Research Article

Characterizations of Certain Types of Type 2 Soft Graphs

Khizar Hayat ¹, Bing-Yuan Cao ^{1,2}, Muhammad Irfan Ali ³,
Faruk Karaaslan ⁴, and Zejian Qin¹

¹School of Mathematics and Information Sciences, Guangzhou University, 510000 Guangzhou, China

²Guangzhou Vocational College of Science and Technology, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510550, China

³Department of Mathematics, Islamabad Model College for Girls, F-6/2, Islamabad, Pakistan

⁴Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Çankırı Karatekin University, 18100 Çankırı, Turkey

Correspondence should be addressed to Bing-Yuan Cao; caobingy@163.com

Received 4 March 2018; Accepted 29 August 2018; Published 20 September 2018

Academic Editor: Allan C. Peterson

Copyright © 2018 Khizar Hayat et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The vertex-neighbors correspondence has an essential role in the structure of a graph. The type 2 soft set is also based on the correspondence of initial parameters and underlying parameters. Recently, type 2 soft graphs have been introduced. Structurally, it is a very efficient model of uncertainty to deal with graph neighbors and applicable in applied intelligence, computational analysis, and decision-making. The present paper characterizes type 2 soft graphs on underlying subgraphs (regular subgraphs, irregular subgraphs, cycles, and trees) of a simple graph. We present regular type 2 soft graphs, irregular type 2 soft graphs, and type 2 soft trees. Moreover, we introduce type 2 soft cycles, type 2 soft cut-nodes, and type 2 soft bridges. Finally, we present some operations on type 2 soft trees by presenting several examples to demonstrate these new concepts.

1. Preliminaries and Introduction

A graph $\mathcal{G} = (X, \mathcal{E})$ consists of a nonempty set of objects X , called vertices, and a set $\mathcal{E}$ of two element subsets of X called edges. Two vertices x and y are adjacent if $\{x, y\} \in \mathcal{E}$. A loop is an edge that connects a vertex to itself. A simple graph is an unweighted, undirected graph containing no multiple edges or graph loops. A graph $\mathcal{G}' = (X', \mathcal{E}')$ is said to be a subgraph of $\mathcal{G} = (X, \mathcal{E})$ if $X' \subseteq X$ and $\mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{E}$. The graph neighborhoods of a vertex x in a graph is the set of all the vertices adjacent to x including x itself. The graph neighbors of a vertex x in a graph are the set of all the vertices adjacent to x excluding x itself. The eccentricity of the vertex x is the maximum distance from x to any vertex. The distance between two vertices x and y in a graph is the number of edges in a shortest path, denoted by $d(x, y)$. The radius of a graph is the minimum eccentricity of any vertex x . A graph $\mathcal{G} = (X, \mathcal{E})$ is called a tree if it is connected and contains no cycles. Equivalently (and sometimes more useful), a tree is a connected graph on m vertices with exactly $m - 1$ edges. A forest is a disjoint union of trees. The degree of a vertex of a simple graph is the number of edges incident to the vertex.

A regular graph is a graph where each vertex has the same number of neighbors. A graph that is not a regular graph is called irregular graph. A graph is called neighborly irregular graph if no two adjacent vertices have the same degree. A complete graph is a graph in which each pair of graph vertices is connected by an edge. For basic definitions of graphs see [1–3].

Soft set theory [4], firstly initiated by Molodtsov, is a new mathematical tool for dealing with uncertainties. Some fruitful operations, soft set theory, are presented by Maji et al. [5] and Ali et al. [6]. We refer to Molodtsov's soft sets as type 1 soft sets (briefly T1SS). Let A be a set of parameters that can have an arbitrary nature (numbers, functions, sets of words, etc.). Let U be a universe and the power set of U is denoted by $P(U)$. The soft set is defined as follows.

Definition 1 (see [5]). A pair (S, A) is called a soft set over U , where S is a mapping given by $S : A \rightarrow P(U)$.

Note that the set of all T1SS over U will be denoted by $\sigma(U)$. Many researchers take attention at applicability of soft sets in real and practical problems. In recent years, research

on soft set theory has been rapidly developed, and great progress has been achieved, including works of soft sets in graph theory. Ali et al. [7] introduced a representation of graphs based on neighborhoods. Akram et al. introduced the concepts of soft graphs [8, 9] and soft trees [10]. Let $\mathcal{G} = (X, \mathcal{E})$ be a simple graph, A be any nonempty set.

Let $R \subseteq A \times X$ be an arbitrary relation from A to X . A mapping I from A to $P(X)$ denoted as $I : A \rightarrow P(X)$ and defined as $I(x) = \{y \in X \mid xRy\}$ and a mapping J from A to $P(\mathcal{E})$ denoted as $J : A \rightarrow P(\mathcal{E})$ and defined as $J(x) = \{uv \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{u, v\} \subseteq I(x)\}$. Then (I, A) is a T1SS over X and (J, A) is a T1SS over $\mathcal{E}$. The notion of a soft graph is defined as follows.

Definition 2 (see [9]). A 4-tuple $G^i = (\mathcal{G}, I, J, A)$ is called a soft graph if it satisfies;

- (i) $\mathcal{G} = (X, \mathcal{E})$ is a simple graph.
- (ii) A is a non-empty set of parameters.
- (iii) $[I, A]$ is a T1SS over X .
- (iv) $[J, A]$ is a T1SS over $\mathcal{E}$.
- (v) $(I(\alpha), J(\alpha))$ for all $\alpha \in A$, represents a subgraph of $\mathcal{G}$.

The soft graph $G^i = (\mathcal{G}, I, J, A)$ can also be written as $G^i = (\mathcal{G}, I, J) = \{T(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in A\}$, where $T(\alpha) = (I(\alpha), J(\alpha)) \forall \alpha \in A$.

Definition 3 (see [9, 10]). Let G^i be a soft graph of $\mathcal{G}$. Then G^i is said to be a soft tree (resp., regular soft graph, irregular soft graph, neighborly irregular soft graph, soft cycle) if every $T(\alpha)$ is a tree (resp., regular graph, irregular graph, neighborly irregular graph, and cycle) for all $\alpha \in A$.

A soft graph G^i is called a regular soft graph of degree r if $T(\alpha)$ is a regular graph of degree r for all $\alpha \in A$. In the rest of paper soft tree and soft cycle will be written as type 1 soft tree (briefly, T1ST) and type 1 soft cycle (briefly, T1SC), respectively. Some operations of T1STs are defined as follows.

Definition 4 (see [10]). Let $G^i = \langle I_1, J_1, A_1 \rangle$ and $G^{i'} = \langle I_2, J_2, A_2 \rangle$ be two T1STs of $\mathcal{G}$. Then $G^{i'}$ is a type 1 soft subtree of G^i if

- (i) $A_2 \subseteq A_1$;
- (ii) for each $x \in A_2$, $T_2(x)$ is a subtree of $T_1(x)$.

Definition 5 (see [10]). Let $G_1^i = \langle I_1, J_1, A_1 \rangle$ and $G_2^i = \langle I_2, J_2, A_2 \rangle$ be two T1STs of $\mathcal{G}$. The extended union of G_1^i and G_2^i , denoted by $G_1^i \sqcup_e G_2^i = G^i = \langle I, J, B \rangle$, where $B = A_1 \cup A_2$, is defined $\forall \alpha \in B$, as

$$I(\alpha) = \begin{cases} I_1(\alpha), & \text{if } \alpha \in A_1 - A_2 \\ I_2(\alpha), & \text{if } \alpha \in A_2 - A_1 \\ I_1(\alpha) \cup I_2(\alpha), & \text{if } \alpha \in A_1 \cap A_2 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

$$J(\alpha) = \begin{cases} J_1(\alpha), & \text{if } \alpha \in A_1 - A_2 \\ J_2(\alpha), & \text{if } \alpha \in A_2 - A_1 \\ J_1(\alpha) \cup J_2(\alpha), & \text{if } \alpha \in A_1 \cap A_2 \end{cases}$$

It can be written as $G_1^i \sqcup_e G_2^i = \{T(\alpha) = (I(\alpha), J(\alpha)) \mid \alpha \in B\}$.

Definition 6 (see [10]). Let $G_1^i = \langle I_1, J_1, A_1 \rangle$ and $G_2^i = \langle I_2, J_2, A_2 \rangle$ be two T1STs in $\mathcal{G}$. The restricted intersection of $G_1^i = \langle I_1, J_1, A_1 \rangle$ and $G_2^i = \langle I_2, J_2, A_2 \rangle$, denoted by $G_1^i \cap_r G_2^i = G^i = \langle I, J, C \rangle$, where $B = A_1 \cap A_2$, is defined $\forall \alpha \in B$, as $I(\alpha) = I_1(\alpha) \cap I_2(\alpha)$, $J(\alpha) = J_1(\alpha) \cap J_2(\alpha)$, $\forall \alpha \in A_1 \cap A_2$.

It can be written as $G_1^i \cap_r G_2^i = \{T(\alpha) = (I(\alpha), J(\alpha)) \mid \alpha \in B\}$.

Definition 7 (see [10]). Let $G_1^i = \langle I_1, J_1, A_1 \rangle$ and $G_2^i = \langle I_2, J_2, A_2 \rangle$ be two T1STs in $\mathcal{G}$. The AND operation of G_1^i and G_2^i , denoted by $G_1^i \wedge G_2^i = G^i = \langle I, J, C \rangle$, where $C = A_1 \times A_2$, is defined $\forall (\alpha, \beta) \in A_1 \times A_2$, as $I(\alpha, \beta) = I_1(\alpha) \cap I_2(\beta)$, $J(\alpha, \beta) = J_1(\alpha) \cap J_2(\beta)$, $\forall (\alpha, \beta) \in A_1 \times A_2$.

Definition 8 (see [10]). Let $G_1^i = \langle I_1, J_1, A_1 \rangle$ and $G_2^i = \langle I_2, J_2, A_2 \rangle$ be two T1STs in $\mathcal{G}$. The OR operation of G_1^i and G_2^i , denoted by $G_1^i \vee G_2^i = G^i = \langle I, J, C \rangle$, where $C = A_1 \times A_2$, is defined $\forall (\alpha, \beta) \in A_1 \times A_2$, as $I(\alpha, \beta) = I_1(\alpha) \cup I_2(\beta)$, $J(\alpha, \beta) = J_1(\alpha) \cup J_2(\beta)$, $\forall (\alpha, \beta) \in A_1 \times A_2$.

Definition 9 (see [8]). Let $G^i = \langle I, J, A \rangle$ be a soft graph of $\mathcal{G}$. Then the complement of G^i is denoted by G^{ic} and defined by $G^{ic} = \langle I^c, J^c, A \rangle$, where $I^c(\alpha) = I(\alpha)$ and $J^c(\alpha)$ contain those edges which are not included in $J(\alpha)$.

A generalization of soft sets called type 2 soft sets is introduced by Chatterjee et al. [11]. Some results on type 2 soft sets are validated by Yang and Wang [12] and some new operation on typ-2 soft sets are defined by Khizar et al. [13]. Let X be universe set and E be the set of parameters. The definition of type 2 soft set is as follows:

Definition 10 (see [11]). Let (U, E) be a soft universe and $\sigma(U)$ be the collection of all T1SS over (U, E) . Then a mapping $: A \rightarrow \sigma(U)$, $A \subseteq E$ is called a type 2 soft set (briefly T2SS) over (U, E) and it is denoted by $[S^*, A]$. In this case, corresponding to each parameter $\alpha \in A$, $S^*(\alpha)$ is a T1SS. Thus, for each $\alpha \in A$, there exists a T1SS, (S_α, L_α) such that $S^*(\alpha) = (S_\alpha, L_\alpha)$ where $S_\alpha : L_\alpha \rightarrow P(U)$ and $L_\alpha \subseteq E$. In this case, we refer to the parameter set A as the “primary set of parameters”, while the set of parameters $\cup L_\alpha$ is known as the “underlying set of parameters”.

Let $\mathcal{G} = (X, \mathcal{E})$ be a simple graph. The set of all T1SS over X is denoted by $\Gamma(X)$ and the set of all T1SS over $\mathcal{E}$ is denoted by $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$. The set of neighbors of an element $x \in X$ is denoted by $\mathcal{NB}_x$ and defined by $\mathcal{NB}_x = \{z \in X \mid xz \in \mathcal{E}\}$. Then $\mathcal{NB}_A = \bigcup_{x \in A} \mathcal{NB}_x$, where $A \subseteq X$. Let a subset $\mathcal{R}$ of $\mathcal{NB}_A \times X$ be an arbitrary relation from $\mathcal{NB}_A$ to X . Let $\mathcal{G} = (X, \mathcal{E})$ be a simple graph. Khizar et al. [14] introduced type 2 soft graphs by using T2SSs over X and T2SSs over $\mathcal{E}$.

Definition 11 (see [14]). Let $\mathcal{G} = (X, \mathcal{E})$ be a simple graph, $A \subseteq X$ and $\Gamma(X)$ be the collection of all T1SS over X . Then a mapping $I^* : A \rightarrow \Gamma(X)$ is called a T2SS over X and it is denoted by $[I^*, A]$. In this case, corresponding to each vertex $x \in A$, $I^*(x)$ is a T1SS. Thus, for each $x \in A$, there exists

a T1SS, $(I_x, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ such that $I^*(x) = (I_x, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ where $I_x : \mathcal{NB}_x \rightarrow P(X)$ can be defined as $I_x(z) = \{y \in X \mid z\mathcal{R}y\}$, for all $z \in \mathcal{NB}_x \subseteq X$ and $\mathcal{NB}_x$ is the set of all neighbors of $x \in A$. This T2SS is also called a vertex-neighbors induced type 2 soft set (briefly, VN-type 2 soft set) over X .

Definition 12 (see [14]). Let $\mathcal{G} = (X, \mathcal{E})$ be a simple graph, $A \subset X$ and $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ be the collection of all T1SS over $\mathcal{E}$. Let $[I^*, A]$ be a VN-type 2 soft set over X . Then a mapping $J^* : A \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ is called a T2SS over $\mathcal{G}$ and it is denoted by $[J^*, A]$. In this case, corresponding to each vertex $x \in A$, $J^*(x)$ is a T1SS. Thus, for each $x \in A$, there exists a T1SS, $(J_x, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ such that $J^*(x) = (J_x, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ where $J_x : \mathcal{NB}_x \rightarrow P(\mathcal{E})$ can be define as $J_x(z) = \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \subseteq I_x(z)\}$, for all $z \in \mathcal{NB}_x \subseteq X$ and $\mathcal{NB}_x$ is the set of all neighbors of $x \in A$. This T2SS is also called a VN-type 2 soft set over $\mathcal{G}$.

Definition 13 (see [14]). A 5-tuple $\mathcal{G}^* = (\mathcal{G}, I^*, J^*, A, \mathcal{NB}_A)$ is called a type 2 soft graph (briefly, T2SG) if it satisfies following conditions:

- (i) $\mathcal{G} = (X, \mathcal{E})$ is a simple graph.
- (ii) A is a non-empty set of parameters.
- (iii) $[I^*, A]$ is a VN-type 2 soft set over X .
- (iv) $[J^*, A]$ is a VN-type 2 soft set over $\mathcal{E}$.
- (v) T1SS corresponding to $(I^*(x), J^*(x)) \forall x \in A$, represents a type 1 soft graph.

A T2SG can also be represented by $\mathcal{G}^* = \langle I^*, J^*, A \rangle = \{T^*(x) \mid x \in A\}$, where $T^*(x) = (T_x, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ such that $T_x(z) = (I_x(z), J_x(z))$, $\forall z \in \mathcal{NB}_x$.

2. Certain Types of Type 2 Soft Graphs

In this section, we present regular type 2 soft graphs, irregular type 2 soft graphs, and type 2 soft trees. Moreover, we introduce type 2 soft cycles, type 2 soft cut-nodes, and type 2 soft bridges.

Definition 14. Let $\mathcal{G}^* = (\mathcal{G}, I^*, J^*, A, \mathcal{NB}_A)$ be a T2SG of $\mathcal{G}$. Then $\mathcal{G}^*$ is said to be a regular T2SG if T1SG corresponding to every $T^*(\gamma)$ is a regular T1SG for all $\gamma \in A$. A T2SG $\mathcal{G}^*$ is called a regular T2SG of degree r if T1SG corresponding to $T^*(\gamma)$ is a regular T1SG of degree r for all $\gamma \in A$.

Example 15. Consider a graph $\mathcal{G} = (X, \mathcal{E})$ as shown in Figure 1. Let $A = \{e_9, e_{10}\}$. It may be written that $\mathcal{NB}_{e_9} = \{e_{11}, e_8\}$ and $\mathcal{NB}_{e_{10}} = \{e_8, e_{11}\}$. Let $[I^*, A]$ and $[J^*, A]$ be two T2SSs over X and $\mathcal{E}$ respectively, such that $I^*(x) = (I_x, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ and $J^*(x) = (J_x, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ for all $x \in A$. Define $I_{e_9}(z) = \{y \in X \mid z\mathcal{R}y \iff 5 \geq d(z, y) \geq 3\}$, $J_{e_9}(z) = \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \subseteq I_{e_9}(z)\}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{NB}_{e_9} \subseteq X$, and $I_{e_{10}}(z) = \{y \in X \mid z\mathcal{R}y \iff 5 \geq d(z, y) \geq 3\}$, $J_{e_{10}}(z) = \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \subseteq I_{e_{10}}(z)\}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{NB}_{e_{10}} \subseteq X$. Then T2SSs $[I^*, A]$ and $[J^*, A]$ are as in the following $I^*(e_9) = \{\{e_{11}, \{e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7\}\}, \{e_8, \{e_1, e_3, e_4, e_2\}\}\}$, $J^*(e_9) = \{\{e_{11}, \{e_4e_5, e_5e_7, e_4e_6, e_7e_6\}\}, \{e_8, \{e_1e_2, e_3e_2, e_3e_4, e_1e_4, e_2e_4, e_1e_3\}\}\}$, $I^*(e_{10}) = \{\{e_{11}, \{e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7\}\}, \{e_8, \{e_1, e_3, e_4, e_2\}\}\}$,

FIGURE 1: Simple graph.

$J^*(e_{10}) = \{\{e_{11}, \{e_4e_5, e_5e_7, e_4e_6, e_7e_6\}\}, \{e_8, \{e_1e_2, e_3e_2, e_3e_4, e_1e_4, e_2e_4, e_1e_3\}\}\}$. One can check that $\mathcal{G}^* = (T^*(e_9), T^*(e_{10}))$ is a regular T2SG of $\mathcal{G}$ as shown in Figure 2.

Theorem 16. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a complete graph. Then every T2SG of $\mathcal{G}$ is a regular T2SG of $\mathcal{G}$.

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{G}$ is a complete graph and $\mathcal{G}^*$ be a T2SG of $\mathcal{G}$. Let $(T_\alpha, \mathcal{NB}_\alpha)$ be a T1SG corresponding to $T^*(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in A$. Then $T_\alpha(x) \forall x \in \mathcal{NB}_\alpha$ is a subgraph of $\mathcal{G}$. Since every subgraph of a complete graph is complete and every complete graph is regular. Therefore, $T_\alpha(x) \forall x \in \mathcal{NB}_\alpha$ is a regular subgraph. This implies that T1SG corresponding to $T^*(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in A$ is regular T1SG. Hence $\mathcal{G}^*$ is a regular T2SG of $\mathcal{G}$. $\square$

Note that if $\mathcal{G}^*$ is a regular T2SG of $\mathcal{G}$ then $\mathcal{G}$ need not be a complete graph.

Example 17. In Example 15, $\mathcal{G}^*$ is regular T2SG but $\mathcal{G}$ is not a complete graph.

Definition 18. Let $\mathcal{G}^* = (\mathcal{G}, I^*, J^*, A, \mathcal{NB}_A)$ be a T2SG of $\mathcal{G}$. Then the complement of $\mathcal{G}^*$ is denoted by $\mathcal{G}^{*c}$ and defined by $\mathcal{G}^{*c} = (T^{*c}(z_1), T^{*c}(z_2), \dots, T^{*c}(z_n))$ for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in A$, where T1SG corresponding to $T^{*c}(z_i) = (I^{*c}(z_i), J^{*c}(z_i))$ is the complement of T1SG corresponding to $T^*(z_i) = (I^*(z_i), J^*(z_i))$ for all $z_i \in A$ ($i = 1$ to n).

Example 19. Consider a graph $\mathcal{G} = (X, \mathcal{E})$ as shown in Figure 3. Let $A = \{e_2, e_5\}$. It may be written that $\mathcal{NB}_{e_2} = \{e_1, e_3, e_4\}$ and $\mathcal{NB}_{e_5} = \{e_4, e_6\}$. Let $[I^*, A]$ and $[J^*, A]$ be two T2SSs over X and $\mathcal{E}$ respectively, such that $I^*(x) = (I_x, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ and $J^*(x) = (J_x, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ for all $x \in A$. Define $I_{e_2}(z) = \{y \in X \mid z\mathcal{R}y \iff d(z, y) \leq 1\}$, $J_{e_2}(z) = \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \subseteq I_{e_2}(z)\}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{NB}_{e_2} \subseteq X$, and $I_{e_5}(z) = \{y \in X \mid z\mathcal{R}y \iff d(z, y) = 2\}$, $J_{e_5}(z) = \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \subseteq I_{e_5}(z)\}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{NB}_{e_5} \subseteq X$. Then T2SSs $[I^*, A]$ and $[J^*, A]$ are as follows:

$$I^*(e_2) = \{\{e_1, \{e_1, e_3, e_6, e_2\}\}, \{e_3, \{e_1, e_3, e_7, e_2\}\}, \{e_4, \{e_7, e_5, e_4, e_2\}\}\},$$

FIGURE 2: $\mathcal{G}^* = (T^*(e_9), T^*(e_{10}))$.

FIGURE 3: Simple graph.

$$\begin{aligned}
 J^*(e_2) &= \{\{e_1, \{e_1e_2, e_2e_3, e_3e_1, e_1e_6\}\}, \\
 &\quad \{e_3, \{e_1e_2, e_2e_3, e_3e_1, e_3e_7\}\}, \{e_4, \{e_4e_7, e_4e_5, e_4e_2\}\}\}, \\
 I^*(e_5) &= \{\{e_4, \{e_6, e_1, e_3\}\}, \{e_6, \{e_3, e_4, e_2\}\}\}, \\
 J^*(e_5) &= \{\{e_4, \{e_6e_1, e_1e_3\}\}, \{e_6, \{e_3e_2, e_4e_2\}\}\},
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Then $[I^*, A]$ and $[J^*, A]$ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 I^{*c}(e_2) &= \{\{e_1, \{e_1, e_3, e_6, e_2\}\}, \{e_3, \{e_1, e_3, e_7, e_2\}\}, \\
 &\quad \{e_4, \{e_7, e_5, e_4, e_2\}\}\}, \\
 J^{*c}(e_2) &= \{\{e_1, \{e_2e_6, e_6e_3\}\}, \{e_3, \{e_1e_7, e_2e_7\}\}, \\
 &\quad \{e_4, \{e_2e_7, e_2e_5, e_7e_5\}\}\}, \\
 I^{*c}(e_5) &= \{\{e_4, \{e_6, e_1, e_3\}\}, \{e_6, \{e_3, e_4, e_2\}\}\}, \\
 J^{*c}(e_5) &= \{\{e_4, \{e_6e_3\}\}, \{e_6, \{e_3e_4\}\}\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

The complement of $\mathcal{G}^* = (T^*(e_2), T^*(e_5))$ is $\mathcal{G}^{*c} = (T^{*c}(e_2), T^{*c}(e_5))$ as shown in Figure 4.

Proposition 20. If $\mathcal{G}^*$ is a regular T2SG of $\mathcal{G}$ then $\mathcal{G}^{*c}$ is a regular T2SG of $\mathcal{G}$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G}^*$ be a regular T2SG of $\mathcal{G}$. Let $(T_\alpha, \mathcal{NB}_\alpha)$ be a T1SG corresponding to $T^*(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in A$. Then $T_\alpha(x) \forall x \in \mathcal{NB}_\alpha$ is a regular subgraph of $\mathcal{G}$. Since complement of a regular graph is regular, $T_\alpha^c(x) \forall x \in \mathcal{NB}_\alpha$ is a regular

subgraph. This implies that T1SG corresponding to $T^{*c}(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in A$ is regular T1SG. Hence $\mathcal{G}^{*c}$ is a regular T2SG of $\mathcal{G}$. $\square$

Proposition 21. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a regular graph. Then every T2SG of $\mathcal{G}$ may not be a regular T2SG of $\mathcal{G}$.

Example 22. Consider a regular graph $\mathcal{G} = (X, \mathcal{E})$, where $X = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6\}$ and $\mathcal{E} = \{e_1e_2, e_3e_2, e_3e_4, e_4e_5, e_5e_6, e_1e_6, e_1e_4, e_6e_3, e_5e_2\}$. Let $A = \{e_1, e_4\}$. It may be written that $\mathcal{NB}_{e_1} = \{e_2, e_6, e_4\}$ and $\mathcal{NB}_{e_5} = \{e_4, e_6, e_2\}$. Let $[I^*, A]$ and $[J^*, A]$ be two T2SSs over X and $\mathcal{E}$ respectively, such that $I^*(x) = (I_x, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ and $J^*(x) = (J_x, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ for all $x \in A$. Define $I_{e_1}(z) = \{y \in X \mid z\mathcal{R}y \iff d(z, y) \leq 1\}$, $J_{e_1}(z) = \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \subseteq I_{e_1}(z)\}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{NB}_{e_1} \subseteq X$, and $I_{e_5}(z) = \{y \in X \mid z\mathcal{R}y \iff d(z, y) \leq 1\}$, $J_{e_5}(z) = \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \subseteq I_{e_5}(z)\}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{NB}_{e_5} \subseteq X$. Then T2SSs $[I^*, A]$ and $[J^*, A]$ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 I^*(e_1) &= \{\{e_2, \{e_2, e_1, e_5, e_3\}\}, \{e_4, \{e_4, e_1, e_5, e_3\}\}, \\
 &\quad \{e_6, \{e_1, e_6, e_3, e_5\}\}\}, \\
 J^*(e_1) &= \{\{e_2, \{e_1e_2, e_2e_4, e_5e_2\}\}, \{e_4, \{e_5e_4, e_4e_1, e_4e_3\}\}, \\
 &\quad \{e_6, \{e_5e_6, e_6e_3, e_1e_6\}\}\}, \\
 I^*(e_5) &= \{\{e_4, \{e_2, e_1, e_5, e_6, e_3\}\}, \{e_2, \{e_3, e_4, e_1, e_6, e_5\}\}, \\
 &\quad \{e_6, \{e_3, e_4, e_1, e_2, e_5\}\}\}, \\
 J^*(e_5) &= \{\{e_4, \{e_1e_2, e_3e_2, e_5e_6, e_1e_6, e_6e_3, e_5e_2\}\}, \\
 &\quad \{e_6, \{e_1e_2, e_3e_2, e_3e_4, e_4e_5, e_1e_4, e_5e_2\}\}, \\
 &\quad \{e_2, \{e_3e_4, e_4e_5, e_5e_6, e_1e_6, e_1e_4, e_6e_3\}\}\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Then $\mathcal{G}^* = (T^*(e_1), T^*(e_5))$ is not a regular T2SG of $\mathcal{G}$ as shown in Figure 5.

Definition 23. Let $\mathcal{G}^*$ be a T2SG of $\mathcal{G}$. Then $\mathcal{G}^*$ is said to be irregular T2SG if T1SG corresponding to $T^*(\gamma)$ is an irregular T1SG for all $\gamma \in A$.

Example 24. Consider a graph $\mathcal{G} = (X, \mathcal{E})$ as shown in Figure 6. Let $A = \{e_5, e_6\}$. It may be written that $\mathcal{NB}_{e_5} = \{e_1, e_6\}$ and $\mathcal{NB}_{e_6} = \{e_5, e_7\}$. Let $[I^*, A]$ and $[J^*, A]$ be

FIGURE 4: $\mathcal{G}^{*c} = (T^{*c}(e_2), T^{*c}(e_5))$. FIGURE 5: $\mathcal{G}^* = (T^*(e_1), T^*(e_5))$.

FIGURE 6: Simple graph.

two T2SSs over X and $\mathcal{G}$ respectively, such that $I^*(x) = (I_x, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ and $J^*(x) = (J_x, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ for all $x \in A$. Define $I_{e_5}(z) = \{y \in X \mid z\mathcal{R}y \iff d(z, y) \leq 1\}$, $J_{e_5}(z) = \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{G} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \subseteq I_{e_5}(z)\}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{NB}_{e_5} \subseteq X$, and $I_{e_6}(z) = \{y \in X \mid$

$z\mathcal{R}y \iff d(z, y) \leq 1\}$, $J_{e_6}(z) = \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{G} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \subseteq I_{e_6}(z)\}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{NB}_{e_6} \subseteq X$. Then T2SSs $[I^*, A]$ and $[J^*, A]$ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 I^*(e_5) &= \{\{e_1, \{e_1, e_4, e_5, e_2\}\}, \{e_6, \{e_5, e_6, e_7\}\}\}, \\
 J^*(e_5) &= \{\{e_1, \{e_1e_2, e_2e_4, e_5e_1, e_1e_4\}\}, \{e_6, \{e_5e_6, e_6e_7\}\}\}, \\
 I^*(e_6) &= \{\{e_5, \{e_6, e_1, e_5\}\}, \{e_7, \{e_3, e_4, e_6, e_7\}\}\}, \\
 J^*(e_6) &= \{\{e_5, \{e_6e_1, e_5e_6\}\}, \{e_7, \{e_3e_4, e_7e_3, e_7e_4, e_7e_6\}\}\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Then $\mathcal{G}^* = (T^*(e_6), T^*(e_5))$ is an irregular T2SG of $\mathcal{G}$ as shown in Figure 7.

Definition 25. Let $\mathcal{G}^*$ be a type 2 soft graph of $\mathcal{G}$. Then $\mathcal{G}^*$ is said to be neighborly irregular T1SG if T1SG corresponding to $T^*(\gamma)$ is an neighborly irregular T1SG for all $\gamma \in A$.

FIGURE 7: $\mathcal{G}^* = (T^*(e_5), T^*(e_6))$.

FIGURE 8: Simple graph.

Example 26. Consider a graph $\mathcal{G} = (X, \mathcal{E})$ as shown in Figure 8. Let $A = \{e_1, e_6\}$. It may be written that $\mathcal{NB}_{e_1} = \{e_2, e_4\}$ and $\mathcal{NB}_{e_6} = \{e_5, e_3\}$. Let $[I^*, A]$ and $[J^*, A]$ be two T2SSs over X and $\mathcal{E}$ respectively, such that $I^*(x) = (I_x, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ and $J^*(x) = (J_x, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ for all $x \in A$. Define $I_{e_1}(z) = \{y \in X \mid z\mathcal{R}y \iff d(z, y) \leq 1\}$, $J_{e_1}(z) = \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \subseteq I_{e_1}(z)\}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{NB}_{e_1} \subseteq X$, and $I_{e_6}(z) = \{y \in X \mid z\mathcal{R}y \iff d(z, y) \leq 1\}$, $J_{e_6}(z) = \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \subseteq I_{e_6}(z)\}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{NB}_{e_6} \subseteq X$. Then T2SSs $[I^*, A]$ and $[J^*, A]$ are as in the following; $I^*(e_1) = \{\{e_2, \{e_1, e_3, e_2\}\}, \{e_4, \{e_4, e_1, e_3, e_5\}\}\}$, $J^*(e_1) = \{\{e_2, \{e_1e_2, e_2e_3\}\}, \{e_4, \{e_4e_3, e_4e_5, e_4e_2\}\}\}$, $I^*(e_6) = \{\{e_3, \{e_3, e_2, e_4, e_6\}\}, \{e_5, \{e_5, e_4, e_6\}\}\}$, $J^*(e_6) = \{\{e_3, \{e_4e_3, e_3e_6, e_3e_2\}\}, \{e_5, \{e_5e_4, e_5e_6\}\}\}$. Then $\mathcal{G}^* = (T^*(e_1), T^*(e_6))$ is a neighborly irregular T2SG of $\mathcal{G}$ as shown in Figure 9.

Definition 27. Let $\mathcal{G}^*$ be a T2SG of a simple graph $\mathcal{G}$. Let $(T_\alpha, \mathcal{NB}_\alpha)$ be a T1SG corresponding to $T^*(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in A$. An edge uv in $\mathcal{G}^*$ is said to be a type 2 soft bridge if its removal disconnect the subgraph $T_\alpha(x)$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{NB}_\alpha$.

Definition 28. Let $\mathcal{G}^*$ be a T2SG of a simple graph $\mathcal{G}$. Let $(T_\alpha, \mathcal{NB}_\alpha)$ be a T1SG corresponding to $T^*(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in A$. A vertex z in $\mathcal{G}^*$ is said to be a type 2 soft cut-vertex if its removal disconnect the subgraph $T_\alpha(x)$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{NB}_\alpha$.

Example 29. Consider T2SG $\mathcal{G}^*$ defined in Example 24. In the Figure 7, type 2 soft bridges of $\mathcal{G}^*$ are e_1e_5 in $T_{e_5}(e_1)$, e_7e_6, e_6e_5 in $T_{e_5}(e_6)$, e_5e_6, e_5e_1 in $T_{e_6}(e_5)$ and e_6e_7 in $T_{e_6}(e_7)$. Moreover, type 2 cut-vertices of $\mathcal{G}^*$ are e_1 in $T_{e_5}(e_1)$, e_5 in $T_{e_5}(e_6)$, e_6 in $T_{e_6}(e_5)$ and e_7 in $T_{e_6}(e_7)$.

Definition 30. Let $\mathcal{G}^* = (\mathcal{G}, I^*, J^*, A, \mathcal{NB}_A)$ be a T2SG of $\mathcal{G}$. Then $\mathcal{G}^*$ is said to be a type 2 soft tree (briefly, T2ST) if T1SG corresponding to every $T^*(\gamma)$ is a T1ST for all $\gamma \in A$.

Example 31. Consider a graph $\mathcal{G} = (X, \mathcal{E})$ as shown in Figure 10. Let $A = \{c, f\} \subset X$ and $\mathcal{NB}_c = \{b, d\}$, $\mathcal{NB}_f = \{e, g\}$. Let $[I^*, A]$ and $[J^*, A]$ be two neighbor-induced T2SSs over X and $\mathcal{E}$ respectively, such that $I^*(x) = (I_x, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ and $J^*(x) = (J_x, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ for all $x \in A$. We define $I_c(z) = \{y \in X \mid z\mathcal{R}y \iff d(z, y) = \text{rad}(G)\}$, $J_c(z) = \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \subseteq I_c(z)\}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{NB}_c \subseteq X$ and $I_f(z) = \{y \in X \mid z\mathcal{R}y \iff d(z, y) = \text{rad}(G)\}$, $J_f(z) = \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \subseteq I_f(z)\}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{NB}_f \subseteq X$. Then T2SSs $[I^*, A]$ and $[J^*, A]$ are as in the following $I^*(c) = \{\{b, \{g, e\}\}, \{d, \{a, g, f\}\}\}$, $J^*(c) = \{\{b, \{ge\}\}, \{d, \{ag, gf\}\}\}$, $I^*(f) = \{\{g, \{d, b\}\}, \{e, \{a, b, c\}\}\}$, $J^*(f) = \{\{g, \{bd\}\}, \{e, \{ab, bc\}\}\}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{G}^* = (T^*(c), T^*(f))$ is a T2ST of $\mathcal{G}$ as shown in Figure 11. It is also called VN-type 2 soft tree.

Hence, $\mathcal{G}^* = (T^*(c), T^*(f))$ is a T2SG of $\mathcal{G}$. It is also called VN-type 2 soft graph. It may be written that $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{x \in A} \mathcal{NB}_x = \{b, d, e, g\}$. We may symbolize $\alpha \in \mathcal{NB}_x$, as $(x \mapsto \alpha)$ and denote a set of associations of A , as $(A \mapsto \mathcal{P}) = \{(x \mapsto \alpha) \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{NB}_x\}$. Then tabular representation of T2ST is given in Table 1.

Theorem 32. Let $(T_\gamma, \mathcal{NB}_\gamma)$ be a T1SS corresponding to $T^*(\gamma) \forall \gamma \in A$. Let $T_\gamma(x) \forall x \in \mathcal{NB}_\gamma$ be subgraph with $n \geq 3$ vertices of $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$ a T2SG of $\mathcal{G}$. Then $\mathcal{G}^*$ is not a complete T2SG of $\mathcal{G}$.

Proof. Let $(T_\gamma, \mathcal{NB}_\gamma)$ be a T1SS corresponding to $T^*(\gamma) \forall \gamma \in A$. Suppose on the contrary that $\mathcal{G}$ is a complete T2SG, then every subgraph $T_\gamma(x)$, for all $x \in \mathcal{NB}_\gamma$ is complete. Suppose α, β be arbitrary nodes of $(T_\gamma(x))$ and they are connected by an edge $\alpha\beta$. Since $T_\gamma(x)$ is subgraph with $n \geq 3$ vertices of $\mathcal{G}$, then we can always find at least one vertex η which is connected to α by an edge $\alpha\eta$ and to β by an edge $\beta\eta$,

FIGURE 9: $\mathcal{F}^* = (T^*(e_1), T^*(e_6))$.

TABLE 1: Tabular representation of a type 2 soft tree.

$(A \succ \mathcal{P}) \setminus X$	a	b	c	d	e	f	g
$c \succ b$	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
$c \succ d$	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
$f \succ g$	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
$f \succ e$	1	1	1	0	0	0	0

$(A \succ \mathcal{P}) \setminus X$	ab	bc	bd	dc	de	ef	eg	gf	ag
$c \succ b$	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
$c \succ d$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
$f \succ g$	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
$f \succ e$	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

FIGURE 10: Simple graph.

because $T_\gamma(x)$ is a complete graph. Then there exists a cycle $\alpha\beta\eta$. Therefore, $T_\gamma(x) \forall x \in \mathcal{NB}_\gamma$ cannot be a $T1ST$ which contradicts the fact that $T_\gamma(x)$ is a connected subgraph of $T2SG$. Hence, $\mathcal{F}^*$ cannot be a complete $T2SG$. $\square$

Definition 33. Let $\mathcal{F}^*$ be a $T2SG$ and $(T_\gamma, \mathcal{NB}_\gamma)$ be a $T1ST$ corresponding to $T^*(\gamma)$ for any $\gamma \in A$. Then $\mathcal{F}^*$ is called type 2 soft forest if $T_\gamma(x)$ consists of more than one disconnected tree for all $x \in \mathcal{NB}_\gamma$.

Definition 34. Let $\mathcal{F}^*$ be a $T2SG$ of $\mathcal{F}$. Then $\mathcal{F}^*$ is said to be a type 2 soft cycle (briefly, $T2SC$) if $T1SG$ corresponding to $T(\gamma)$ is a type 1 soft cycle of $\mathcal{F}$ for each $\gamma \in A$.

Example 35. Consider a simple graph $\mathcal{G} = (X, \mathcal{E})$, where $X = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7, e_8, e_9, e_{10}\}$ and $\mathcal{E} = \{e_1e_2, e_2e_3, e_3e_1, e_3e_4, e_5e_3, e_5e_6, e_6e_4, e_7e_6, e_7e_9, e_7e_8, e_9e_{10}, e_8e_{10}\}$. Let $A = \{e_9, e_8\} \subset X$. It may be written that $\mathcal{NB}_{e_9} = \{e_{10}, e_7\}$ and $\mathcal{NB}_{e_8} = \{e_7, e_{10}\}$. Let $[I^*, A]$ and $[J^*, A]$ be two $T2SSs$ over X and $\mathcal{E}$, respectively, such that $I^*(x) = (I_x, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ and $J^*(x) =$

$(J_x, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ for all $x \in A$. Define $I_{e_9}(z) = \{y \in X \mid z\mathcal{R}y \iff 5 \geq d(z, y) \geq 3\}$, $J_{e_9}(z) = \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \subseteq I_{e_9}(z)\}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{NB}_{e_9} \subseteq X$, and $I_{e_8}(z) = \{y \in X \mid z\mathcal{R}y \iff 5 \geq d(z, y) \geq 3\}$, $J_{e_8}(z) = \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \subseteq I_{e_8}(z)\}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{NB}_{e_8} \subseteq X$. Then $T2SSs [I^*, A]$ and $[J^*, A]$ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 I^*(e_9) &= \{\{e_7, \{e_2, e_3, e_1\}\}, \{e_{10}, \{e_5, e_3, e_4, e_6\}\}\}, \\
 J^*(e_9) &= \{\{e_{10}, \{e_2e_3, e_3e_1, e_2e_1\}\}, \\
 &\quad \{e_{10}, \{e_4e_3, e_3e_5, e_6e_5, e_6e_4\}\}\}, \\
 I^*(e_8) &= \{\{e_7, \{e_2, e_3, e_1\}\}, \{e_{10}, \{e_5, e_3, e_4, e_6\}\}\}, \\
 J^*(e_8) &= \{\{e_{10}, \{e_2e_3, e_3e_1, e_2e_1\}\}, \\
 &\quad \{e_{10}, \{e_4e_3, e_3e_5, e_6e_5, e_6e_4\}\}\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

One can check that $\mathcal{F}^* = (T^*(e_4), T^*(e_8))$ is a $T2SC$ of $\mathcal{F}$ as shown in Figure 12. It is also called VN-type 2 soft cycle.

Theorem 36. If $\mathcal{F}^*$ is a $T2SC$ of $\mathcal{F}$ then $\mathcal{F}^*$ is not a $T2ST$ of $\mathcal{F}$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}^*$ be a $T2SC$ of $\mathcal{F}$. Let $(T_\gamma, \mathcal{NB}_\gamma)$ be a type 1 soft cycle corresponding to $T^*(\gamma) \forall \gamma \in A$. By definition, tree does not contain cycle. Then $T_\gamma(x)$ is not a tree for all $x \in \mathcal{NB}_\gamma$, so that $(T_\gamma, \mathcal{NB}_\gamma)$ is not a type 1 soft tree. Hence $\mathcal{F}^*$ is not a $T2ST$ of $\mathcal{F}$. $\square$

The converse of above theorem is not true in general; i.e., if $\mathcal{F}^*$ is not a $T2ST$ then $\mathcal{F}$ need not be a $T2SC$. The following example illustrates it.

FIGURE 11: $\mathcal{G}^* = (T^*(c), T^*(f))$. FIGURE 12: $\mathcal{G}^* = (T^*(e_9), T^*(e_8))$.

FIGURE 13: Simple graph.

Example 37. Consider a graph $\mathcal{G} = (X, \mathcal{E})$ as shown in Figure 13.

Let $= \{a, b\} \subset X$. Then $\mathcal{NB}_a = \{b, c, d\}$, $\mathcal{NB}_b = \{a, c, d\}$. Let $[I^*, A]$ and $[J^*, A]$ be two $T2SSs$ over X and $\mathcal{E}$, respectively, such that $I^*(x) = (I_x, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ and $J^*(x) = (J_x, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ for all $x \in A$. Let $I_a(\alpha) = \{y \in X \mid \alpha \mathcal{R} y \iff d(\alpha, y) \leq 1\}$, $J_a(\alpha) = \{uv \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{u, v\} \subseteq I_a(\alpha)\} \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{NB}_a$ and $I_b(\beta) = \{y' \in X \mid \beta \mathcal{R} y' \iff d(\beta, y') = 1\}$, $J_b(\beta) = \{u'v' \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{u', v'\} \subseteq I_b(\beta)\} \forall \beta \in \mathcal{NB}_b$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} I^*(a) &= \{\{b, \{a, b, d, c\}\}, \{c, \{a, b, c, e\}\}, \{d, \{a, b, d, e\}\}\}, \\ J^*(a) &= \{\{b, \{ab, ad, ac, bc, bd\}\}, \{c, \{ab, ac, ce, bc\}\}, \\ &\quad \{d, \{ab, ad, de, db\}\}\}, \\ I^*(b) &= \{\{a, \{b, c, d\}\}, \{c, \{a, b, e\}\}, \{d, \{a, b, e\}\}\}, \\ J^*(b) &= \{\{a, \{bc, bd\}\}, \{c, \{ab\}\}, \{d, \{ab\}\}\} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Figure 14 shows the respective $T1SGs$ corresponding to $T^*(a) = (I^*(a), J^*(a))$ and $T^*(b) = (I^*(b), J^*(b))$ respectively. One can check that $T_a(b) = (I_a(b), J_a(b))$, $T_a(c) =$

$(I_a(c), J_a(c))$, $T_a(d) = (I_a(d), J_a(d))$, $T_b(c) = (I_b(c), J_b(c))$ and $T_b(d) = (I_b(d), J_b(d))$ are not trees. This implies that $\mathcal{G}^* = (T^*(a), T^*(b))$ is not a $T2ST$ of $\mathcal{G}$. But $\mathcal{G}^*$ is not a $T2SC$ of $\mathcal{G}$.

Proposition 38. Every $T2SC$ of $\mathcal{G}$ is a regular $T2SG$ of $\mathcal{G}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{G}^*$ is a $T2SC$. Let $(T_\gamma, \mathcal{NB}_\gamma)$ be a $T1SC$ corresponding to $T^*(\gamma)$ for any $\gamma \in A$. Then $T_\gamma(x)$ is a cycle for all $x \in \mathcal{NB}_\alpha$. Since cycle is closed path and each vertex has degree 2, this implies that $T_\gamma(x)$ is a regular graph for all $x \in \mathcal{NB}_\gamma$. Therefore $(T_\gamma, \mathcal{NB}_\gamma)$ is regular $T1SG$. Since γ was taken to be arbitrary, thus it holds for all $\gamma \in A$. Hence $\mathcal{G}^*$ is a regular $T2SG$ of $\mathcal{G}$. $\square$

3. Operations on Type 2 Soft Trees

In this section, we present type 2 soft subtree of $T2ST$, union, intersection, OR operation, and AND operation of $T2ST$.

Definition 39. Let $\mathcal{G}_1^* = \langle I_1^*, J_1^*, A_1 \rangle$ and $\mathcal{G}_2^* = \langle I_2^*, J_2^*, A_2 \rangle$ be two $T2STs$ of $\mathcal{G}$. Then $\mathcal{G}_2^*$ is a type 2 soft subtree of $\mathcal{G}_1^*$ if

- (i) $A_2 \subseteq A_1$,
- (ii) for each $x \in A_2$, $T1ST$ corresponding to $T_2^*(x) = (I_2^*(x), J_2^*(x))$ is a type 1 soft subtree of $T1ST$ corresponding to $T_1^*(x) = (I_1^*(x), J_1^*(x))$.

Example 40. Consider a simple graph $\mathcal{G} = (X, \mathcal{E})$, where $X = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7\}$ and $\mathcal{E} = \{e_1e_2, e_2e_3, e_3e_4, e_5e_4, e_5e_6, e_6e_7, e_7e_1\}$. Let $A = \{e_2, e_4\}$, $B = \{e_2, e_4, e_7\}$. It may be written that $\mathcal{NB}_{e_2} = \{e_3, e_1\}$, $\mathcal{NB}_{e_4} = \{e_3, e_5\}$ and $\mathcal{NB}_{e_7} = \{e_1, e_6\}$.

Let $[I^*, A]$ and $[J^*, A]$ be two $T2SSs$ over X and $\mathcal{E}$, respectively, such that $I^*(x) = (I_x, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ and $J^*(x) = (J_x, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ for all $x \in A$. Define $I_{e_2}(z) = \{y \in X \mid z \mathcal{R} y \iff$

FIGURE 14: $\mathcal{G}^* = (T^*(a), T^*(b))$.

$d(z, y) \leq 1$, $J_{e_1}(z) = \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \subseteq I_{e_1}(z)\}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{NB}_{e_2} \subseteq X$, $I_{e_4}(z) = \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \subseteq I_{e_4}(z)\}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{NB}_{e_4} \subseteq X$. Then T2SSs $[I^*, A]$ and $[J^*, A]$ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I^*(e_2) &= \{\{e_1, \{e_1, e_2, e_7\}\}, \{e_3, \{e_3, e_2, e_4\}\}\}, \\ J^*(e_2) &= \{\{e_1, \{e_1 e_2, e_7 e_1\}\}, \{e_3, \{e_2 e_3, e_3 e_4\}\}\}, \\ I^*(e_4) &= \{\{e_3, \{e_4, e_2, e_3\}\}, \{e_5, \{e_6, e_5, e_4\}\}\}, \\ J^*(e_4) &= \{\{e_3, \{e_3 e_4, e_3 e_2\}\}, \{e_5, \{e_6 e_5, e_5 e_4\}\}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Then $\mathcal{G}^* = (T^*(e_2), T^*(e_4))$ is a T2ST of $\mathcal{G}$ as shown in Figure 15.

Let $[I'^*, B]$ and $[J'^*, B]$ be two T2SSs over X and $\mathcal{E}$, respectively, such that $I'^*(x) = (J'_x, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ and $J'^*(x) = (I'_x, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ for all $x \in B$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} I'_{e_2}(z) &= \{y \in X \mid z\mathcal{R}y \iff d(z, y) \leq 1\}, \\ J'_{e_2}(z) &= \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \subseteq I'_{e_2}(z)\} \\ &\quad \text{for all } z \in \mathcal{NB}_{e_2} \subseteq X, \\ I'_{e_4}(z) &= \{y \in X \mid z\mathcal{R}y \iff d(z, y) \leq 2\}, \\ J'_{e_4}(z) &= \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \subseteq I'_{e_4}(z)\} \\ &\quad \text{for all } z \in \mathcal{NB}_{e_4} \subseteq X, \\ I'_{e_7}(z) &= \{y \in X \mid z\mathcal{R}y \iff d(z, y) \leq 1\}, \\ J'_{e_7}(z) &= \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \subseteq I'_{e_7}(z)\} \\ &\quad \text{for all } z \in \mathcal{NB}_{e_7} \subseteq X. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Then T2SS $[I'^*, B]$ and $[J'^*, B]$ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I'^*(e_2) &= \{\{e_1, \{e_1, e_2, e_7\}\}, \{e_3, \{e_3, e_2, e_4\}\}\}, \\ J'^*(e_2) &= \{\{e_1, \{e_1 e_2, e_7 e_1\}\}, \{e_3, \{e_2 e_3, e_3 e_4\}\}\}, \end{aligned}$$

FIGURE 15: $\mathcal{G}^* = (T^*(e_2), T^*(e_4))$.

$$\begin{aligned} I'^*(e_4) &= \{\{e_3, \{e_4, e_2, e_3, e_1, e_5\}\}, \\ &\quad \{e_5, \{e_6, e_5, e_4, e_7, e_3\}\}\}, \\ J'^*(e_4) &= \{\{e_3, \{e_1 e_2, e_2 e_3, e_3 e_4, e_5 e_4\}\}, \\ &\quad \{e_5, \{e_3 e_4, e_4 e_5, e_5 e_6, e_6 e_7\}\}\}, \\ I'^*(e_7) &= \{\{e_1, \{e_1, e_2, e_7\}\}, \{e_6, \{e_5, e_6, e_7\}\}\}, \\ J'^*(e_7) &= \{\{e_1, \{e_1 e_2, e_7 e_1\}\}, \{e_6, \{e_5 e_6, e_6 e_7\}\}\}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Then $\mathcal{G}'^* = (T'^*(e_2), T'^*(e_4), T'^*(e_7))$ is a T2ST of $\mathcal{G}$ as shown in Figure 16.

One can check that $A \subset B$ and $T^*(e_2) \subseteq T'^*(e_2)$, $T^*(e_4) \subseteq T'^*(e_4)$. Hence $\mathcal{G}^*$ is a type 2 subtree of $\mathcal{G}'^*$.

Theorem 41. Let $\mathcal{G}_1^* = \langle I_1^*, J_1^*, A_1 \rangle$ and $\mathcal{G}_2^* = \langle I_2^*, J_2^*, A_2 \rangle$ be two T2STs of $\mathcal{G}$. Then $\mathcal{G}_2^*$ is a type 2 soft subtree of $\mathcal{G}_1^*$ if and only if $I_2^*(x) \subseteq I_1^*(x)$ and $J_2^*(x) \subseteq J_1^*(x)$ for all $x \in A_2$.

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{G}_2^*$ is a type 2 soft subtree of $\mathcal{G}_1^*$. Then, by the definition of type 2 soft subtree,

- (i) $A_2 \subseteq A_1$,
- (ii) For each $x \in A_2$, T1ST corresponding to $T_2^*(x) = (I_2^*(x), J_2^*(x))$ is a type 1 soft subtree of T1ST corresponding to $T_1^*(x) = (I_1^*(x), J_1^*(x))$.

Since T1ST corresponding to $T_2^*(x)$ is a type 1 soft subtree of T1ST corresponding to $T_1^*(x)$ for all $x \in A_2$. Then $I_2^*(x) \subseteq I_1^*(x)$ and $J_2^*(x) \subseteq J_1^*(x)$ for all $x \in A_2$.

Conversely, given that $I_2^*(x) \subseteq I_1^*(x)$ and $J_2^*(x) \subseteq J_1^*(x)$ for all $x \in A_2$. As $\mathcal{G}_1^*$ is a T2ST of $\mathcal{G}$, T1SS corresponding to $T_1^*(x)$ is a T1ST of $\mathcal{G}$ for all $x \in A_1$. Also, $\mathcal{G}_2^*$ is a T2ST of $\mathcal{G}$, T1SS corresponding to $T_2^*(x)$ is a T1ST of $\mathcal{G}$ for all $x \in A_2$. This implies that T1ST corresponding to $T_2^*(x)$ is a type 1 soft

FIGURE 16: $\mathcal{G}^* = (T'^*(e_2), T'^*(e_4), T'^*(e_7))$.

subtree of $T1ST$ corresponding to $T_1^*(x)$ for all $x \in A_2$. Thus, $\mathcal{G}_2^*$ is a type 2 soft subtree of $\mathcal{G}_1^*$. $\square$

Definition 42. Let $\mathcal{G}_1^* = \langle I_1^*, J_1^*, A_1 \rangle$ and $\mathcal{G}_2^* = \langle I_2^*, J_2^*, A_2 \rangle$ be two $T2ST$ s of $\mathcal{G}$. The union of $\mathcal{G}_1^*$ and $\mathcal{G}_2^*$, is denoted by $\mathcal{G}_1^* \sqcup \mathcal{G}_2^* = \mathcal{G}^* = \langle I^*, J^*, C \rangle$, where $C = A_1 \cup A_2$ is defined $\forall \alpha \in C$, as

$$I^*(\alpha) = \begin{cases} I_1^*(\alpha), & \text{if } \alpha \in A_1 - A_2 \\ I_2^*(\alpha), & \text{if } \alpha \in A_2 - A_1 \\ I_1^*(\alpha) \tilde{\cup} I_2^*(\alpha), & \text{if } \alpha \in A_1 \cap A_2 \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

where $I_1^*(\alpha) \tilde{\cup} I_2^*(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in A_1 \cap A_2$ refers to the usual type 1 soft union between the respective $T1ST$ corresponding to $I_1^*(\alpha)$ and $I_2^*(\alpha)$, respectively. And,

$$J^*(\alpha) = \begin{cases} J_1^*(\alpha), & \text{if } \alpha \in A_1 - A_2 \\ J_2^*(\alpha), & \text{if } \alpha \in A_2 - A_1 \\ J_1^*(\alpha) \tilde{\cup} J_2^*(\alpha), & \text{if } \alpha \in A_2 \cap A_1 \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

where $J_1^*(\alpha) \tilde{\cup} J_2^*(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in A_2 \cap A_1$ refers to the usual type 1 soft extended union between the respective $T1ST$ corresponding to $J_1^*(\alpha)$ and $J_2^*(\alpha)$, respectively.

It can be written as $\mathcal{G}_1^* \sqcup \mathcal{G}_2^* = \{T^*(\alpha) = (I^*(\alpha), J^*(\alpha)) \mid \alpha \in C\}$.

Theorem 43. Let $\mathcal{G}_1^* = \langle I_1^*, J_1^*, A_1 \rangle$ and $\mathcal{G}_2^* = \langle I_2^*, J_2^*, A_2 \rangle$ be two $T2ST$ s of $\mathcal{G}$ with $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$. Then $\mathcal{G}_1^* \sqcup \mathcal{G}_2^*$ is a $T2ST$ of $\mathcal{G}$.

Proof. The union of $\mathcal{G}_1^* = \langle I_1^*, J_1^*, A_1 \rangle$ and $\mathcal{G}_2^* = \langle I_2^*, J_2^*, A_2 \rangle$ is defined as $\mathcal{G}_1^* \sqcup \mathcal{G}_2^* = \mathcal{G}^* = \langle I^*, J^*, C \rangle$ where $C = A_1 \cup A_2$ for all $\alpha \in C$,

$$I^*(\alpha) = \begin{cases} I_1^*(\alpha), & \text{if } \alpha \in A_1 - A_2 \\ I_2^*(\alpha), & \text{if } \alpha \in A_2 - A_1 \\ I_1^*(\alpha) \tilde{\cup} I_2^*(\alpha), & \text{if } \alpha \in A_1 \cap A_2 \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

where $I_1^*(\alpha) \tilde{\cup} I_2^*(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in A_1 \cap A_2$ refers to the usual type 1 soft union between the respective $T1SS$ corresponding to $I_1^*(\alpha)$ and $I_2^*(\alpha)$, respectively. And,

$$J^*(\alpha) = \begin{cases} J_1^*(\alpha), & \text{if } \alpha \in A_1 - A_2 \\ J_2^*(\alpha), & \text{if } \alpha \in A_2 - A_1 \\ J_1^*(\alpha) \tilde{\cup} J_2^*(\alpha), & \text{if } \alpha \in A_1 \cap A_2 \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

where $J_1^*(\alpha) \tilde{\cup} J_2^*(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in A_1 \cap A_2$ refers to the usual type 1 soft extended union between the respective $T1SS$ corresponding to $J_1^*(\alpha)$ and $J_2^*(\alpha)$, respectively.

Since $\mathcal{G}_1^*$ is a $T2ST$ of $\mathcal{G}$. Then $T1ST$ corresponding to $(I_1^*(x), J_1^*(x))$ is a $T1ST$ of $\mathcal{G}$ for all $x \in A_1 - A_2$.

Since $\mathcal{G}_2^*$ is a $T2ST$ of $\mathcal{G}$. Then $T1ST$ corresponding to $(I_2^*(x), J_2^*(x))$ is a $T1ST$ of $\mathcal{G}$ for all $x \in A_2 - A_1$.

It is given that $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$. Thus, $\mathcal{G}_1^* \sqcup \mathcal{G}_2^* = \mathcal{G}^* = \langle I^*, J^*, A_1 \cup A_2 \rangle$ is a $T2ST$ of $\mathcal{G}$. $\square$

If $A_1 \cap A_2 \neq \emptyset$ then union of two $T2ST$ may not be a $T2ST$ as it can be seen in the following example.

Example 44. Consider a graph $\mathcal{G}$ defined in Example 40. Let $A = \{e_2, e_4\}, B = \{e_2, e_7\}$. It may be written that $\mathcal{NB}_{e_2} = \{e_3, e_1\}$, $\mathcal{NB}_{e_4} = \{e_3, e_5\}$ and $\mathcal{NB}_{e_7} = \{e_1, e_6\}$.

Let $[I^*, A]$ and $[J^*, A]$ be two $T2SS$ s over X and $\mathcal{E}$, respectively, such that $I^*(x) = (I_x^*, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ and $J^*(x) = (J_x^*, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ for all $x \in A$. Define $I_{e_2}^*(z) = \{y \in X \mid z\mathcal{R}y \iff d(z, y) \leq 1\}$, $J_{e_2}^*(z) = \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \subseteq I_{e_2}^*(z)\}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{NB}_{e_2} \subseteq X$ and $I_{e_4}^*(z) = \{y \in X \mid z\mathcal{R}y \iff d(z, y) \leq 1\}$, $J_{e_4}^*(z) = \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \subseteq I_{e_4}^*(z)\}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{NB}_{e_4} \subseteq X$. Then $T2SS$ s $[I^*, A]$ and $[J^*, A]$ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I^*(e_2) &= \{\{e_1, \{e_1, e_2, e_7\}\}, \{e_3, \{e_3, e_2, e_4\}\}\}, \\ J^*(e_2) &= \{\{e_1, \{e_1 e_2, e_7 e_1\}\}, \{e_3, \{e_2 e_3, e_3 e_4\}\}\}, \\ I^*(e_4) &= \{\{e_3, \{e_4, e_2, e_3\}\}, \{e_5, \{e_6, e_5, e_4\}\}\}, \\ J^*(e_4) &= \{\{e_3, \{e_3 e_4, e_3 e_2\}\}, \{e_5, \{e_6 e_5, e_5 e_4\}\}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Then $\mathcal{G}^* = (T^{**}(e_2), T^{**}(e_4))$ is a $T2ST$ of $\mathcal{G}$.

Let $[I^*, B]$ and $[J^*, B]$ be two $T2SS$ s over X and $\mathcal{E}$, respectively, such that $I^*(x) = (I_x^*, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ and $J^*(x) =$

FIGURE 17: $T^*(e_2)$.

$(J'_x, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ for all $x \in B$. Define $I'_{e_2}(z) = \{y \in X \mid z\mathcal{R}y \iff d(z, y) \geq 2\}$, $J'_{e_1}(z) = \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \subset I'_{e_2}(z)\}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{NB}_{e_2} \subseteq X$ and $I'_{e_7}(z) = \{y \in X \mid z\mathcal{R}y \iff d(z, y) \geq 1\}$, $J'_{e_7}(z) = \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \subset I'_{e_7}(z)\}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{NB}_{e_7} \subseteq X$. Then T2SSs $[I^*, B]$ and $[J^*, B]$ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I'^*(e_2) &= \{\{e_1, \{e_4, e_5\}\}, \{e_3, \{e_6, e_7\}\}\}, \\ J'^*(e_2) &= \{\{e_1, \{e_4, e_5\}\}, \{e_3, \{e_6, e_7\}\}\}, \\ I'^*(e_7) &= \{\{e_1, \{e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6\}\}, \{e_6, \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}\}\}, \\ J'^*(e_7) &= \{\{e_1, \{e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6\}\}, \{e_6, \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}\}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Then $\mathcal{Z}^{I^*} = (T'^*(e_2), T'^*(e_4), T'^*(e_7))$ is a T2ST of $\mathcal{G}$. By the definition of union of T2STs,

$$\begin{aligned} I^*(e_4) &= I'^*(e_4) \\ \text{and } J^*(e_4) &= J'^*(e_4), \\ e_4 &\in A - B, \\ I^*(e_7) &= I'^*(e_7) \\ \text{and } J^*(e_7) &= J'^*(e_7), \\ e_7 &\in B - A, \\ I^*(e_2) &= I'^*(e_2) \cup I'^*(e_2) \\ \text{and } J^*(e_2) &= J'^*(e_2) \cup J'^*(e_2), \\ e_2 &\in B \cap A. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

By routine calculations, it is easy to see that T1SGs corresponding to $T^*(e_4) = T'^*(e_4)$ and $T^*(e_7) = T'^*(e_7)$ are T1STs. But T1SG corresponding to $T^*(e_2) = T'^*(e_2) \cup T'^*(e_2)$ is a disconnected type 2 soft forest, as shown in Figure 17. Therefore, $\mathcal{Z}_1^* \sqcup \mathcal{Z}_2^* = \mathcal{Z}^* = \langle I^*, J^*, A \cup B \rangle$ is not a T2ST of $\mathcal{G}$.

Lemma 45. Let $\mathcal{Z}_1^* = \langle S_1^*, T_1^*, A_1 \rangle$ and $\mathcal{Z}_2^* = \langle S_2^*, T_2^*, A_2 \rangle$ be two T2STs of $\mathcal{G}$. If $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$, then their union is a T2ST of $\mathcal{G}$.

FIGURE 18: Simple graph.

Definition 46. Let $\mathcal{Z}_1^* = \langle I_1^*, J_1^*, A_1 \rangle$ and $\mathcal{Z}_2^* = \langle I_2^*, J_2^*, A_2 \rangle$ be two T2STs of $\mathcal{G}$. The intersection of $\mathcal{Z}_1^*$ and $\mathcal{Z}_2^*$, denoted by $\mathcal{Z}_1^* \cap \mathcal{Z}_2^* = \mathcal{Z}^* = \langle I^*, J^*, C \rangle$, where $C = A \cap B$ is defined as $I^*(\alpha) = I_1^*(\alpha) \cap I_2^*(\alpha)$, for all $\alpha \in A \cap B$, where $I_1^*(\alpha) \cap I_2^*(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in A \cap B$ refers to the usual type 1 soft intersection between the respective T1SS corresponding to $I_1^*(\alpha)$ and $I_2^*(\alpha)$, respectively. And $J^*(\alpha) = J_1^*(\alpha) \cap J_2^*(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in A \cap B$ where $J_1^*(\alpha) \cap J_2^*(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in A \cap B$ refers to the usual type 1 soft intersection between the respective T1SS corresponding to $J_1^*(\alpha)$ and $J_2^*(\alpha)$, respectively.

It can be written as $\mathcal{Z}_1^* \cap \mathcal{Z}_2^* = \{T^*(\alpha) = (I^*(\alpha), J^*(\alpha)) \mid \alpha \in C\}$.

The intersection of two T2STs may not be T2ST as it can be seen in the following example.

Example 47. Consider a simple graph $\mathcal{G}$ shown in Figure 18. Let $A = \{a, h\}$ and $B = \{b, h\}$. It may be written that $\mathcal{NB}_a = \{v, b\}$, $\mathcal{NB}_h = \{v, g\}$ and $\mathcal{NB}_b = \{a, c\}$.

Let $[I^*, A]$ and $[J^*, A]$ be two T2SSs over X and $\mathcal{G}$, respectively, such that $I^*(x) = (I_x^*, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ and $J^*(x) = (J_x^*, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ for all $x \in A$. Define $I_a^*(z) = \{y \in X \mid z\mathcal{R}y \iff d(z, y) \leq 1\}$, $J_a^*(z) = \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \subset I_a^*(z)\}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{NB}_a \subseteq X$ and $I_h^*(z) = \{y \in X \mid z\mathcal{R}y \iff d(z, y) \leq 1\}$, $J_h^*(z) = \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \subset I_h^*(z)\}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{NB}_h \subseteq X$. Then T2SSs $[I^*, A]$ and $[J^*, A]$ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I^*(a) &= \{\{b, \{a, b, c\}\}, \{v, \{b, v, h, d\}\}\}, \\ J^*(a) &= \{\{b, \{ab, bc\}\}, \{v, \{vb, vh, vd\}\}\}, \\ I^*(h) &= \{\{v, \{a, d, h, v\}\}, \{g, \{g, f, h\}\}\}, \\ J^*(h) &= \{v, \{vd, va, vh\}\}, \{g, \{gf, gh\}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Then $\mathcal{Z}^* = (T^*(a), T^*(g))$ is a T2ST of $\mathcal{G}$.

Let $[I^*, B]$ and $[J^*, B]$ be two T2SSs over X and $\mathcal{G}$, respectively, such that $I^*(x) = (I_x^*, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ and $J^*(x) = (J_x^*, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ for all $x \in B$. Define $I_b^*(z) = \{y \in X \mid z\mathcal{R}y \iff d(z, y) \geq 3\}$, $J_b^*(z) = \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \in I_b^*(z)\}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{NB}_b \subseteq X$ and $I_h^*(z) = \{y \in X \mid z\mathcal{R}y \iff 1 \leq d(z, y) \leq 3\}$, $J_h^*(z) = \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \in I_h^*(z)\}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{NB}_h \subseteq X$. Then T2SSs $[I^*, B]$ and $[J^*, B]$ are as follows:

FIGURE 19: $\mathcal{G}_1^* \cap \mathcal{G}_2^* = \mathcal{G}^* = \langle I^*, J^*, A \cap B \rangle$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 I'^*(b) &= \{\{a, \{e, f, g\}\}, \{c, \{f, g, h\}\}\}, \\
 J'^*(b) &= \{\{a, \{ef, fg\}\}, \{c, \{fg, gh\}\}\}, \\
 I'^*(h) &= \{\{v, \{e, f, g, d, a, b, h, c\}\}, \{g, \{d, e, f, h, v, a\}\}\}, \\
 J'^*(h) &= \{\{v, \{ef, fg, ed, vd, dc\}\}, \{g, \{ef, ed, vh, va, vd\}\}\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Then $\mathcal{G}'^* = (T'^*(b), T'^*(h))$ is a T2ST of $\mathcal{G}$. By the definition of *intersection* of T2ST,

$$\begin{aligned}
 I^*(h) &= I'^*(h) \tilde{\cap} I'^*(h) \\
 \text{and } J^*(h) &= J'^*(h) \tilde{\cap} J'^*(h), \\
 \{h\} &= B \cap A.
 \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

By routine calculations, it is easy to see that T1SG corresponding to $T^*(h) = T'^*(h) \tilde{\cap} T'^*(h)$ is a disconnected T1SG, as shown in Figure 19. Therefore, $\mathcal{G}_1^* \cap \mathcal{G}_2^* = \mathcal{G}^* = \langle I^*, J^*, A \cup B \rangle$ is not a T2ST of $\mathcal{G}$.

Definition 48. Let $\mathcal{G}_1^* = \langle I_1^*, J_1^*, A_1 \rangle$ and $\mathcal{G}_2^* = \langle I_2^*, J_2^*, A_2 \rangle$ be two T2STs of $\mathcal{G}$. The AND operation of $\mathcal{G}_1^*$ and $\mathcal{G}_2^*$, denoted by $\mathcal{G}_1^* \wedge \mathcal{G}_2^* = \mathcal{G}^* = \langle I^*, J^*, A \times B \rangle$ defined by $I^*(\gamma, \eta) = I_1^*(\gamma) \tilde{\wedge} I_2^*(\eta)$, $J^*(\gamma, \eta) = J_1^*(\gamma) \tilde{\wedge} J_2^*(\eta)$ for all $(\gamma, \eta) \in A \times B$, where $I_1^*(\gamma) \tilde{\wedge} I_2^*(\eta)$ for all $(\gamma, \eta) \in A \times B$ refers to the usual type 1 soft AND operation between the respective T1SS corresponding to $I_1^*(\gamma)$ and $I_2^*(\eta)$ respectively and $J_1^*(\gamma) \tilde{\wedge} J_2^*(\eta)$ for all $(\gamma, \eta) \in A \times B$ refers to the usual type 1 soft AND operation between the respective T1SS corresponding to $J_1^*(\gamma)$ and $J_2^*(\eta)$ respectively.

Example 49. Consider a simple graph $\mathcal{G} = (X, \mathcal{E})$, where $X = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7, e_8\}$ and $\mathcal{E} = \{e_1e_2, e_2e_3, e_3e_4, e_5e_4, e_5e_6, e_6e_7, e_7e_8, e_8e_1\}$. Let $A = \{e_1, e_8\}$, $B = \{e_5\}$. It may be written that $\mathcal{NB}_{e_1} = \{e_8, e_2\}$, $\mathcal{NB}_{e_8} = \{e_7, e_1\}$ and $\mathcal{NB}_{e_5} = \{e_4, e_6\}$.

Let $[I^*, A]$ and $[J^*, A]$ be two T2SSs over X and $\mathcal{E}$, respectively, such that $I^*(x) = (I_x^*, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ and $J^*(x) = (J_x^*, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ for all $x \in A$. Define $I_{e_1}^*(z) = \{y \in X \mid z\mathcal{R}y \iff d(z, y) \leq 1\}$, $J_{e_1}^*(z) = \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \subset I_{e_1}^*(z)\}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{NB}_{e_1} \subseteq X$ and $I_{e_8}^*(z) = \{y \in X \mid z\mathcal{R}y \iff d(z, y) \leq 1\}$,

$J_{e_8}^*(z) = \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \subset I_{e_8}^*(z)\}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{NB}_{e_8} \subseteq X$. Then T2SSs $[I^*, A]$ and $[J^*, A]$ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 I^*(e_1) &= \{\{e_2, \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}\}, \{e_8, \{e_1, e_8, e_7\}\}\}, \\
 J^*(e_1) &= \{\{e_2, \{e_1e_2, e_2e_3\}\}, \{e_8, \{e_1e_8, e_8e_7\}\}\}, \\
 I^*(e_8) &= \{\{e_1, \{e_8, e_1, e_7\}\}, \{e_7, \{e_6, e_7, e_8\}\}\}, \\
 J^*(e_8) &= \{\{e_1, \{e_2e_1, e_1e_8, e_8e_7, e_7e_6\}\}, \\
 &\quad \{e_7, \{e_1e_8, e_7e_8, e_6e_7, e_6e_5\}\}\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Then $\mathcal{G}^* = (T'^*(e_1), T'^*(e_8))$ is a T2ST of $\mathcal{G}$.

Let $[I'^*, B]$ and $[J'^*, B]$ be two T2SSs over X and $\mathcal{E}$, respectively, such that $I'^*(x) = (I'_x, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ and $J'^*(x) = (J'_x, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ for all $x \in B$. Define $I'_{e_5}(z) = \{y \in X \mid z\mathcal{R}y \iff d(z, y) \geq 1\}$, $J'_{e_5}(z) = \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \subset I'_{e_5}(z)\}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{NB}_{e_5} \subseteq X$. Then T2SSs $[I'^*, B]$ and $[J'^*, B]$ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 I'^*(e_5) &= \{\{e_6, \{e_4, e_2, e_3, e_1, e_5, e_8, e_7\}\}, \\
 &\quad \{e_4, \{e_6, e_5, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_8, e_7\}\}\}, \\
 J'^*(e_5) &= \{\{e_6, \{e_1e_2, e_2e_3, e_3e_4, e_5e_4, e_1e_8, e_7e_8\}\}, \\
 &\quad \{e_4, \{e_3e_2, e_2e_1, e_7e_6, e_6e_5, e_5e_4, e_1e_8, e_5e_4, e_7e_8\}\}\},
 \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Then $\mathcal{G}'^* = (T'^*(e_5))$ is a T2ST of $\mathcal{G}$. The AND operation on $\mathcal{G}^*$ and $\mathcal{G}'^*$ is defined as in the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 I^*(e_1, e_5) &= I^*(e_1) \tilde{\wedge} I'^*(e_5) \\
 &= \{\{(e_2, e_6), \{e_2, e_3, e_1\}\}, \{(e_2, e_4), \{e_1, e_3, e_2\}\}, \\
 &\quad \{(e_8, e_6), \{e_1, e_8, e_7\}\}, \{(e_8, e_4), \{e_1, e_8, e_7\}\}\}, \\
 J^*(e_1, e_5) &= J^*(e_1) \tilde{\wedge} J'^*(e_5) \\
 &= \{\{(e_2, e_6), \{e_2e_3, e_2e_1\}\}, \{(e_2, e_4), \{e_1e_2, e_3e_2\}\}, \\
 &\quad \{(e_8, e_6), \{e_1e_8, e_8e_7\}\}, \{(e_8, e_4), \{e_1e_8, e_8e_7\}\}\}, \\
 I^*(e_8, e_5) &= I^*(e_8) \tilde{\wedge} I'^*(e_5) \\
 &= \{\{(e_1, e_6), \{e_7, e_8, e_1\}\}, \{(e_1, e_4), \{e_1, e_8, e_7\}\}, \\
 &\quad \{(e_7, e_6), \{e_8, e_7\}\}, \{(e_7, e_4), \{e_6, e_7, e_8\}\}\}, \\
 J^*(e_8, e_5) &= J^*(e_8) \tilde{\wedge} J'^*(e_5) \\
 &= \{\{(e_1, e_6), \{e_7e_8, e_1e_8\}\}, \{(e_1, e_4), \{e_1e_8, e_7e_8\}\}, \\
 &\quad \{(e_7, e_6), \{e_8e_7\}\}, \{(e_7, e_4), \{e_6e_7, e_8e_7\}\}\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

The AND operation on $\mathcal{G}^*$ and $\mathcal{G}'^*$ is shown in Figure 20.

Definition 50. Let $\mathcal{G}_1^* = \langle I_1^*, J_1^*, A_1 \rangle$ and $\mathcal{G}_2^* = \langle I_2^*, J_2^*, A_2 \rangle$ be two T2STs of $\mathcal{G}$. The OR operation of $\mathcal{G}_1^*$ and $\mathcal{G}_2^*$, denoted by $\mathcal{G}_1^* \vee \mathcal{G}_2^* = \mathcal{G}^* = \langle I^*, J^*, A \times B \rangle$ defined by $I^*(\gamma, \eta) = I_1^*(\gamma) \tilde{\vee} I_2^*(\eta)$, $J^*(\gamma, \eta) = J_1^*(\gamma) \tilde{\vee} J_2^*(\eta)$ for all $(\gamma, \eta) \in$

FIGURE 20: AND operation.

$A \times B$, where $I_1^*(\gamma) \tilde{\vee} I_2^*(\eta)$ for all $(\gamma, \eta) \in A \times B$ refers to the usual type 1 soft OR operation between the respective T1SS corresponding to $I_1^*(\gamma)$ and $I_2^*(\eta)$ respectively and $J_1^*(\gamma) \tilde{\vee} J_2^*(\eta)$ for all $(\gamma, \eta) \in A \times B$ refers to the usual type 1 soft OR operation between the respective T1SS corresponding to $J_1^*(\gamma)$ and $J_2^*(\eta)$ respectively.

Example 51. Consider a simple graph $\mathcal{G} = (X, \mathcal{E})$ defined in Example 49. Let $A = \{e_3, e_5\}$, $B = \{e_6\}$. It may be written that $\mathcal{NB}_{e_3} = \{e_4, e_2\}$, $\mathcal{NB}_{e_6} = \{e_7, e_5\}$ and $\mathcal{NB}_{e_5} = \{e_4, e_6\}$.

Let $[I^*, A]$ and $[J^*, A]$ be two T2SSs over X and $\mathcal{E}$ respectively, such that $I^*(x) = (I_x^*, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ and $J^*(x) = (J_x^*, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ for all $x \in A$. Define $I_{e_3}^*(z) = \{y \in X \mid z \mathcal{R} y \iff d(z, y) \leq 1\}$, $J_{e_3}^*(z) = \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \subset I_{e_3}^*(z)\}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{NB}_{e_3} \subseteq X$, $I_{e_5}^*(z) = \{y \in X \mid z \mathcal{R} y \iff d(z, y) \geq 3\}$, $J_{e_5}^*(z) = \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \subset I_{e_5}^*(z)\}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{NB}_{e_5} \subseteq X$. Then T2SSs $[I^*, A]$ and $[J^*, A]$ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I^*(e_3) &= \{\{e_2, \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}\}, \{e_4, \{e_3, e_4, e_5\}\}\}, \\ J^*(e_3) &= \{\{e_2, \{e_1e_2, e_2e_3\}\}, \{e_4, \{e_3e_4, e_4e_5\}\}\}, \\ I^*(e_5) &= \{\{e_4, \{e_8, e_1, e_7\}\}, \{e_6, \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}\}\}, \\ J^*(e_5) &= \{\{e_4, \{e_8e_7, e_1e_8\}\}, \{e_6, \{e_1e_2, e_2e_3\}\}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Then $\mathcal{Z}^* = (T^{o*}(e_2), T^{o*}(e_4))$ is a T2ST of $\mathcal{G}$.

Let $[I'^*, B]$ and $[J'^*, B]$ be two T2SSs over X and $\mathcal{E}$, respectively, such that $I'^*(x) = (I'_x, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ and $J'^*(x) = (J'_x, \mathcal{NB}_x)$ for all $x \in B$. Define $I'_{e_6}(z) = \{y \in X \mid z \mathcal{R} y \iff d(z, y) \leq 1\}$, $J'_{e_6}(z) = \{\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{E} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \subset I'_{e_6}(z)\}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{NB}_{e_6} \subseteq X$. Then T2SSs $[I'^*, B]$ and $[J'^*, B]$ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I'^*(e_6) &= \{\{e_7, \{e_4, e_2, e_3, e_1, e_5\}\}, \\ &\quad \{e_5, \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_8, e_7\}\}\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} J'^*(e_6) &= \{\{e_7, \{e_1e_2, e_2e_3, e_3e_4, e_5e_4\}\}, \\ &\quad \{e_5, \{e_3e_2, e_2e_1, e_1e_8, e_7e_8\}\}\}, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Then $\mathcal{Z}'^* = (T'^*(e_6))$ is a T2ST of $\mathcal{G}$. The OR operation on $\mathcal{Z}^*$ and $\mathcal{Z}'^*$ is defined as in the following:

$$\begin{aligned} I^*(e_3, e_6) &= I^{o*}(e_3) \tilde{\wedge} I'^*(e_6) \\ &= \{\{(e_2, e_7), \{e_4, e_2, e_3, e_1, e_5\}\}, \\ &\quad \{(e_2, e_5), \{e_7, e_2, e_3, e_1, e_8\}\}, \\ &\quad \{(e_4, e_7), \{e_4, e_2, e_3, e_1, e_5\}\}, \\ &\quad \{(e_4, e_5), \{e_4, e_2, e_3, e_1, e_5, e_7, e_8\}\}\}, \\ J^*(e_3, e_6) &= J^{o*}(e_3) \tilde{\wedge} J'^*(e_6) \\ &= \{\{(e_2, e_7), \{e_1e_2, e_2e_3, e_3e_4, e_5e_4\}\}, \\ &\quad \{(e_2, e_5), \{e_1e_2, e_2e_3, e_1e_8, e_7e_8\}\}, \\ &\quad \{(e_4, e_7), \{e_1e_2, e_3e_2, e_4e_3, e_4e_5\}\}, \\ &\quad \{(e_4, e_5), \{e_1e_2, e_2e_3, e_3e_4, e_5e_4, e_1e_8, e_8e_7\}\}\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I^*(e_5, e_6) &= I^{o*}(e_5) \tilde{\wedge} I'^*(e_6) \\ &= \{\{(e_4, e_7), \{e_4, e_2, e_3, e_1, e_5, e_7, e_8\}\}, \\ &\quad \{(e_4, e_5), \{e_7, e_2, e_3, e_1, e_8\}\}, \\ &\quad \{(e_6, e_7), \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5\}\}, \\ &\quad \{(e_6, e_5), \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_8, e_7\}\}\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} J^*(e_8, e_5) &= J^{o*}(e_8) \tilde{\wedge} J'^*(e_5) \\ &= \{\{(e_4, e_7), \{e_7e_8, e_1e_8, e_4e_5, e_3e_4, e_3e_2, e_1e_2\}\}, \end{aligned}$$

FIGURE 21: OR operation.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \{(e_4, e_5), \{e_1 e_2, e_2 e_3, e_1 e_8, e_8 e_7\}\}, \\
 & \{(e_6, e_7), \{e_1 e_2, e_2 e_3, e_3 e_4, e_4 e_5\}\}, \\
 & \{(e_6, e_5), \{e_1 e_2, e_2 e_3, e_7 e_8, e_1 e_8\}\}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{26}$$

The OR operation on $\mathcal{G}^*$ and $\mathcal{G}^{I*}$ is shown in Figure 21.

4. Conclusion

In above study, we have characterized type 2 soft graphs on underlying subgraphs (regular subgraphs, irregular subgraphs, cycles, trees) of a simple graph. We have presented regular type 2 soft graphs, irregular type 2 soft graphs, and type 2 soft trees. Moreover, we have introduced type 2 soft cycles, type 2 soft cut-nodes, and type 2 soft bridges. Finally, we have presented some operations on type 2 soft trees by presenting several examples to demonstrate these new concepts. In future work, we will extend our work in following areas of research:

- (i) Applications of type 2 soft graphs in computer networks and social networks
- (ii) Fuzzy type 2 soft graphs and their applications.

Data Availability

No data were used to support this study.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

This paper is supported by the High Level Construction Fund of Guangzhou University China. Also this work was

supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (2016A030313552), the Guangdong Provincial Government to Guangdong International Student Scholarship (yuejiao [2014] 187), and Guangzhou Vocational College of Science and Technology (no. 2016TD03).

References

- [1] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Application*, Macmillan Press, New York, NY, USA, 1976.
- [2] A. E. Brouwer, A. M. Cohen, and A. Neumaier, *Distance-Regular Graphs*, vol. 18, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1989.
- [3] W. T. Tutte, *Graph theory as I have known it*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, England, 1998.
- [4] D. Molodtsov, "Soft set theory—first results," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 37, no. 4-5, pp. 19–31, 1999.
- [5] P. K. Maji, R. Biswas, and A. R. Roy, "Soft set theory," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 45, no. 4-5, pp. 555–562, 2003.
- [6] M. I. Ali, F. Feng, X. Liu, W. K. Min, and M. Shabir, "On some new operations in soft set theory," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 57, no. 9, pp. 1547–1553, 2009.
- [7] M. I. Ali, M. Shabir, and F. Feng, "Representation of graphs based on neighborhoods and soft sets," *International Journal of Machine Learning and Cybernetics*, 2016.
- [8] M. Akram and S. Nawaz, "Operations on soft graphs," *Fuzzy Information and Engineering*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 423–449, 2015.
- [9] M. Akram and S. Nawaz, "Certain types of soft graphs," *"Politehnica" University of Bucharest. Scientific Bulletin. Series A. Applied Mathematics and Physics*, vol. 78, no. 4, pp. 67–82, 2016.
- [10] M. Akram and F. Zafar, "On Soft Trees, Buletinul Academiei de Stiinta a Republicii Moldova," *Matematika*, vol. 78, pp. 82–95, 2015.
- [11] R. Chatterjee, P. Majumdar, and S. K. Samanta, "Type-2 soft sets," *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 29, p. 885, 2015.
- [12] Y. Yang and Y. Wang, "Commentary on "Type-2 soft sets"," *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 29, pp. 885–898, 2015.

- [13] K. Hayat, M. I. Ali, B. Cao, and F. Karaaslan, "New results on type-2 soft sets," *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, vol. 5, no. 46, 2017.
- [14] K. Hayat, M. I. Ali, B.-Y. Cao, and X.-P. Yang, "A New Type-2 Soft Set: Type-2 Soft Graphs and Their Applications," *Advances in Fuzzy Systems—Applications and Theory*, vol. 2017, Article ID 6162753, 17 pages, 2017.

Submit your manuscripts at
www.hindawi.com