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Abstract. Obesity is an established risk factor for cardiovascular disease (CVD), yet, the underlying mechanisms are only poorly understood. The adipose tissue produces a variety of hormones and cytokines and thereby actively participates in a network of biomarkers that may be relevant for the development of CVD. Such obesity biomarkers have a great potential to better characterize the obesity phenotype that may be relevant for the risk of CVD beyond anthropometric parameters. They may be used to support mechanistic studies, to help identify individuals at risk for CVD, and to evaluate the effect of preventive measures. The present article discusses the role of some of the most promising obesity biomarkers in cardiovascular epidemiology, including inflammatory markers, adiponectin, resistin, and fetuin-A. Importantly, some of these markers have been related to cardiovascular risk even after accounting for anthropometric parameters. Further, the potential ability to manipulate blood levels of some of these biomarkers through medication, diet and lifestyle make them attractive markers for cardiovascular risk. However, many open questions remain – especially with regard to the causal role of the factors as well as with regard to the extent of improvement in CVD prediction by these markers – before measurement of these biomarkers may be recommended on a public health level.
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1. Introduction

Obesity is a major risk factor for cardiovascular disease (CVD) [1]. However, although hypertension, dyslipidemia, insulin resistance, and type 2 diabetes as core components of the metabolic syndrome are probably key elements in the causal pathway from obesity to CVD the underlying mechanisms are only poorly understood. The adipose tissue produces a variety of hormones and cytokines and thereby actively participates in a network of biomarkers that may be relevant for the development of CVD [2]. The identification of obesity biomarkers related to CVD risk is important for scientific reasons to gain insight into pathophysiology, and for clinical and public health reasons because these biomarkers may improve the prediction of disease incidence as well as prognosis and may also represent targets for interventions through means of diet, lifestyle or drug treatment. Visceral adipose tissue is metabolically more active and secretes more cytokines and hormones that are relevant for CVD compared with subcutaneous adipose tissue [2], yet BMI, which is currently used to define obesity, is only a crude measure of visceral fat mass. Waist circumference or waist-hip ratio, which show much closer correlations with the amount of visceral fat, may be better disease risk predictors [1]; however, even these anthropometric markers may be crude and imprecise and lead to underestimation of the disease risk associated with specific obesity patterns. Thus, identification of biomarkers which quantify metabolically active adipose tissue beyond anthropometric parameters is an alternative or complementary approach to define an ‘obesity phenotype’ that is relevant for CVD.

The present article discusses the role of obesity biomarkers in cardiovascular epidemiology. The article first presents a short description of the general
use of biomarkers in epidemiology, followed by a detailed description of some of the most promising obesity markers with regard to their association with CVD and their use as predictors of cardiovascular events, including inflammatory markers, adiponectin, resistin, and fetuin-A. The second part of the article briefly discusses methodological issues related to biomarker reliability, followed by an overview of the predictors of these biomarkers, with a special emphasis on diet and lifestyle factors. The article ends with a summary and conclusions about the potential use of obesity biomarkers for primary prevention of CVD.

2. Use of biomarkers in epidemiology

In epidemiological terms, a biomarker can be defined as “a cellular, biochemical, or molecular indicator of exposure; of biological, subclinical, or clinical effects; or of possible susceptibility” [3]. Biomarkers can be classified according to different schemes, for example, based on their function (e.g., markers of exposition, markers of effects, etc.), based on their biochemical or biological properties (e.g., proteins, metabolites, hormones, cytokines etc.), or based on the disease of interest (e.g., cardiovascular biomarkers, obesity biomarkers, etc.) [4,5]. Thus, a biomarker may reflect different concepts; for example, C-reactive protein (CRP) may be classified as a marker of inflammation, a marker of obesity, or a marker of CVD. Also, CRP can be used as a marker of exposure (e.g., CRP as a risk factor for CVD) but it can also be a marker of biological effects (e.g., measuring the effect of drug treatment). Biomarkers are primarily considered as substances that can be measured in the body; however, there are also more general definitions that consider as a biomarker any measurable parameter (e.g., an electrocardiogram recording, results of an imaging procedure, blood pressure levels, etc.) [6,7]. Biomarkers are useful in epidemiology not only because they may help clarify the relationship between exposure and disease (reflecting a mechanistic level) but because they may also help identify individuals at risk of disease and help quantify the effect of interventions that aim to reduce the risk of disease (reflecting a clinical or public health level, Fig. 1). The present article focuses on a group of biomarkers which are characterized by their association to obesity. This includes some of the so called “adipokines” (such as adiponectin or interleukin-6 [IL-6]), which are directly released from the adipose tissue (but may be...
released from other tissues as well), as well as some other biomarkers that are indirectly related to obesity (such as CRP).

3. Obesity biomarkers and risk of CVD

3.1. Inflammatory markers

The vast proportion of CVD develops on the basis of atherosclerosis, which was traditionally characterized primarily by the accumulation of lipids into the arterial walls. However, it is now well-established that inflammatory processes play an essential role in the initiation and progression of atherosclerosis as well as in the development of related complications, including the rupture of atherosclerotic plaques [8]. For example, the activation of endothelial cells by circulating lipoprotein particles and proinflammatory cytokines induces the expression of adhesion molecules, which promote adhesion of circulating leukocytes to the endothelium [9, 10]. This process promotes the diapedesis of leukocytes across the endothelial barrier into the tunica intima of the arterial wall, which leads to recruitment and accumulation of mononuclear phagocytes. Through different steps of development these mononuclear cells develop into macrophages and finally — by scavenger receptor mediated internalization of lipoprotein particles — into foam cells [10]. Foam cells may secrete proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines that further promote the inflammatory processes. Although it was already shown more than 30 years ago that circulating blood leukocyte concentration is related to risk of myocardial infarction, these inflammatory processes were traditionally considered to be local secondary phenomena, with hyper- or dyslipidemia as their primary cause [2,11]. However, it is now clear that systemic subclinical inflammatory processes often precede the development of cardiovascular events and are related to a higher cardiovascular risk. These subclinical inflammatory processes are promoted by secretion of proinflammatory cytokines by different tissues, including the adipose tissue [9].

TNF-α and IL-6 are the major proinflammatory cytokines, which induce secretion of acute-phase proteins in the liver, including secretion of CRP. TNF-α and IL-6 are secreted by a variety of cell types, including — among others — monocytes, macrophages, and adipocytes [12,13]. The effects of TNF-α are mediated by two receptors, type 1 and type 2 (TNF-R1 and TNF-R2), which circulate in soluble forms (sTNF-R1 and sTNF-R2, respectively), can be measured with greater sensitivity and reliability than can TNF-α itself, and reflect the activity of the TNF system [14,15]. Both TNF-α and IL-6 have been shown to impair intracellular insulin signaling, which may lead to insulin resistance [16,17], and in humans, plasma levels of TNF-α, the soluble TNF receptors, IL-6, and CRP are related to obesity and insulin resistance [12,13]. Further, there is evidence that these inflammatory markers may also contribute to the development of atherosclerosis and its complications [10,18].

Of all inflammatory markers studied so far, CRP has been shown to be mostly consistently associated with risk of CVD, including most prominently CHD but also stroke [19–24]. CRP levels below 1.0 mg/L are considered to indicate low risk, CRP levels between 1.0 and 2.9 mg/L intermediate risk, and levels greater than or equal to 3.0 mg/L high risk. For example, in a combined analysis of men and women from the Nurses’ Health Study and the Health Professionals Follow-up Study, people with CRP levels \( \geq 3.0 \text{ mg/L} \) had a 1.68-fold higher risk to develop CHD compared to persons with CRP levels \(< 1.0 \text{ mg/L} \). Importantly, measurement of CRP levels has also been shown to improve the prediction of cardiovascular events [25–27]. While knowledge of CRP levels in addition to other established risk factors of CVD probably does not substantially improve the discrimination between individuals who will develop cardiovascular events and those who will not, such knowledge is likely to improve the classification of persons into risk categories, and thus provide more accuracy in prediction. For example, in the Women’s Health Study, the C-index (as a measure of discrimination) for the prediction of cardiovascular events based on the Framingham Risk Score (including age, total cholesterol [or LDL-cholesterol], HDL-cholesterol, systolic blood pressure, and smoking status) was 0.813 without CRP, and 0.815 with CRP, indicating only a small improvement in discrimination when CRP is added to traditional risk factors [25]. However, the predicted CVD risk categories changed substantially with the addition of CRP. For example, among women classified as having a 10-year risk of CVD between 5% and 10% based on the model without CRP, 21.3% of women were reclassified with the addition of CRP as having either a lower or a higher risk. Importantly, the models that included CRP also estimated the actual risk of CVD more accurately than the model without CRP [25]. On a population level, it was estimated that approximately 33% of incident cases of myocardial infarction and 19% of incident stroke can be attributed to CRP levels \( \geq 1.0 \text{ mg/L} \) [20].
Besides CHD and stroke, plasma CRP levels are also related to higher risks of other chronic diseases, including hypertension [28], peripheral arterial vascular disease [29], type 2 diabetes [30], and some types of cancer [31]. There is an ongoing debate as to whether CRP is a true risk factor or only a risk marker [32]. Animal studies have shown that CRP may accelerate the progression of atherosclerosis, arguing for a causal role of CRP [33]. Further, in persons with low LDL-cholesterol levels (< 130 mg/dL) and high CRP levels ($\geq$ 2.0 mg/L) treatment with rosuvastatin (a hydroxymethylglutarylcoenzyme A reductase inhibitor) was associated with a reduction of CRP levels and a significantly reduced incidence of major cardiovascular events [34]. However, statins also lower LDL-cholesterol levels; therefore, there is no proof that the risk reduction can solely be attributed to lowering of CRP levels [35]. In contrast to these trials, results from Mendelian randomization studies found that variations in the CRP gene that are related to meaningful variations in plasma levels of CRP are not associated with risk of CHD, arguing against a causal role of CRP in CVD development [36,37].

The role of other inflammatory markers in the development of CVD, and their use as risk factors or risk markers in epidemiology is less clear. While many inflammatory markers have been positively related to risk of CVD in some studies, these associations were often not replicated in other studies. The reasons for these inconsistencies are unclear and may be related to differences in study populations, length of follow-up, or degree of adjustment. For example, the soluble TNF receptors have been shown to be related to a higher risk of CHD in women, but these associations were attenuated and no longer significant after adjustment for traditional cardiovascular risk factors [19]. Other inflammatory markers, such as soluble adhesion molecules [38], IL-6 [39], or fibrinogen [40], have been found to be related to a higher risk of CVD even after multivariable adjustment; however, it is less clear to what extent such markers would improve the prediction of CVD, and their causal role is less established. For example, although a meta-analysis found fibrinogen levels related to a higher risk of CVD [40], genetic variants that are related to meaningful variations in fibrinogen levels were not related to risk of CVD [41], arguing against a causal role.

3.2. Adiponectin

Adiponectin (also called Acrp30, AdipoQ, apM1, and GBP28) is a 247-amino acid peptide hormone of 30 kDa induced early in the differentiation of adipocytes that consists of an N-terminal collagenous and a C-terminal globular domain, and that shares homology with subunits of complement factor C1q [42]. Through associations between the globular domains three adiponectin monomers form a trimmer, and the trimers associate through the collagenous domains to form hexamers, which further assemble to multimers [43]. Contrary to other adipose-derived hormones, adiponectin circulates at relatively high concentrations in the blood stream, accounting for 0.05% of total serum proteins, and is inversely associated with obesity, insulin resistance, and type 2 diabetes. Animal studies suggest that administration of adiponectin improves insulin sensitivity and may have anti-atherogenic and anti-inflammatory properties [42, 43]. Adiponectin increases fatty acid oxidation and glucose uptake, reduces fatty acid synthesis, and decreases expression of molecules involved in gluconeogenesis in animal models. In vitro, adiponectin inhibits endothelial nuclear transcription factor NF-$\kappa$B signaling, which mediates the effects of pro-inflammatory cytokines, and it may also stimulate the production of nitric oxide in vascular endothelial cells and inhibit the expression of adhesion molecules and class A scavenger receptors as well as the proliferation and migration of human aortic smooth muscle cells [42,43]. Adiponectin may thus beneficially affect glucose and lipid metabolism, inflammation, endothelial function, as well as thrombogenesis, and it thereby may be crucial in several steps in the pathogenetic pathway from obesity to CVD. In fact, experimental in vivo studies have shown that supplementation of adiponectin (e.g., by treatment with recombinant adenoviruses or by transgenic overexpression) suppresses the development of atherosclerosis in animal models [43].

In humans, individuals with CHD or cerebrovascular disease have lower adiponectin levels than healthy controls [44–47]. This association is independent of traditional cardiovascular risk factors (including BMI), it was consistently seen in various ethnic populations [45–50], and it follows a “dose-response” relationship, with lower adiponectin levels in more severe forms of CHD [51–55]. Adiponectin is inversely associated with several cardiovascular risk factors, such as blood pressure and heart rate, as well as with plasma levels of glucose, insulin, triglycerides and inflammatory markers, and it is positively related to HDL-cholesterol levels [56]. However, the causal role of adiponectin in the development of CVD and its use as a risk factor or risk marker for CVD remains con-
troversial [57]. In the Health Professionals Follow-up Study, high plasma adiponectin levels were related to a significantly lower risk of CHD over a follow-up period of 6 years, independent of other cardiovascular risk factors [58]; a finding that was confirmed among diabetic patients in this cohort [59]. Further, low plasma adiponectin levels have been shown to predict the progression of coronary calcification in humans [60]. Results from other studies, however, provided inconsistent results, with some showing significant inverse associations between adiponectin and risk of CVD, whereas in other studies these relationships were not statistically significant after adjustment for other risk factors, especially after adjustment for HDL cholesterol levels and diabetes [61–68]; factors which may lie in the causal pathway, suggesting that the effect of adiponectin on CVD (if causal) may largely be explained by its effect on lipid or glucose metabolism.

Some studies also suggest that high adiponectin levels may be related to an increased risk of mortality among patients with CHD or heart failure, and possibly even among individuals without diagnosed CVD [69–75]. These findings seem counterintuitive, given the beneficial effects of adiponectin on metabolism, on the cardiovascular system, and also on cancer development observed in animal studies [43,76]. Although adiponectin may increase energy expenditure and fatty acid oxidation, and may thereby lead to weight loss, it is currently unclear through which mechanisms higher adiponectin levels would causally increase the risk of death. As an alternative explanation, high adiponectin levels may be a marker for wasting processes in individuals with certain underlying diseases. In fact, adiponectin levels are increased in conditions related to adiponectin receptors [43,84]. However, little information is available about the relevance of the adiponectin receptors for the development of CVD in humans.

In summary, although current evidence suggests a causal protective role of adiponectin in the development of CVD in humans it is premature to conclude about whether or not plasma adiponectin levels may improve the prediction of future cardiovascular events in humans.

3.3. Resistin

Resistin is an adipokine that was reported to be elevated in obese C57Bl/6J mice on a high fat diet and suppressed by treatment with thiazolidinediones (TZDs) [85]. Resistin belongs to a family of resistin-like molecules (RELM), although the human genome only includes resistin and RELMβ [86]. Treatment of wild type mice with recombinant resistin resulted in insulin resistance while administration of an anti-resistin antibody increased insulin sensitivity in obese patients with heart failure, which is related to lower BMI and higher mortality [79]. Likewise, it was shown that the association of BMI with risk of death may depend on age or the presence or absence of underlying disease [81]. Similar effect modifications may also exist for the association of adiponectin with mortality, although the present studies do not allow definite conclusions about this issue. High adiponectin levels may also be a result of impaired renal clearance in vulnerable individuals, which in turn may be related to increased mortality, or they may reflect an attempt of the body of counter-regulation or compensation in certain severe chronic diseases [78].

In addition to the issues highlighted above, the effects of adiponectin may depend on its quaternary structure in plasma, or on its receptors. Adiponectin circulates in plasma as low, medium, and high molecular weight (HMW) forms, and it was suggested that HMW adiponectin may be more closely related to insulin sensitivity than other forms or the total amount of adiponectin [43]. In fact, a recent study suggested that HMW adiponectin is closer related to the incidence of type 2 diabetes than total adiponectin [82]; however, in the British Women’s Heart and Health Study, HMW adiponectin was not significantly related to risk of CHD [83]. A number of adiponectin receptors has been identified, including AdipoR1, AdipoR2, T-cadherin, as well as calreticulin, and it was suggested that the metabolic effects of adiponectin may depend on the extent and the pattern of the expression of its receptors [43,84]. However, little information is available about the relevance of the adiponectin receptors for the development of CVD in humans.
and insulin-resistant animals [85]. In contrast, in other experimental animal models of obesity (e.g. db/db mice), plasma levels and adipose tissue mRNA expression of resistin were significantly lower compared to lean control mice [87–89]. Resistin and RELMβ specifically impair hepatic sensitivity to insulin, leading to increased glucose production, without affecting peripheral insulin sensitivity [90].

In humans, the role of resistin for obesity-related diseases is unclear. In contrast to mice, human resistin is expressed at lower levels in adipocytes but at higher levels in circulating blood monocytes [91, 92]. Furthermore, studies analyzing the relationship between adipose tissue resistin expression and insulin resistance, obesity, and type 2 diabetes yielded inconsistent results [91–93]. While some investigators [94–96] found increased plasma resistin levels in obese or insulin-resistant subjects, others [97,98] found no such association. Interestingly, the amino acid sequences of resistin, RELMα, and RELMβ are identical to the previously discovered proteins FIZZ3, FIZZ1, and FIZZ2, respectively, which are involved in inflammatory processes [99,100]. Studies also found that resistin promotes endothelial cell activation, including the promotion of endothelin-1 release and the up-regulation of adhesion molecules and cytokines [101,102]. These findings suggested that resistin may be directly or indirectly related to CVD. In fact, cross-sectional and case-control studies found higher plasma resistin levels in subjects with CHD when compared to controls [103, 104]. Thus, a case-control study found that resistin levels were significantly higher in women with CHD compared to controls, independent of traditional cardiovascular risk factors, such as hypertension, diabetes, smoking, and BMI [104]. However, the relationship was substantially attenuated and no longer significant after adjustment for CRP levels [104], which is in line with the hypothesis that resistin may be linked with CVD via inflammatory pathways. However, other studies found resistin levels related to coronary artery calcification independent of CRP levels [105], suggesting that inflammation may not fully account for this association. When studied prospectively, high plasma resistin levels have been associated with an increased risk of incident myocardial infarction independent of other established cardiovascular risk factors [106]. Interestingly, this association was attenuated after adjustment for CRP, but it remained statistically significant, suggesting that this association can only partly be explained by elevated CRP levels. In contrast to myocardial infarction, plasma resistin levels were not significantly related to risk of ischemic stroke in that study [106]. Since atherosclerosis is a major precursor of both cardiovascular endpoints it is unclear why resistin may be related to myocardial infarction but not to stroke; however, such differences in associations are also well-known for other established risk factors of atherosclerosis, including hypertension, smoking and cholesterol [20,107–109]. Thus it is conceivable that specific processes linked to resistin may be more important for CHD, but less relevant for the development of cerebrovascular events. This notion is underlined by a number of studies which found no association of resistin levels with intima media thickness of carotid arteries [110–112]. These putative pathogenic differences between cerebrovascular and coronary events are supported by the fact that coronary atherosclerosis manifests earlier in life than cerebral atherosclerosis [113]. Clearly, more research is necessary to shed more light on the role of resistin as a cardiovascular biomarker.

3.4. Fetuin-A

Fetuin-A, also referred to as α2-Heremans-Schmid glycoprotein, is almost exclusively expressed and secreted by the liver, particularly under hepatic steatosis [114]. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), ranging from simple steatosis to more severe forms of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), is present in a majority of patients with obesity, diabetes, or the metabolic syndrome, and it is increasingly common in the general population [115]. In fact, it was estimated that 60–70% of people with obesity have some form of NAFLD [115]. Recent evidence suggests that NAFLD adds independently of established cardiovascular risk factors to risk of atherosclerosis and CVD [116], and it was shown that the severity of NAFLD is related to the extent of atherosclerosis [117,118]. Fetuin-A is a natural inhibitor of the insulin-stimulated insulin receptor tyrosine kinase and was shown to induce insulin resistance in rodents [119–122]. Studies in humans have demonstrated that circulating fetuin-A levels are positively associated with fat accumulation in the liver, insulin resistance, and the metabolic syndrome [114, 123,124], and prospective cohort studies have shown that fetuin-A is positively associated with risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus [125,126]. Besides induction of insulin resistance, recent data suggest that fetuin-A is also involved in subclinical inflammation. Thus, circulating fetuin-A correlates positively with CRP levels in humans [124,126]. Further, fetuin-A was recently found to induce cytokine expression in human mono-
cytes and to reduce the expression of adiponectin in animals [127]. Taken together, fetuin-A may thus represent a pathway linking fatty liver with cardiovascular events by inducing insulin resistance and inflammation. In fact, a recent study found plasma fetuin-A levels significantly associated with the risk of myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke, independently of traditional cardiovascular risk factors [128]. Interestingly, in that study, the association was significant even when adjusted for potentially intermediary variables, including history of diabetes, or plasma levels of glucose, triglycerides, γ-glutamyltransferase, adiponectin, or CRP, suggesting that at least some of the potential effects of fetuin-A on vascular function may not be mediated by these factors [128]. The association of fetuin-A with cardiovascular risk was equally strong in most subgroups examined, although it was stronger in individuals with lower total cholesterol levels (< 200 mg/L) compared to those with higher levels (≥ 200 mg/L), and it was somewhat stronger in women than in men. These preliminary data suggest that fetuin-A may be more relevant in individuals who are considered to have a lower cardiovascular risk as estimated based on traditional risk factors. Counter intuitively, however, low fetuin-A levels were found to be associated with increased cardiovascular mortality in patients with end-stage renal disease and renal replacement therapy [129]. The reasons for this apparent paradox are unclear but may be related to the fact that hemodialysis is known to reduce fetuin-A levels [130], indicating that the observed inverse association in this group of patients may reflect the renal replacement therapy. Further, studies suggest that fetuin-A may be an inhibitor of calcification [131]; therefore, increased vascular calcification in patients with renal disease who display low fetuin-A levels may be among the mechanisms for increased mortality. Other studies on the role of fetuin-A in vascular calcification have provided inconsistent results [132–134], and data from a more recent study including a larger number of patients with less severe impairment of renal function did not support the conclusion that low fetuin-A levels are associated with increased all-cause or cardiovascular mortality [135]. Altogether, these data indicate that the role of fetuin-A in CVD seems to be complex and modulated by various pathogenetic mechanisms such as calcification, inflammation, and insulin resistance. Therefore, more research is warranted to determine the role of fetuin-A in the pathophysiology of CVD before more definite conclusions can be drawn.

4. Reliability of obesity biomarkers

One key feature for the use of biomarkers in epidemiology is their reliability, which can be estimated from calculating the intraclass correlation coefficient as the ratio of the between-person variance divided by the sum of the between-person and the within-person variance over time of that specific biomarker [136,137]. The intraclass correlation coefficient (and, thus, the reliability) can range between 0 and 1, with values close to 0 indicating poor reliability and values close to 1 indicating perfect reliability. Reliability will be high if the between-person variation is relatively high compared to the within-person variation. The within-person variation of most biomarkers is obviously time-dependent in that it will generally increase (and, thus, reliability will decrease) over longer time periods. For disease prediction it is generally of interest to study biomarkers that reflect long-term exposure and thus have a high reliability, since low reliability will attenuate the associations with biomarkers (e.g., with disease risk) toward the null.

Most of the obesity biomarkers discussed within this article have high reliability (Table 1). Surprisingly, even CRP – as an acute phase protein which concentrations increase sharply during acute infections – has a high reliability, although for disease prediction it is generally recommended to disregard CRP levels greater than 10 mg/L since such levels may indicate acute infections [21]. Taken together, these data support the use of these biomarkers in epidemiologic studies, although reliability maybe somewhat limited for IL-6.

5. Predictors of obesity biomarkers

5.1. Obesity

At first glance it may be surprising to look for predictors of obesity biomarkers, since obesity should ob-
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Correlation of obesity biomarkers with BMI in population-based studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biomarker</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sTNF-R1</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sTNF-R2</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adiponectin</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>(58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistin</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>(106)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetuin-A</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>(128)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptin</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>(175)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data for sTNF-R1, sTNF-R2, IL-6, CRP, and leptin are from combined analyses of women from the Nurses’ Health Study and men from the Health Professionals Follow-up Study. Data for adiponectin are from analyses of men from the Health Professionals Follow-up Study. Data for resistin and fetuin-A are from combined analyses of men and women from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition-Potsdam study.

Or, more precisely, how is obesity defined? Practically speaking the answer is straightforward. Currently, obesity is defined as a BMI $\geq 30.0$ kg/m$^2$ [138]. However, with the exception of leptin, the correlation of BMI with most obesity biomarkers is at best only moderate (Table 2). In other words, BMI explains only a small proportion of the variance (up to 16%) of most of the biomarkers discussed here. One may argue that the metabolic activity of different types of adipose tissue may vary; for example, it is well known that visceral adipose tissue is metabolically more active than subcutaneous adipose tissue [139]. In line with these differences, within the past years it became clear that the distribution of body fat is another key determinant of disease; thus, the measurement of waist circumference (and, although less popular, hip circumference and calculation of the waist to hip ratio) was suggested to more appropriately assess the risk of disease than BMI, and cut-off points for waist circumference of 102 cm in men and 88 cm in women have been suggested to define abdominal obesity [138]. However, even these anthropometric measures may be crude and include substantial measurement error to quantify the relevant obesity trait of interest. Thus, identification of biomarkers which quantitate metabolically active adipose may be the best way to define an ‘obesity phenotype’ that is relevant for chronic disease risk. The question then is: what are the determinants of that obesity phenotype, i.e., what are the predictors of these biomarkers beyond BMI?

5.2. Genetic factors

Genetic variation in biomarker levels may be caused directly by variants within genes that encode these biomarkers or indirectly via variants within genes that encode factors on a biomarker related pathway. Consequently, a large variety of genetic variants have been proposed to be related to obesity biomarkers, and a detailed description of these variants is beyond the scope of this review but can be found elsewhere [37,140]. For CRP and adiponectin, a number of variants within the CRP and the adiponectin gene have been shown to be related to blood CRP and adiponectin levels, although most of these SNPs have not been shown to be related to risk of CVD [36,37,140–143]. Recently, a few SNPs in the resistin gene have been identified to be related to resistin levels and to glucose levels [144], but in general, the relevance of SNPs in the resistin gene for the risk of CVD is unclear. Similarly, the role of SNPs in the IL-6 gene and the fetuin-A gene for variations in biomarker levels are currently unclear [145].

Besides variants in the genes that encode the biomarker, there is interest as to whether variants that affect the degree of adiposity (as measured by BMI) are also related to obesity biomarkers [146]. For example, recently, it was shown that variation at the fat-mass and obesity-associated (FTO) gene locus – a gene locus that had been reported to be associated with increased body fat [147–149] – was also related to variations in blood CRP concentrations [150]. Interestingly, when further adjusted for BMI or waist circumference, the FTO SNP remained significantly related with CRP concentrations, suggesting that differences in BMI or waist circumference may not account for this association [150]. One may speculate whether adipose tissue sites that are more sensitive to infiltration of immune cells might be expanded preferentially in carriers of the variant FTO allele; however, as with any genetic study, these findings need confirmation before conclusions can be drawn.

Overall, most of the genetic variants studied so far explained only very small proportions in the variation of biomarker levels, and many association studies provided inconsistent results. For example, although the association was statistically significant, variation in the FTO gene explained less than 1% of variation in plasma CRP levels [150].

5.3. Drugs

A number of drugs have been reported to affect the plasma levels of the obesity biomarkers discussed herein. Thus, cyclooxygenase inhibitors, platelet aggregation inhibitors, lipid lowering agents, $\beta$-adrenoreceptor antagonists and antioxidants (vitamin E), some an-
giotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs), as well as some thiazolidinediones have all been shown to reduce serum levels of CRP [151]. The effect is best established for the hydroxymethylglutarylcoenzyme A reductase inhibitor (statins) where the CRP-lowering effect is more pronounced than their lipid lowering effect [34,151]. Similarly, the thiazolidinediones, which are used clinically to treat patients with type 2 diabetes, are among the most established modulators of plasma adiponectin levels [43]. They activate the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPAR-γ), upregulate the production of adiponectin and increase its plasma concentrations [43]. Currently, it is less clear which drugs affect circulating levels of resistin and fetuin-A.

5.4. Dietary and lifestyle factors

Studying the association of dietary and lifestyle factors with circulating biomarker levels is of particular importance because the identification of dietary or lifestyle determinants may offer opportunities for the modification of adverse biomarker profiles on a population level using relatively easy measures, especially when compared with other, more expensive interventions, such as drug treatment. Among dietary factors, the effect of intake of different types of fatty acids on the development of CVD has been of considerable interest [152]. Thus, it is well established that intake of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) favorably affects CVD, while intake of trans fatty acids increases the risk; however, the underlying mechanisms for these observations are only poorly understood and can only partly be explained by changes in blood lipid levels [152]. Intake of PUFAs has long been hypothesized to affect inflammation in humans, because these fatty acids cannot be synthesized de novo by human metabolism (although they can be modified and elongated) but are required for the synthesis of eicosanoids (including thromboxanes, prostaglandins, and leukotrienes), which are involved in inflammatory processes [153]. Both \( n-3 \) and \( n-6 \) PUFAs are substrates for human eicosanoid production, and they share the same enzymes for the synthesis of prostaglandins and leukotrienes. Eicosanoids derived from \( n-3 \) fatty acids have fewer inflammatory properties than those derived from \( n-6 \) fatty acids, and it was thus proposed that the ratio of \( n-3 \) to \( n-6 \) fatty acid intake may be crucial to inflammatory processes [154]. It was shown that the \( n-3 \) fatty acids eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) were in fact inversely related to plasma levels of sTNF-R1 and sTNF-R2 and somewhat less so for CRP [155]. Interestingly, though, upon further analyses this association was stronger among individuals with high intake of \( n-6 \) fatty acids as compared to those with lower \( n-6 \) intake, suggesting that the combination of both types of fatty acids is associated with the lowest levels of inflammation [155], which is in contrast to the customary assumption that high intake of \( n-6 \) fatty acids antagonizes the anti-inflammatory effects of \( n-3 \) fatty acids. In contrast to these presumably beneficial effects of \( n-3 \) and \( n-6 \) fatty acids, it was shown that intake of trans fatty acids is positively associated with markers of systemic inflammation [156]. Thus, the modulation of inflammatory cytokines may be among the possible mechanisms for the observed effects of fatty acid intake on CVD.

Alcohol consumption is another dietary factor that has received substantial attention with regard to its effect on CVD risk, as moderate alcohol consumption is known to be associated with a decreased risk of CVD [157]. It was estimated that half of this benefit may be explained through beneficial effects on lipids [158,159]. Interestingly, several studies have shown that moderate alcohol consumption is also related to lower inflammatory marker levels [160–162] and to higher levels of adiponectin [163,164]. These associations have largely been confirmed in experimental studies [165–169], suggesting that they may partly account for the association of moderate alcohol consumption with lower CVD risk [159].

Studies also suggest that the quality and quantity of carbohydrate intake may be related to obesity markers and to cardiovascular risk. For example, it was shown that a carbohydrate-rich diet with a high glycemic load is associated with lower adiponectin concentrations [163], higher levels of CRP [170], and with a higher risk of CHD [171].

Among lifestyle factors, physical activity has been identified as a key measure to reduce the risk of CVD [172]. Several observational and intervention studies have shown that physical activity is related to lower circulating inflammatory marker levels and to higher adiponectin levels [173–176]. However, it is unclear to what extent this association is accounted for by differences in body weight or body fat mass between individuals who are active and those who are inactive. For example, in a randomized controlled trial, Esposito et al. [174] found in obese women that an intervention consisting of a reduction in energy intake, adherence to an AHA recommended Mediterranean diet [177], and an increase in physical activity compared to a con-
trol group over a 2-year period reduced mean CRP and IL-6 levels by 0.8 mg/L and 1.1 pg/mL, respectively, while adiponectin levels increased by 2.2 µg/mL. However, within that period, mean BMI levels decreased by 4 kg/m², and it is therefore unclear whether changes in biomarker levels were accounted for by changes in BMI, or whether the intervention contributed to the changes in biomarker levels beyond its effects on BMI. In a combined analysis of the Health Professionals Follow-up Study and the Nurses’ Health Study it was shown that after further adjustment for BMI and leptin levels, as a surrogate for fat mass, the inverse association of physical activity with systemic inflammation was no longer significant, suggesting that the association can partially be explained by a lower degree of obesity in physically active subjects [175].

6. Summary and conclusions

During the past years, a number of obesity biomarkers has been identified, which encompass a relatively heterogeneous group of substances, some of which are directly secreted by the adipose tissue (the “adipokines”) and some through indirect pathways related to the degree of adiposity. These findings support the view that the adipose tissue as an endocrine organ is involved in a hormonal network that may affect several different functions in the body. As more and more adipose-tissue-derived cytokines and hormones are being discovered, the complexity of the endocrine network of which these mediators are a part becomes more and more apparent. Regarded initially as markers mainly related to weight regulation and insulin resistance, it has become clear that several obesity hormones may be involved in a variety of functions and diseases, including cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and inflammatory diseases.

Biomarkers may be used in epidemiology on a mechanistic level to help clarify the relationship between exposure and disease but also on a public health level to help identify individuals at risk for disease. Many observational studies have shown that CRP levels are related to risk of CVD, independent of traditional risk factors, which is in line with the hypothesis that systemic inflammation causally contributes to the development of CVD. The evidence, however, is less clear for other inflammatory markers since observational studies provided somewhat inconsistent results. Adiponectin has been shown to be inversely related to the incidence of CHD, but in many studies this association was attenuated and no longer significant after adjustment for other risk factors, particularly after adjustment for circulating HDL cholesterol levels and the presence of diabetes. Since adiponectin is likely to be involved in the regulation of lipid and glucose metabolism, these findings suggest that most of the effect of adiponectin on cardiovascular risk (if causal) may be mediated by its effects on lipid or glucose metabolism. Based on animal studies, resistin was initially proposed to be involved in the development of insulin resistance, but recent results suggest that in humans it may be closer related to inflammatory processes and potentially to the development of CHD. Fetuin-A was discovered as a rather specific marker of fat accumulation in the liver that is also related to risk of type 2 diabetes and CVD; however, its role in the development of CVD needs to be established. What is noteworthy is that at least some of the obesity biomarkers discussed herein are related to risk of CVD even after adjusting for anthropometric parameters, such as BMI and waist circumference, suggesting that these biomarkers may be used to better define the obesity phenotype that is relevant for cardiovascular risk.

With regard to improving the identification of individuals at risk for CVD, many open questions remain. One of the foremost questions is how to adequately evaluate whether or not a marker improves the prediction of CVD [178]. Traditionally, prediction was evaluated using the c-statistic (which is a measure of discrimination that is analogous to the area under the receiver operating characteristics curve and – assuming a prospective setting – provides the probability that a prediction model assigns a higher risk to those who develop disease compared to those who do not) or the c-index (which is analogous to the c-statistic for censored data) [179]. However, the c-statistic is very insensitive to the addition of variables to a regression model even when they are established risk factors. As such, additional parameters have been proposed to assess whether a biomarker may improve the prediction of disease [178]. The majority of obesity biomarkers provide probably only little increment in the c-statistic when added to traditional cardiovascular risk factors to predict CVD risk, as it is the case for most other markers. However, despite little changes in discrimination the addition of biomarkers may improve the classification of persons into risk categories, as has been shown for CRP [25]. Clearly, more research is necessary to assess to what extent these biomarkers may improve the prediction of CVD.

If biomarkers are identified as being causally involved in the development of CVD then important ques-
Fig. 2. Relevance of cardiovascular biomarkers in the prevention of cardiovascular diseases. Primary prevention aims to avoid or delay the occurrence of disease by recommending preventive factors. More specifically, primary prevention is intended to prevent disease by detecting and treating (intermediary) risk factors [180]. Primordial prevention (also called “health promotion”) aims to avoid or delay the occurrence of (intermediary) risk factors [180]. Biomarkers therefore form an integral part as intermediary risk factors in the concept of primary and primordial prevention.

The extent of improvement in CVD prediction by these markers – before measurement of these biomarkers may be recommended on a public health level.
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