Prognostic markers in patients with ascites and hepatorenal syndrome
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1. Introduction

Cirrhosis is a progressive liver disorder characterized by a distorted liver architecture due to fibrosis which eventually leads to portal hypertension. It is a common cause of mortality accounting for over 26,000 deaths annually in the United States \cite{1}. The natural course of patients with cirrhosis is frequently complicated by the accumulation of fluid in the peritoneal space in the form of ascites. This is caused by an abnormal regulation of extracellular fluid volume which leads to alterations in renal function with renal sodium retention, solute-free water retention, and renal vasoconstriction. These changes are responsible for fluid accumulation in the form of ascites, dilutional hyponatremia and hepatorenal syndrome (HRS) respectively. Ascites is the most common complication of cirrhosis and poses and increased risk for infections, renal failure and mortality. Patients with cirrhosis and ascites have a poor prognosis and it is estimated that nearly half of these individuals will die in approximately 5 years without liver transplantation. Hypervolemic hyponatremia and HRS occur later and confer an even a worse prognosis. This article reviews common prognostic markers and models in cirrhotic patients with ascites, hypervolemic hyponatremia and HRS.

2. Ascites

Ascites is defined as a pathological accumulation of free fluid in the peritoneal cavity. The development of ascites in a patient with cirrhosis defines a milestone as it is a condition associated with poor prognosis. Patients with compensated cirrhosis have a 30% risk of developing ascites at 5 years. Those that develop ascites have a probability of survival of 85% at 1 year and 56% at 5 years if they do not receive liver transplantation \cite{2}. However, individual survival varies according to the degree of sodium retention, response to diuretics or associated complications (i.e. hemorrhage, infections or hepatocellular carcinoma). It is considered that patients with a first onset of ascites have better survival than those with previous episodes of ascites \cite{3}. Additionally, patients with mild to moderate ascites (who have good response to treatment) have a better prognosis than patients with refractory ascites. The development of refractory ascites, characterized by an inability to resolve ascites with standard medical treatment, is associated with short term mortality and is a marker of poor prognosis with survival rate of about 50% at one year \cite{4}. A number of factors associated with poor prognosis have been identified in patients with cirrhosis and ascites (Table 1). The most important factors in the prediction of poor prognosis are high Child-Pugh scores, increased serum creatinine, hyponatremia, intense sodium retention (urine sodium less than 10 mEq/day), and low arterial pressure \cite{5}. These factors are usually present in advanced liver dis-
ease. Furthermore, it has been described that ascites related variables such as the ascitic fluid protein concentration and previous episodes of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (SBP) add prognostic information to the Child Pugh Score [4,6]. A low total protein concentration in the ascitic fluid (< 15 gm/L) is associated with an increased risk of SBP and in selected patients may indicate a need for antibiotic prophylaxis with oral quinolones to reduce the risk of SBP and HRS [6].

3. Liver function

A number of studies have shown that parameters of liver function correlate with prognosis and may be useful in clinical practice to estimate survival in the general population of patients with cirrhosis [7,8]. It is therefore not surprising that some liver function tests have a strong prognostic value in patients with cirrhosis and ascites. An increased serum bilirubin level or reduced serum albumin level is associated with a short survival in these patients [9,10]. By contrast, prothrombin activity has no predictive value in patients with ascites [5, 9,10]. This lack of predictive value may be due to the fact that the prolongation of prothrombin time in patients with cirrhosis occurs very late in the evolution of the disease. In other disease states such as primary biliary cirrhosis or primary sclerosing cholangitis bilirubin levels in conjunction with albumin are considered very good markers of prognosis [11].

4. Circulatory function

The development of systemic hemodynamic disturbances in cirrhotic patients leads to effective hypovolemia, arterial hypotension, overactivity of vasoconstrictor systems including the renin-angiotensin system and non-osmotic hypersecretion of arginine vasopressin (AVP). This circulatory dysfunction in patients with cirrhosis and ascites also correlates with survival and is a marker of poor prognosis [5]. Patients with low arterial pressure (mean arterial pressure ≤ 82 mmHg) have a poor prognosis compared to patients with normal arterial pressure [5,9]. Recent studies have shown that, in addition to the vascular disturbances, a relative inadequacy of cardiac output contributes to the renal hypoperfusion mainly in advanced HRS. Recent trials have shown that patients with ascites and a cardiac index below 1.5 l/min/m2 had a poorer survival at 3, 9, and 12 months than those with a cardiac index above 1.5 l/min/m2 [12]. The activity of vasoconstrictor systems also has prognostic value in cirrhosis with ascites. Approximately 30% of patients with cirrhosis and ascites have normal levels of plasma renin activity and aldosterone. These patients have a better survival compared to patients with abnormal values of these parameters[5,9,13]. Patients with increased plasma renin activity and increased aldosterone and norepinephrine levels also have a high probability of developing HRS [14,15].

5. Renal function

Renal dysfunction in cirrhosis is a consequence of circulatory disturbance, characterized by a low systemic vascular resistance and decreased effective arterial volume which leads to renal vasoconstriction and HRS [14,16,17]. The severities of renal and circulatory dysfunction are well established prognostic factors in patients with cirrhosis and ascites. In fact sodium retention, a highly prevalent renal function abnormality of cirrhosis, is associated with reduced survival [13]. Sodium excretion should ideally be measured in patients on a low-sodium diet of 70–90 mEq/day during 5–7 days and off diuretics. This parameter may indicate prognosis in patients with cirrhosis and ascites [5, 9]. Those who have a sodium excretion greater than

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Adverse prognostic factors in cirrhosis with ascites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exploratory findings:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence of hepatomegaly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor nutritional status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous ascites</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low arterial pressure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophageal varices</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver tests</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High serum bilirubin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low serum albumin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal tests</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilutional hyponatremia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low urine sodium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased serum creatinine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced water excretion after water load</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circulatory abnormalities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low arterial blood pressure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High plasma renin activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High plasma aldosterone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High plasma norepinephrine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Measurements of renal and hormonal function should be obtained after a minimum of 4 days on a low-sodium diet and without diuretics.
sodium intake have a good prognosis, but patients with a markedly reduced sodium excretion (< 10meq/L) in relation with their intake have a poor outcome [5,9,13, 18] (Fig. 1).

An impaired ability to excrete solute-free water correlates with long-term prognosis in cirrhosis with ascites because it reflects the intensity of neurohumoral and circulatory dysfunction present in these patients [9, 19]. Patients with preserved renal ability to excrete free water have a better survival than patients with markedly impaired water excretion [9,19]. The predictive value of water excretion in the evaluation of long-term survival was confirmed in a large series of cirrhotic patients admitted to a single institution for the treatment of ascites [9]. Survival estimates for patients with normal diuresis (> 8 mL/min) after a water load (20 mL/kg body weight of 5% dextrose IV) at 1, 5 and 10 years of follow-up were 85, 41 and 32%. Corresponding values for patients with moderately reduced (3–8 mL/min) or severely-reduced (< 3 mL/min) diuresis after water load were only 55, 26 and 13%, and 37, 13 and 3%, respectively. In this study, water excretion was the parameter with the strongest prognostic value compared to other parameters assessed.

Renal failure in cirrhosis is defined as an increase in serum creatinine > 1.5 mg/dl (20). Renal function as assessed with serum creatinine is an important marker of prognosis in patients with advanced cirrhosis. In fact the current allocation system of liver transplantation in the United States and other countries includes serum creatinine as a variable in the Model for End-Stage Liver Disease (MELD) scoring system. Renal function can be estimated by assessing glomerular filtration rate (GFR) either with the serum creatinine level, formulas that estimate GFR, or direct clearance methods with exogenous markers (21–23). However the most widely used parameter to estimate GFR in clinical practice is serum creatinine (21). Slight increases in serum creatinine (from 1.2 to 1.5 mg/dl) are indicative of reductions in GFR and are associated with reduced survival. However, serum creatinine is highly influenced by factors such as decreased muscle mass and protein intake, so it can overestimate renal function in patients with cirrhosis [22,23]. Overestimation of renal function occurs more often in patients with a very low GFR.

The etiology of renal insufficiency in patients with cirrhosis also has a prognostic value in patients with cirrhosis [24]. The most common causes of renal failure in these patients are bacterial infections and volume depletion caused by bleeding or fluid losses. Drug induced renal failure (mainly from non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and intrinsic renal diseases (mainly glomerular disease associated with alcoholic liver disease, hepatitis B or C infection or other chronic kidney diseases) are less common causes. In a recent prospective study of 562 patients admitted to a tertiary hospital for decompensated cirrhosis in a 6 year period [24], the most frequent cause of renal dysfunction was renal failure associated with infections, mainly SBP(46%), followed by hypovolemia-related renal failure (32%), HRS (13%), and parenchymal nephropathy (9%). The 3-month probability of survival for all
Table 2
Child pugh classification and model for end-stage liver disease model MELD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Child-Pugh Classification</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ascites</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encephalopathy Absent</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilirubin mg/dL &lt; 2</td>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>&gt; 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilirubin mg/dL &lt; 4</td>
<td>4–10</td>
<td>&gt; 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin gr/L &gt; 3.5</td>
<td>2.8–3.5</td>
<td>&lt; 2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INR</td>
<td>&lt; 1.7</td>
<td>1.8–2.3</td>
<td>&gt; 2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Child A: 5–6 points; Child B: 7–10 points, Child C: 10–15 points

Score Components

MELD Score* = 9.2 * \text{loge} (\text{creatinine mg/dL}) + 3.8 \text{loge} (\text{bilirubin mg/dL}) + 11.2 \text{loge} (\text{INR}) + 6.4

MELD Sodium** = \text{MELD} + 1.59 * (135 – \text{Na mEq/L})

*Values of creatinine, bilirubin, INR lower than 1 are rounded to 1. Serum creatinine values above 4 mg/dL are rounded to 4. Patients on hemodialysis are given a creatinine value of 4 mg/dL. MELD scores ranged from 6 to 40 points.

**Values of serum sodium below 120 mEq/L are rounded to 120. Values over 135 mEq/L are rounded to 135.
INR: international normalized ratio.

causes of renal insufficiency was 38% with a median survival of only 41 days. Patients with parenchymal nephropathy had the best survival (73% probability of survival at 3 months), followed by patients with hypovolemia-related renal failure, who had a 3-month probability of survival of 46%. Patients with renal failure associated with infections and those with HRS had the lowest 3-month probability of survival, which was 31 and 15%, respectively.

6. Hyponatremia

Hyponatremia is common in advanced cirrhosis and is usually related to impaired solute-free water excretion primarily due to increased circulating levels of AVP which results in a disproportionate retention of water relative to sodium. Hyponatremia in cirrhosis may be due to hypovolemia or hypervolemia. In most cases it is due to a hypervolemic state due to a non-osmotic hypersecretion of AVP. In patients with cirrhosis and ascites the risk of developing hyponatremia is 15% at 1 year with a 25% probability of survival at 1 year [25]. Data from a prospective multicenter trial in nearly 1000 patients revealed that the prevalence of hyponatremia in cirrhosis as defined by a serum sodium level ≤ 135 mEq/L was 49%: with levels ≤ 130 mEq/L, ≤ 125 mEq/L, and ≤ 120 mEq/L was 21.6%, 5.7%, and 1.2%, respectively [2]. In patients with refractory ascites or HRS, this proportion may increase up to 50% [26]. Since hypervolemic hyponatremia is complication of cirrhosis that occurs in the late stages of the disease it is associated with the development of other complications of cirrhosis. Patients with hyponatremia have more severe liver disease, worse control of their ascites, a higher rate of hepatic encephalopathy, SBP and HRS when compared with patients without hyponatremia (26,27) Regardless, both serum sodium and serum creatinine are independent prognostic factors of poor outcome in patients with cirrhosis. This is important since renal function (serum creatinine) is a variable included in the MELD scoring system for allocation of organs in liver transplantation. Hyponatremia also has clinical implications in patients undergoing LT. Patients that undergo liver transplantation with hyponatremia may be at risk for neurological complications, renal failure, and bacterial infections during the first month after transplantation and have increased 3-month mortality with respect to patients without hyponatremia [28,29].

7. Prognostic models

The two most used models to assess prognosis in decompensated cirrhosis are the Child-Pugh the MELD Score (Table 2) [30–32]. The main objective of prognostic models such as the Child-Pugh and MELD score is to provide precise information in order to make an accurate prediction of survival in a specific patient. As mentioned above, a number of variables with prognostic value, particularly those that take into account renal and circulatory function have been identified in these patients. Nonetheless only one prognostic model that includes these variables (renal capacity to excrete...
a water load, mean arterial pressure, Child-Pugh class, and serum creatinine) has been proposed, however this test has not gained acceptance and may not be easily applicable in all centers [9]. For several decades, the Child-Pugh classification has been used in clinical practice to estimate survival of patients with ascites. This classification was originally designed to estimate the risk of death in cirrhotic patients submitted to surgical portosystemic shunts for the treatment of portal hypertension [30,33,34]. This system includes variables such as ascites, encephalopathy, serum bilirubin, serum albumin, and prothrombin time. Subsequent to its application to estimate surgical risk, the use of Child-Pugh classification was validated and extended to evaluate long-term prognosis of cirrhosis [35,36]. The simplicity of the Child-Pugh classification determined its wide use as prognostic model to evaluate survival in cirrhosis. However, the Child-Pugh classification has some drawbacks that limit its use as prognostic classification for patients with ascites. First, it does not include variables of renal or circulatory function, which are known to be very important prognostic factors in these patients. Second, prothrombin time which is one of the variables included in the classification has little prognostic value in patients with ascites [5,10]. Third, the score does not distinguish patients with serum bilirubin values of 10mg/dL or 20 mg/dL or higher. Lastly, the Child-Pugh classification includes hepatic encephalopathy and ascites, two measures that are subject to a wide clinical interpretation and are much less objective. The main problem with the Child-Pugh classification is for patients that belong to the Child Pugh class B. It is well known that Child-Pugh class A patients usually show good midterm survival without transplantation unless other complications occur, while Child-Pugh class C patients are considered the conventional candidates for liver transplant. However, Child-Pugh class B patients are a heterogeneous group in which patients could remain stable for a long period or on the other hand can suddenly deteriorate into class C. Although these pitfalls were known for years, no other prognostic model of wide applicability and objective measures had been identified.

The MELD score was created in aims of better predicting survival in patients undergoing a transjugular intrahepatic shunt (TIPS) placement [32]. In this model, INR, total serum bilirubin level, serum creatinine level, and etiology of cirrhosis were used to predict survival following placement of a TIPS for any cause. This prognostic index was modified by removing the etiology and then implemented in the United States as the MELD Model to establish priority of patients awaiting liver transplantation [32]. The advantages of this system are that variables are objective and predictive. For instance, bilirubin is a robust variable also included in the Child-Pugh classification; renal dysfunction is a well-known variable associated with a poor prognosis in cirrhotic patients; and INR is the international normalized ratio for prothrombin time. The MELD model is also practical for in the risk stratification of patients undergoing TIPS, short term survival prediction of HRS and acute variceal bleeding [37–39] and risk stratification for non-transplant surgery [40,41]. MELD has advantages over Child Pugh because it includes variables related to both liver and renal function. This score also excludes subjective variables, like encephalopathy and ascites. Nevertheless, studies indicate that some subsets of patients with cirrhosis may have high mortality despite low MELD scores [42]. Although patients with ascites with severe sodium retention and dilutional hyponatremia have a poor prognosis, they may have a low MELD score if they have normal creatinine levels. Since hyponatremia and impaired solute-free water excretion are events associated to development of HRS and have been associated with increased liver-related mortality [43] the addition of serum sodium to MELD score (MELD-Na) has been proposed as better prognostic model in patients awaiting liver transplantation [44]. In a study from the USA the ability of serum sodium to add prognostic capability to the MELD score was analyzed in adult primary liver transplant candidates with cirrhosis registered for transplantation during 2005 and 2006 [45]. Both the MELD score and the serum sodium concentration were predictors of mortality and when combined into a new MELD-Na score, those patients with low MELD scores benefited most from the new scoring system. Although the most accepted prognostic model in patients with cirrhosis awaiting LT in USA and several other countries is the MELD score, the Child-Pugh class still is considered an important prognostic factor specifically in those that are being considered for surgery or another major intervention.

8. Hepatorenal syndrome

HRS is a pre-renal renal failure without any identifiable kidney pathology that occurs in patients with advanced cirrhosis [20]. Due to the lack of specific diagnostic markers, the diagnosis of HRS is currently made using criteria to exclude other causes of renal failure.
Table 3

Diagnostic criteria of hepatorenal syndrome in cirrhosis*  
1. Cirrhosis with ascites  
2. Serum creatinine > 1.5 mg/dL  
3. No improvement of serum creatinine (decrease to a level lower than 1.5 mg/dL, after at least two days off diuretics and volume expansion with albumin (1 g/kg body weight up to a maximum of 100 g/day)  
4. Absence of shock  
5. No current or recent treatment with nephrotoxic drugs  
6. Absence of signs of parenchymal renal disease, as suggested by proteinuria (> 500 mg/day) or hematuria (< 50 red blood cells per high power field), and/or abnormal renal ultrasound.


Fig. 2. Survival of patients with cirrhosis after the diagnosis of type 1 and type 2 hepatorenal syndrome.

that can occur in cirrhosis (Table 3). Patients who develop HRS have more advanced liver disease and features of circulatory dysfunction, with marked hypotension, low systemic vascular resistance, very high levels of renin activity, norepinephrine and AVP. These patients usually have low urine volume and intense sodium retention, with urine sodium ≤ 20 mEq/L. The annual incidence of HRS in patients with ascites is approximately 8% and occurs in about 10% of hospitalized patients with cirrhosis and ascites. The probability of developing HRS in patients with cirrhosis and ascites is 18% at one year and 39% at five years [14]. There are two types of HRS; in Type 1 HRS renal function deteriorates rapidly with an increase in serum creatinine to a level higher than 2.5 mg/dL in less than 2 weeks. This type of HRS is associated with a very poor prognosis without treatment with a median survival time of only 2 weeks if untreated. In Type 2 HRS there is a steady impairment of renal function and serum creatinine levels usually range between 1.5–2.5 mg/dL. Patients with Type 2 HRS have a median survival time of 6 months if not transplanted (Fig. 2). Patients with type 2 HRS may go on to develop type 1 HRS, either due to progression of disease or triggering factors such as bacterial infections.

Predictive factors associated with a greater risk of developing HRS have been described in cirrhotic patients with ascites without renal failure [14,15]. Patients with intense sodium retention (< 10 mEq/day), spontaneous dilutional hyponatremia (serum sodium < 130 mEq/L), a low mean arterial blood pressure (< 85 mmHg), decreased cardiac output (< 6.0 L/min), increased plasma renin activity, and increased aldosterone and norepinephrine levels have a high probability of developing HRS [14]. Recently it has been shown that cardiac dysfunction with reduction of cardiac index (CI) precedes the HRS [12,15]. In fact, CI is an independent predictor of development of HRS [15]. In a recent study, patients who died from type HRS 1 within 3 months had a lower CI than patients who survived this period. Patients with a cardiac output below 1.5 L/min/m² had a significant poorer 12 month survival than those with a cardiac output above the mean level [52]. Other parameters, such as the degree of liver failure, as assessed by the levels of serum bilirubin, albumin, and prothrombin time, have not been consistently shown to predict the development of type 1 HRS.

The MELD score and the type of HRS (type 1) have an independent prognostic value for survival in both
types of HRS [39]. The score can be useful in the management of patients with HRS, particularly for patients who are candidates for liver transplantation. Most patients with type 1 HRS have a MELD score $\geq 20$ [39]. A MELD score $> 20$ in patients with HRS type 2 is associated with poor outcome compared to that of patients with MELD $< 20$ so these patients should perhaps be given priority liver transplantation.

9. Summary

Patients with cirrhosis that develop ascites, hyponatremia and HRS have a poor prognosis. The prognostic factors of these complications are mainly related to the underlying circulatory dysfunction that occurs in patients with cirrhosis at an advanced stage. Other prognostic factors such as liver dysfunction are also important in the outcome of these patients. The most common prognostic models in cirrhosis are the Child-Pugh score and the MELD score, both include variables that take into account liver and renal function. However the MELD score is the most commonly used prognostic model for organ allocation in liver transplant centers. More studies are needed in order to define if other variables of circulatory and renal dysfunction may improve the prognostic capability of these models.
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