

## Research Article

# On Symmetric Identities of Carlitz's Type $q$ -Daehee Polynomials

Won Joo Kim,<sup>1</sup> Lee-Chae Jang ,<sup>2</sup> and Byung Moon Kim<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics, Kyunghee University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

<sup>2</sup>Graduate School of Education, Konkuk University, Seoul 05029, Republic of Korea

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mechanical System Engineering, Dongguk University, Gyeongju, Republic of Korea

Correspondence should be addressed to Lee-Chae Jang; lcjang@konkuk.ac.kr

Received 19 February 2019; Accepted 8 April 2019; Published 19 June 2019

Academic Editor: Alicia Cordero

Copyright © 2019 Won Joo Kim et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In this paper, we study Carlitz's type  $q$ -Daehee polynomials and investigate the symmetric identities for them by using the  $p$ -adic  $q$ -integral on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  under the symmetry group of degree  $n$ .

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let  $p$  be a fixed prime number. Throughout this paper,  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , and  $\mathbb{C}_p$  will denote the ring of  $p$ -adic integers, the field of  $p$ -adic rational numbers, and the completion of the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , respectively. The  $p$ -adic norm is normalized as  $|p|_p = 1/p$ . If  $q \in \mathbb{C}_p$ , we normally assume  $|1-q|_p < p^{-1/(p-1)}$ , so that  $q^x = \exp(x \log q)$  for  $|x|_p \geq 1$ . The  $q$ -extension of  $x$  is defined as  $[x]_q = (1 - q^x)/(1 - q)$  for  $q \neq 1$  and  $x$  for  $q = 1$ .

As is well known, Carlitz's  $q$ -Bernoulli numbers are defined by

$$\beta_{0,q} = 1, \quad (1)$$

$$q(q\beta_q + 1)^k - \beta_{k,q} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k = 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } k > 1, \end{cases}$$

with the usual convention about replacing  $\beta_q^k$  by  $\beta_{k,q}$  (see [1, 2]). Let  $UD(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  be the space of uniformly differentiable functions on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . For  $f \in UD(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ , the  $p$ -adic  $q$ -integral on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is defined by Kim to be

$$I_q(f) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(x) d\mu_q(x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{[p^N]_q} \sum_{x=0}^{p^N-1} f(x) q^x \quad (2)$$

(see [3–6]). From (2), we note that

$$q^n I_q(f_n) - I_q(f) = q(q-1) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} q^j f(j)$$

$$+ \frac{q(q-1)^{n-1}}{\log q} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f(j) q^j, \quad (3)$$

where  $f_n(x) = f(x+n)$  and  $f'(j) = (d/dx)f(x)|_{x=j}$ . In particular, if we take  $n = 1$ , then we have

$$qI_q(f_1) - I_q(f) = q(q-1)f(0) + \frac{q(q-1)}{\log q} f'(0) \quad (4)$$

(see [7]). Kim et al. [8] defined the  $q$ -Daehee polynomials by the generating function to be

$$\frac{q-1 + ((q-1)/\log q) \log(1+t)}{q-1+qt} (1+t)^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_{n,q}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \quad (5)$$

When  $x = 0$ ,  $D_{n,q} = D_{n,q}(0)$  are called the Daehee numbers with  $q$ -parameter. By (4), we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1+t)^{x+y} d\mu_q(y) = \frac{q-1 + ((q-1)/\log q) \log(1+t)}{q-1+qt} (1+t)^x. \quad (6)$$

In [9–12], we recall that the Daehee polynomials are given by the generating function to be

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1+t)^{x+y} d\mu_0(y) = \frac{\log(1+t)}{t} (1+t)^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \tag{7}$$

and the  $q$ -Bernoulli polynomials are given by the generating function to be

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} e^{(x+y)t} d\mu_q(y) = \frac{q-1 + ((q-1)/\log q)t}{qe^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,q}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \tag{8}$$

When  $x = 0$ ,  $D_n = D_n(0)$  are called the Daehee numbers and  $B_{n,q} = B_{n,q}(0)$ , ( $n \geq 0$ ), are called the  $q$ -Bernoulli numbers. Kim [13] proved that Carlitz's  $q$ -Bernoulli polynomials can be represented by the  $p$ -adic  $q$ -integral on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ :

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} e^{([x+y]_q)t} d\mu_q(y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n,q}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \tag{9}$$

Kim-Kim-Jang [14] gave symmetric identities for degenerate Bernstein and degenerate Euler polynomials and also many mathematical researchers studied symmetry identities of various polynomials (see [1, 15–17]). In this paper, we consider Carlitz's type  $q$ -Daehee polynomials and investigate the symmetry identities for them by using the  $p$ -adic  $q$ -integral on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  under the symmetry group of degree  $n$ .

## 2. Symmetry Identities for Carlitz's Type $q$ -Daehee Polynomials

Let  $t \in \mathbb{C}_p$  with  $|t|_p < p^{-1/(p-1)}$ . From (6), we consider Carlitz's type  $q$ -Daehee polynomials can be represented by the  $p$ -adic  $q$ -integral on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ :

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1+t)^{[x+y]_q} d\mu_q(y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_{n,q}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \tag{10}$$

When  $x = 0$ ,  $d_{n,q} = d_{n,q}(0)$  are called Carlitz's type  $q$ -Daehee numbers.

**Theorem 1** (see [18], Witt's formula). *Let  $n \geq 0$ ; we have*

$$d_{n,q} = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} ([x+y]_q)_m d\mu_q(y). \tag{11}$$

Kim [19] obtained that

$$d_{n,q} = \sum_{k=0}^n S_1(n, k) \beta_{k,q}(x) \tag{12}$$

and

$$\beta_{n,q} = \sum_{k=0}^n S_2(n, k) d_{k,q}(x) \tag{13}$$

where  $S_1(n, k)$  is the Stirling numbers of the first kind as follows:

$$(x)_0 = 1, \tag{14}$$

$$(x)_n = x(x-1)\cdots(x-n+1) = \sum_{k=0}^n S_1(n, k) x^k, \tag{14}$$

( $n \geq 1$ )

and  $S_2(n, k)$  is the Stirling numbers of the second kind as follows:

$$x^n = \sum_{l=0}^n S_2(n, l) (x)_l, \quad (n \geq 1). \tag{15}$$

Let  $S_n$  be the symmetry group of degree  $n$ . For positive integers  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n$ , we consider the following integral equation for the  $p$ -adic  $q$ -integral on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1+t)^{[(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i)y + (\prod_{i=1}^n w_i)x + w_n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\prod_{n_2 \geq j \geq 1, j \neq i} w_j)k_i]_q} dq^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}(y) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{[p^N]_{q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}}} \sum_{y=0}^{p^N-1} q^{(w_1 \cdots w_{n-1})y} \times (1+t)^{[(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i)y + (\prod_{i=1}^n w_i)x + w_n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\prod_{n_2 \geq j \geq 1, j \neq i} w_j)k_i]_q} \tag{16}$$

$$= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{[w_n p^N]_{q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}}} \sum_{m=0}^{w_n-1} \sum_{y=0}^{p^N-1} q^{(w_1 \cdots w_{n-1})(m+w_n y)} \times (1+t)^{[(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i)(m+w_n y) + (\prod_{i=1}^n w_i)x + w_n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\prod_{n_2 \geq j \geq 1, j \neq i} w_j)k_i]_q}$$

From (16), we have

$$\frac{1}{[w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}]_q} \sum_{k_1=0}^{w_1-1} \sum_{k_2=0}^{w_2-1} \cdots \sum_{k_{n-1}=0}^{w_{n-1}-1} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\prod_{n_2 \geq j \geq 1, j \neq i} w_j)k_i} \times \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1+t)^{[(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i)y + (\prod_{i=1}^n w_i)x + w_n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\prod_{n_2 \geq j \geq 1, j \neq i} w_j)k_i]_q} dq^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}(y) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{[w_1 \cdots w_{n-1} w_n p^N]_q} \sum_{k_1=0}^{w_1-1} \sum_{k_2=0}^{w_2-1} \cdots \sum_{k_{n-1}=0}^{w_{n-1}-1} \sum_{m=0}^{w_n-1} \sum_{y=0}^{p^N-1} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\prod_{n_2 \geq j \geq 1, j \neq i} w_j)k_i} \times q^{(w_1 \cdots w_{n-1})(m+w_n y)} (1+t)^{[(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i)(m+w_n y) + (\prod_{i=1}^n w_i)x + w_n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\prod_{n_2 \geq j \geq 1, j \neq i} w_j)k_i]_q}. \tag{17}$$

As this expression is an invariant under any permutation  $\sigma \in S_n$ , we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** For  $n \geq 1, w_1, \dots, w_n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the expressions

$$\frac{1}{[w_{\sigma(1)} \cdots w_{\sigma(n-1)}]_q} \sum_{k_1=0}^{w_{\sigma(1)}-1} \sum_{k_2=0}^{w_{\sigma(2)}-1} \cdots \sum_{k_{n-1}=0}^{w_{\sigma(n-1)}-1} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\prod_{n \geq j \geq 1, j \neq i} w_{\sigma(j)}) k_i} \times \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1 + t)^{[(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} w_{\sigma(i)}) y + (\prod_{i=1}^n w_{\sigma(i)}) x + w_{\sigma(n)} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\prod_{n \geq j \geq 1, j \neq i} w_{\sigma(j)}) k_i]_q} dq^{w_{\sigma(1)} \cdots w_{\sigma(n-1)}}(y) \quad (18)$$

are the same for any  $\sigma \in S_n$ .

We observe that

$$\left[ \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i \right) y + \left( \prod_{i=1}^n w_i \right) x \right]$$

$$+ w_n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{n \geq j \geq 1, j \neq i} w_j \right) k_i \Big]_q = \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i \right]_q \left[ y + w_n x + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{w_n}{w_i} \right]_{q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}} \quad (19)$$

From (26) and Theorem 1, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1 + t)^{[(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i) y + (\prod_{i=1}^n w_i) x + w_n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\prod_{n \geq j \geq 1, j \neq i} w_j) k_i]_q} dq^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}(y) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \left( \left[ \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i \right) y + \left( \prod_{i=1}^n w_i \right) x + w_n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{n \geq j \geq 1, j \neq i} w_j \right) k_i \right]_q \right)_m dq^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}(y) \frac{t^m}{m!} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^m S_1(m, k) \\ & \cdot \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \left( \left[ \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i \right) y + \left( \prod_{i=1}^n w_i \right) x + w_n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{n \geq j \geq 1, j \neq i} w_j \right) k_i \right]_q \right)^k dq^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}(y) \frac{t^m}{m!} \quad (20) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^m S_1(m, k) \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i \right]_q^k \sum_{j=1}^k S_2(k, j) \times \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \left( \left[ y + w_n x + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{w_n}{w_i} \right]_{q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}} \right)_k dq^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}(y) \frac{t^m}{m!} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^m S_1(m, k) \\ & \cdot \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i \right]_q^k \sum_{j=1}^k S_2(k, j) d_{k, q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}} \left( w_n x + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{w_n}{w_i} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2 and (20), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** For  $n \geq 1, w_1, \dots, w_n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the expressions

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k_1=0}^{w_{\sigma(1)}-1} \sum_{k_2=0}^{w_{\sigma(2)}-1} \cdots \sum_{k_{n-1}=0}^{w_{\sigma(n-1)}-1} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\prod_{n \geq j \geq 1, j \neq i} w_{\sigma(j)}) k_i} \\ & \times \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^m S_1(m, k) \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} w_{\sigma(i)} \right]_q^k \quad (21) \\ & \cdot \sum_{j=1}^k S_2(k, j) d_{k, q^{w_{\sigma(1)} \cdots w_{\sigma(n-1)}}} \left( w_{\sigma(n)} x + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{w_{\sigma(n)}}{w_{\sigma(i)}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

are the same for any  $\sigma \in S_n$ .

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ y + w_n x + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{w_n}{w_i} k_i \right]_q \\ &= \frac{1 - q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1} y + w_1 \cdots w_n x + w_n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\prod_{n \geq j \geq 1, j \neq i} w_j) k_i}}{1 - q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}} \quad (22) \\ &= \frac{[w_n]_q}{[w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}]_q} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{n \geq j \geq 1, j \neq i} w_j \right) k_i \right]_{q^{w_n}} \\ & \times q^{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\prod_{n \geq j \geq 1, j \neq i} w_j) k_i} [y + w_n x]_{q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}} \cdot \end{aligned}$$

From (22), we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \left[ y + w_n x \right. \\
 & \left. + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{n \geq j \geq 1, j \neq i} w_j \right) k_i \right]_{q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}}^n dq^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}} \\
 & \cdot (y) = \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} \left( \frac{[w_n]_q}{[w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}]_q} \right)^{n-m} \\
 & \cdot \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{n \geq j \geq 1, j \neq i} w_j \right) k_i \right]_{q^{w_n}}^{n-m} \\
 & \times q^{m \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\prod_{n \geq j \geq 1, j \neq i} w_j) k_i} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} [y \\
 & + w_n x]_{q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}}^m d\mu_{q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}} (y) \\
 & = \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} \left( \frac{[w_n]_q}{[w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}]_q} \right)^{n-m} \\
 & \cdot \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{n \geq j \geq 1, j \neq i} w_j \right) k_i \right]_{q^{w_n}}^{n-m} \\
 & \times q^{m \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\prod_{n \geq j \geq 1, j \neq i} w_j) k_i} \sum_{l=0}^m S_2(m, l) \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} ([y \\
 & + w_n x]_{q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}}^m)_l d\mu_{q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}} (y) \\
 & = \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} \left( \frac{[w_n]_q}{[w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}]_q} \right)^{n-m} \\
 & \cdot \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{n \geq j \geq 1, j \neq i} w_j \right) k_i \right]_{q^{w_n}}^{n-m} \\
 & \times q^{m \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\prod_{n \geq j \geq 1, j \neq i} w_j) k_i} \sum_{l=0}^m S_2(m, l) d_{l, q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}} (w_n x).
 \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

By (24), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}]_q^{n-1} \sum_{k_1=0}^{w_1-1} \sum_{k_2=0}^{w_2-1} \cdots \sum_{k_{n-1}=0}^{w_{n-1}-1} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\prod_{n \geq j \geq 1, j \neq i} w_j) k_i} \\
 & \times \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \left[ y + w_n x + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{n \geq j \geq 1, j \neq i} w_j \right) \right. \\
 & \left. \cdot k_i \right]_{q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}}^n dq^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}} (y)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & = \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} [w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}]_q^{n-1} [w_n]^{n-m} \sum_{l=0}^m S_2(m, \\
 & l) d_{l, q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}} (w_n x) T_{n, q^{w_n}} (w_1 \cdots w_{n-1} | m),
 \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 & T_{n, q^{w_n}} (w_1 \cdots w_{n-1} | m) \\
 & \cdot \sum_{k_1=0}^{w_1-1} \sum_{k_2=0}^{w_2-1} \cdots \sum_{k_{n-1}=0}^{w_{n-1}-1} q^{(m+1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\prod_{n \geq j \geq 1, j \neq i} w_j) k_i} \\
 & \cdot \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{n \geq j \geq 1, j \neq i} w_j \right) k_i \right]_{q^{w_n}}^{m-1}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

As this expression is an invariant under any permutation  $\sigma \in S_n$ , we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.** For  $n \geq 1, w_1, \dots, w_n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the expressions

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} [w_{\sigma(1)} \cdots w_{\sigma(n-1)}]_q^{n-1} [w_{\sigma(n)}]^{n-m} \\
 & \times \sum_{l=0}^m S_2(m, l) d_{l, q^{w_{\sigma(1)} \cdots w_{\sigma(n-1)}}} (w_n x) T_{n, q^{w_{\sigma(n)}}} (w_{\sigma(1)} \cdots w_{\sigma(n-1)} | m)
 \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

are the same for any  $\sigma \in S_n$ .

### Data Availability

The numerical simulation data used to support the findings of this study are included within the article.

### Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

### References

- [1] D. S. Kim and T. Kim, "Some identities of symmetry for  $q$ -Bernoulli polynomials under symmetry group of degree  $n$ ," *Ars Combinatoria*, vol. 126, pp. 435–441, 2016.
- [2] J.-W. Park, "On the  $q$ -analogue of  $\lambda$ -Daehee polynomials," *Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 966–974, 2015.
- [3] U. Duran, M. Acikgoz, and S. Araci, "Symmetric identities involving weighted  $q$ -Genocchi polynomials under  $S_4$ ," *Proceedings of the Jangjeon Mathematical Society. Memoirs of the Jangjeon Mathematical Society*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 455–465, 2015.
- [4] L. Carlitz, " $q$ -Bernoulli numbers and polynomials," *Duke Mathematical Journal*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 987–1000, 2011.
- [5] T. Kim, D. V. Dolgy, and D. S. Kim, "Some identities of  $q$ -Bernoulli polynomials under symmetry group  $S_3$ ," *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, vol. 16, no. 9, pp. 1869–1880, 2015.

- [6] J.-W. Park and S.-H. Rim, "On the modified  $q$ -Bernoulli polynomials with weight," *Proceedings of the Jangjeon Mathematical Society*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 231–236, 2014.
- [7] D. V. Dolgy, T. Kim, S.-H. Rim, and S. H. Lee, "Symmetry identities for the generalized higher-order  $q$ -Bernoulli polynomials under  $S_3$  arising from  $p$ -adic Volkenborn integral on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ," *Proceedings of the Jangjeon Mathematical Society*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 645–650, 2014.
- [8] D. S. Kim, S. H. Lee, T. Mansour, and J.-J. Seo, "A note on  $q$ -Daehee polynomials and numbers," *Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 435–441, 2016.
- [9] B. S. El-Desouky, "New results on higher-order Daehee and Bernoulli numbers and polynomials," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 32, p. 21, 2016.
- [10] B. M. Kim, S. J. Yun, and J.-W. Park, "On a degenerate  $\lambda$ - $q$ -Daehee polynomials," *Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications*, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 4607–4616, 2016.
- [11] J.-W. Park, S.-H. Rim, and J. Kwon, "The twisted Daehee numbers and polynomials," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2014, p. 9, 2014.
- [12] D. Rim, "Some identities for Carlitz's type  $q$ -Daehee polynomials," *The Ramanujan Journal*, pp. 1–12, 2018.
- [13] T. Kim, " $q$ -Volkenborn integration," *Russian Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 288–299, 2002.
- [14] T. Kim, D. S. Kim, and G.-W. Jang, "Symmetric identities for degenerate Bernstein and degenerate Euler polynomials," *Symmetry*, vol. 10, no. 6, p. 219, 2018.
- [15] T. Kim and D. S. Kim, "Identities of symmetry for degenerate Euler polynomials and alternating generalized falling factorial sums," *Iranian Journal of Science & Technology*, vol. 41, no. 4, pp. 939–949, 2017.
- [16] T. Kim, H.-I. Kwon, T. Mansour, and S.-H. Rim, "Symmetric identities for the fully degenerate Bernoulli polynomials and degenerate Euler polynomials under symmetric group of degree  $n$ ," *Utilitas Mathematica*, vol. 103, pp. 61–72, 2017.
- [17] T. Kim, "Symmetric identities of degenerate Bernoulli polynomials," *Proceedings of the Jangjeon Mathematical Society*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 593–599, 2015.
- [18] T. Kim, D. V. Dolgy, L.-C. Jang, and H.-I. Kwon, "Some identities of degenerate  $q$ -Euler polynomials under the symmetry group of degree  $n$ ," *Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications*, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 4707–4712, 2016.
- [19] D. S. Kim, N. Lee, J. Na, and K. H. Park, "Abundant symmetry for higher-order Bernoulli polynomials (I)," *Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 461–482, 2013.

