Research Article

The Existence Results of Solutions for System of Fractional Differential Equations with Integral Boundary Conditions

Dongxia Zan and Run Xu

School of Mathematical Sciences, Qufu Normal University, Qufu 273165, Shandong, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Run Xu; xurun_2005@163.com

Received 10 July 2018; Accepted 19 September 2018; Published 1 October 2018

Academic Editor: Douglas R. Anderson

Copyright © 2018 Dongxia Zan and Run Xu. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In this paper, we investigated the system of fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions. By using a fixed point theorem in the Banach spaces, we get the existence of solutions for the fractional differential system. By constructing iterative sequences for any given initial point in space, we can approximate this solution. As an application, an example is presented to illustrate our main results.

1. Introduction

The fractional differential equations attracted many people's attention, and they have many applications in different fields of science and biology. In recent years, the research on the qualitative properties of fractional differential equations has become a hot topic, and many results have been obtained; see [1–29]. From the literature, there are many articles investing the existence of solutions or the solutions for some nonlinear systems; see [4–10, 21].

In [6], Su studied the following system of nonlinear fractional differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} D^\alpha u(t) &= f(t, v(t), D^\mu v(t)), \\ D^\beta v(t) &= g(t, u(t), D^\nu u(t)), \\ u(0) &= v(0) = u(1) = v(1) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $0 < t < 1$, $1 < \alpha, \beta < 2$, $\mu, \nu > 0$, $\alpha - \nu, \beta - \mu \geq 1$, f, g are given functions and D^α, D^β are Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives of order α, β . The author gave sufficient conditions for the existence of solutions of system (1).

In [7], Wang et al. studied the existence of solutions for the following system of nonlinear fractional differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} D^\alpha u(t) &= f(t, v(t)), \\ D^\beta v(t) &= g(t, u(t)), \\ u(0) &= v(0) = 0, \\ u(1) &= au(\xi), \\ v(1) &= bv(\xi), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $0 < t < 1$, $1 < \alpha, \beta < 2$, $0 < \xi < 1$, $0 \leq a, b \leq 1$, f, g are given functions and D^α, D^β are Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives of order α, β .

In [8], Yang studied the existence of solutions for the following system of nonlinear fractional differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} D^\alpha u(t) &= a(t) f(t, v(t)), \\ D^\beta v(t) &= b(t) g(t, u(t)), \\ u(0) &= v(0) = 0, \\ u(1) &= \int_0^1 \phi(t) u(t) dt, \\ v(1) &= \int_0^1 \psi(t) v(t) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $0 < t < 1$, $1 < \alpha, \beta < 2$, $a, b \in C((0, 1), [0, \infty))$, $\phi, \psi \in L^1[0, 1]$ are nonnegative and

$f, g \in C([0, 1] \times [0, +\infty), [0, +\infty))$, and D^α, D^β are also the standard Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives of order α, β .

In [9], Alsulami et al. studied the existence of solutions for the following system of nonlinear Caputo fractional integrodifferential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^c D^\alpha u(t) &= f(t, u(t), v(t)), \\ {}^c D^\beta v(t) &= g(t, u(t), v(t)), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

subject to the nonseparated coupled boundary conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} u(0) &= \lambda_1 v(T), \\ u'(0) &= \lambda_2 v'(T), \\ v(0) &= \mu_1 u(T), \\ v'(0) &= \mu_2 u'(T), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $t \in [0, T]$, $1 < \alpha, \beta \leq 2$, ${}^c D^\alpha, {}^c D^\beta$ are Caputo fractional derivatives of order α, β , f, g are functions, and λ_i, μ_i are real constants with $\lambda_i \mu_i \neq 1 (i = 1, 2)$.

In [10], Yang et al. studied the existence of solutions for the following system of fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} D^\alpha u(t) &= f(t, v(t)), \\ D^\beta v(t) &= g(t, u(t)), \\ u(0) &= v(0) = 0, \\ u(1) &= \int_0^1 \phi(t) u(t) dt, \\ v(1) &= \int_0^1 \psi(t) v(t) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $0 < t < 1$, $1 < \alpha, \beta < 2$, $\phi, \psi \in L^1[0, 1]$ are nonnegative and $f, g \in C([0, 1] \times [0, +\infty), [0, +\infty))$, and D^α, D^β are also the standard Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives of order α, β .

In this paper, we investigate the following system of fractional differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} D^\alpha u(t) + f(t, v(t)) &= 0, \\ D^\beta v(t) + g(t, w(t)) &= 0, \\ D^\gamma w(t) + r(t, u(t)) &= 0, \\ u(0) &= v(0) = w(0) = 0, \\ u(1) &= \int_0^1 \phi(t) u(t) dt, \\ v(1) &= \int_0^1 \psi(t) v(t) dt, \\ w(1) &= \int_0^1 \eta(t) w(t) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $0 < t < 1$, $1 < \alpha, \beta, \gamma < 2$, and $D^\alpha, D^\beta, D^\gamma$ are also the standard Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives of order α, β, γ . The system of (7) satisfies the following conditions:

(1°) $f, g, r \in C([0, 1] \times [0, +\infty), [0, +\infty))$.

(2°) $\phi, \psi, \eta : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\phi, \psi, \eta \in L^1[0, 1]$ and $\theta_i \in (0, 1) (i = 1, 2, 3)$, $\theta_j > 0 (j = 4, 5, 6)$,

where

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_1 &= \int_0^1 t^{\alpha-1} \phi(t) dt, \\ \theta_2 &= \int_0^1 t^{\beta-1} \psi(t) dt, \\ \theta_3 &= \int_0^1 t^{\gamma-1} \eta(t) dt; \\ \theta_4 &= \int_0^1 t^{\alpha-1} \phi(t) (1-t) dt, \\ \theta_5 &= \int_0^1 t^{\beta-1} \psi(t) (1-t) dt, \\ \theta_6 &= \int_0^1 t^{\gamma-1} \eta(t) (1-t) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

We know $0 < \theta_4 \leq \theta_1 < 1$, $0 < \theta_5 \leq \theta_2 < 1$, $0 < \theta_6 \leq \theta_3 < 1$.

2. Preliminaries and Basic Lemmas

In this section, we will present some definitions and lemmas, which will be used throughout this paper.

From [1], the definitions of Riemann-Liouville fractional integral and derivative are given as follows:

$$I_{a+}^q f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{q-1} f(s) ds, \quad (9)$$

where $t > a$, $q > 0$, $f \in C[a, b]$ and Γ is the gamma function.

$$D_{a+}^q f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-q)} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-q-1} f(s) ds, \quad (10)$$

where $t > a$, $n-1 < q < n$ and $f : [a, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$.

Lemma 1 (see [2]). *If $\int_0^1 \phi(t) t^{\alpha-1} dt \neq 1$, $1 < \alpha < 2$, then, for any $\sigma \in C[0, 1]$, the unique solution of the boundary value problem,*

$$\begin{aligned} D^\alpha u(t) + \sigma(t) &= 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) &= 0, \quad u(1) = \int_0^1 \phi(t) u(t) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

is given by

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 G_\alpha(t, s) \sigma(s) ds, \quad (12)$$

where

$$G_\alpha(t, s) = G_{1\alpha}(t, s) + G_{2\alpha}(t, s), \quad (13)$$

$$G_{1\alpha}(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{t^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1} - (t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ \frac{t^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

$$G_{2\alpha}(t, s) = \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{1-\theta_1} \int_0^1 \phi(t) G_{1\alpha}(t, s) dt. \quad (15)$$

Then $G(t, s) = (G_\alpha(t, s), G_\beta(t, s), G_\gamma(t, s))$ is Green's function of (7).

Lemma 2 (see [2]). If $\int_0^1 \phi(t)t^{\alpha-1}dt \in [0, 1]$, then $G_\alpha(t, s) \geq 0$ is continuous for all $t, s \in [0, 1]$, $G_\alpha(t, s) > 0$ for all $t, s \in (0, 1)$, where $G_\alpha(t, s)$ is given in (13).

Lemma 3 (see [3]). The function $G_{1\alpha}(t, s)$ has the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha-1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} t^{\alpha-1} (1-t) (1-s)^{\alpha-1} s &\leq G_{1\alpha}(t, s) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} t^{\alpha-1} (1-t) (1-s)^{\alpha-2}, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where $t, s \in [0, 1]$ and $G_{1\alpha}(t, s)$ is given in (14).

Lemma 4. Assume condition (2°) holds; then $G_\alpha(t, s)$ satisfies the following property:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(\alpha-1)\theta_4 s(1-s)^{\alpha-1} t^{\alpha-1}}{(1-\theta_1)\Gamma(\alpha)} &\leq G_\alpha(t, s) \\ &\leq \frac{t^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(1-t + \frac{\theta_4}{1-\theta_1}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $G_\alpha(t, s)$ is given in (13) and θ_1, θ_4 are defined in (8).

Proof. From (13)–(15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_\alpha(t, s) &= G_{1\alpha}(t, s) + G_{2\alpha}(t, s) \\ &= G_{1\alpha}(t, s) + \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{1-\theta_1} \int_0^1 \phi(t) G_{1\alpha}(t, s) dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} t^{\alpha-1} (1-t) (1-s)^{\alpha-2} \\ &\quad + \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{1-\theta_1} \int_0^1 \phi(t) \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} t^{\alpha-1} (1-t) (1-s)^{\alpha-2} dt \\ &= \frac{t^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(1-t + \frac{\theta_4}{1-\theta_1}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

From [10], we have

$$\frac{(\alpha-1)\theta_4 s(1-s)^{\alpha-1} t^{\alpha-1}}{(1-\theta_1)\Gamma(\alpha)} \leq G_\alpha(t, s). \quad (19)$$

□

Throughout this paper, we list the following definitions and marks for our convenience. For details, see [11–14].

Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach space, for any $\mu, \nu \in E$.

- (1) If $P \subset E$, then cone P is called partially ordered, i.e., $\mu \leq \nu \iff \nu - \mu \in P$.
- (2) o is the zero element of E .
- (3) There is a constant $M > 0$; if P is called normal, then $\|\mu\| \leq M\|\nu\|$ when $o \leq \mu \leq \nu$.
- (4) Let the mapping $A : E \rightarrow E$, if A is an increasing operator, then $A\mu \leq A\nu$ when $\mu \leq \nu$.
- (5) The notation $\sim$ is an equivalence relation. $\mu \sim \nu$; i.e., there exist $\lambda > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ such that $\lambda\mu \leq \nu \leq \gamma\mu$.
- (6) Set $P_h = \{\mu \in E \mid \mu \sim h\}$, where $h > o$.
- (7) Φ is the class of function $\varphi : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, 1)$, which satisfies the condition $\varphi(t) > t$ for $t \in (0, 1)$.

Lemma 5 (see [14]). Let P be a normal cone in a real Banach space E , $h > o$. The operator T satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) T is an increasing
 - (ii) There is $h_0 \in P_h$ such that $Th_0 \in P_h$
 - (iii) For any $x \in P$ and $t \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\varphi \in \Phi$ such that $T(t\mu) \geq \varphi(t)T(\mu)$
- Then,
- (1) T has a unique fixed point $\mu^* \in P_h$
 - (2) for any initial value $\mu_0 \in P_h$, constructing successively the sequence $\mu_{n+1} = T\mu_n$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, we have $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

3. Main Results

Set $X = \{u(t) \mid u(t) \in C[0, 1]\}$, the norm $\|u\|_X = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |u(t)|$. For $(u, v, w) \in X \times X \times X$, we define the norm $\|u, v, w\|_{X \times X \times X} = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \{\|u\|_X, \|v\|_X, \|w\|_X\}$.

We define $S = \{(u, v, w) \in X \times X \times X \mid u(t) \geq 0, v(t) \geq 0, w(t) \geq 0\}$, $P = \{u \in X \mid u(t) \geq 0\}$.

We give the definition of the partially ordered in the space $X \times X \times X$; let $(u_1, v_1, w_1), (u_2, v_2, w_2) \in S$, then $(u_1, v_1, w_1) \leq (u_2, v_2, w_2) \iff u_1 \leq u_2, v_1 \leq v_2, w_1 \leq w_2$.

We also define $\lambda(h_1, h_2, h_3) = (\lambda h_1, \lambda h_2, \lambda h_3)$, where $\lambda > 0, h_1, h_2, h_3 > o$.

Lemma 6. Define $S_h = \{(\mu, \nu, \omega); \text{there exist } \lambda(\mu, \nu, \omega), \gamma(\mu, \nu, \omega) > 0 \text{ such that } \lambda(h_1, h_2, h_3) \leq (\mu, \nu, \omega) \leq \gamma(h_1, h_2, h_3)\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{h_1} &= \{u \in X \mid u(t) \geq 0\}, \\ P_{h_2} &= \{v \in X \mid v(t) \geq 0\}, \\ P_{h_3} &= \{w \in X \mid w(t) \geq 0\}; \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

And then $S_h = P_{h_1} \times P_{h_2} \times P_{h_3}$, where $h = (h_1, h_2, h_3)$.

Proof. On one hand, for any $(\mu, \nu, \omega) \in S_h$, there exists $\lambda, \gamma > 0$ such that $\lambda h \leq (\mu, \nu, \omega) \leq \gamma h$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda h_1, \lambda h_2, \lambda h_3) &= \lambda (h_1, h_2, h_3) \leq (\mu, \nu, \omega) \\ &\leq \gamma (h_1, h_2, h_3) = (\gamma h_1, \gamma h_2, \gamma h_3). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

From the definition of the partial order, we can get $\lambda h_1 \leq \mu \leq \gamma h_1, \lambda h_2 \leq \nu \leq \gamma h_2, \lambda h_3 \leq \omega \leq \gamma h_3$. So $\mu \in P_{h_1}, \nu \in P_{h_2}, \omega \in P_{h_3}$.

That is to say, $(\mu, \nu, \omega) \in P_{h_1} \times P_{h_2} \times P_{h_3}$.

Hence, $S_h \subset P_{h_1} \times P_{h_2} \times P_{h_3}$.

On the other hand, for any $(\mu, \nu, \omega) \in P_{h_1} \times P_{h_2} \times P_{h_3}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &\in P_{h_1}, \\ \nu &\in P_{h_2}, \\ \omega &\in P_{h_3}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

There exist $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 > 0$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 h_1 &\leq \mu \leq \gamma_1 h_1, \\ \lambda_2 h_2 &\leq \nu \leq \gamma_2 h_2, \\ \lambda_3 h_3 &\leq \omega \leq \gamma_3 h_3. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Let $\lambda = \min\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3\}$, $\gamma = \max\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3\}$.

From the definition of the partial order, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda (h_1, h_2, h_3) &= (\lambda h_1, \lambda h_2, \lambda h_3) \leq (\lambda_1 h_1, \lambda_2 h_2, \lambda_3 h_3) \\ &\leq (\mu, \nu, \omega) \leq (\gamma_1 h_1, \gamma_2 h_2, \gamma_3 h_3) \\ &\leq (\gamma h_1, \gamma h_2, \gamma h_3) = \gamma (h_1, h_2, h_3). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

That is, $(\mu, \nu, \omega) \in S_h$, and thus $P_{h_1} \times P_{h_2} \times P_{h_3} \subset S_h$.

Hence $S_h = P_{h_1} \times P_{h_2} \times P_{h_3}$ holds. $\square$

Lemma 7. Assume conditions (1°) and (2°) hold; then $(u, v, w) \in S$ is a solution of system (7) if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= \int_0^1 G_\alpha(t, s) f(s, v(s)) ds, \\ v(t) &= \int_0^1 G_\beta(t, s) g(s, w(s)) ds, \\ w(t) &= \int_0^1 G_\gamma(t, s) r(s, u(s)) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

From Lemma 1, we can get that (25) holds.

Theorem 8. Assume condition (2°) and the following conditions hold:

- (1) $f(t, x), g(t, x), r(t, x)$ are continuous and $f(t, 0), g(t, 0), r(t, 0) \neq 0$
- (2) $f(t, x), g(t, x), r(t, x)$ are increasing functions in x , for any $t \in [0, 1]$

(3) There exists $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3 \in \Phi$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, \lambda u) &\geq \varphi_1(\lambda) f(t, u), \\ g(t, \lambda u) &\geq \varphi_2(\lambda) g(t, u), \\ r(t, \lambda u) &\geq \varphi_3(\lambda) r(t, u) \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

for any $t \in [0, 1], u \in [0, \infty)$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$.

Then system (7) has a unique positive solution $(u^*, v^*, w^*) \in S_h$, where $h(t) = (t^{\alpha-1}, t^{\beta-1}, t^{\gamma-1}), t \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. For $(u, v, w) \in S$, we define operators T_1, T_2, T_3 , and T by

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 u(t) &= \int_0^1 G_\alpha(t, s) f(s, v(s)) ds, \\ T_2 v(t) &= \int_0^1 G_\beta(t, s) g(s, w(s)) ds, \\ T_3 w(t) &= \int_0^1 G_\gamma(t, s) r(s, u(s)) ds, \\ T(u, v, w)(t) &= \left(\int_0^1 G_\alpha(t, s) f(s, v(s)) ds, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \int_0^1 G_\beta(t, s) g(s, w(s)) ds, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \int_0^1 G_\gamma(t, s) r(s, u(s)) ds \right). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

If $T_1, T_2, T_3 : P \rightarrow P$ then $T : S \rightarrow S$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} T(u, v, w)(t) &= (T_1 u(t), T_2 v(t), T_3 w(t)) \\ &= (u(t), v(t), w(t)); \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

To begin with, we prove that condition (i) of Lemma 5 holds. For any $(u_1, v_1, w_1), (u_2, v_2, w_2) \in S$ with $(u_1, v_1, w_1) \leq (u_2, v_2, w_2)$, according to the definition of the partially order, we have $u_1(t) \leq u_2(t), v_1(t) \leq v_2(t), w_1(t) \leq w_2(t)$.

From Lemma 6 and condition (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 u_1(t) &= \int_0^1 G_\alpha(t, s) f(s, v_1(s)) ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 G_\alpha(t, s) f(s, v_2(s)) ds = T_1 u_2(t), \\ T_2 v_1(t) &= \int_0^1 G_\beta(t, s) g(s, w_1(s)) ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 G_\beta(t, s) g(s, w_2(s)) ds = T_2 v_2(t), \\ T_3 w_1(t) &= \int_0^1 G_\gamma(t, s) r(s, u_1(s)) ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 G_\gamma(t, s) r(s, u_2(s)) ds = T_3 w_2(t). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

So, we can get the following result:

$$\begin{aligned} T(u_1, v_1, w_1)(t) &= (T_1 u_1(t), T_2 v_1(t), T_3 w_1(t)) \\ &\leq (T_1 u_2(t), T_2 v_2(t), T_3 w_2(t)) \\ &= T(u_2, v_2, w_2)(t). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Hence, T is an increasing operator.

Then, we prove that condition (ii) of Lemma 5 holds. Let $h_0(t) = h(t) = (h_1(t), h_2(t), h_3(t)) = (t^{\alpha-1}, t^{\beta-1}, t^{\gamma-1}), t \in [0, 1]$.

According to Lemma 7, we only need to prove $T_1 h_1 \in P_{h_1}, T_2 h_2 \in P_{h_2}, T_3 h_3 \in P_{h_3}$.

Applying (17) and Theorem 3.1 of [10], we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 h_1(t) &= \int_0^1 G_\alpha(t, s) f(s, h_2(s)) ds \\ &\geq \frac{(\alpha-1)\theta_4}{(1-\theta_1)\Gamma(\alpha)} h_1(t) \int_0^1 s(1-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, 0) ds, \\ T_2 h_2(t) &= \int_0^1 G_\beta(t, s) g(s, h_3(s)) ds \\ &\geq \frac{(\beta-1)\theta_5}{(1-\theta_2)\Gamma(\beta)} h_2(t) \int_0^1 s(1-s)^{\beta-1} g(s, 0) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_3 h_3(t) &= \int_0^1 G_\gamma(t, s) r(s, h_1(s)) ds \\ &\geq \frac{(\gamma-1)\theta_6}{(1-\theta_3)\Gamma(\gamma)} h_3(t) \int_0^1 s(1-s)^{\gamma-1} r(s, 0) ds. \end{aligned}$$

By computation we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 h_1(t) &= \int_0^1 G_\alpha(t, s) f(s, h_2(s)) ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(1-t + \frac{\theta_4}{1-\theta_1}\right) t^{\alpha-1} f(s, s^{\beta-1}) ds \\ &\leq \frac{1-t + \theta_4/(1-\theta_1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} h_1(t) \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-2} f(s, 1) ds, \\ T_2 h_2(t) &= \int_0^1 G_\beta(t, s) g(s, h_3(s)) ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{\beta-2}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \left(1-t + \frac{\theta_5}{1-\theta_2}\right) t^{\beta-1} g(s, s^{\gamma-1}) ds \\ &\leq \frac{1-t + \theta_5/(1-\theta_2)}{\Gamma(\beta)} h_2(t) \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\beta-2} g(s, 1) ds, \\ T_3 h_3(t) &= \int_0^1 G_\gamma(t, s) r(s, h_1(s)) ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{\gamma-2}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \left(1-t + \frac{\theta_6}{1-\theta_3}\right) t^{\gamma-1} r(s, s^{\alpha-1}) ds \\ &\leq \frac{1-t + \theta_6/(1-\theta_3)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} h_3(t) \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\gamma-2} r(s, 1) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

From [10], we know $f(s, 1) > f(s, 0) \geq 0, s \in [0, 1]$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \int_0^1 s(1-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, 0) ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-2} f(s, 1) ds, \\ 0 &< \int_0^1 s(1-s)^{\beta-1} g(s, 0) ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\beta-2} g(s, 1) ds, \\ 0 &< \int_0^1 s(1-s)^{\gamma-1} r(s, 0) ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\gamma-2} r(s, 1) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 &= \frac{(\alpha-1)\theta_4}{(1-\theta_1)\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 s(1-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, 0) ds > 0, \\ m_2 &= \frac{1-t + \theta_4/(1-\theta_1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-2} f(s, 1) ds \\ &> 0, \\ m_3 &= \frac{(\beta-1)\theta_5}{(1-\theta_2)\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^1 s(1-s)^{\beta-1} g(s, 0) ds > 0, \\ m_4 &= \frac{1-t + \theta_5/(1-\theta_2)}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\beta-2} g(s, 1) ds \\ &> 0, \\ m_5 &= \frac{(\gamma-1)\theta_6}{(1-\theta_3)\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^1 s(1-s)^{\gamma-1} r(s, 0) ds > 0, \\ m_6 &= \frac{1-t + \theta_6/(1-\theta_3)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\gamma-2} r(s, 1) ds > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Since $(1-t) + \theta_4/(1-\theta_1) - (\alpha-1)\theta_4/(1-\theta_1) = ((1-t)(1-\theta_1) + (2-\alpha)\theta_4)/(1-\theta_1) > 0$,

we can obtain $m_1 \leq m_2, m_3 \leq m_4, m_5 \leq m_6$.

Hence, $m_1 h_1(t) \leq T_1 h_1(t) \leq m_2 h_1(t), m_3 h_2(t) \leq T_2 h_2(t) \leq m_4 h_2(t), m_5 h_3(t) \leq T_3 h_3(t) \leq m_6 h_3(t)$.

That is, $T_1 h_1 \in P_{h_1}, T_2 h_2 \in P_{h_2}, T_3 h_3 \in P_{h_3}$.

Therefore, $Th_0 \in S_h$.

Last, we prove that condition (iii) of Lemma 5 holds. For any $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $(u, v, w) \in S$, by condition (3), we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_1(\lambda u)(t) &= \int_0^1 G_\alpha(t, s) f(s, \lambda v(s)) ds \\
 &\geq \varphi_1(\lambda) \int_0^1 G_\alpha(t, s) f(s, v(s)) ds \\
 &= \varphi_1(\lambda) T_1 u(t), \\
 T_2(\lambda v)(t) &= \int_0^1 G_\beta(t, s) g(s, \lambda w(s)) ds \\
 &\geq \varphi_2(\lambda) \int_0^1 G_\beta(t, s) g(s, w(s)) ds \\
 &= \varphi_2(\lambda) T_2 v(t), \\
 T_3(\lambda w)(t) &= \int_0^1 G_\gamma(t, s) r(s, \lambda u(s)) ds \\
 &\geq \varphi_3(\lambda) \int_0^1 G_\gamma(t, s) r(s, u(s)) ds \\
 &= \varphi_3(\lambda) T_3 w(t).
 \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(\lambda(u, v, w))(t) &= (T_1(\lambda u)(t), T_2(\lambda v)(t), T_3(\lambda w)(t)) \\
 &\geq (\varphi_1(\lambda) T_1 u(t), \varphi_2(\lambda) T_2 v(t), \varphi_3(\lambda) T_3 w(t)).
 \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Let $\varphi(\lambda) = \min\{\varphi_1(\lambda), \varphi_2(\lambda), \varphi_3(\lambda)\}$. Hence, $T(\lambda(u, v, w))(t) \geq \varphi(\lambda)T(u, v, w)(t)$.

We have proved that the operator T satisfies all the conditions of Lemma 5. Hence T has a unique fixed point $(u^*, v^*, w^*) \in S_h$.

Moreover, we give the sequences, whose limit is the solution of system (7).

For any $(u^0, v^0, w^0) \in S_h$, we can construct the sequences as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_n(t) &= \int_0^1 G_\alpha(t, s) f(s, v_{n-1}(s)) ds, \\
 v_n(t) &= \int_0^1 G_\beta(t, s) g(s, w_{n-1}(s)) ds, \\
 w_n(t) &= \int_0^1 G_\gamma(t, s) r(s, u_{n-1}(s)) ds.
 \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

The limit of $u_n(t), v_n(t), w_n(t)$ exists as u^*, v^*, w^* , respectively.

From Lemma (16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_n(t) &\longrightarrow u^*(n \longrightarrow \infty), \\
 v_n(t) &\longrightarrow v^*(n \longrightarrow \infty), \\
 w_n(t) &\longrightarrow w^*(n \longrightarrow \infty).
 \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Hence, (u^*, v^*, w^*) is a positive solution of system (7). $\square$

4. An Example

Example 1. Consider the system of fractional differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 D^{5/4} u(t) + [v(t)]^{\tau_1} + b_1(t) &= 0, \\
 D^{6/5} v(t) + [w(t)]^{\tau_2} + b_2(t) &= 0, \\
 D^{7/6} w(t) + [u(t)]^{\tau_3} + b_3(t) &= 0, \\
 u(0) = v(0) = w(0) &= 0, \\
 u(1) &= \int_0^1 t^3 u(t) dt, \\
 v(1) &= \int_0^1 t^2 v(t) dt, \\
 w(1) &= \int_0^1 t w(t) dt,
 \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

where $0 < t < 1$, $\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3 \in (0, 1)$, $b_1, b_2, b_3 : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are continuous with $b_i \not\equiv 0$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), then system (39) has a unique positive solution.

Proof. Compared with (7), we know $\alpha = 5/4$, $\beta = 6/5$, $\gamma = 7/6$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(t, x) &= x^{\tau_1} + b_1(t), \\
 g(t, x) &= x^{\tau_2} + b_2(t), \\
 r(t, x) &= x^{\tau_3} + b_3(t), \\
 \phi(t) &= t^3, \\
 \psi(t) &= t^2, \\
 \eta(t) &= t;
 \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

After calculation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \theta_1 &= \int_0^1 t^{\alpha-1} \phi(t) dt = \frac{4}{17}, \\
 \theta_2 &= \int_0^1 t^{\beta-1} \psi(t) dt = \frac{5}{16}, \\
 \theta_3 &= \int_0^1 t^{\gamma-1} \eta(t) dt = \frac{6}{13}, \\
 \theta_4 &= \int_0^1 t^{\alpha-1} \phi(t) (1-t) dt = \frac{16}{357}, \\
 \theta_5 &= \int_0^1 t^{\beta-1} \psi(t) (1-t) dt = \frac{25}{336}, \\
 \theta_6 &= \int_0^1 t^{\gamma-1} \eta(t) (1-t) dt = \frac{36}{247}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

We find $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3 \in (0, 1)$, $\theta_4, \theta_5, \theta_6 > 0$. The functions f, g, r are continuous and $f(t, 0) = b_1(t) \not\equiv 0$, $g(t, 0) = b_2(t) \not\equiv 0$,

$r(t, 0) = b_3(t) \neq 0$. In addition, $f(t, x), g(t, x), r(t, x)$ are increasing in x .

Let $\varphi_1(t) = t^{\tau_1}, \varphi_2(t) = t^{\tau_2}, \varphi_3(t) = t^{\tau_3}$, then $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3 \in \Phi$. For any $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, \lambda x) &= \lambda^{\tau_1} x^{\tau_1} + b_1(t) \geq \lambda^{\tau_1} [x^{\tau_1} + b_1(t)] \\ &= \varphi_1(\lambda) f(t, x), \\ g(t, \lambda x) &= \lambda^{\tau_2} x^{\tau_2} + b_2(t) \geq \lambda^{\tau_2} [x^{\tau_2} + b_2(t)] \\ &= \varphi_2(\lambda) g(t, x), \\ r(t, \lambda x) &= \lambda^{\tau_3} x^{\tau_3} + b_3(t) \geq \lambda^{\tau_3} [x^{\tau_3} + b_3(t)] \\ &= \varphi_3(\lambda) r(t, x); \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

So, system (39) satisfies all conditions of Theorem 8.

Hence, (39) has a unique positive solution $(u^*, v^*, w^*) \in S_h$,

where $h(t) = (h_1(t), h_2(t), h_3(t)) = (t^{1/4}, t^{1/5}, t^{1/6})$, and we can get this positive solution by the following way.

For any $(u^0, v^0, w^0) \in S_h$, we can construct the sequences as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} u_n(t) &= \int_0^1 G_\alpha(t, s) \{ [v_{n-1}(s)]^{\tau_1} + b_1(s) \} ds, \\ v_n(t) &= \int_0^1 G_\beta(t, s) g \{ [w_{n-1}(s)]^{\tau_2} + b_2(s) \} ds, \\ w_n(t) &= \int_0^1 G_\gamma(t, s) r \{ [u_{n-1}(s)]^{\tau_3} + b_3(s) \} ds, \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

$n = 1, 2, \dots,$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G_{1\alpha}(t, s) &= \begin{cases} \frac{t^{1/4} (1-s)^{1/4} - (t-s)^{1/4}}{\Gamma(5/4)}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ \frac{t^{1/4} (1-s)^{1/4}}{\Gamma(5/4)}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \end{cases} \\ G_{1\beta}(t, s) &= \begin{cases} \frac{t^{1/5} (1-s)^{1/5} - (t-s)^{1/5}}{\Gamma(6/5)}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ \frac{t^{1/5} (1-s)^{1/5}}{\Gamma(6/5)}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \end{cases} \\ G_{1\gamma}(t, s) &= \begin{cases} \frac{t^{1/6} (1-s)^{1/6} - (t-s)^{1/6}}{\Gamma(7/6)}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ \frac{t^{1/6} (1-s)^{1/6}}{\Gamma(7/6)}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \end{cases} \\ G_{2\alpha}(t, s) &= \frac{t^{1/4}}{1-\theta_1} \int_0^1 t^3 G_{1\alpha}(t, s) ds \\ &= \frac{17t^{1/4}}{13\Gamma(5/4)} \left[\frac{4}{17} (1-s)^{1/4} - \frac{4}{5} (1-s)^{5/4} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{48}{45} (1-s)^{9/4} - \frac{384}{585} (1-s)^{13/4} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1536}{9945} (1-s)^{17/4} \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_{2\beta}(t, s) &= \frac{t^{1/5}}{1-\theta_2} \int_0^1 t^2 G_{1\beta}(t, s) ds \\ &= \frac{16t^{1/5}}{11\Gamma(6/5)} \left[\frac{5}{16} (1-s)^{1/5} - \frac{5}{6} (1-s)^{6/5} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{25}{33} (1-s)^{11/5} - \frac{125}{528} (1-s)^{16/5} \right], \\ G_{2\gamma}(t, s) &= \frac{t^{1/6}}{1-\theta_3} \int_0^1 t G_{1\gamma}(t, s) ds \\ &= \frac{13t^{1/6}}{7\Gamma(7/6)} \left[\frac{6}{13} (1-s)^{1/6} - \frac{6}{7} (1-s)^{7/6} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{36}{91} (1-s)^{13/6} \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$G_\alpha(t, s) = G_{1\alpha}(t, s) + G_{2\alpha}(t, s),$$

$$G_\beta(t, s) = G_{1\beta}(t, s) + G_{2\beta}(t, s),$$

$$G_\gamma(t, s) = G_{1\gamma}(t, s) + G_{2\gamma}(t, s).$$

(44)

Then, the limit of $u_n(t), v_n(t), w_n(t)$ is the solution of system (39).

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} u_n(t) &\longrightarrow u^* (n \longrightarrow \infty), \\ v_n(t) &\longrightarrow v^* (n \longrightarrow \infty), \\ w_n(t) &\longrightarrow w^* (n \longrightarrow \infty). \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

From Theorem 8, system (39) has a unique positive solution. $\square$

Data Availability

No data were used to support this study.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

This research is supported by National Science Foundation of China (11671227).

References

- [1] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, and J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, vol. 204 of *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006.
- [2] M. Feng, X. Zhang, and W. Ge, "New existence results for higher-order nonlinear fractional differential equation with integral boundary conditions," *Boundary Value Problems*, vol. 2011, Article ID 720702, 20 pages, 2011.

- [3] D. Jiang and C. Yuan, "The positive properties of the Green function for Dirichlet-type boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations and its application," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 710–719, 2010.
- [4] S. Liu, G. Wang, and L. Zhang, "Existence results for a coupled system of nonlinear neutral fractional differential equations," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 26, no. 12, pp. 1120–1124, 2013.
- [5] H. A. Salem, "On the existence of continuous solutions for a singular system of non-linear fractional differential equations," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 198, no. 1, pp. 445–452, 2008.
- [6] X. Su, "Boundary value problem for a coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 64–69, 2009.
- [7] Jinhua Wang, Hongjun Xiang, and Zhigang Liu, "Positive Solution to Nonzero Boundary Values Problem for a Coupled System of Nonlinear Fractional Differential Equations," *International Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 2010, Article ID 186928, 12 pages, 2010.
- [8] W. Yang, "Positive solutions for a coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 288–297, 2012.
- [9] H. H. Alsulami, S. K. Ntouyas, R. Agarwal, B. Ahmad, and A. Alsaedi, "A study of fractional-order coupled systems with a new concept of coupled non-separated boundary conditions," *Boundary Value Problems*, vol. 68, 2017.
- [10] C. Yang, C. Zhai, and L. Zhang, "Local uniqueness of positive solutions for a coupled system of fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 282, 2017.
- [11] M. A. Krasnoselskii, *Positive Solutions of Operator Equations*, Noordhoff, Groningen, Netherlands, 1964.
- [12] D. J. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*, vol. 5 of *Notes and Reports in Mathematics in Science and Engineering*, Academic Press, Boston, Mass, USA, 1988.
- [13] C.-B. Zhai, C. Yang, and X.-Q. Zhang, "Positive solutions for nonlinear operator equations and several classes of applications," *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, vol. 266, no. 1, pp. 43–63, 2010.
- [14] C. Zhai and F. Wang, "Properties of positive solutions for the operator equation $Ax = \lambda x$ and applications to fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2015, article 366, 2015.
- [15] R. Xu, "Oscillation criteria for nonlinear fractional differential equations," *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 2013, Article ID 971357, 7 pages, 2013.
- [16] F. Meng, "A new approach for solving fractional partial differential equations," *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 2013, Article ID 256823, 5 pages, 2013.
- [17] F. Meng and Q. Feng, "A new fractional subequation method and its applications for space-time fractional partial differential equations," *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 2013, Article ID 481729, 10 pages, 2013.
- [18] R. Xu and Y. Zhang, "Generalized Gronwall fractional summation inequalities and their applications," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 20152, article 242, 2015.
- [19] H. Liu and F. Meng, "Some new nonlinear integral inequalities with weakly singular kernel and their applications to FDEs," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2015, article 209, 2015.
- [20] R. Xu and F. Meng, "Some new weakly singular integral inequalities and their applications to fractional differential equations," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2016, article 78, 2016.
- [21] Y. Guan, Z. Zhao, and X. Lin, "On the existence of positive solutions and negative solutions of singular fractional differential equations via global bifurcation techniques," *Boundary Value Problems*, vol. 2016, article 141, 2016.
- [22] H. Liu and F. Meng, "Some new generalized Volterra-Fredholm type discrete fractional sum inequalities and their applications," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2016, article 213, 2016.
- [23] R. Xu and X. Ma, "Some new retarded nonlinear Volterra-Fredholm type integral inequalities with maxima in two variables and their applications," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2017, article 187, 2017.
- [24] R. Xu, "Some new Volterra-Fredholm-type nonlinear discrete inequalities with two variables involving iterated sums and their applications," *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 2017, Article ID 1474052, 14 pages, 2017.
- [25] R. Xu, "Some new nonlinear weakly singular integral inequalities and their applications," *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 1007–1018, 2017.
- [26] Z. Bai and R. Xu, "The asymptotic behavior of solutions for a class of nonlinear fractional difference equations with damping term," *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2018, Article ID 5232147, 11 pages, 2018.
- [27] C. Zhai and M. Hao, "Fixed point theorems for mixed monotone operators with perturbation and applications to fractional differential equation boundary value problems," *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 75, no. 4, pp. 2542–2551, 2012.
- [28] C. Zhai, W. Yan, and C. Yang, "A sum operator method for the existence and uniqueness of positive solutions to Riemann-Liouville fractional differential equation boundary value problems," *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 858–866, 2013.
- [29] C. Zhai and L. Xu, "Properties of positive solutions to a class of four-point boundary value problem of Caputo fractional differential equations with a parameter," *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 19, no. 8, pp. 2820–2827, 2014.