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This paper studies the statistical estimation of the Gerber-Shiu discounted penalty functions in a general spectrally negative Lévy risk model. Suppose that the claims process and the surplus process can be observed at a sequence of discrete time points. Using the observed data, the Gerber-Shiu functions are estimated by the Laguerre series expansion method. Consistent properties are studied under the large sample setting, and simulation results are also presented when the sample size is finite.

1. Introduction

In this paper, the cash flow of an insurance company is described by the following spectrally negative Lévy process:

\[ U_t = u + ct + \sigma B_t - X_t, \quad t \geq 0. \] (1)

Here, \( u \geq 0 \) denotes the initial reserve and \( c > 0 \) is the rate of premium. The process \( \{B_t\}_{t \geq 0} \) is a standard Brownian motion and \( \sigma > 0 \) is a constant. The claims process \( \{X_t\}_{t \geq 0} \) is a Lévy subordinator, whose Laplace exponent is defined by

\[ \psi(s) = \frac{1}{t} \ln E[e^{-sX_t}] = \int_0^\infty (e^{-sx} - 1) \nu(x) dx, \quad s \geq 0. \] (2)

Here, \( \nu \) is a Lévy density on \((0, \infty)\) satisfying the usual condition \( \int_0^\infty (1 + x^2) \nu(x) dx < \infty \) and the additional condition \( \mu_1 = \int_0^\infty x \nu(x) dx < \infty \). Note that the condition \( \mu_1 < \infty \) ensures that the expected aggregate claims are finite over any finite time interval. Finally, let us suppose that the processes \( \{B_t\}_{t \geq 0} \) and \( \{X_t\}_{t \geq 0} \) are mutually independent.

In insurance risk theory, one object of interest is the ruin time \( \tau \), which is defined by

\[ \tau = \inf \{ t \geq 0 : U_t \leq 0 \}. \] (3)

Set \( \tau = \infty \) if \( U_t > 0 \) for all \( t \geq 0 \). In order to avoid ruin is a deterministic event, it is assumed that the following net profit condition holds true throughout this paper.

**Condition 1.** The premium rate \( c > \mu_1 \).

In this paper, we use the Gerber-Shiu expected discounted penalty function to discuss the ruin problems. This function is defined by

\[ \phi(u) = E[e^{-\delta \tau} \omega(\{U_t\}) 1_{\{\tau < \infty\}} | U_0 = u], \quad u \geq 0, \] (4)

where \( \delta \geq 0 \) is a constant interest force, \( \omega \) is a nonnegative measurable penalty function of the deficit at ruin, and \( 1_A \) is an indicator function of an event \( A \). Note that ruin can be caused by oscillation or claims. Then we can decompose the Gerber-Shiu function as follows:

\[ \phi(u) = \omega(0) \phi_d(u) + \phi_c(u), \] (5)
where
\[ \phi_d(u) = E \left[ e^{-\delta \tau} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_1 < \infty, U_\tau = 0\}} \mid U_0 = u \right] \]  
(6)
is the Laplace transform of ruin time when ruin is caused by oscillation and
\[ \phi_e(u) = E \left[ e^{-\delta \tau} w(U_\tau) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_1 < \infty, U_\tau < 0\}} \mid U_0 = u \right] \]  
(7)
is the expected discounted penalty function when ruin is due to claims.

In insurance risk theory, the Gerber-Shiu function introduced by Gerber and Shiu [1] is a powerful tool for solving ruin problems. It should be emphasized that most of the existing literatures mainly pursue explicit formulae of Gerber-Shiu functions under various models. For example, Gerber and Shiu [1] studied the classical risk model; Li and Garrido [2, 3] discussed the Sparre Andersen risk models. In risk theory, the Lévy process is often used to model the surplus process of an insurance company, and a large number of results to Gerber-Shiu function have been made by researchers. Garrido and Morales [4] used Laplace transform to investigate the classical Gerber-Shiu function. Biffis and Morales [5] generalized the Gerber-Shiu function to path-dependent penalties. Chau et al. [6] used the Fourier-cosine method to evaluate the Gerber-Shiu function. For more studies on Gerber-Shiu function, the interested readers are referred to Yin and Wang [7, 8], Asmussen and Albrecher [9], Chi [10], Wang et al. [11], Chi and Lin [12], Zhao and Yin [13, 14], Shen et al. [15], Yu [16–18], Yin and Yuen [19, 20], Zhao and Yao [21], Zheng et al. [22], Huang et al. [23], Li et al. [24], Zhang et al. [25], Yu et al. [26], Zeng et al. [27, 28], Li et al. [29], and Dong et al. [30].

Contributing to Gerber-Shiu function mentioned above, it is commonly assumed that the probabilistic law of the surplus process is known, so that some analytic formulae can be derived. However, from the practical point of view, the insurance company does not know the probabilistic law, instead the surplus data and claim sizes data are often known. Hence, it is of importance to develop some methods for estimating the Gerber-Shiu type risk measures from the past data.

Over the past decade, the importance of statistical estimation of Gerber-Shiu function has advanced rapidly. As a special type of Gerber-Shiu function, the ruin probability is estimated by Mnatsakanov et al. [31], Masiello [32], and Zhang et al. [33] under the classical compound Poisson risk model. Further, Zhang [34] and Yang et al. [35] estimated the finite ruin probability by double Fourier transform. For the general Gerber-Shiu function, Shimizu [36] estimated it by Laplace inversion in the compound Poisson insurance risk model. Zhang [37, 38] proposed an estimator by Fourier-sinc and Fourier-cosine series expansion. Zhang and Su [39, 40] and Su et al. [41] proposed a more efficient estimator by Laguerre series expansion method. In addition, the estimation of ruin probability and Gerber-Shiu function in the Lévy risk model has also attracted the attention of scholars. For example, Shimizu [42] estimated the Gerber-Shiu function in a general spectrally negative Lévy process. Zhang and Yang [43, 44] estimated the ruin probability in a pure jump Lévy risk model. Shimizu and Zhang [45] estimated the Gerber-Shiu function in the pure jump Lévy risk model. Moreover, the study is a useful tool not only for the estimation of ruin probability by invasive exotic species on habitat and natural systems; see Wang and Yin [46], Yuen and Yin [47], Dong and Yin [48], Yin et al. [49], Wang et al. [50], Zhao et al. [51], Pavone et al. [52], Zhou et al. [53], Costa and Pavone [54, 55], and Yin [56], but also for explaining the dynamics of the disappearance of the plants of the past and changing of biodiversity and wavelet analysis, see Costa et al. [57], Pulvirenti et al. [58, 59], Lemarié and Meyer [60], and Daubechies [61].

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide some known results on Gerber-Shiu function, which are useful for constructing the estimator. In Section 3, we give an estimator by the Laguerre series expansion method, where both the surplus data and the aggregate claims data are used. Some consistent properties of the estimator are investigated in Section 4. Finally, in Section 5, we provide numerical examples to illustrate the efficiency of the method.

2. Preliminaries on Gerber-Shiu Functions

2.1. The Laguerre Basis.

In this paper, we use \( L^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \) and \( L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \) to denote the spaces of absolutely integrable functions and square integrable functions on the positive half line, respectively. For any \( p, q \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \), the inner product and the \( L^2 \)-norm are defined by
\[ \langle p, q \rangle = \int_0^{\infty} p(x) q(x) \, dx, \quad \|p\| = \sqrt{\langle p, p \rangle}. \]  
(8)

For the Laguerre functions, they are defined by
\[ \phi_k(x) = \sqrt{2} L_k(2x) e^{-x}, \quad x \geq 0; \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots. \]  
(9)
where \( \{L_k\} \) are the Laguerre polynomials given by
\[ L_k(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{(-1)^k}{j!} \binom{k}{j} x^j, \quad x \geq 0. \]  
(10)

It is known that \( \{\phi_k\}_{k \geq 0} \) is an orthonormal basis of \( L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \) such that
\[ \|\phi_k\| = 1; \]  
(11)
\[ \langle \phi_k, \phi_j \rangle = 0 \]
for \( k \neq j \).
Hence, each \( f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \) can be developed on the Laguerre basis, i.e.,
\[ f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{f,k} \phi_k(x), \quad x \geq 0, \]  
(12)
where \( a_{f,k} = \langle f, \phi_k \rangle, \; k = 0, 1, \ldots. \)

The following properties of Laguerre functions are useful, which can be found in Abrumowitz and Stegun [62].
\(\rho = 0\)

It is known that (13) has a positive root, say \(\rho\), and we have \(\rho = 0\) as \(\delta = 0\). Set \(\theta = 2c/\sigma^2 + \rho\).

By Corollary 4.1 in Biffis and Morales [5] one easily obtains

By Corollary 4.1 in Biffis and Morales [5] we have

By Corollary 4.1 in Biffis and Morales [5] one easily obtains

Condition 2. The functions \(\phi_d, \phi_c, g, h_d, h_c\) are all square integrable.

Under Condition 2, we have the following Laguerre series expansion formulae:

\[\phi_d (u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{\phi,k} \varphi_k (u),\]

and

\[g (x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{g,k} \varphi_k (x),\]

Substituting the above five expressions into (20) and (21) and using a similar method as in Zhang and Su [39], we can obtain for \(k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,\)

These above linear systems can further be rewritten in matrix form as follows:

\[A_{\infty} \overrightarrow{a}_{\phi,\infty} = \overrightarrow{a}_{h,\infty},\]

\[A_{\infty} \overrightarrow{\phi}_{\infty,\infty} = \overrightarrow{h}_{\infty,\infty},\]

where \(\overrightarrow{a}_{\phi,\infty} = (a_{\phi,0}, a_{\phi,1}, \ldots, a_{\phi,\infty})^T,\)

\(\overrightarrow{a}_{h,\infty} = (a_{h,0}, a_{h,1}, \ldots, a_{h,\infty})^T,\)

\(\overrightarrow{a}_{h,\infty} = (a_{h,0}, a_{h,1}, \ldots, a_{h,\infty})^T,\)

and the elements in \(A_{\infty}\) are given by

\[A_{\infty} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2^{-1/2} a_{g,0} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 2^{-1/2} a_{g,1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix},\]

\[A_m = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2^{-1/2} a_{g,0} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 2^{-1/2} a_{g,1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix},\]

Furthermore, put \(\overrightarrow{a}_{\phi,m} = (a_{\phi,0}, \ldots, a_{\phi,m})^T,\)

\(\overrightarrow{a}_{h,m} = (a_{h,0}, \ldots, a_{h,m})^T,\)

\(\overrightarrow{a}_{h,m} = (a_{h,0}, a_{h,1}, \ldots, a_{h,m})^T,\)

\(A_m = ([A_{\infty}]_{k,j})_{k,j \leq m}\). Then we can truncate the matrix and vectors in (26) to obtain

\[A_m \overrightarrow{a}_{\phi,m} = \overrightarrow{a}_{h,m},\]

\[A_m \overrightarrow{a}_{\phi,m} = \overrightarrow{a}_{h,m}.\]
Note that the matrix $A_m$ is a lower triangular Toeplitz matrix, and all the diagonal elements are positive since $1 - 2^{-1/2}a_{ii,0} > 1 - \int_0^\infty g(x)dx > 0$. Hence, $A_m$ is nonsingular and explicitly invertible. Solving equations in (28) we get

$$
\overline{a}_{\phi,m} = A_m^{-1} \overline{a}_{h,m},
$$

(29)

Finally, we can approximate the Gerber-Shiu function by

$$
\phi_d(u) \approx \phi_{d,m}(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} a_{k,0} \phi_k(u),
$$

(30)

$$
\phi_k(u) \approx \phi_{e,m}(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} a_{k,0} \phi_k(u).
$$

### 3. Estimating the Gerber-Shiu Function

Suppose that we can observe the surplus process $\{U_t\}$ and the claims process $\{X_t\}$ at a sequence of discrete time points, so that the following samples are available:

$$
U^n := \{U_{k}\Delta : k = 1, 2, \ldots, n\},
$$

(31)

$$
X^n := \{X_{k}\Delta : k = 1, 2, \ldots, n\},
$$

where $\Delta := \Delta_n > 0$ is a sampling step. Further note that the initial values $U_0 = u$ and $X_0 = 0$ and the premium rate are known, but the diffusion volatility parameter $\sigma^2$ and Lévy density $\nu$ are both known. It is convenient to use the following samples:

$$
Z^n := \{Z_{k}\Delta = X_{k}\Delta - X_{(k-1)}\Delta : k = 1, 2, \ldots, n\},
$$

$$
W^n := \{W_{k}\Delta = U_{k}\Delta - U_{(k-1)}\Delta - c\Delta + (X_{k}\Delta - X_{(k-1)}\Delta) : k = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}. \tag{32}
$$

In this paper, the observation is based on high frequency in a long term, which means that the following condition holds true.

**Condition 3.** The sampling interval satisfies

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \Delta = 0,
$$

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} n \Delta = \infty. \tag{33}
$$

We shall use the notation $\mu_k := \int_0^\infty x^k \nu(x)dx$, $k \geq 1$, provided that such moment is finite. That is to say, we shall use the following condition.

**Condition 4 (k).** $\mu_k := \int_0^\infty x^k \nu(x)dx < \infty$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$.

Note that Condition 4(l) implies Condition 4 (k) if $l \geq k, k, l = 1, 2, \ldots$. By Proposition 2.2 in Comte and Genon-Catalot [63] we have

$$
E Z_{1}^k = \mu_k \Delta + o(\Delta) \tag{34}
$$

under Conditions 3 and 4(k).

The following two conditions are also useful for studying the consistent properties.

**Condition 5.** The Lévy density is continuous on $(0, \infty)$, and for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$,

$$
\lim_{\Delta \to 0} \Delta^{-2-\alpha} \nu(\Delta) = 0. \tag{35}
$$

**Condition 6.** For some $\kappa, \kappa' > 0$,

$$
w(x) \leq C (1 + x)^{\kappa},
$$

$$
w'(x) \leq C (1 + x)^{\kappa'}. \tag{36}
$$

Now we study how to estimate the Gerber-Shiu function. First, we estimate $\sigma^2$ and $\nu$. For $\sigma^2$, we can estimate it by

$$
\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n \Delta} \sum_{k=1}^{n} W_{k}\Delta, \tag{37}
$$

which is an unbiased estimator since

$$
E \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{\Delta} E [W_{\Delta}^2] = \frac{1}{\Delta} E [\sigma^2 B^2_{\Delta}] = \sigma^2. \tag{38}
$$

Furthermore, it is easily seen that

$$
\hat{\sigma}^2 - \sigma^2 = O_p \left((n\Delta)^{-1/2}\right). \tag{39}
$$

For the Lévy density $\nu$, it is known that under Conditions 3 we have $(1/n\Delta) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta_{Z_{k}\Delta}(dx)$ converges weakly to the measure $\nu(x)dx$, where $\delta_x$ denotes the Dirac measure at $x$. Using this result and noting that $\psi(s) = \int_0^\infty (e^{i\theta x} - 1) \nu(x)dx$, we can estimate the Laplace exponent by

$$
\hat{\psi}(s) = \frac{1}{n \Delta} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left[ e^{-sZ_{k}\Delta} - 1 \right]. \tag{40}
$$

Recalling that $\rho$ is the positive root of equation, then the estimator of $\rho$, say $\hat{\rho}$, is defined to be the positive root of the following estimating equation:

$$
c s + \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{2} s^2 + \hat{\psi}(s) = \delta. \tag{41}
$$

We set $\hat{\rho} = 0$ as $\delta = 0$.

**Lemma 7.** Suppose that Conditions 1, 2, and 4(2) hold true. Then for $\delta > 0$ we have

$$
\hat{\rho} - \rho = O_p \left((n\Delta)^{-1/2} + \Delta \right). \tag{42}
$$

**Proof.** This can be proved using the same arguments as in Shimizu [42].

Let us consider how to estimate $a_{h,k}, a_{h,k}$, and $a_{b,k}$. First, for $a_{h,k}$ we have

$$
a_{h,k} = \int_0^\infty e^{-\theta u} \phi_k(u) du = \sqrt{2} \frac{(\theta - 1)^k}{(1 + \theta)^k} \tag{43}
$$
which yields the following estimator:

\[ \tilde{a}_{h,k} = \sqrt{2} \frac{(\hat{\theta} - 1)^k}{(1 + \hat{\theta})^{k+1}}, \]  

(44)

where \( \hat{\theta} = 2c/\sigma^2 + \hat{\rho} \). Next, by changing the order of integrals we have

\[ a_{h,k} = \int_0^\infty h_k(u) \varphi_k(u) \, du = \frac{2}{\sigma^2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-\theta(u-y)} \int_y^\infty e^{-\rho(z-y)} w(z) \, dz \, dy \varphi_k(u) \, du \]

(45)

\[ = \frac{2}{\sigma^2} \int_0^\infty R_k(x, \rho, \theta) \varphi(x) \, dx, \]

where

\[ R_k(x, \rho, \theta) = \int_0^x \int_0^y e^{\theta(x-y)} e^{-\rho(z-y)} \varphi_k(u) \, du \]

\[ \cdot \omega(x-z) \, du \, dy \, dz. \]

Then we can estimate \( a_{h,k} \) by

\[ \tilde{a}_{h,k} = \frac{2}{\sigma^2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n R_k(Z_j, p, \hat{\theta}). \]  

(47)

Finally, for \( a_{g,k} \) we have

\[ a_{g,k} = \int_0^\infty g(x) \varphi_k(x) \, dx = \frac{2}{\sigma^2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-\theta(u-y)} \int_y^\infty e^{-\rho(z-y)} \varphi_k(x) \, dx \, dy \]

\[ = \frac{2}{\sigma^2} \int_0^\infty Q_k(z, \rho, \theta) \varphi(z) \, dz, \]

where

\[ Q_k(z, \rho, \theta) = \int_0^z \int_y^\infty e^{-\theta(x-y)} e^{-\rho(z-y)} \varphi_k(x) \, dx \, dy. \]  

(48)

Then we can estimate \( a_{g,k} \) by

\[ \tilde{a}_{g,k} = \frac{2}{\sigma^2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n Q_k(Z_j, p, \hat{\theta}). \]  

(49)

Note that \( Q_k(z, \rho, \theta) \) can be explicitly calculated as follows:

\[ Q_k(z, \rho, \theta) = \sqrt{2} \sum_{j=0}^k (-2)^j \]

\[ \cdot \left( \frac{k}{j} \right) \int_0^z \int_y^\infty e^{-\theta(x-y)} e^{-\rho(z-y)} \frac{x^j}{j!} e^{-x} \, dx \, dy \]

\[ = \sqrt{2} \sum_{j=0}^k (-2)^j \left( \frac{k}{j} \right) e^{-\rho \frac{j}{2}} \sum_{l=0}^j \frac{1}{(1 + \theta)^{j+1-l}} \left( \frac{1}{(1 - \rho)^{j+1-l}} \right) \]

\[ \cdot \sum_{l=0}^{j} \frac{1}{(1 - \rho)^{j+1-l} \Gamma(l)} e^{-\frac{j}{2}(1 - \rho) \frac{l}{2}}. \]  

(50)

As for the function \( R_k(x, \rho, \theta) \), it can also be explicitly calculated for some special cases of penalty function. For example, if \( \omega \equiv 1 \), we have

\[ R_k(x, \rho, \theta) = \sqrt{2} \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{l=0}^j \left( \frac{k}{j} \right) \frac{(-2)^j}{(1 + \theta)^{j+1-l} (1 - \rho)^{j+1-l}} \]

\[ \cdot \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho} \left( 1 - e^{-\rho} \right) - \sum_{l=0}^{j} \frac{1}{(1 - \rho)^l r^l} e^{-r} \right\}. \]  

(52)

For the vectors \( \tilde{a}_{h,m}, \tilde{a}_{g,m}, \) and \( \tilde{a}_{g,m} \), we estimate them by \( \tilde{\tilde{a}}_{h,m} = (\tilde{a}_{h,0,0}, \tilde{a}_{h,1,0}, \ldots, \tilde{a}_{h,m,0})^T, \tilde{\tilde{a}}_{h,m} = (\tilde{a}_{h,0,0}, \tilde{a}_{h,0,1}, \ldots, \tilde{a}_{h,0,m})^T, \) and \( \tilde{\tilde{a}}_{g,m} = (\tilde{a}_{g,0,0}, \tilde{a}_{g,1,0}, \ldots, \tilde{a}_{g,m,0})^T, \) respectively. As for the matrix \( \tilde{A}_m \), we estimate it by \( \tilde{\tilde{A}}_m \), where the elements \( a_{g,k} \) in \( \tilde{A}_m \) are replaced by \( \tilde{\tilde{a}}_{g,k} \). Finally, we estimate the Gerber-Shiu functions by

\[ \tilde{\phi}_{d,m} (u) = \sum_{k=0}^m \tilde{\tilde{a}}_{d,k} \varphi_k(u), \]

(53)

\[ \tilde{\phi}_{c,m} (u) = \sum_{k=0}^m \tilde{\tilde{a}}_{c,k} \varphi_k(u), \]

(54)

where

\[ \tilde{\tilde{\phi}}_{d,m} (u) = (\tilde{\tilde{a}}_{\phi,d,0}, \tilde{\tilde{a}}_{\phi,d,1}, \ldots, \tilde{\tilde{a}}_{\phi,d,m})^T = \tilde{\tilde{A}}_m^{-1} \tilde{\tilde{A}}_m, \]

(55)

\[ \tilde{\tilde{\phi}}_{c,m} (u) = (\tilde{\tilde{a}}_{\phi,c,0}, \tilde{\tilde{a}}_{\phi,c,1}, \ldots, \tilde{\tilde{a}}_{\phi,c,m})^T = \tilde{\tilde{A}}_m^{-1} \tilde{\tilde{A}}_m. \]

4. Consistent Properties

In this section, we investigate the asymptotic properties of the proposed estimators as \( m, n \to \infty \). In the sequel, we denote by \( C \) a generic positive constant that may vary at different steps. Our goal is to study the errors of \( \| \tilde{\phi}_{d,m} - \phi_d \| \) and \( \| \tilde{\phi}_{c,m} - \phi_c \| \). By Pythagoras principle, we have

\[ \| \tilde{\phi}_{d,m} - \phi_d \| = \left( \| \tilde{\phi}_{d,m} - \phi_{d,m} \| + \| \phi_{d,m} - \phi_d \| \right)^2, \]

(56)

and

\[ \| \tilde{\phi}_{c,m} - \phi_c \| = \left( \| \tilde{\phi}_{c,m} - \phi_{c,m} \| + \| \phi_{c,m} - \phi_c \| \right)^2. \]

Note that \( \| \tilde{\phi}_{d,m} - \phi_{d,m} \| \) and \( \| \tilde{\phi}_{c,m} - \phi_{c,m} \| \) are statistical errors due to estimating the Laguerre coefficients and \( \| \phi_{d,m} - \phi_d \| \) and \( \| \phi_{c,m} - \phi_c \| \) are biases due to series truncation.

First, we consider the biases. To this end, we introduce the Sobolev-Laguerre space (Bongioanni and Torrea [64]), which is defined by

\[ W (R_+, r, B) = \left\{ f : R_+ \to R_+, f \in L^2 (R_+), \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^r a_k^2 \leq B \right\}, \]  

(57)
where $0 < r, B < \infty$. It follows from Zhang and Su [39] that, under conditions $\phi_d, \phi_c \in W(\mathbb{R}, r, \mathbb{R})$, we have
\[
\|\phi_{d,m} - \phi_d\|_2^2 = O(m^{-r}), \quad \|\phi_{c,m} - \phi_c\|_2^2 = O(m^{-r}).
\] (58)

Next, we discuss the statistical errors. For a vector $\overrightarrow{b} = (b_1, \ldots, b_n)^T$, we denote its 2-norm by $\|\overrightarrow{b}\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |b_i|^2}$. For a square matrix $\overrightarrow{B} = (b_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$, its spectral norm is defined by $\|\overrightarrow{B}\|_2 = \sqrt{\lambda_{\max}(\overrightarrow{B}^T \overrightarrow{B})}$, where $\lambda_{\max}(\overrightarrow{B}^T \overrightarrow{B})$ is the largest eigenvalue of $\overrightarrow{B}^T \overrightarrow{B}$. Furthermore, we denote by
\[
\|\overrightarrow{B}\|_F = \sqrt{\text{tr}(\overrightarrow{B}^T \overrightarrow{B})} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{i,j}^2}
\] (59)
the Frobenius norm. Obviously, we have $\|\overrightarrow{B}\|_1 \leq \|\overrightarrow{B}\|_F$, and for any two square matrices $\overrightarrow{B}_1$ and $\overrightarrow{B}_2$ with the same dimension, $\|\overrightarrow{B}_1 \overrightarrow{B}_2\|_2 \leq \|\overrightarrow{B}_1\|_2 \cdot \|\overrightarrow{B}_2\|_2$.

Now using the same arguments as in deriving (61) in Zhang and Su [39], we have
\[
\|\hat{\phi}_{d,m} - \phi_{d,m}\|_2^2 \leq 3 \|A_m^{-1}\|_2^2 \cdot \|\overrightarrow{a}_{h,d,m} - \overrightarrow{a}_{h,d,m}\|_2^2 + 3 \|A_m^{-1} - \overrightarrow{A}_m^{-1}\|_2^2 \cdot \|\overrightarrow{a}_{h,d,m} - \overrightarrow{a}_{h,d,m}\|_2^2 + 3 \|A_m^{-1} - \overrightarrow{A}_m^{-1}\|_2^2 \cdot \|\overrightarrow{a}_{h,d,m} - \overrightarrow{a}_{h,d,m}\|_2^2
\] (60)
and
\[
\|\hat{\phi}_{c,m} - \phi_{c,m}\|_2^2 \leq 3 \|A_m^{-1}\|_2^2 \cdot \|\overrightarrow{a}_{h,c,m} - \overrightarrow{a}_{h,c,m}\|_2^2 + 3 \|A_m^{-1} - \overrightarrow{A}_m^{-1}\|_2^2 \cdot \|\overrightarrow{a}_{h,c,m} - \overrightarrow{a}_{h,c,m}\|_2^2 + 3 \|A_m^{-1} - \overrightarrow{A}_m^{-1}\|_2^2 \cdot \|\overrightarrow{a}_{h,c,m} - \overrightarrow{a}_{h,c,m}\|_2^2
\] (61)

To derive upper bounds for the right hand sides of (60) and (61), we still need some lemmas.

**Lemma 8.** Suppose that Condition 1 holds true. Then for all $m \geq 1$, we have
\[
\|A_m^{-1}\|_2 \leq \frac{c + (\sigma^2/2) \rho}{c + (\sigma^2/2) \rho - \mu_1}.
\] (62)

**Proof.** Note that the infinite dimensional lower triangular Toeplitz matrix $A$ is generated by the sequence $\{b_k\}_{k \geq 0}$, where
\[
b_0 = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} a_{g,0},
b_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (a_{g,k-1} - a_{g,k}), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots.
\] (63)

Furthermore, by Lemma C.1 in Comte et al. [65] we know that $\{b_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ are Fourier coefficients of the function
\[
b(e^{iz}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k e^{ik} = 1 - \tilde{g} \left(1 + e^{i\varphi} \right), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.
\] (64)

For the function $b$, we have
\[
\inf_{z \in \mathcal{C}} |b(z)| = \inf_{z \in \mathcal{C}} \left|1 - \tilde{g} \left(1 + z \right) \right| 
\geq 1 - \sup_{z \in \mathcal{C}} \left|1 - \tilde{g} \left(1 + z \right) \right| 
\geq 1 - \int_{0}^{\infty} g(x) dx
\geq 1 - \frac{2}{\sigma^2} \int_{0}^{\infty} x e^{-\theta(x-y)} \int_{y}^{\infty} e^{\rho(z-y)} y(z) dzdy\right.
\geq 1 - \frac{2}{\sigma^2} \int_{0}^{\infty} x e^{-\theta(x-y)} \int_{y}^{\infty} y(z) dzdy\right.
= 1 - \frac{2}{\sigma^2} \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\theta x} dx \right) \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} (\rho x) dz \right)
= 1 - \frac{2}{\sigma^2} \frac{1}{\rho} \mu_1 = 1 - \frac{\mu_1}{c + (\sigma^2/2) \rho} > 0,
\] (65)

where $\mathcal{C} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ is the complex unit circle. Finally, by Lemma A.1 in Zhang and Su [39] we obtain
\[
\|A_m^{-1}\|_2 \leq \frac{2}{1 - \mu_1 / (c + (\sigma^2/2) \rho)}
= \frac{c + (\sigma^2/2) \rho}{c + (\sigma^2/2) \rho - \mu_1}.
\] (66)

This completes the proof. \hfill \Box

**Lemma 9.** Under Condition 2, for each $m \geq 1$ we have
\[
\|\overrightarrow{a}_{h,d,m}\|_2^2 \leq \|h_d\|_2^2 < \infty,
\] (67)
and
\[
\|\overrightarrow{a}_{h,c,m}\|_2^2 \leq \|h_c\|_2^2 < \infty.
\] (68)

**Proof.** The above results hold true since
\[
\|\overrightarrow{a}_{h,d,m}\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{m} a_{h,d,k}^2 \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{h,d,k}^2 = \|h_d\|_2^2 < \infty
\] (68)
and
\[
\|\overrightarrow{a}_{h,c,m}\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{m} a_{h,c,k}^2 \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{h,c,k}^2 = \|h_c\|_2^2 < \infty.
\] (69)

This completes the proof. \hfill \Box

**Lemma 10.** Suppose that Conditions 1, 3, and 4(2) hold true. Then for each $m \geq 1$, we have
\[
\|\overrightarrow{a}_{h,d,m} - \overrightarrow{a}_{h,d,m}\|_2^2 = O_p \left( (n\Delta)^{-1} + \Delta^2 \right).
\] (70)
Proof. First, we have

\[ \| \hat{a}_{h,m} - \tilde{a}_{h,m} \|_{2}^{2} = \sum_{k=0}^{m} (\tilde{a}_{h,k} - a_{h,k})^{2} \]

\[ = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} (e^{-\hat{\theta}u} - e^{-\theta u}) \psi_{k}(u) \, du \right)^{2} \]

\[ \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} (e^{-\hat{\theta}u} - e^{-\theta u}) \psi_{k}(u) \, du \right)^{2} \]

\[ = \int_{0}^{\infty} (e^{-\hat{\theta}u} - e^{-\theta u})^{2} \, du. \] (71)

Using the mean value theorem we obtain \( e^{-\hat{\theta}u} - e^{-\theta u} = -(\hat{\theta} - \theta)ue^{-\theta u} \), where \( \theta^* \) is a random number between \( \hat{\theta} \) and \( \theta \). Then we have

\[ \| \hat{a}_{h,m} - \tilde{a}_{h,m} \|_{2}^{2} \leq (\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2 \int_{0}^{\infty} u^{2} e^{-\theta^* u} \, du \]

\[ = \frac{(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^{2}}{\psi(\theta^*)}. \] (72)

Next, since \( \sigma^2 - \sigma^2 = O_p((n\Delta)^{-1/2}) \) and Lemma 7, then we have

\[ \hat{\theta} - \theta = \frac{2c}{\sigma^2} + \hat{\rho} - \left( \frac{2c}{\sigma^2} + \rho \right) \]

\[ = 2c \left( \frac{1}{\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right) + (\hat{\rho} - \rho) \]

\[ = O_p((n\Delta)^{-1/2} + \Delta) \] (73)

and \( \theta^* \) converges to \( \theta \) in probability. Hence, (72) leads to (70).

Lemma 11. Suppose that Conditions 1, 3, 4(2k + 4), 4(k + 2), 5, and 6 hold true. Then we have

\[ \| \hat{a}_{h,m} - \tilde{a}_{h,m} \|_{2}^{2} = O_p \left( m \left( (n\Delta)^{-1} + \Delta^2 \right) \right) \]

\[ + o \left( m^2 \Delta^2 \right). \] (74)

Proof. First, we have

\[ \| \hat{a}_{h,m} - \tilde{a}_{h,m} \|_{2}^{2} = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \left( \tilde{a}_{h,k} - a_{h,k} \right)^{2} \]

\[ = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} R_k (Z_j, \hat{\rho}, \hat{\theta}) \right) \]

\[ - \frac{2}{\sigma^2} \int_{0}^{\infty} R_k (z, \rho, \theta) v(z) \, dz \]

\[ + \left( \frac{2}{\sigma^2} - \frac{2}{\sigma^2} \right) \int_{0}^{\infty} R_k (z, \rho, \theta) v(z) \, dz \]

\[ \leq \frac{8}{\sigma^2} \]

\[ \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{m} \left( \frac{1}{n\Delta} \sum_{j=1}^{n} R_k (Z_j, \hat{\rho}, \hat{\theta}) \right) \]

\[ - \int_{0}^{\infty} R_k (z, \rho, \theta) v(z) \, dz \right)^{2} + 8 \left( \frac{1}{\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)^{2} \]

\[ \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{m} \left( \frac{1}{n\Delta} \sum_{j=1}^{n} R_k (Z_j, \hat{\rho}, \hat{\theta}) \right) \]

\[ - \int_{0}^{\infty} R_k (z, \rho, \theta) v(z) \, dz \right)^{2} + 2 \left( \frac{1}{\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)^{2} \]

\[ \cdot \sigma^2 \sum_{k=0}^{m} a_{h,k}^2. \] (75)

Since \( \sigma^2 - \sigma^2 = O_p((n\Delta)^{-1/2}) \) and \( \sum_{k=0}^{m} a_{h,k}^2 \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{h,k}^2 = \|h_k\|^2 < \infty \), we have

\[ 2 \left( \frac{1}{\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)^{2} \cdot \sigma^2 \sum_{k=0}^{m} a_{h,k}^2 = O_p \left( (n\Delta)^{-1} \right). \] (76)

Next, we can write

\[ \frac{1}{n\Delta} \sum_{j=1}^{n} R_k (Z_j, \hat{\rho}, \hat{\theta}) - \int_{0}^{\infty} R_k (z, \rho, \theta) v(z) \, dz \]

\[ = I_{k,1} + I_{k,2} + I_{k,3} + I_{k,4}. \] (77)

where

\[ I_{k,1} = \frac{1}{n\Delta} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ R_k (Z_j, \hat{\rho}, \hat{\theta}) - R_k (Z_j, \rho, \theta) \right], \]

\[ I_{k,2} = \frac{1}{n\Delta} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ R_k (Z_j, \rho, \theta) - R_k (Z_j, \rho, \theta) \right], \]

\[ I_{k,3} = \frac{1}{n\Delta} \sum_{j=1}^{n} R_k (Z_j, \rho, \theta) - \frac{1}{\Delta} E \left[ R_k (Z_j, \rho, \theta) \right], \]

\[ I_{k,4} = \frac{1}{\Delta} E \left[ R_k (Z_j, \rho, \theta) \right] - \int_{0}^{\infty} R_k (z, \rho, \theta) v(z) \, dz. \] (78)

Then using Jensen inequality we have

\[ \sum_{k=0}^{m} \left( \frac{1}{n\Delta} \sum_{j=1}^{n} R_k (Z_j, \hat{\rho}, \hat{\theta}) - \int_{0}^{\infty} R_k (z, \rho, \theta) v(z) \, dz \right)^{2} \]

\[ \leq \sum_{k=0}^{m} \left( I_{k,1} + I_{k,2} + I_{k,3} + I_{k,4} \right)^{2} \] (79)

\[ \leq 4 \sum_{k=0}^{m} \left[ I_{k,1}^{2} + I_{k,2}^{2} + I_{k,3}^{2} + I_{k,4}^{2} \right]. \]
For $I_{k,1}$, using mean value theory we obtain

$$I_{k,1} = \frac{1}{n\Delta} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \int_{Z_j}^{Z_j+\Delta} \int_{y}^{\infty} e^{-\theta(u-y)} e^{-\rho(z-y)} \varphi_i(u) \omega(Z_j - z) \, du \, dz \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n\Delta} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \int_{Z_j}^{Z_j+\Delta} \int_{y}^{\infty} e^{-\theta(u-y)} (\hat{\theta} - \theta) e^{-\rho(z-y)} \varphi_i(u) \omega(Z_j - z) \, du \, dz \right)$$

where $\rho^*$ is a random number between $\rho$ and $\hat{\rho}$. Then using the upper bound $\sup_{x \geq 0} |\varphi_i(x)| \leq \sqrt{2}$ we obtain

$$\sup_k |I_{k,1}| \leq \sqrt{2} |\hat{\rho} - \rho| \frac{1}{n\Delta}$$

$$\cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{Z_j}^{Z_j+\Delta} \int_{y}^{\infty} e^{-\theta(u-y)} (z - y) \omega(Z_j - z) \, du \, dz$$

$$\leq C \cdot |\hat{\rho} - \rho| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma^2}}$$

$$\cdot \frac{1}{n\Delta} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{Z_j}^{Z_j+\Delta} \int_{y}^{\infty} e^{-\theta(u-y)} (z - y) (1 + Z_j - z)^{\kappa} \, du \, dz$$

$$\leq C \cdot |\hat{\rho} - \rho| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma^2}} \frac{1}{n\Delta} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (1 + Z_j)^{\kappa} Z_j^2.$$

For $I_{k,2}$, using mean value theory we have

$$I_{k,2} = \frac{1}{n\Delta} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ R_k(Z_j, \rho, \hat{\theta}) - R_k(Z_j, \rho, \theta) \right] = \frac{1}{n\Delta} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{Z_j}^{Z_j+\Delta} \int_{y}^{\infty} \left[ e^{-\theta(u-y)} - e^{-\hat{\theta}(u-y)} \right] e^{-\rho(z-y)} \varphi_i(u) \omega(Z_j - z) \, du \, dz$$

$$= -\frac{1}{n\Delta} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{Z_j}^{Z_j+\Delta} \int_{y}^{\infty} (\hat{\theta} - \theta) (u - y) e^{-\theta(u-y)} e^{-\rho(z-y)} \varphi_i(u) \omega(Z_j - z) \, du \, dz$$

where $\theta^*$ is a random number between $\hat{\theta}$ and $\theta$. Then we have

$$\sup_k |I_{k,2}| \leq \sqrt{2} |\hat{\theta} - \theta|$$

$$\cdot \frac{1}{n\Delta} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{Z_j}^{Z_j+\Delta} \int_{y}^{\infty} (u - y) e^{-\theta(u-y)} \omega(Z_j - z) \, du \, dz$$

$$= \sqrt{2} |\hat{\theta} - \theta| \cdot \frac{1}{(\theta^*)^{\kappa} n\Delta} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{Z_j}^{Z_j+\Delta} \int_{y}^{\infty} \omega(Z_j - z) \, dy \, dz$$

$$\leq C \cdot |\hat{\theta} - \theta| \cdot \frac{1}{(\theta^*)^{\kappa} n\Delta} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{Z_j}^{Z_j+\Delta} (1 + Z_j - z)^{\kappa} Z_j^2$$

which together with (74) and Condition 4($\kappa + 2$) gives

$$\sup_k |I_{k,2}| \leq O_p((n\Delta)^{-1/2} + \Delta).$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} I_{k,2} = O_p\left(m\left((n\Delta)^{-1} + \Delta^2\right)\right).$$

For $I_{k,3}$, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} I_{k,3} = \sum_{k=0}^{m} E[I_{k,3}] = \sum_{k=0}^{m} var(I_{k,3})$$
\[
\frac{1}{n\Delta^2} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \text{var} (R_k (Z_1, \rho, \theta)) \\
\leq \frac{1}{n\Delta^2} \sum_{k=0}^{m} E \left[ (R_k (Z_1, \rho, \theta))^2 \right].
\]

Because
\[
|R_k (Z_1, \rho, \theta)| \leq \int_0^Z \int_0^Z \int_{-\Delta}^{\Delta} e^{-\theta(u-y)} e^{-\rho(z-y)} |\phi_k (u)| \\
\cdot w (Z_j - z) du dy dz \leq C (1 + Z_1)^{2k} Z_1^2,
\]
we have
\[
E \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{m} I_{k,3}^2 \right\} \leq C \cdot \frac{1}{n\Delta^2} \sum_{k=0}^{m} E \left[ (1 + Z_1)^{2k} \cdot Z_1^2 \right] = C m (n\Delta)^{-1}
\]
due to Condition 4(2k + 4). Then Markov inequality gives
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{m} I_{k,4}^2 = O_p \left( m (n\Delta)^{-1} \right).
\]

For \( I_{k,4} \), note that
\[
\sup_{k \geq 0} |R_k (x, \rho, \theta)| \leq C \cdot (1 + x)^x x^2
\]
and
\[
\sup_{k \geq 0} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} R_k (x, \rho, \theta) \right| = \sup_{k \geq 0} \left| \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} e^{-\theta(u-y)} e^{-\rho(z-y)} \phi_k (u) \\
\cdot w (0) du dy + \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} e^{-\theta(u-y)} e^{-\rho(z-y)} \phi_k (u) \\
\cdot w' (x-z) du dy dz \right| \leq C x
\]
\[
+ C \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} e^{-\theta(u-y)} \left| w' (x-z) \right| du dy dz \\
\leq C x + C \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} (1 + x-z)^{x'} dy dz \leq C x
\]
\[
+ C (1 + x)^{x'} x^2.
\]

Then by Lemma B.1 in Shimizu and Zhang [45] we have \( \sup_{k \geq 0} |I_{k,4}| = o(\Delta^x) \), which yields
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{m} I_{k,4}^2 = o \left( m\Delta^{2x} \right).
\]
Then we obtain

\[ \left\| A_m - \tilde{A}_m \right\|^2_{\ell^p} \leq 2(m + 1) \]

\[ \cdot m \sum_{k=0}^{m} \left( \frac{2}{\sigma^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{n\Delta} \sum_{j=1}^{n} Q_k (Z_j, \tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\theta}) - \int_0^\infty Q_k (z, \rho, \theta) \nu(z) \, dz \right\} + \left( \frac{1}{\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right) \right) \]

\[ \cdot \sigma^2 a_{g,k}^2 \]

\[ \cdot \sigma^4 (m + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{m} a_{g,k}^2. \]

Note that

\[ \left( \frac{1}{\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)^2 \sigma^4 (m + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{m} a_{g,k}^2 \]

\[ \leq \left( \frac{1}{\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)^2 \cdot \sigma^4 (m + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{m} a_{g,k}^2 \]

\[ = \left( \frac{1}{\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)^2 \sigma^4 (m + 1) \left\| g \right\|^2 = O_p \left( m(n\Delta)^{-1} \right) \]

due to \( \sigma^2 - \sigma^2 = O_p ((n\Delta)^{-1/2}) \).

For each \( k \), we can write

\[ \frac{1}{n\Delta} \sum_{j=1}^{n} Q_k (Z_j, \tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\theta}) - \int_0^\infty Q_k (z, \rho, \theta) \nu(z) \, dz \]

\[ = \Pi_{k,1} + \Pi_{k,2} + \Pi_{k,3} + \Pi_{k,4}, \]

where

\[ \Pi_{k,1} = \frac{1}{n\Delta} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ Q_k (Z_j, \tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\theta}) - Q_k (Z_j, \rho, \theta) \right], \]

\[ \Pi_{k,2} = \frac{1}{n\Delta} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ Q_k (Z_j, \rho, \theta) - Q_k (Z_j, \rho, \theta) \right], \]

\[ \Pi_{k,3} = \frac{1}{n\Delta} \sum_{j=1}^{n} Q_k (Z_j, \rho, \theta) - \frac{1}{\Delta} E \left[ Q_k (Z_1, \rho, \theta) \right], \]

\[ \Pi_{k,4} = \frac{1}{\Delta} E \left[ Q_k (Z_1, \rho, \theta) \right] - \int_0^\infty Q_k (z, \rho, \theta) \nu(z) \, dz. \]

Then using Jensen inequality, we get

\[ \sum_{k=0}^{m} \left( \frac{1}{n\Delta} \sum_{j=1}^{n} Q_k (Z_j, \tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\theta}) - \int_0^\infty Q_k (z, \rho, \theta) \nu(z) \, dz \right)^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \Pi_{k,1} + \Pi_{k,2} \]

\[ + \Pi_{k,3} + \Pi_{k,4} \]

\[ \leq 4 \sum_{k=0}^{m} \left[ \Pi_{k,1}^2 + \Pi_{k,2}^2 + \Pi_{k,3}^2 + \Pi_{k,4}^2 \right]. \]

Using the similar method as in the proof of Lemma 12, we can obtain

\[ \sum_{k=0}^{m} \Pi_{k,4}^2 = O_p \left( m(n\Delta)^{-1} + \Delta^2 \right), \quad l = 1, 2, 3, \]

and \( \sum_{k=0}^{m} \Pi_{k,4}^2 = o(m(\Delta^2)) \). Hence, we have

\[ \frac{16}{\sigma^2} (m + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{m} \left( \frac{1}{n\Delta} \sum_{j=1}^{n} Q_k (Z_j, \tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\theta}) - \int_0^\infty Q_k (z, \rho, \theta) \nu(z) \, dz \right)^2 = O_p \left( m^2 \left( (n\Delta)^{-1} \right) \right) \]

\[ + \Delta^2 \right) + o \left( m^2 \Delta^2 \right). \]

Finally, by (99), (100), and (105) we obtain (95).

Now we use Theorem B.1 in Zhang and Su [39] to prove this result. First, note that \( \tilde{A}_m = A_m + \tilde{A}_m - A_m \) and \( A_m \) is nonsingular. By Lemma 8 and formula (95) we have

\[ \left\| A_m^{-1} (\tilde{A}_m - A_m) \right\| \leq \left\| A_m^{-1} \right\| \left\| \tilde{A}_m - A_m \right\| \]

\[ \leq C \cdot \left\| \tilde{A}_m - A_m \right\| = O_p (1) \]

under condition \( m^2 = o((n\Delta)^{-1/2} + \Delta^{-2}), \Delta \}. \) Hence, by Lemma B.1 in Zhang and Su [39] we obtain

\[ \left\| A_m^{-1} - \tilde{A}_m^{-1} \right\| \leq \frac{\left\| A_m - \tilde{A}_m \right\| \cdot \left\| A_m^{-1} \right\|^2}{1 - \left\| A_m^{-1} (\tilde{A}_m - A_m) \right\|^2} \]

\[ \leq C \cdot \frac{\left\| A_m - \tilde{A}_m \right\|}{1 - \left\| A_m^{-1} (\tilde{A}_m - A_m) \right\|^2} \]

\[ = O_p \left( m \left( (n\Delta)^{-1/2} + \Delta \right) + o \left( m\Delta^2 \right) \right). \]

This completes the proof. \( \square \)

The main results of this section are given below.
Theorem 13. Suppose that Conditions 1, 2, 3, 4(2x + 4), 4(3x + 2), 5, 6, and \(m^2 = o(n\Delta + \Delta^{-2a})\) hold true. Then we have
\[
\left\| \mathcal{F}_{d,m} - \mathcal{F}_{d,m} \right\|^2 = O_p \left( m^2 \left( (n\Delta)^{-1} + \Delta^2 \right) \right) + o \left( m^2 \Delta^{-2a} \right).
\]
Furthermore, if \(\phi_d, \phi_c \in W(\mathbb{R}_+, r, B)\), then we have
\[
\left\| \mathcal{F}_{d,m} - \mathcal{F}_{d,m} \right\|^2 = O \left( m^2 \left( (n\Delta)^{-1} + \Delta^2 \right) \right) + o \left( m^2 \Delta^{-2a} \right).
\]

Proof. The above results follow from formulae (58), (60), and (61) and Lemmas 9–12.

5. Simulation Studies

In this section, we present some numerical examples to show the effectiveness of our estimator when the sample size is finite. We suppose that the risk model is a compound Poisson process perturbed by a diffusion, where we set the premium rate \(c = 15\) and diffusion volatility parameter \(\sigma^2 = 6\) and the intensity of Poisson claim number process is 10. In addition, we assume that the claim size distribution is an exponential distribution with mean 1 and the Erlang(2,2) distribution. Then the Lévy densities are given by
\[
\nu(dx) = 10e^{-x} dx, \quad x > 0,
\]
and
\[
\nu(dx) = 40xe^{-2x} dx, \quad x > 0,
\]
respectively.

We shall estimate the ruin probability and the Laplace transform of ruin time, where the penalty function \(\omega \equiv 1\). For ruin probability, the discount factor \(\delta = 0\). For Laplace transform of ruin time, the discount factor \(\delta = 0.01\). The reference values of the Gerber-Shiu function can be explicitly obtained by Laplace inversion transform. For ruin probability, when the claim amount obeys the exponential distribution, we obtain
\[
\phi_d (u) = 0.8695 \ast e^{-5.7085u} + 0.1305 \ast e^{-0.2935u}, \\
\phi_c (u) = -0.6143 \ast e^{-5.7085u} + 0.6144 \ast e^{-0.2935u}, \quad u \geq 0.
\]
when the claim amount obeys the Erlang(2,2) distributed, we obtain
\[
\phi_d (u) = 1.0532 \ast e^{-5.4045u} - 0.2370 \ast e^{-3.2118u} + 0.1837 \ast e^{-0.3857u}, \\
\phi_c (u) = -0.7283 \ast e^{-5.4045u} + 0.1140 \ast e^{-3.2118u} + 0.6142 \ast e^{-0.3857u}, \quad u \geq 0.
\]
For the Laplace transform of ruin time, when the claim amount obeys the exponential distribution, we obtain
\[
\phi_d (u) = 0.8695 \ast e^{-5.7085u} + 0.1305 \ast e^{-0.2935u}, \\
\phi_c (u) = -0.6143 \ast e^{-5.7085u} + 0.6144 \ast e^{-0.2935u}, \quad u \geq 0;
\]
when the claim amount obeys the Erlang(2,2) distributed, we obtain
\[
\phi_d (u) = 1.0532 \ast e^{-5.4045u} - 0.2370 \ast e^{-3.2118u} + 0.1837 \ast e^{-0.3857u}, \\
\phi_c (u) = -0.7283 \ast e^{-5.4045u} + 0.1140 \ast e^{-3.2118u} + 0.6136 \ast e^{-0.3857u}, \quad u \geq 0.
\]
In the sequel, we shall set \((n, \Delta) = (1000, 0.02), (2500, 0.01), (5000, 0.01)\), where \(n\Delta = 20, 25, 50\). The truncate parameter is set to be \(m = 9\). First, let us consider the case where the claim amount is exponentially distributed. For \((n, \Delta) = (2500, 0.01)\), in Figures 1 and 2 we plot 30 consecutive estimates on the same picture together with the true Gerber-Shiu functions to show variability bands and illustrate the stability of the procedures. We find that the estimates in the beam are very close to each other and close to the true Gerber-Shiu functions.

To measure the performance of the estimate, we compute the integrated mean-square error (IMSE) based on 300 experiments, which are computed by
\[
\frac{1}{300} \sum_{j=1}^{300} \int_{0}^{30} \left( \phi_d (u) - \tilde{\phi}_{d,j} (u) \right)^2 du,
\]
\[
\frac{1}{300} \sum_{j=1}^{300} \int_{0}^{30} \left( \phi_c (u) - \tilde{\phi}_{c,j} (u) \right)^2 du,
\]
where \(\tilde{\phi}_{d,j}\) and \(\tilde{\phi}_{c,j}\) denote the \(j\)-th estimated function. Note that the above integrals are computed on a finite domain \([0, 30]\), because both the reference value and the estimator are very close to zero as \(u\) increases. We give some values of IMSEs in Table 1, where we can easily see that the IMSEs decrease as \(n\Delta\) increases for each Gerber-Shiu functions.
Figure 1: Estimating the ruin probability for exponential claim sizes, true curve (red curve) and 30 estimated curves (green curves).

Figure 2: Estimating the Laplace transform of ruin time for exponential claim sizes, true curve (red curve) and 30 estimated curves (green curves).

Table 1: IMSEs × 100.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(n, Δ)</th>
<th>Ruin probability</th>
<th>Laplace transform of ruin time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \phi_d(\alpha) )</td>
<td>( \phi_c(\alpha) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exponential</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1000, 0.02)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>6.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2500, 0.01)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>2.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5000, 0.01)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erlang(2, 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1000, 0.02)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2500, 0.01)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5000, 0.01)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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