T \geq K]} \mid \mathcal{F}_t] = P(t, T)E^T[S_T I_{[S_T \geq K]} \mid \mathcal{F}_t] \), we substitute \( S_T \) into \( I_{[S_T \geq K]} \); then

\[
E^T \left[ S_T I_{[S_T \geq K]} \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] = S_t e^{\beta (T-t)+\int \sigma(t, T) dW_t\tilde{\mathbb{B}}(u)+\sum_{i=0}^{N_t} \ln(Y_{i+1})}
\]

(37)

\[
\cdot I_{[\sigma(t, T) dW_t\tilde{\mathbb{B}}(u)+\sum_{i=0}^{N_t} \ln(Y_{i+1})\mid \mathcal{F}_t]}(0, 0, \sigma_1^2 (T^{2H} - t^{2H}))
\]
Using Lemma 2, when $N_t = n$,

\[
E^T \left[ S_T I_{\{S_T \geq K\}} \left| \mathcal{F}_t \right. \right] = S_t e^{\lambda(T-t)} + \Pi(T-t) + \ln(1+\theta)^n + \Pi_t \int_t^T \sigma^2(u,T,a) u^{2H-1} du (1/2) \sigma^2(T^{2H-2} ) + 2\beta \sigma^2 \sigma_3 M(u,T,a) u^{2H-1} du \\
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(t)(T-t)^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda(t)(T-t)} n! (d_1(n)),
\]

where

\[
d_1(n) = d_2(n) + \sqrt{n\sigma^2 + 2H \int_t^T \sigma^+(u,T)^2 u^{2H-1} du} \tag{39}
\]

\[
I_1 = P(t,T) E^T \left[ S_T I_{\{S_T \geq K\}} \left| \mathcal{F}_t \right. \right] = S_t e^{\lambda(T-t)} + \Pi(T-t) + \ln(1+\theta)^n + \Pi_t \int_t^T \sigma^2(u,T,a) u^{2H-1} du (1/2) \sigma^2(T^{2H-2} ) + 2\beta \sigma^2 \sigma_3 M(u,T,a) u^{2H-1} du \\
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(t)(T-t)^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda(t)(T-t)} n! (d_1(n)).
\]

Hence, when $N_t = n$,

\[
I = \omega(I_1 + I_2) = \omega \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(t)(T-t)^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda(t)(T-t)} n! \left[ (1+\theta)^n S_n (d_1(n)) + K P(t,T) N (d_2(n)) \right].
\]

\[
d_2(n) = d_4(n) + \sqrt{\ln(1+\theta)^n + \Pi(T-t) + \ln(1+\theta)^n \sigma^2 / 2} \\
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(t)(T-t)^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda(t)(T-t)} n! (d_1(n)) \tag{41}
\]

Theorem 4. Consider

\[
I = (1-\omega) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(t)(T-t)^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda(t)(T-t)} X(t,T) \cdot (Y(t,T) N (d_3(n)) - KN (d_4(n))) \tag{42}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
& d_4(n) = -\ln(K/S_t) + \Delta(t,T) + \Lambda(t,T) + \ln(1+\theta)^n - n\sigma^2 / 2 \\
& \sqrt{n\sigma^2 + 2H \int_t^T \sigma^+(u,T)^2 u^{2H-1} du} \\
& d_3(n) = d_4(n) + \sqrt{n\sigma^2 + 2H \int_t^T \sigma^+(u,T)^2 u^{2H-1} du},
\end{align*}
\]

\[
X(t,T) = \exp \left\{ -\beta (t-T) - \int_t^T \pi(u,T,a) - [\pi(t-b) M(t,T,a) - [\pi(t-b) M(t,T,a) \right. \\
\left. + H \int_t^T \sigma^2 M(u,T,a) + \sigma^2 M(u,T,a) + 2\rho \sigma M(u,T,a) M(u,T,a) u^{2H-1} du \right) \tag{43}
\]

\[
Y(t,T) = S_t \exp \left\{ \Delta(t,T) + \Lambda(t,T) + \ln(1+\theta)^n + H \int_t^T \sigma^+(u,T)^2 u^{2H-1} du \right\},
\]

\[
\Lambda(t,T) = -2H \int_t^T \sigma^2 M(u,T,a) M_1(u) + \rho \sigma M_1(u) + \rho \sigma M_2(u) \left[ u^{2H-1} du, \\
M_1(u) = \sigma^2 M(u,T,a) + \rho^2 M_1(u), \right.
\]

\[
M_2(u) = \sigma^2 M(u,T,a) + \rho^2 M_2(u), \\
M(t,T,a) = \frac{1}{a} \left( 1 - e^{-a(t-t)} \right).
\]
Proof. Using Lemma 1, the quasi-martingale measure $Q^\lambda$ equivalent to $Q$ by the Radon-Nikodym derivative is given as

$$\frac{dQ^\lambda}{dQ} = \frac{e^{-\int_t^T [r(u)+\lambda(u)]du}}{E\left[e^{-\int_t^T [r(u)+\lambda(u)]du}\right]}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (44)

Using (4) we can have the expression for $\lambda(t)$

$$\lambda(t) = e^{-a(T-t)}r(s) + b\left(1-e^{-a(T-t)}\right) + \sigma_3 \int_s^t e^{-a(T-u)}dB_{H3}(u).$$  \hspace{1cm} (45)

Then we have

$$\int_t^T \lambda(s)ds = b(T-t) + \frac{1}{a}\left[\lambda(t) - b\right]\left(1-e^{-a(T-t)}\right) + \frac{1}{a}\sigma_3 \int_t^T \left(1-e^{-a(T-u)}\right)dB_{H3}(u).$$  \hspace{1cm} (46)

Let $M(t,T,a) = \frac{1}{a}\left(1-e^{-a(T-t)}\right)$;

$$-\int_t^T \int_t^T \left[\lambda(t) - b\right]M(t,T,a)dB_{H1}(u)dB_{H2}(v) - \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \sigma_2^2 M^2(t,T,a)dB_{H2}(u)$$  \hspace{1cm} (47)

Using multidimensional fractional Itô lemma, let $X(t,T) = E[exp\left\{\int_t^T [r(u)+\lambda(u)]du\right\}|F_t]$; then

$$X(t,T) = \exp\left\{-(\beta + b)(T-t) - [r(t) - \beta]M(t,T,a) - [\lambda(t) - b]M(t,T,a) + \sigma_2 \int_t^T \sigma_2 M^2(t,T,a)\right\}u^{2H-1}du.$$  \hspace{1cm} (48)

So

$$\frac{dQ^\lambda}{dQ} = \frac{e^{-\int_t^T [r(u)+\lambda(u)]du}}{E\left[e^{-\int_t^T [r(u)+\lambda(u)]du}\right]} = \exp\left\{-\sigma_2 \int_t^T M(u,T,a)dB_{H2}(u) - \sigma_3 \int_t^T M(u,T,a)dB_{H3}(u) - H \int_t^T \sigma_2^2 M^2(u,T,a) + \sigma_3^2 M^2(u,T,a) + 2\rho_{23} \sigma_2 \sigma_3 M(u,T,a)M(u,T,a)\right\}u^{2H-1}du.$$  \hspace{1cm} (49)

Using fractional Girsanov’s theorem

$$B_{H1}^\lambda(u) = B_{H1}(u) + 2H\sigma_2 \int_t^T M(u,T,a)u^{2H-1}du + 2H\rho_{23} \sigma_3 \int_t^T M(u,T,a)u^{2H-1}du,$$

$$B_{H2}^\lambda(u) = B_{H2}(u) + 2H\sigma_3 \int_t^T M(u,T,a)u^{2H-1}du + 2H\rho_{25} \sigma_5 \int_t^T M(u,T,a)u^{2H-1}du,$$

$$B_{H3}^\lambda(u) = B_{H3}(u) + 2H\sigma_5 \int_t^T M(u,T,a)u^{2H-1}du + 2H\rho_{53} \sigma_3 \int_t^T M(u,T,a)u^{2H-1}du.$$  \hspace{1cm} (50)

where

$$M_1(u) = \sigma_2 M(u,T,a) + \rho_{23} \sigma_3 M(u,T,a),$$

$$M_2(u) = \sigma_3 M(u,T,a) + \rho_{25} \sigma_5 M(u,T,a).$$  \hspace{1cm} (51)

Under the probability measure $Q^\lambda$, the processes $B_{H1}^\lambda(u)$, $B_{H2}^\lambda(u)$ and $B_{H3}^\lambda(u)$ are fractional Brownian motions. The covariance matrix of $(B_{H1}^\lambda, B_{H2}^\lambda, B_{H3}^\lambda)$ is the same as $(B_{H1}, B_{H2}, B_{H3})$. 

Let $M(t,T,a) = (1/a)(1-e^{-a(T-t)});$ then

$$-\int_t^T [r(u) + \lambda(u)]du$$

$$= -(\beta + b)(T-t) - [r(t) - \beta]M(t,T,a) - [\lambda(t) - b]M(t,T,a)$$

$$- \sigma_2 \int_t^T M(u,T,a)dB_{H2}(u)$$

$$- \sigma_3 \int_t^T M(u,T,a)dB_{H3}(u).$$
Then we can calculate $II = (1 - \omega) X(t, T) E^A [(S_T - K)^+ | \mathcal{F}_t]$ under $Q^A$.

Since

$$E^A [(S_T - K)^+ | \mathcal{F}_t] = E^A [S_T I_{[S_T \geq K]} | \mathcal{F}_t] - KE^A [I_{[S_T \geq K]} | \mathcal{F}_t],$$

we define $I_4 = E^A [S_T I_{[S_T \geq K]} | \mathcal{F}_t]$ and $I_4 = KE^A [I_{[S_T \geq K]} | \mathcal{F}_t]$ for convenience. We will calculate $I_4$ firstly.

Using fractional Girsanov's theorem, we have the expression for $S_T$ under measure $Q^A$

$$S_T = S_0 e^{\Delta(T, t) + \int_t^T \sigma_u^2 (M(u, T, \alpha) - \beta M(t, T, \alpha)) du + \int_t^T \rho_1 \sigma_1 M_1 (u) + \rho_2 \sigma_2 M_2 (u) u^2 H^{-1} du,}$$

where

$$\Delta(T, t) = \beta (T - t) + \int_t^T [r(u) - \beta M(t, T, \alpha) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_u^2 (T^2H - t^2H)] du,$$

$$\Lambda(T, t) = -2H \int_t^T \sigma_u^2 (M(u, T, \alpha) M_1 (u) + \rho_1 \sigma_1 M_1 (u) + \rho_2 \sigma_2 M_2 (u) u^2 H^{-1} du.$$

We substitute $S_T$ into $I_{[S_T \geq K]}$ when $N_t = n$, then

$$E^A [I_{[S_T \geq K]} | \mathcal{F}_t] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda(t) (T - t)^n e^{-\lambda(t)(T-t)} \frac{n!}{n!} N (d_4 (n)).$$

where

$$d_4 (n) = \frac{-\ln (K/S_t) + \Delta(T, t) + \Lambda(T, t) + \ln (1 + \theta)^n - \sigma_1^2/2 \sqrt{n \sigma_1^2 + 2H \int_t^T \sigma^* (u, T)^2 u^2 H^{-1} du}}{n!}.$$

Then we will calculate $I_3$.

Since $I_3 = E^A [S_T I_{[S_T \geq K]} | \mathcal{F}_t]$, we substitute $S_T$ into $I_{[S_T \geq K]}$

$$E^A [S_T I_{[S_T \geq K]} | \mathcal{F}_t] = S_0 e^{\Delta(T, t) + \int_t^T \sigma_u^2 (M(u, T, \alpha) - \beta M(t, T, \alpha)) du + \int_t^T \rho_1 \sigma_1 M_1 (u) + \rho_2 \sigma_2 M_2 (u) u^2 H^{-1} du,}$$

Using Lemma 2, when $N_t = n$, we have

$$Y(t, T) = S_t e^{\Delta(T, t) + \Lambda(T, t) + \ln (1 + \theta)^n + H \sigma_2^2 \int_t^T M(u, T, \alpha) u^2 H^{-1} du + (1/2) \sigma_1^2 (T^2H - t^2H) + 2H \rho_1 \sigma_1 M_1 (u) + \rho_2 \sigma_2 M_2 (u) u^2 H^{-1} du,}$$

and then

$$E^A [S_T I_{[S_T \geq K]} | \mathcal{F}_t] = Y(t, T) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(t)}{n!} N (d_3 (n)).$$

where

$$d_3 (n) = d_4 (n) + \sqrt{n \sigma_1^2 + 2H \int_t^T \sigma^* (u, T)^2 u^2 H^{-1} du}.$$

Hence

$$II = (1 - \omega) X(t, T) (I_3 - I_4) = (1 - \omega)$$
The price at time $t$ of the European vulnerable call option is

$$
C(t, T) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(t)(T-t)^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda(t)(T-t)} X(t, T) \cdot \left[ Y(t, T) N(d_3(n)) - K N(d_4(n)) \right].
$$

(65)

\textbf{Note 1.} When there is no jump process, $H = 1/2$, and recovery rate is 1, (65) can be simplified as

$$
C(t, T) = S_t N(d_1) + KP(t, T) N(d_2).
$$

(66)

\section*{4. Numerical Experiments}

In this section, we mainly discuss the influence of different parameters on option prices. Figures are about the relationship between option prices and strike prices with different parameters.

The values of different parameters are as follows:

- $\alpha = 0.3$;
- $\beta = 0.05$;
- $a = 0.2$;
- $b = 0.02$;
- $\sigma_1 = 0.2$;
- $\sigma_2 = 0.15$;
- $\sigma_3 = 0.25$;
- $\sigma_f = 0.1$;
- $r(0) = 0.02$;
- $\lambda(0) = 0.5$;
- $S(0) = 100$;
- $T = 1$.

(67)

For all figures, the horizontal axis shows strike price and the vertical axis shows option value.

Figure 1 is about the influence of $Hurst$ parameter $H$ on option value. The default risk will decline with the increasing of recovery rate. So the option prices will fall down.

Figures 3, 4, and 5 are about the influences of different covariance. According to these figures, we can see that different covariance has different influences on option value.

\section*{5. Conclusion}

The method of changing measures is widely used for pricing options. In this paper, we develop this method and prove its feasibility in pricing options under the assumption of fractional Brownian motion. What is more, we also take jump process into consideration and obtain the general pricing
formula for the European vulnerable option. Finally, we verify its accuracy through the numerical experiments.
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