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Based on the Lie-algebra, a new time-compact scheme is proposed to solve the one-dimensional Dirac equation. This time-compact scheme is proved to satisfy the conservation of discrete charge and is unconditionally stable. The time-compact scheme is of fourth-order accuracy in time and spectral order accuracy in space. Numerical examples are given to test our results.

1. Introduction

The Dirac equation [1, 2], which is a spinor field equation and is applied to conquer the difficulty of the negative probability of Klein-Gordon equation, was proposed by the famous British physicist Dirac in 1928. In order to consider spin degree of freedom of electron, Dirac introduced multicomponent wave function and defined positive definite density of probability. The property of electron with high speed was discussed by using the Dirac equation. The fine structure of hydrogen atom was given when the Dirac equation was used to study the energy level distribution of hydrogen atom. In Dirac theory, spin-1/2 and intrinsic magnetic moment of electron can be obtained. The Dirac equation predicts the existence of the antiparticle partner to the electron. Dirac equation was widely studied after producing of the graphene in the lab in 2003 [3, 4].

In this paper, we consider the one-dimensional Dirac equation [5]

\[
\tilde{i}\partial_t \Phi(t, x) = \left[ -i \sigma_1 \partial_x + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sigma_3 \right] \Phi(t, x) + \left[ V(x) I_2 - A_1(x) \sigma_1 \right] \Phi(t, x),
\]

where \( t \) is time, \( i = \sqrt{-1} \), and the magnetic potential \( A_1(x) \) and electronic potential \( V(x) \) are real. The complex-valued wave function of spinor field is \( \Phi(t, x) = (\phi_1(t, x), \phi_2(t, x))^T \in \mathbb{R}^2 \). \( \sigma_1 \) and \( \sigma_3 \) are Pauli matrices

\[
\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

\( \varepsilon (\varepsilon = v/c) \) is a dimensionless parameter, \( v \) is the velocity of electron, and \( c \) is the light speed. When \( 0 < v < c \), that is, the velocity of electron is far less than light velocity, there is \( 0 < \varepsilon < 1 \), and (1) changes into the nonrelativistic model. On the contrary, it is relativistic model.

The Dirac equation (1) is dispersive and time symmetric. Here we introduce its position density \( \rho_j \) \( (j = 1, 2) \) and the total density \( \rho \) which are defined as

\[
\rho_j(t, x) = |\phi_j(t, x)|^2, \quad j = 1, 2,
\]

\[
\rho(t, x) = \sum_{j=1}^{2} \rho_j(t, x) = \Phi^* \Phi,
\]
where $\Phi^*$ is conjugate transpose of $\Phi$. Then, the charge of Dirac equation (1) is given as

$$\|\Phi(t,\cdot)\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} |\Phi(t,x)|^2 \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^M |\phi_j(t,x)|^2 \, dx.$$  

(4)

For Dirac equation (1), it is hard to find the exact solution for general condition of electromagnetic potential. But for the special condition, such as coulomb problem, there was only nontrivial exact solution of Dirac equation [6]. The particle dynamics in relativistic quantum mechanics are described by using Dirac equation. Solution of Dirac equation is important in describing the nuclear shell structure [7]. In order to overcome the difficulty of solving analytically Dirac equation, many numerical methods, such as Crank-Nicolson finite difference method, time-splitting method [5], and pseudospectral method [8], have been used to solve numerically Dirac equation. To our best knowledge, the existing numerical methods for solving the Dirac equation have at most second-order accuracy in time. In this paper, we give the time-compact scheme which uses fewer time steps to reach the fourth-order accuracy to solve the one-dimensional Dirac equation. This scheme may be extended to three-dimensional Dirac equation.

The arrangement of the rest for this paper is organized as follows. The time-compact scheme with fourth-order accuracy is presented in Section 2. Numerical experiment is given to test the accuracy order and conservation of discrete charge in Section 3. Some conclusions are drawn in Section 4.

2. Time-Compact Scheme and Analysis

Recently, there has been growing interest in high-order compact method for solving partial differential equation, especially the time-compact methods [9–14], which can enhance the accuracy order in time. In addition, time-compact scheme is efficient for dealing with high frequency oscillation problem.

In this section, we will use the time-compact scheme with fourth-order accuracy to solve the Dirac equation (1) numerically; that is, we will discretize the equation by adopting time-splitting method in time and pseudospectral method in space. The aim is to enhance the accuracy order to the fourth order in time.

In practical computation, the computational domain is $\Omega = (-a, a)$ ($a > 0$), which is large enough. We divide the interval into $M$ equal parts; then there are $h = 2a/M$ and $x_j = -a + jh$; here $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, M$. Choose time step $\tau$; then $t_n = n\tau$; here $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. That is,

$$i\hbar\partial_t \Phi(t,x) = \left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} \sigma_j \partial_x + \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \sigma_3\right) \Phi(t,x) + V(x) I_2 \Phi(t,x) - A_1(x) \sigma_1 \Phi(t,x),$$  

(5)

with the homogeneous boundary condition

$$\Phi(t, -a) = \Phi(t, a), \quad t \geq 0$$  

(6)

and the initial condition

$$\Phi(0, x) = \Phi_0(x), \quad x \in \Pi.$$  

(7)

Setting

$$T = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \sigma_j \partial_x + \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \sigma_3,$$

$$Z = V(x) I_2 - A_1(x) \sigma_1,$$

(8)

(5) can be rewritten in form of

$$i\frac{\partial \Phi(t,x)}{\partial t} = (T + Z) \Phi(t,x).$$  

(9)

Obviously, (9) is a functional differential equation. So, we solve (1) in $[t_n, t_{n+1}]$ and obtain the formal solution

$$\Phi(t_{n+1}, x) = e^{-i\tau(T+Z)} \Phi(t_n, x).$$  

(10)

The key of solving the solution of (10) is to give the approximate value of the operator $e^{-i\tau(T+Z)}$.

For operators $T$ and $Z$, if they are commutative operators, the operator $e^{-i\tau(T+Z)}$ can be written as follows:

$$e^{-i\tau(T+Z)} = e^{-i\tau T} e^{-i\tau Z} = e^{-i\tau Z} e^{-i\tau T}.$$  

(11)

In the general case, the operators $T$ and $Z$ are noncommutative, and the equation above is not tenable. Assuming that $X$ and $Y$ are noncommutative operators, the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula (BCH) [11], $e^X e^Y$ can be expressed in the form of a single exponential function as

$$e^X e^Y e^X = e^W,$$  

(12)

where

$$W = 2X + Y + \frac{1}{6} [Y, Y, X] - \frac{1}{6} [X, X, Y] + \frac{7}{360} [X, X, X, X, Y] + \frac{1}{360} [Y, Y, X] + \frac{1}{90} [Y, Y, Y, Y] + \frac{1}{45} [X, Y, X, X, Y] - \frac{1}{60} [X, Y, Y, Y, X] + \frac{1}{30} [Y, Y, Y, Y, X] + \cdots.$$  

(13)

Here we use the notation of the commutator

$$[X, Y] = XY - YX,$$

$$[X, [X, Y]] = [X, X, Y].$$  

(14)

In order to solve the operator $e^{-i\tau(T+Z)}$, based on Lie-algebra and [12], one can obtain an approximate factorization in the form of

$$e^{-i\tau(T+Z)} = e^{-i\tau a_1 T} e^{-i\tau b_1 Z} e^{-i\tau a_2 T} e^{-i\tau b_2 Z} e^{-i\tau a_3 T} e^{-i\tau b_3 Z} e^{-i\tau a_4 T}.$$  

(15)
Denote \( \kappa = - \tau \); then
\[
e^{\kappa a_2 T} e^{b T} e^{\kappa a_2 T} e^{b T} = e^{k W_i},
\]
\[
e^{b Z} e^{W_i} e^{b Z} = e^{W_j},
\]
\[
e^{\kappa a_3 T} e^{k W_i} e^{\kappa a_3 T} = e^{k W_i}.
\]
(16)

According to (12), we have
\[
\kappa W_1 = 2 \kappa a_2 T + b h Z + \frac{1}{6} \kappa^3 \left[ b_2 Z, b_2 Z, a_2 T \right] + \frac{1}{6} \kappa^3 \left[ a_2 T, a_2 T, b_2 Z \right] + O \left( \kappa^5 \right),
\]
\[
\kappa W_2 = 2 b h Z + \kappa W_1 + \frac{1}{6} \kappa W_1, k W_1, b h Z \right] + \frac{1}{6} \kappa^3 \left[ b h Z, b h Z, k W_1 \right] + O \left( \kappa^5 \right),
\]
\[
\kappa W_3 = 2 \kappa a_1 T + \kappa W_2 + \frac{1}{6} \kappa W_2, k W_2, a_1 T \right] + \frac{1}{6} \kappa^3 \left[ a_1 T, a_1 T, k W_2 \right] + O \left( \kappa^5 \right).
\]
(17)

Then
\[
e^{\kappa a_3 T} e^{b h Z} e^{k W_3} e^{b h Z} e^{\kappa a_3 T} e^{b h Z} e^{\kappa a_3 T} = e^{W_j},
\]
(18)

with
\[
\kappa W_3 = 2 \kappa \left( a_1 + a_2 \right) T + \kappa \left( 2 b_1 + b_2 \right) Z + \frac{1}{6} \kappa^3 \left[ a_1^2 b_2^2 - 2 b_1 a_2 b_2 - 2 b_1^2 a_2 + a_1 \left( 2 b_1 + b_2 \right)^2 \right] + \frac{1}{6} \kappa^3 \left[ a_2^2 b_2^2 - 4 a_1 a_2 b_2 - 2 a_1 \left( 2 b_1 + b_2 \right)^2 \right] \left[ Z, Z, T \right] - \frac{1}{6} \kappa^3 \left[ a_2^2 b_2^2 - 4 a_2 a_2 b_2 - 2 a_2 \left( 2 b_1 + b_2 \right)^2 \right] \left[ Z, T, Z \right] - \frac{1}{6} \kappa^3 \left[ a_2^2 b_2^2 - 2 a_2 a_2 b_2 - 4 a_1 \left( 2 b_1 + b_2 \right)^2 \right] \left[ T, Z, T \right] - \frac{1}{6} \kappa^3 \left[ a_2^2 b_2^2 - 2 a_2 a_2 b_2 - 4 a_1 \left( 2 b_1 + b_2 \right)^2 \right] \left[ T, T, Z \right] - \frac{1}{6} \kappa^3 \left[ a_2^2 b_2^2 - 2 a_2 a_2 b_2 - 4 a_1 \left( 2 b_1 + b_2 \right)^2 \right] \left[ T, Z, T \right].
\]
(19)

In order to let \( \kappa W_3 \) match \( \kappa (T + Z) \), we must choose \( a_1 + a_2 = 1/2 \) and \( 2 b_1 + b_2 = 1 \). Consider that the third-order term \( [T, T, Z] \) has the partial derivative of the unknown function \( i V (x) = (1/e^2) V_x I_x + (1/e^2) A_{1,1} \sigma_1 - (2 i / e^2) A_{1,2} \sigma_2 + (2 i / e^2) V_x \sigma_2 \sigma_3 + (4 i / e^2) A_{1,3} \sigma_3 \), so we eliminate it by requiring \( 6 a_1^2 b_2 = 1/4 \). When \( a_1 = 1/6, a_2 = 1/3, b_1 = 3/8, \) and \( b_2 = 1/4 \), the other third-order term \( [Z, Z, T] \) can be removed to yield the time-compact scheme
\[
e^{-i r (T + Z)} = e^{-i (1/6) T} e^{-i (3/8) T} e^{-i (1/4) T} e^{-i (1/3) T} e^{-i (1/2) T} e^{-i (1/2) T} + O \left( r^5 \right),
\]
(20)

where
\[
\bar{Z} = Z - \frac{r^2 [Z, [T, Z]]}{48}.
\]
(21)

Since
\[
[T, Z] \Phi = T Z \Phi - Z T \Phi = \left( - \frac{i}{e^2} \sigma_2 + \frac{1}{e^2} \sigma_3 \right)
\]
\[
\cdot \left( V I_2 - A_{1,1} \sigma_1 \right) \Phi (V I_2 - A_{1,1} \sigma_1) \left( - \frac{i}{e^2} \sigma_2 + \frac{1}{e^2} \sigma_3 \right)
\]
\[
\cdot \Phi = - \frac{i}{e^2} \sigma_2 \Phi (V I_2 - A_{1,1} \sigma_1) + \frac{1}{e^2} \sigma_3
\]
\[
\cdot \left( V I_2 - A_{1,1} \sigma_1 \right) \Phi \left( V I_2 - A_{1,1} \sigma_1 \right) + A_{1,1} \sigma_1 \left( - \frac{i}{e^2} V_x \Phi + \frac{i}{e} \right)
\]
\[
\cdot I_2 A_{1,1} \Phi + 2 \frac{1}{e^2} \sigma_1 \sigma_3 \sigma_3 A_1 \Phi,
\]
(22)

we have
\[
[T, Z] = - \frac{i}{e^2} V_x + \frac{i}{e} I_2 A_{1,1} + 2 \frac{1}{e^2} \sigma_1 \sigma_3 A_1.
\]
(23)

Therefore,
\[
\]
\[
- [T, Z] Z \Phi = (V I_2 - A_{1,1} \sigma_1)
\]
\[
\cdot \left( - \frac{i}{e^2} \sigma_2 + \frac{1}{e^2} \sigma_3 \right)
\]
\[
\cdot \left( V I_2 - A_{1,1} \sigma_1 \right) \Phi \left( V I_2 - A_{1,1} \sigma_1 \right) + A_{1,1} \sigma_1 \left( - \frac{i}{e^2} V_x \Phi + \frac{i}{e} \right)
\]
\[
\cdot I_2 A_{1,1} \Phi + 2 \frac{1}{e^2} \sigma_1 \sigma_3 \sigma_3 A_1 \Phi
\]
\[
\cdot (V I_2 - A_{1,1} \sigma_1) \Phi = - \frac{4}{e^2} \sigma_3 \left( A_{1,1} (x) \right)^2 \Phi.
\]
(24)

So we can obtain
\[
[Z, [T, Z]] = - \frac{4}{e^2} \sigma_3 \left( A_{1,1} (x) \right)^2,
\]
\[
\bar{Z} = V (x) I_2 - A_{1,1} (x) \sigma_1
\]
\[
+ \frac{r^2}{12 e^2} \sigma_3 \left( A_{1,1} (x) \right)^2.
\]
(25)

From time \( t = t_0 \) to time \( t = t_{n+1} \), for the operators \( T, Z, \) and \( \bar{Z} \), we have three independent differential equations as follows:
\[
i \frac{d \Phi (t, x)}{dt} = \left( - \frac{i}{e^2} \sigma_2 + \frac{1}{e^2} \sigma_3 \right) \Phi (t, x) = T \Phi (t, x),
\]
(26)
\[
i \frac{d \Phi (t, x)}{dt} = \left( V (x) I_2 - A_{1,1} (x) \sigma_1 \right) \Phi (t, x)
\]
\[
= Z \Phi (t, x),
\]
(27)
\[
\frac{\partial \Phi(t, x)}{\partial t} = \left( V(x) I_2 - A_1(x) \sigma_1 \right) + \frac{\tau^2}{12 \varepsilon^2} \sigma_1 \left( A_1(x) \right)^2 \]

(28)

\[
\Phi(t, x) = \overline{Z} \Phi(t, x).
\]

According to the form of (26)–(28), (26) is discretized by the Fourier spectral method in space, (27) and (28) are functional differential system, and we can solve them analytically.

Next we begin to solve (10). In the first step, in \([t_n, t_n + \tau/6]\), applying the pseudospectral method to solve (26) with initial value \(\Phi^n = \Phi(t_n, x_j)\), we can obtain the solution \(\Phi^{(1)}\) via the inverse discrete Fourier transform as follows:

\[
\Phi^{(1)} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{l=-M/2}^{M/2-1} \psi_l^{(1)}(t) \exp \left( i \mu_l \left( x_j + a \right) \right),
\]

(29)

where \(\mu_l = \pi l / a\), \(j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, M\), and the coefficient \(\psi_l(t)\) is obtained by using the discrete Fourier transform

\[
\psi_l(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \Phi(t, x_j) \exp \left( -i \mu_l \left( x_j + a \right) \right),
\]

\[l = -\frac{M}{2}, -\frac{M}{2} + 1, \ldots, \frac{M}{2} - 1.\]

Substituting (29) into (26) and combining with the initial condition \(\psi_l(t_n) = \psi^n_l\), taking \(t = t_n + \tau/6\), we have

\[
\psi_l^{(1)}(t) = \exp \left( -i \frac{\tau}{6} \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mu_l \sigma_1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sigma_3 \right) \right) \psi_l^n
\]

\[
= \exp \left( -i \frac{\tau}{6 \varepsilon^2} \Gamma \right) \psi_l^n
\]

\[
= Q_l \exp \left( -i \frac{\tau}{6 \varepsilon^2} D_l \right) Q_l^* \psi_l^n,
\]

(31)

where

\[
\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \varepsilon \mu_l \\ \varepsilon \mu_l & -1 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
D_l = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_l & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_l \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
Q_l = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1 + \lambda_l}{\sqrt{2 \lambda_l (1 + \lambda_l)}} & -\varepsilon \mu_l \\ \varepsilon \mu_l & \frac{1 + \lambda_l}{\sqrt{2 \lambda_l (1 + \lambda_l)}} \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\lambda_l = \sqrt{1 + (\varepsilon \mu_l)^2}.
\]

Substituting \(\psi_l^{(1)}(t)\) into (29), we can obtain

\[
\Phi^{(1)} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{l=-M/2}^{M/2-1} Q_l \exp \left( -i \frac{\tau}{6 \varepsilon^2} D_l \right) Q_l^* \psi_l^n \exp \left( i \mu_l \left( x_j + a \right) \right).
\]

(33)

In the second step, we solve (27) in \([t_n, t_n + 3\tau/8]\) by using the variable separation method, the initial value is \(\Phi^{(1)}\), and we get the solution \(\Phi^{(2)}\):

\[
\Phi^{(2)} = \exp \left( -i \frac{3\tau}{8} G(x) \right) \Phi^{(1)} = \exp \left( -i \frac{3\tau}{8} \Lambda_1 \right) B^* \Phi^{(1)},
\]

(34)

where \(G(x) = V(x) I_2 - A_1(x) \sigma_1 = B A_1 B^*\),

\[
G(x) = \begin{pmatrix} V(x) & -A_1(x) \\ -A_1(x) & V(x) \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \sqrt{2} & 1 \sqrt{2} \\ -1 \sqrt{2} & 1 \sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\Lambda_1 = \begin{pmatrix} V(x) - A_1(x) & 0 \\ 0 & V(x) + A_1(x) \end{pmatrix}.
\]

The third step is to solve (26) in \([t_n + \tau/6, t_n + \tau/2]\) according to the first step with initial value \(\Phi^{(2)}\). Thus, we can get the solution \(\Phi^{(3)}\).

In the fourth step, we solve (28) by using the variable separation method in \([t_n + 3\tau/8, t_n + 5\tau/8]\) with the initial value \(\Phi^{(3)}\) solved from the third step and write the solution as \(\Phi^{(4)}\):

\[
\Phi^{(4)} = \exp \left( -i \frac{\tau}{4} \left( V(x) I_2 - A_1(x) \sigma_1 \right) \right) + \frac{\tau^2}{12 \varepsilon^2} \sigma_3 \left( A_1(x) \right)^2 \Phi^{(3)} = \exp \left( -i \frac{\tau}{48 \varepsilon^2} H(x) \right)
\]

\[
\cdot \Phi^{(3)} = P \exp \left( -i \frac{\tau}{48 \varepsilon^2} \Lambda_2 \right) P^* \Phi^{(3)},
\]

where

\[
H(x) = PA_2 P^*,
\]

\[
\Lambda_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 12 \varepsilon^2 V(x) + A_1(x) \delta & 0 \\ 0 & 12 \varepsilon^2 V(x) - A_1(x) \delta \end{pmatrix},
\]
\[
P = \begin{pmatrix} 
12\varepsilon^2 \\
\sqrt{2\delta \left( \delta - \tau^2 A_1(x) \right)} \\
\tau^2 A_1(x) - \delta \\
\sqrt{2\delta \left( \delta + \tau^2 A_1(x) \right)} \\
\tau^2 A_1(x) + \delta \\
\sqrt{2\delta \left( \delta - \tau^2 A_1(x) \right)} \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
\delta = \sqrt{r^4 (A_1(x))^2 + 144\varepsilon^4}.
\]

The methods of the fifth, sixth, and seventh steps are obtained as the third, second, and first steps, respectively.

The time-compact scheme for solving the Dirac equation at \([t_n, t_{n+1}]\) can be obtained as follows:

\[
\Phi_j^{(1)} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{l=-M/2}^{M/2-1} Q_l \exp \left( -i\tau \frac{1}{6} D_l \right) Q_l^* \psi_i^{(n)}
\cdot \exp \left[ i\mu \left( x_j + a \right) \right],
\]
\[
\Phi_j^{(2)} = B \exp \left( -i\frac{3\tau}{8} \Lambda_1 \right) B^* \Phi_j^{(1)},
\]
\[
\Phi_j^{(3)} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{l=-M/2}^{M/2-1} Q_l \exp \left( -i\frac{1}{3} D_l \right) Q_l^* \psi_i^{(2)}
\cdot \exp \left[ i\mu \left( x_j + a \right) \right],
\]
\[
\Phi_j^{(4)} = P \exp \left( -i\frac{\tau}{48\varepsilon^2} \Lambda_2 \right) P^* \Phi_j^{(3)},
\]
\[
\Phi_j^{(5)} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{l=-M/2}^{M/2-1} Q_l \exp \left( -i\frac{1}{3} D_l \right) Q_l^* \psi_i^{(4)}
\cdot \exp \left[ i\mu \left( x_j + a \right) \right],
\]
\[
\Phi_j^{(6)} = B \exp \left( -i\frac{3\tau}{8} \Lambda_1 \right) B^* \Phi_j^{(5)},
\]
\[
\Phi_j^{(n+1)} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{l=-M/2}^{M/2-1} Q_l \exp \left( -i\frac{1}{6} D_l \right) Q_l^* \psi_i^{(6)}
\cdot \exp \left[ i\mu \left( x_j + a \right) \right],
\]
where \(j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, M-1\), \(l = -M/2, -M/2+1, \ldots, M/2-1\), and
\[
\psi_i^{(k)} = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \Phi_j^{(k)} \exp \left[ -i\mu \left( x_j + a \right) \right], \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, 7.
\]

The above scheme is explicit, and it is easy to iterate.

**Theorem 1.** The time-compact scheme (38a)–(38g) conserves the charge in the discretized level; that is,

\[
\|\Phi_j^{(n)}\|_2^2 = \frac{\hbar}{M} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \|\Phi_j^{(n)}\|_2^2 = \frac{\hbar}{M} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \|\Phi_j^{(0)}\|_2^2 = \|\Phi_j^{(0)}\|_2^2.
\]

**Proof.** Introduce the definition of the discrete inner product; that is,

\[
\langle u, v \rangle = u^* v = u_1 v_1 + u_2 v_2,
\]
where \(u = (u_1, u_2)\) and \(v = (v_1, v_2)\). Then we define the \(l_2\) norm as follows:

\[
\|u\|_2 = \langle u, u \rangle.
\]
Equation (38a) is a Fourier transformation. From Parseval’s equality combining with (30), one obtains

\[
\|\Phi_j^{(1)}\|_2 = \|\Phi_j^{(0)}\|_2.
\]
For (38b), we have

\[
\|\Phi_j^{(2)}\|_2 = \langle \Phi_j^{(2)}, \Phi_j^{(0)} \rangle = \langle \Phi_j^{(0)} \rangle_2
\cdot \langle B \exp \left( -i\frac{3\tau}{8} \Lambda_1 \right) B^* \Phi_j^{(1)} \rangle_2
\]
\[
= \left( B \exp \left( -i\frac{3\tau}{8} \Lambda_1 \right) B^* \Phi_j^{(1)} \right)_2
\]
\[
= \left( \Phi_j^{(1)} \right)_2 \langle B \exp \left( -i\frac{3\tau}{8} \Lambda_1 \right) B^* \Phi_j^{(1)} \rangle_2
\]
\[
= \left( \Phi_j^{(1)} \right)_2 \langle B \exp \left( -i\frac{3\tau}{8} \Lambda_1 \right) B^* \Phi_j^{(1)} \rangle_2
\]
\[
= \left( \Phi_j^{(1)} \right)_2 \| \Phi_j^{(1)} \|_2.
\]
Similarly, the proof of (38c), (38e), and (38g) and (38d) and (38f) is obtained as the proof of (38a) and (38b), respectively. That is,

\[
\|\Phi_j^{(1)}\|_2 = \|\Phi_j^{(0)}\|_2,
\]
\[
\|\Phi_j^{(2)}\|_2 = \|\Phi_j^{(0)}\|_2,
\]
\[
\|\Phi_j^{(3)}\|_2 = \|\Phi_j^{(0)}\|_2,
\]
\[
\|\Phi_j^{(4)}\|_2 = \|\Phi_j^{(0)}\|_2,
\]
\[
\|\Phi_j^{(5)}\|_2 = \|\Phi_j^{(0)}\|_2,
\]
\[
\|\Phi_j^{(6)}\|_2 = \|\Phi_j^{(0)}\|_2.
\]
So, one can obtain the conservation of discrete charge

\[
\|\Phi_j^{(n+1)}\|_2 = \|\Phi_j^{(n)}\|_2.
\]
Table 1: (Example 1) comparison of errors, orders, and CPU times for different schemes with $h = 0.0156$ at $t = 0.5$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\tau$</th>
<th>$\epsilon_{\infty}$</th>
<th>Order</th>
<th>CPU time (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TCS</td>
<td>SSM</td>
<td>TCS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.100000</td>
<td>6.2862e-05</td>
<td>2.8575e-02</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.050000</td>
<td>3.7013e-06</td>
<td>7.0465e-03</td>
<td>3.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.025000</td>
<td>2.2801e-07</td>
<td>1.7576e-03</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.012500</td>
<td>1.4218e-08</td>
<td>4.3919e-04</td>
<td>4.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.006250</td>
<td>9.0579e-10</td>
<td>1.0977e-04</td>
<td>4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.003125</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.7441e-05</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Numerical Example

In this section, we test the order of accuracy and stability of the time-compact scheme. In order to test the accuracy, we choose the electromagnetic potentials in (5) as

$$V(x) = \frac{(1 + x)^2}{1 + x^2},$$
$$A_1(x) = \frac{1 - x}{1 + x^2},$$

and the initial condition as

$$\Phi_0(x) = (\phi_1, \phi_2)^T = \left( \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right), \exp\left(-\frac{(x-1)^2}{2}\right) \right)^T,$$

$$x \in \Omega.$$ (47)

We solve problem (5)–(7) numerically under the condition $\Omega = [-8, 8]$ from $t = 0$ to $t = 1$ by using the time-compact scheme. The numerical results calculated by the time-compact scheme (TCS) with fourth-order accuracy and Strang splitting method (SSM) [5] with second-order accuracy are listed in Table 1.

From Table 1, we can know that they have different accuracy order under the same condition, and the error of the time-compact scheme is much smaller than the error of Strang splitting method on the same row.

In every time step, the Strang splitting method with second-order accuracy needs three steps, and the time-compact scheme with fourth-order accuracy needs seven steps; that is, the total number of steps of the second-order accuracy scheme is 3/7 of the fourth-order accuracy scheme. But, from the column of error and time, we can find that the fourth-order accuracy scheme has much bigger step size and costs less time than the second-order accuracy when their errors have the same magnitude (such as $10^{-5}$).

In order to test convergence of the algorithm with fourth-order $O(\tau^4)$, in the calculation, we verify the fourth order by taking enough small $h$ to examine the temporal fourth order. Figure 1 takes log($\|e^n\|_{\infty}$) – log($\tau$) of enough small $h$. We can know that the scheme in Section 2 is converged in maximum modulus [14], the convergence order for $O(\tau^4)$.

The discrete charge calculated by the time-compact scheme is given at different time. As the calculated results have shown, one can see that the time-compact scheme conserves the discrete charge.

From Theorem 1, we make the conclusion that the time-compact scheme is unconditionally stable.

When $\epsilon$ decreases, high frequency oscillation increases. The time evolution of $\Re|\phi_1(t, 0)|$ for different $\epsilon$ is shown in Figure 2.

4. Conclusion

Based on Lie-algebra, the time-compact scheme is presented for solving the one-dimensional linear Dirac equation. Then we test whether the time-compact scheme has fourth-order accuracy in time and is proved to keep the conservation of discrete charge. From the numerical results, the time-compact scheme performs much better than the Strang splitting method in the error analysis, in terms of accuracy and efficiency. In addition, the time-compact scheme is unconditionally stable, and numerical experiment is presented to discuss the changes of the frequency oscillation with different $\epsilon$. In the past years, much work has been done to investigate the dynamical properties of nonlinear Schrödinger equations and other physically important nonlinear wave equations [15–18]. Thus, in the future, we will develop the time-compact scheme to study the nonlinear Dirac equation [19, 20], which is a model of self-interacting Dirac fermions in quantum field theory.
Figure 2: Oscillation of Dirac equation (1) with different $\epsilon = 0.4$, 0.2, and 0.1.
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