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In nature, many phenomena can be explained by coordinated behavior of agents with fractional-order dynamics. In this paper, the consensus problem of fractional-order multiagent systems with double-integrator is studied, where the fractional-order satisfies \(0 < \alpha < 2\). Based on the fractional-order stability theory, Mittag-Leffler function, and Laplace transform, a necessary and sufficient condition is obtained under the assumption that the directed graph for the communication network contains a directed spanning tree. Finally, an example with simulation is presented to illustrate the theoretical results.

1. Introduction

The last few decades have witnessed considerable progress in the study of multiagent systems due to its wide applications. Typical research in this field includes the problems of consensus [1], formation control [2], cooperative control of unmanned vehicle [3], flocking [4], deployment [5], and rendezvous [6]. One can refer to many references therein for more details about these topics.

Note that many investigations focused on the multiagent systems with integer-order dynamics. In fact, many practical engineering systems cannot be well explained in the framework of integer-order dynamics, such as electromagnetic waves, the heat flux of the thermal field of the furnace wall, food seeking of microbes, and underwater vehicles operating in lentic lakes [7, 8]. Furthermore, it was pointed out in [9, 10] that the well-studied integer-order systems are just the special cases of fractional-order ones. From an implementation point of view, multiagent systems with fractional-order dynamics are an important issue.

In recent years, the consensus problem of fractional-order multiagent systems has become a hot topic. Distributed coordination control of fractional-order multiagent systems was early discussed by Cao and Ren in [11–13]. Based on the stability theory of fractional-order systems, Song and Cao [14] investigated the consensus of the fractional-order linear multiagent systems (MAS) with directed interaction topology in terms of linear matrix inequalities (LMIs). By using algebraic graph theory and Lyapunov method, the leader-following consensus problem of fractional-order multiagent systems with nonlinear dynamics was considered in [15]. Based on the stability theory of fractional-order differential systems and matrix inequalities, some consensus criteria were obtained in [16] on leader-following consensus problem. In [17], based on the Laplace transform and frequency domain theory of the fractional-order operator, the consensus of delayed multiagent systems with directed weighted topologies was studied. In [18], the authors discussed the consensus of fractional-order heterogeneous multiagent systems by the Kronecker product technique, the fractional-order stability theory, and LMIs. In [19], the Mittag-Leffler stability and the fractional Lyapunov direct method were firstly introduced into the fractional multiagent systems. Sufficient conditions were given to guarantee that the leader-following consensus can be achieved with both single-integrator dynamics and double-integrator dynamics, respectively. In [20], the robust consensus problem of fractional-order linear multiagent systems is discussed. Some new LMI-based sufficient conditions were obtained.

With the above considerations, in this paper, the consensus of fractional-order multiagent systems with double-integrator and fractional-order \(\alpha\) satisfying \(\alpha \in (0, 2)\) over
function defined as $N$ where $\Gamma$ illustrates the effectiveness of the theoretical results. Consequently, Section 3. One simulation example is given in Section 4 to fractional-order systems. The main results are derived in Section 5. Particular results are presented, which may save computation and online resources. Furthermore, not only is the sufficient condition on consensus given, but also the necessary condition is presented.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes some preliminary results of graph theory and fractional-order systems. The main results are derived in Section 3. One simulation example is given in Section 4 to illustrate the effectiveness of the theoretical results. Conclusions are drawn in Section 5.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Graph Theory. For a system with $n$ agents, the communication graph for all agents can be modeled by a directed graph $G = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$, where $\mathcal{V} = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ and $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$ are the sets of vertices and edges of the graph $G$, respectively. Each edge can be denoted as $(v_i, v_j)$ which means agent $v_j$ can access the information of agent $v_i$. Accordingly, agent $v_i$ is a neighbor of agent $v_j$. All neighbors of agent $i$ are denoted by $\mathcal{N}_i = \{v_j \in \mathcal{V} : (v_i, v_j) \in \mathcal{E}\}$. A directed path is a sequence of edges in a directed graph with the form $(v_i, v_j), (v_j, v_k), \ldots$, where $v_k \in \mathcal{V}$. A directed graph has a directed spanning tree if there exists at least one agent that has a directed path to all other agents. In a digraph $G$, if $\mathcal{U}$ is a nonempty subset of $\mathcal{V}$ and $u \leftrightarrow v$ for all $u \in \mathcal{U}$ and $v \in \mathcal{V} - \mathcal{U}$, then $\mathcal{U}$ is said to be closed.

The communication graph can be represented by two types of matrices: the adjacency matrix $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with $a_{ij} > 0$ if $(v_i, v_j) \in \mathcal{E}$ and $a_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. $D = \text{diag}[d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n]$ is the degree matrix whose diagonal elements are defined by $d_i = \sum_{j, i, j \in \mathcal{N}_i} a_{ij}$. The Laplacian matrix $L = [l_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is defined by $l_{ii} = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} a_{ij}$ and $l_{ij} = -a_{ij}, i \neq j$, and $L = D - A$.

2.2. Caputo Fractional Derivative. The Caputo fractional derivative is defined as follows:

$$C^\alpha_{\text{D}_1\text{D}} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - \alpha)} \int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{f^{(n)}(\tau)}{(t - \tau)^{\alpha - n + 1}} d\tau,$$

where $\alpha$ is the fractional-order and $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the Gamma function defined as $\Gamma(\tau) = \int_{0}^{\tau} t^{\tau - 1} e^{-t} dt$. A simple notion $D^\alpha f(t)$ will be used to denote $C^\alpha_{\text{D}_1\text{D}} f(t)$.

Let $\mathcal{L}[\cdot]$ denote the Laplace transform of a function; then we have

$$\mathcal{L} \left[ \frac{d^\alpha}{dt^\alpha} f(t) \right] = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} s^\alpha F(s) - s^{\alpha - 1} f(0^+), & \alpha \in (0, 1] \\ \frac{d^\alpha}{dt^\alpha} f(t) - \alpha^{\alpha - 2} f(0^+), & \alpha \in (1, 2), \end{array} \right. \tag{2}$$

where $f(0^+)$ and $\dot{f}(0^+)$ denote the right limit of $f(t)$ and $\dot{f}(t)$ at $0$, respectively.

**Definition 1** (see [21]). The two-parameter function of the Mittag-Leffler type is defined by

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)}, \quad \alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \text{ and } z \text{ is a complex number}. \tag{3}$$

Particularly, when $\beta = 1$, write $E_{\alpha,1}(z) \equiv E_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + 1)})$.

Moreover, the Laplace transform of the Mittag-Leffler function in two parameters is

$$\mathcal{L} \left[ t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\beta}(-\lambda t^{\alpha}) \right] = \frac{s^{\alpha-\beta}}{s^{\alpha} + \lambda}, \quad (R(s) > |\lambda|^{1/\alpha}). \tag{4}$$

2.3. System Model Description. Consider the following fractional-order double-integrator multiagent systems with $n$ agents:

$$D^\alpha x_i(t) = v_i(t), \tag{5}$$

$$D^\alpha v_i(t) = u_i(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad i \in T,$$

where $0 < \alpha < 2, T = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}, x_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $v_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ are the states and $u_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ is the control input of the $i$th agent, respectively. To study the consensus of multiagent systems (5), the protocols $u_i(t)$ are said to solve the consensus if and only if, for any initial states, the states of the agents satisfy

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \| x_i(t) - x_j(t) \| = 0, \quad i, j \in T, \tag{6}$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \| D^\alpha x_i(t) - D^\alpha x_j(t) \| = 0, \quad i, j \in T.$$

To study the consensus of multiagent systems (5), the following consensus control protocol will be used:

$$u_i(t) = - \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i, j \neq i} a_{ij} (x_i(t) - x_j(t)) - kD^\alpha x_i(t), \tag{7}$$

where $k > 0$ is the control parameter to be designed later.

Let $x(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), \ldots, x_n(t))^T$ and $v(t) = (v_1(t), v_2(t), \ldots, v_n(t))^T$; then (5) can be rewritten in the following compact form:

$$D^\alpha x(t) = v(t) \tag{8}$$

$$D^\alpha v(t) = -Lx(t) - kv(t).$$

Denote $I_{n-1}^T = [1, \ldots, 1]$, $\bar{x}(t) = Sx(t)$, and $\bar{v}(t) = Sv(t)$, where

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -I_{n-1} & I_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{9}$$
Proof. By simple calculation, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
D^α \vec{x}(t) &= \vec{v}(t) \\
D^α \vec{v}(t) &= -(\text{SLS}^{-1}) \vec{x}(t) - k\vec{v}(t).
\end{align*}
\] (10)

Define \(\vec{v}_1(t) = x_1(t), \vec{v}_2(t) = (x_2(t) - x_1(t), \ldots, x_n(t) - x_1(t))^T\), \(\vec{v}_3(t) = v_1(t)\), and \(\vec{v}_4(t) = (v_2(t) - v_1(t), \ldots, v_n(t) - v_1(t))^T\). Then, (10) can be decoupled as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
D^α \vec{v}_2(t) &= \vec{v}_2(t) \\
D^α \vec{v}_3(t) &= -L \vec{v}_2(t) - k\vec{v}_4(t),
\end{align*}
\] (11)

where

\[
L = \begin{pmatrix}
d_2 + a_{12} & a_{13} - a_{23} & \cdots & a_{1n} - a_{2n} \\
a_{12} - a_{22} & d_3 + a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1n} - a_{3n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{12} - a_{n2} & a_{13} - a_{n3} & \cdots & d_n + a_{1n}
\end{pmatrix}.
\] (12)

Let \(Y(t) = (\vec{v}_2(t), \vec{v}_3(t))^T\); (11) can be rewritten in the following compact form:

\[
D^α Y(t) = PY(t),
\] (13)

where

\[
P = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & I_{n-1} \\
-L & -kI_{n-1}
\end{pmatrix}.
\] (14)

3. Main Results

It will be found that the following lemmas are useful to prove the necessary and sufficient condition given in this section.

**Lemma 3.** All the eigenvalues of matrix \(L\) have positive real parts if and only if the communication graph of all agents has a directed spanning tree.

**Proof.** By simple calculation, we have

\[
\text{SLS}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & L_1 \\
O_{n-1} & L
\end{pmatrix},
\] (15)

where \(O_{n-1} = [0, \ldots, 0]_{n-1}\) and \(L_1 = [-a_{12}, -a_{13}, \ldots, -a_{1n}]\). According to [22], \(L\) has exactly one zero eigenvalue and all the other eigenvalues have positive real parts if and only if the communication graph of all agents has a directed spanning tree. Then, it follows from (15) that the conclusion of Lemma 3 holds.

**Lemma 4** (see [23]). Let

\[
Q = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & I \\
-H & -kI
\end{pmatrix}.
\] (16)

Assume that the control parameter \(k\) satisfies \(k^2 > (\max_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}} |\text{Im} \mu|)^2/\min_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}} \Re \mu, (\min_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}} \Re \mu \neq 0)\), where \(\rho(H)\) denotes the set of all eigenvalues of \(H\). Then \(\max_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}} \Re \theta < 0\) if and only if \(H\) is positive stable (i.e., all eigenvalues have positive real parts).

**Lemma 5** (see [24]). Consider the general fractional-order linear differential system:

\[
D^α x(t) = Ax(t),
\] (17)

where \(0 < α < 2\), \(x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n\), and \(A \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}\). System (17) is asymptotically stable if and only if \(\arg(\lambda_i) > απ/2\), for \(i = 1, \ldots, n\), and \(\lambda_i\) is any eigenvalue of coefficient matrix \(A\).

By Lemma 2.2 in [25], with a mild revision, we have the following result.

**Lemma 6.** If \(A\) is a Hurwitz matrix, then there are some real constants \(K ≥ 1\) and \(λ > 0\) such that

\[
\|F_{α, β}(A)\| \leq \|e^{αs}\| ≤ Ke^{-λ t},
\] (18)

for any \(α ≥ 1\) and \(β > 0\).

**Lemma 7** (see [26]). A digraph \(G = (\mathcal{V}, E)\), with \(\mathcal{V} \ni 2\), has no directed spanning tree if and only if it has at least two disjoint closed subsets of \(\mathcal{V}\).

Now, we are in the position to state the consensus result.

**Theorem 8.** Consider the fractional-order double-integrator multiagent systems (5) with control protocol (7) and take \(k^2 > (\max_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}} |\text{Im} \mu|)^2/\min_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}} \Re \mu\). Then, the consensus can be achieved if and only if the communication graph of all agents has a directed spanning tree.

**Proof** (sufficiency). Since the communication graph of all agents has a directed spanning tree, by Lemma 3, all the eigenvalues of matrix \(L\) have positive real parts. It follows from the choice of parameter \(k\) and Lemma 4 that \(\max_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}} \Re \theta < 0\). Hence, \(\arg(\theta) > π/2 ≥ απ/2\) for \(α ∈ (0, 1]\). It follows from Lemma 5 that the consensus can be achieved asymptotically for \(α ∈ (0, 1]\).

For \(α ∈ (1, 2]\), taking the Laplace transform on (13), we have

\[
\mathcal{L}[Y(t)] = \frac{s^{α-1}Y(0+) + s^{α-2}Y(0+)}{Is^{α} - P}.
\] (19)

Then, taking the inverse Laplace transform of (19), one can obtain that

\[
Y(t) = E_α(\mathcal{P}^α)Y(0+) + tE_{α, 2}(\mathcal{P}^α)Y(0+).
\] (20)

In addition, since \(P\) is a Hurwitz matrix, it follows from Lemma 6 that there exist constants \(K_1, K_2 > 1\) and \(λ_1 > 0\) and \(λ_2 > 0\) such that

\[
\|Y(t)\| ≤ \|E_α(\mathcal{P}^α)Y(0+)\| + \|tE_{α, 2}(\mathcal{P}^α)Y(0+)\| ≤ K_1e^{-λ_1t}\|Y(0+)\| + tK_2e^{-λ_2t}\|Y(0+)\|.
\] (21)
Therefore, \( \|Y(t)\| \to 0 \) as \( t \to \infty \) for any initial condition, which implies that the consensus can be achieved asymptotically for \( \alpha \in (1,2) \).

Thus, the consensus of fractional-order double-integrator systems (5) with \( 0 < \alpha < 2 \) can be achieved asymptotically if the communication graph of all agents has a directed spanning tree.

(Necessity) The consensus of fractional-order double-integrator multiagent systems (5) can be achieved, which implies that \( x_i \to x_j \) and \( D^\alpha x_i \to D^\alpha x_j \) as \( t \to \infty \) for any initial states.

Suppose that the communication graph of all agents has no directed spanning tree. Then, it follows from Lemma 7 that there are at least two disjoint closed sets of nodes in graph \( G \).

Without loss of generality, we consider the following special case; that is, there are exactly two disjoint closed sets in graph \( G \), say \( \mathcal{V}_1 = \{v_1\} \) and \( \mathcal{V}_2 = \{v_2\} \); that is, there is only one node in \( \mathcal{V}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{V}_2 \), respectively. If there are more disjoint closed sets or there are more nodes in each disjoint closed sets, it can be proved by a similar argument only with more complex computation.

For \( \alpha \in (0,1] \), given the initial conditions satisfying \( x_1(0) = v_1(0) = c_1 \) and \( x_2(0) = v_2(0) = c_2 \), where \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) are any given constants, by a direct computation, we have \( x_1(t) = c_1 L^{-1}((s^{\alpha-1} + k s^{\alpha-1} + s^{-\alpha}))/((s^{\alpha} + k s^{\alpha} + 1)) \), \( D^\alpha x_1(t) = c_1 L^{-1}((s^{\alpha-1} - s^{-\alpha}))/((s^{\alpha} + k s^{\alpha} + 1)) \), \( x_2(t) = c_2 L^{-1}((s^{\alpha-1} + k s^{\alpha-1} + s^{-\alpha}))/((s^{\alpha} + k s^{\alpha} + 1)) \), and \( D^\alpha x_2(t) = c_2 L^{-1}((s^{\alpha-1} - s^{-\alpha}))/((s^{\alpha} + k s^{\alpha} + 1)) \). Hence, if \( c_1 \neq c_2 \), the consensus cannot be reached, which is a contradiction.

For \( \alpha \in (1,2) \), given the initial conditions satisfying \( x_1(0) = v_1(0) = c_1 \) and \( x_2(0) = v_2(0) = c_2 \), where \( c_1 \), \( c_2 \), \( c_3 \), and \( c_4 \) are any given constants, by a direct computation, we have \( x_1(t) = c_1 L^{-1}((s^{\alpha-1} + k s^{\alpha-1} + s^{-\alpha}))/((s^{\alpha} + k s^{\alpha} + 1)) \) and \( c_2 L^{-1}((s^{\alpha-1} - s^{-\alpha}))/((s^{\alpha} + k s^{\alpha} + 1)) \), \( x_2(t) = c_2 L^{-1}((s^{\alpha-1} + k s^{\alpha-1} + s^{-\alpha}))/((s^{\alpha} + k s^{\alpha} + 1)) \) and \( c_1 L^{-1}((s^{\alpha-1} - s^{-\alpha}))/((s^{\alpha} + k s^{\alpha} + 1)) \), \( x_3(t) = c_3 L^{-1}((s^{\alpha-1} + k s^{\alpha-1} + s^{-\alpha}))/((s^{\alpha} + k s^{\alpha} + 1)) \) and \( c_4 L^{-1}((s^{\alpha-1} - s^{-\alpha}))/((s^{\alpha} + k s^{\alpha} + 1)) \), \( x_4(t) = c_4 L^{-1}((s^{\alpha-1} + k s^{\alpha-1} + s^{-\alpha}))/((s^{\alpha} + k s^{\alpha} + 1)) \) and \( c_3 L^{-1}((s^{\alpha-1} - s^{-\alpha}))/((s^{\alpha} + k s^{\alpha} + 1)) \), \( x_5(t) = c_5 L^{-1}((s^{\alpha-1} + k s^{\alpha-1} + s^{-\alpha}))/((s^{\alpha} + k s^{\alpha} + 1)) \) and \( c_5 L^{-1}((s^{\alpha-1} - s^{-\alpha}))/((s^{\alpha} + k s^{\alpha} + 1)) \). Hence, the consensus cannot be reached if \( c_1 \neq c_2 \) or \( c_3 \neq c_4 \), which is a contradiction.

The contradiction shows that there must be a directed spanning tree in the communication graph of all agents.

Remark 9. The consensus result about fractional-order multiagent system with double-integrator is presented in Theorem 8, where not only is fractional-order \( \alpha \) satisfying \( 0 < \alpha \leq 1 \) discussed, but also \( 1 < \alpha < 2 \) is studied. Furthermore, not only is the sufficient condition on consensus given, but also the necessary condition is presented.

4. A Numerical Example and Simulation

In this section, a simulation example is presented to illustrate the theoretical results for \( 0 < \alpha \leq 1 \) and \( 1 < \alpha < 2 \), respectively. Simulation comparison with some existing protocols in literature is done, which shows the effectiveness of the Theorem 8. For the sake of comparison, we restate the control protocol without leader in [19] as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_i(t) &= - \sum_{j \in T, i \neq j} a_{ij} \left[ (x_i(t) - x_j(t)) + \beta \left( D^\alpha x_i(t) - D^\alpha x_j(t) \right) \right], \quad i \in T,
\end{align*}
\]

with \( \beta \geq 2/\sqrt{\min|\lambda(\hat{L})|} \).

Example 1. Consider a directed communication graph with 5 agents given by Figure 1. Assume that the digraph in this figure has 0-1 weights for simplicity. Here, if agent \( j \) is a neighbor of agent \( i \), \( a_{ij} = 1 \); otherwise, \( a_{ij} = 0 \). Hence, the adjacency matrix

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Then, the Laplacian \( L \) and \( \tilde{L} \) are as follows:

\[
L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\tilde{L} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

By simple computation, we have \( \rho(\tilde{L}) = 1, 3.3247, 1.3376 + 0.5623i, \) and \( 1.3376 - 0.5623i \), where \( \vec{a} = -1 \), and then \( k^2 > 0.3162 \). Obviously, the communication graph has a directed spanning tree. Thus, the consensus can be achieved for any initial states by Theorem 8.

In the following, the simulations are done for some \( \alpha \in (0,1] \) and \( \alpha \in (1,2) \), respectively.
Case 1. $\alpha = 0.9$, $k = 2$, and $\beta = 2.4$. Assume the initial states for each agent are given as $x_1(0) = 3$, $x_2(0) = 12$, $x_3(0) = -5$, $x_4(0) = 20$, $x_5(0) = 39$, $v_1(0) = 10$, $v_2(0) = 6$, $v_3(0) = 12$, $v_4(0) = 8$, and $v_5(0) = 11$. The states $x_i(t)$ and $v_i(t)$ of each agent are depicted in Figures 2 and 3, respectively, which shows the consensus can be achieved asymptotically.

Case 2. $\alpha = 1.3$, $k = 2$, and $\beta = 2.4$. Further assume that $\dot{x}_1(0) = 0.2$, $\dot{x}_2(0) = 1$, $\dot{x}_3(0) = 0.5$, $\dot{x}_4(0) = 0.1$, $\dot{x}_5(0) = 2$, $\dot{v}_1(0) = 4$, $\dot{v}_2(0) = 0.1$, $\dot{v}_3(0) = 0.8$, $\dot{v}_4(0) = 3$, and $\dot{v}_5(0) = 0.2$. The states $x_i(t)$ and $v_i(t)$ of each agent are depicted in Figures 4 and 5, respectively, which shows the consensus can be achieved asymptotically.
Remark 10. The simulation shows the proposed method in this paper is effective. The convergence speed with control protocol (7) is less than that with control protocol (22), but less information of neighboring agents is used in (7), which may save computation and online resources.

Remark 11. The initial states for each agent in the simulations can be chosen arbitrarily, which will not affect the asymptotic behavior of consensus. But it also should be pointed out that different initial states or different control protocol may result in different final consensus values. In other words,
the asymptotic behavior of consensus is independent of the selections of initial states, but the final consensus values or common values are dependent on the initial states or control protocol.

5. Conclusions

The consensus problem for fractional-order double-integrator multiagent systems is investigated, where the fractional-order satisfies $0 < \alpha < 2$. Consensus algorithms with a control parameter are designed. Based on the algebraic graph theory, Mittag-Leffler function, and Laplace transform, a necessary and sufficient condition is derived, which ensures that the fractional-order double-integrator multiagent systems can achieve consensus asymptotically. Due to the fact that communication graph of agents is always variable with time, the fractional-order multiagent systems with switching topologies will be studied in future work.
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