Effects of six functional SNPs on the urinary 8-isoprostane level in a general Japanese population; Shimane COHRE Study
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Abstract. Oxidative stress is an important risk factor for cardiovascular diseases. Although a variety of genetic factors are assumed to contribute to the regulation of oxidative stress, evidence in human populations is insufficient. In this study, we therefore evaluated the effects of six functional single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) on the oxidative stress under a cross-sectional study design. Participants of the health examination in two neighboring counties were recruited in a mountainous region of Shimane prefecture, Japan ($n = 1092$). As a marker for the oxidative stress, the urinary 8-isoprostane (IsoP) was measured by ELISA. The six SNPs were genotyped using the Taqman method. None of the SNPs showed a significant effect on the IsoP level. However, the Generalized Multiple Dimensionality Reduction (GMDR) method identified that the combination of the two SNPs, MTHFR C677T and eNOS T-786C, showed a significant effect on the IsoP level in this population. The linear regression analysis confirmed that the high risk genotype identified in the GMDR was an independent factor influencing the IsoP even after adjustment of confounding factors. This result suggested that GMDR analysis might be useful to identify concealed effects of combined SNPs.
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1. Introduction

Oxidative stress is one of important risk factors for various cardiovascular diseases [1]. Many environmental and genetic factors are suggested to influence the oxidative stress, which, in turn, are inferred in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis, coronary heart diseases and cerebrovascular diseases [2–4].

Functional SNPs have been identified in the genes encoding enzymes regulating oxidative stress response [5–10]. Although the biological significance of those SNPs was confirmed in functional assays evaluating the promoter and the enzyme activity as well as quantity of the mRNA and of the enzyme, relative lack of information on the effects of such SNPs on the level of oxidative stress in the human population is apparent.

In this study, we therefore performed a cross-sectional analysis on effects of SNPs on the urinary 8-
isoprostane (IsoP) level, a marker for oxidative stress, to examine a hypothesis that some functional SNPs affect oxidative stress in the general population. IsoP is an isomer of prostaglandin F2α, which is generated through non-enzymatic peroxidation of arachidonic acid by the oxidative stress [11]. This substance is stable in the urine and is a good marker for the oxidative stress under the epidemiological study design [12–15].

Six functional SNPs were evaluated in the study; they are T-107C and Q192R in the paraoxonase 1 (PON1), T-786C in the endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS), C677T in the methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR), G994T in the lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2 (LpPLA2) and C242T in the p22phox gene, all of which were shown to regulate either the promoter activity (eNOS T-786C and PON1 T-107C), the enzyme activity (PON1 Q192R, LpPLA2 G994T and p22phox C242T), or the stability of the enzyme (MTHFR C677T) in the previous studies [5–10]. In addition to analyzing individual SNPs as independent risk factors, we evaluated gene-gene interaction among the SNPs studied. To accomplish this, Generalized Multifactor Dimensionality Reduction (GMDR) analysis was employed, which is a statistical method newly introduced to deal with complex gene-gene interaction [16].

We report here that, while no individual SNPs had significant effects, the combination of the 2 SNPs showed a significant effect on the IsoP level.

2. Methods

2.1. Subjects

Participants were recruited from the health examinations performed in two neighboring counties (K and M counties) in a rural area of Shimane Prefecture, Japan in 2006 and 2007. Smoking and drinking behavior, as well as the history of treatment of hypertension (HT), hyperlipidemia and diabetes mellitus (DM) were obtained in the interview. Blood pressure was measured with automatic sphygmomanometers after at least 15-min rest in a sitting position. All the participants agreed to give a written informed consent. This study conformed with the Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki), and was approved by the ethical committee of Shimane University School of Medicine.

2.2. Laboratory examinations

Blood and urine samples were collected after overnight fasting. Biochemical markers were measured in plasma or serum using an automated biochemical analyzer. Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated using a formula recommended by the Japanese Society of Nephrology [17]. Urine samples were kept frozen at −80°C until the measurement of IsoP. The measurement of IsoP was done using an ELISA kit commercially available (Oxford Biomedical Research, Rochester Hills, MI, USA). Although gas chromatography mass spectrometry is the golden standard to measure IsoP, it is quite difficult to apply this method to the measurement of a thousand samples because it is too labor-intensive and time-consuming. The urinary IsoP level was standardized with urinary creatinine.

2.3. SNP typing

SNP typing was done by the Taqman method following the protocol provided by the supplier (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Primers used in the analysis were listed in the supplement table. All the samples were genotyped twice to check the reproducibility. Subjects either with a low signal intensity or with discrepant genotypes between the two results were excluded from the analysis. Excluded subjects were less than 3% for each SNP.

2.4. Statistics

Mean and SEM or 95% confidence interval are shown for the measures. As the IsoP level, triglyceride (TG), high-sensitive C-reactive protein (CRP) and homeostasis model assessment-insulin resistance (HOMA-R) were highly skewed from the normal distribution, log-transformed values were used in the analysis. The Student’s t-test, the contingency table analysis, the simple regression analysis with Pearson’s r and ANOVA were used in the univariate analyses when they were appropriate. The linear regression analysis was performed on the potential factors influencing the IsoP levels. All analyses were performed using JMP v.8.0 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC, USA).

2.5. GMDR analysis

Effects of interaction among the 6 SNPs were tested using GMDR, which is an extended version of MDR [18,19]. The basic concept of MDR is to reduce
Table 1
Demographic data of the two populations studied

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>K county</th>
<th>M county</th>
<th>p*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sex (F/M)</td>
<td>422/257</td>
<td>273/140</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age</td>
<td>71.0 [70.3, 71.7]</td>
<td>67.2 [66.4, 68.1]</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, Kg/m²</td>
<td>22.6 [22.3, 22.8]</td>
<td>22.6 [22.3, 22.9]</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP, mmHg</td>
<td>132 [130, 133]</td>
<td>132 [131, 134]</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP, mmHg</td>
<td>77 [76, 78]</td>
<td>78 [77, 79]</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-C, mg/dL</td>
<td>210 [207, 212]</td>
<td>200 [197, 203]</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C, mg/dL</td>
<td>57.7 [56.6, 58.7]</td>
<td>67.8 [66.5, 69.2]</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C, mg/dL</td>
<td>121 [119, 123]</td>
<td>119 [117, 122]</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG, mg/dL</td>
<td>93.3 [89.1, 95.9]</td>
<td>97.7 [95.5, 102]</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR, mL/min/1.73m²</td>
<td>73.2 [72.1, 74.4]</td>
<td>80.4 [78.9, 81.9]</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-R</td>
<td>0.64 [0.60, 0.68]</td>
<td>0.75 [0.70, 0.81]</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP, mg/dL</td>
<td>0.056 [0.051, 0.060]</td>
<td>0.054 [0.049, 0.060]</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IsoP, ng/mg Cre</td>
<td>1.33 [1.27, 1.39]</td>
<td>1.56 [1.48, 1.65]</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker, %</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular Drinker, %</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication for hypertension, %</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hyperlipidemia, %</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diabetes, %</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means and 95% confidence intervals of the mean are indicated for continuous variables. BMI; body-mass index, SBP; systolic blood pressure, DBP; diastolic blood pressure, T-C; total cholesterol, HDL-C; high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL-C; low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, TG; triglyceride, eGFR; estimated glomerular filtration rate, HOMA-R; homeostasis model assessment-insulin resistant, CRP; C-reactive protein, IsoP; 8-isoprostane, * by Student’s t-test or χ² test.

In the present study, because the averaged IsoP level differed significantly between the two populations, the IsoP level of individual subject was standardized in each county and GMDR was performed on the combined population. Covariates used in the calculation of the score were sex, age, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), log HOMA-R, eGFR, the presence of DM, and the smoking and the drinking histories, all of which showed independent effects on the IsoP level at p < 0.1 in the initial linear regression analysis. Statistical significance of the models was examined in a 1000-times permutation test.

3. Results

Table 1 summarizes the demographic data of the two populations studied. The subjects from M county were significantly younger than those from K county. Of interest, The IsoP level was greater in M county than in K county. HOMA-R, eGFR, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), TG and the incidence of regular drinkers were greater, and incidence of hypertensives with medication were less in M county.

Genotype and allele frequencies of the SNPs examined were shown in Table 2. All the genotype frequencies were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. The genotype and allele frequencies were not significantly different between the two regions studied. Allele frequencies of the four SNPs in the p22phox, eNOS, LpPLA2 and PON1 genes in these populations were similar to those in the public database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/SNP) and/or in the previous reports [20–22]. In contrast, the both populations had substantially
greater frequency of the minor allele for MTHFR C677T, when compared with those in a previous report on Japanese [23]. This discrepancy may be explained by the fluctuation due to a small size of the population examined in the previous study or by a regional drift in the allele frequency; the population employed in this study is from a relatively isolated mountainous region with little population movement. Anyway, the genotypes examined in the two independent populations gave a similar allele frequencies for all the six SNPs, which suggested that the allele frequencies obtained in the present study were reliable.

Age was significantly correlated with IsoP in a univariate analysis ($r = 0.082$, $p = 0.03$ and $r = 0.13$, $p = 0.009$ in K and M counties, respectively). HDL-C, LDL-C and eGFR showed modest but significant correlations with IsoP level in one of the two populations (data not shown). None of the SNPs had significant effects on the IsoP level either in the two populations by ANOVA (data not shown).

As the significant effects of the SNPs were not detected in the initial analysis, the GMDR analysis was performed on the combined K and M population to examine the combined effects of the 6 SNPs. As shown in Table 3, permutation tests indicated that combination of the two (eNOS T-786C and MTHFR C677T) and of the three SNPs (eNOS T-786C, MTHFR C677T and LpPLA2 G994T) gave significant and marginally significant effects on the IsoP level ($p = 0.033$ and 0.076, respectively). Other combinations did not show significant effects on the IsoP level.

The panel A and B of Fig. 1 show combinations of the genotype categorized as ‘high’ and ‘low’ risk for the two SNPs model (eNOS · MTHFR) and for the three SNPs model (eNOS · MTHFR · Lp-PLA2), respectively, and their effects on the IsoP level in the two populations (the right panels of Fig. 1).

Multiple linear regression models were constructed including the results of GMDR analysis (Table 4). Both combinations of the 2 and 3 SNPs remained to be independent factors influencing the IsoP level after adjustment of potential confounding factors.

4. Discussion

In this study, effects of 6 functional SNPs on the isoP level were examined in two general populations in a rural area of Japan. The urinary IsoP level is thought to be a good marker for the oxidative stress in population studies because IsoP is a stable substance in the urine even under the room temperature [11]. Further, several reports indicated that the urinary IsoP level was a risk factor for cardiovascular diseases, such as cerebrovascular disease and myocardial infarction [13,14].

Six SNPs examined here were indicated to influence either the amount of enzymes (PON1 T-107C, eNOS T-786C and MTHFR C677T) or the enzyme activity (PON1 Q192R, LpPLA2 G994T and p22phox C242T) [5–10]. As these enzymes may regulate the oxidative stress either directly (p22phox [24]) or indirectly through generating and degrading anti-oxidative and oxidative substances such as oxidized lipids (PON1 and LpPLA2 [25,26]), nitric oxide (eNOS [6]) and homocysteine (MTHFR [27]), the SNPs examined here were good candidates for potential regulators of the oxidative stress in vivo.

In spite of such expectation, the results of the present study indicated that these SNPs had no major independent effects on the oxidative stress in general populations. This may be due to small allelic effects of the SNPs on the isoP level; as the oxidative stress is regulated by complex mechanisms in which many molecules...
Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of SNPs combined</th>
<th>The best model</th>
<th>Test accuracy</th>
<th>CVC</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>eNOS·MTHFR</td>
<td>0.548</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>LpPLA2·eNOS·MTHFR</td>
<td>0.538</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>LpPLA2·eNOS·MTHFR·PON1 Q192R</td>
<td>0.506</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>LpPLA2·eNOS·MTHFR·PON1 Q192R·PON1 T-107C</td>
<td>0.492</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CVC: cross-validation consistency. P values were obtained through a permutation test (1000 times permutation).

Fig. 1. The summary of GMDR analysis. Effects of the combination of the two SNPs (MTHFR C677T and eNOS T-786C) and of the three SNPs (MTHFR C677T, eNOS T-786C and LpPLA2 G994T) were summarized in the panel (A) and (B), respectively. Columns and numbers above the columns indicated the sum of ‘positive’ and ‘negative’ scores in each cell (equal each combination of genotypes). Positive and negative scores represent above and below the averaged score (=0), respectively. Cells (= genotype combinations) are categorized into ‘high’ and ‘low’ risk when the sum of the score is above and below zero, respectively. For example, in the panel (A), the combination of AA (eNOS) and CC (MTHFR) is categorized as ‘low risk’ because the sum of the score is below zero (75.5–92.2 < 0). Cells in dark and light gray indicate a ‘high’ and ‘low’ risk combination of the genotypes, respectively. Cells in white are empty. IsoP levels in the ‘high’ and ‘low’ risk genotypes were compared in the two populations in the right panels.

are involved [3], contribution of each enzyme might be small or, in another word, functional deficiency in one enzyme might be compensated by another. Among the six genes examined, the T allele of the p22phox C242T showed a substantial recessive effect on the IsoP level although it did not reach a significant level (CC; 1.31 ± 0.03, CT; 1.48 ± 0.09, TT; 0.99 ± 0.29 ng/mg Cre, p = 0.06, in the subjects of K county). This negative observation might be due to a low frequency of the TT homozygotes even though we employed 1000 subjects. Using more subjects may be able to establish a significant role of this SNP on the IsoP level.

In contrast to the analysis of effects of individual SNPs, GMDR analysis identified the combinations of SNPs that significantly influenced the IsoP level. GMDR was introduced by Lou et al. as an extended version of MDR [16], which could reduce the complexity substantially [16,18,19]. Further, GMDR has additional advantages over MDR; GMDR can deal with quantitative phenotypes and with unbalanced case-control samples [16]. These aspects of GMDR made it possible to analyze a quantitative phenotype under a cross-sectional study design. With GMDR, all of 57 sets of the 6 SNPs (15 pairs, 20 trios, 15 quartets, 6 quintets...
and 1 sextet) were analyzed in this study, which is not practical when a parametric analysis is used.

As pointed out by Ritchie et al., however, it is often difficult to deduce underlying physiological mechanisms how the genotypes interact to (and not to) impose effects on the target phenotypes [18]. In the present case, it is difficult as well to interpret how the combination of the SNPs in the eNOS, MTHFR and LpPLA2 gene affect the isoP level. The homocysteine level was reported to be associated with the level of asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA) [28]. As ADMA is an endogenous inhibitor of NOS [29], this might explain the combined effect of these SNPs. Meanwhile, LpPLA2 might contribute to the IsoP level through releasing this substance from the oxidized phospholipids [30].

Recent advance in large-scale genome-wide association analyses identified many SNPs relating to multifactorial diseases or affecting quantitative phenotypes [31, 32]. In spite of that, the effects of SNPs are often too small to explain total genetic variance even if all the effects are additively combined [33,34]. In this context, it is necessary to investigate gene-gene and gene-environmental interactions to elucidate whether they can complement the small effects of SNPs [35]. The present study suggested that GMDR is one of promising analytical methods to be applied in such studies.

The linear regression analysis confirmed that the combinations of SNPs were independent factors influencing the IsoP level (Table 4). From the point of epidemiological view, however, the effects were quite small, and further, the regression model itself explained only a small part of the variation of the IsoP level. This may suggest that other unknown factors have major effects on the IsoP level. We have to point out, however, that IsoP was measured in spot urine samples in this study, which might be more susceptible to environmental noises. Better strategies for the urine collection such as 24-hour urine collection and/or better markers for oxidative stress are necessary to be employed to solve this problem. In addition, the present results need to be confirmed in another large population.

It is of interest that there was a clear difference in the IsoP level between the two counties. The result of the multiple linear regression analysis indicated that this regional difference was significant even after several confounding factors were adjusted (see Table 4). This implied that other unknown factors such as food, social capitals and physical activities, affected the IsoP level in the two counties. As the two counties are closely located with each other in a mountainous area of Japan, it is interesting what difference in the life style might be responsible for this observation. Further investigations on this issue are warranted.
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