It has long been accepted that acupuncture, puncturing and scraping needles at certain points on the body, can have analgesic and anesthetic effects, as well as therapeutic effects in the treatment of various diseases. This therapy, including acupuncture anesthesia, has drawn the attention of many investigators and become a research subject of international interest around the world. Numerous studies have demonstrated that the nervous system, neurotransmitters, endogenous substances and Jingluo (meridians) may respond to needling stimulation and electrical acupuncture. An abundance of information has now accumulated concerning the neurobiological mechanisms of acupuncture, in relation to both neural pathways and neurotransmitters/hormonal factors that mediate autonomic regulation, pain relief and other therapeutics. Early studies demonstrated that the analgesic effects of electroacupuncture (EA) are mediated by opioid peptides in the periaqueductal gray. Recent evidence shows that nitric oxide plays an important role in mediating the cardiovascular responses to EA stimulation through the gracile nucleus-thalamic pathway. Other substances, including serotonin, catecholamines, inorganic chemicals and amino acids such as glutamate and α-aminobutyric acid (GABA), are proposed to mediate certain cardiovascular and analgesic effects of acupuncture, but at present their role is poorly understood. The increased interest in acupuncture health care has led to an ever-growing number of investigators pursuing research in the processes of the sense of needling touch, transduction of needling stimulation signals, stimulation parameters and placebos. In this Review, the evidence and understanding of the neurobiological processes of acupuncture research have been summarized with an emphasis on recent developments of nitric oxide mediating acupuncture signals through the dorsal medulla-thalamic pathways.
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CAM Approaches to Acupuncture

Over the last few decades there has been a widespread and increasing interest in acupuncture around the world. Investigators have demonstrated that the nervous system, neurotransmitters and endogenous substances respond to needling stimulation and electroacupuncture (EA) (1–3). The EA afferent pathways and central sites have been identified in the anterolateral tract in the spinal cord, the reticulogigantocellular nucleus, the raphe magnus, the dorsal part of the periaqueductal central gray (D-PAG), the posterior and anterior hypothalamus and the medial part of the centromedian nucleus of the thalamus (4–7). It has been established that acupuncture analgesia is mediated by opioid peptides. Recent studies have demonstrated that EA stimulation of hindlimb acupoints induces an up-regulation of neuronal nitric oxide synthase (nNOS)/NADPH diaphorase (NADPHd) expression in the gracile nucleus. Nitric oxide (NO) in the gracile nucleus mediates acupuncture signals through dorsal medulla–thalamic pathways.

Opioidergic Mechanism in the Periaqueductal Central Gray

Several reports from 1977 to 1980 demonstrated that acupuncture analgesia is blocked or reversed by naloxone, an opioid antagonist (8–10). It has also been shown that intracerebroventricular or intrathecal injection of cholecystokinin octapeptide (CCK-8), an endogenous opioid agonist, blocks analgesia induced by morphine or EA in rats (11), indicating that an opioidergic mechanism is involved in mediating acupuncture analgesia. These reports have shown that naloxone reduces or abolishes the analgesia produced by...
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manual rotation of needles or low-frequency EA in human subjects with experimental or chronic pain (12). Furthermore, naloxone reduced or abolished low-frequency EA analgesia in various animals subjected to pain (8,10,11). Investigators have also demonstrated that a microinjection of naloxone into the periaqueductal gray matter and the hypothalamus abolishes the analgesic effects of acupuncture in animals (1,4). These studies not only confirm that low-frequency EA analgesia is naloxone reversible, but also show the regions of the brain involved in mediating acupuncture analgesia.

Several studies have observed that acupuncture and transcutaneous nerve stimulation has a prolonged induction and delayed recovery period (13–15). Low frequency stimulation of the somatic nerve also produces selective and long-lasting depression of the vasoconstrictor components of the midbrain-evoked cardiovascular defense response (16). It has been demonstrated that the antinociceptive effects induced by EA twice in succession are markedly enhanced in the second EA at 90 min following the first EA. The first EA effect is opioid-mediated in the periaqueductal gray and the second response to EA is a non-opioid effect (17). The sympathoinhibition and analgesia induced by low-frequency transcutaneous nerve stimulation is not antagonized by naloxone, an opioid-receptor-blocking drug (14,15). The neural pathways and neurotransmitters responsible for the non-opioid effects of EA (the long-induction time and the long-lasting effects of acupuncture) are unknown.

Nitric Oxide in the Dorsal Medulla–Thalamic Pathways

It has been demonstrated that NADPHd reactivity in the gracile nucleus is markedly increased in intact aged rats and is induced following sciatic nerve axotomy in young rats, accompanied by increased number of cells showing expression of nNOS proteins and mRNA (18,19). nNOS catalyzes the transformation of arginine to NO, which is an endogenous molecule produced in many cell types including neurons in the brain (20,21). It has been shown that NADPHd reactivity and nNOS immunoreactivity are increased in the gracile nucleus induced by either unilateral electrical stimulation of the sural nerve or by sciatic nerve injury (19,22). These studies support the previous findings that the gracile nucleus receives somatosympathetic afferent inputs and demonstrate an increase in nNOS expression in the gracile nucleus with an ipsilateral predominance induced by activation of afferent somatic cutaneous nerves. Furthermore, they reported that low-frequency EA stimulation (3 Hz) applied to the hindlimb acupuncture points (acupoints) Jinggu and Shugu (BL 64–65), which are cutaneously located, induces nNOS expression in the gracile nucleus (23). Although the functional purpose for the transganglionic and/or transsynaptic up-regulation of nNOS in the gracile nucleus requires investigation, the results suggest that EA-induced nNOS-NO in the gracile nucleus may participate in central autonomic regulation of somatosympathetic reflex (SSR) activities, which contribute to the therapeutic effects of acupuncture.

As illustrated in Fig. 1, the somatotopic organization of the gracile nucleus receiving peripheral somatosensory afferents from the hindlimb has been demonstrated with electrophysiological mapping studies and anterograde axons tracing techniques in various mammals (24–26). The gracile nucleus in the dorsal medulla receives peripheral somatosensory nociceptive afferents projecting from the hindlimb to the medulla are distributed mainly in the gracile nucleus, and synapses from the gracile nucleus, which receive somatosensory afferent inputs project to the thalamus. Acupuncture stimulation of hindlimb, similar to electrical stimulus to the tibial nerve causes NO-mediated activation of somatosympathetic reflexes in this pathway resulting in sympathoinhibition and analgesia.
have suggested that the gracile nucleus is an integration center for cutaneous and visceral information flowing into the thalamus, which plays an important role in somatic and visceral pain processing (31–33). Electrophysiological studies have identified that the somatosensory afferent inputs ascend in the paraventricular thalamic nucleus (PVT), and adjacent thalamic nuclei (34,35). The PVT with the mediodorsal thalamic nucleus plays a role in the central autonomic control of cardiovascular and other integrative functions (36,37).

It has been reported that stimulation of afferent somatic cutaneous or mixed nerves, such as the sural or the sciatic, results in increased sympathetic activity and arterial blood pressure by excitatory SSR (27,28). Early studies have suggested that synaptic transmission through the dorsal column is depressed by α-aminobutyric acid (GABA)-mediated depolarization of the gracile afferents evoked by stimulation of the dorsal column (38,39). Investigators have shown that NO in the brainstem produces baroreflex-independent inhibition of sympathetic tone and thus decreases arterial blood pressure (40,41); NO in the brainstem possesses an inhibitory function in the central regulation of somatocardiac sympathetic C-reflex (42). Recent studies have shown that L-arginine-derived NO synthesis in the gracile nucleus attenuates the cardiovascular responses to stimulus-evoked excitatory SSR and facilitates the responses to inhibitory SSR (43). We hypothesize that NO in the gracile nucleus plays an inhibitory role in central cardiovascular control through SSR regulation (Fig. 1).

Chen and Ma (44) have studied the effects of L-arginine-derived NO synthesis in the gracile nucleus on the cardiovascular responses to EA stimulation of ST36 in anesthetized Sprague–Dawley rats. Hypotensive and bradycardiac responses to EA stimulation of ST36 were significantly blocked by microinjection of lidocaine into gracile nucleus (P < 0.05, analysis of variance, n = 7/group). Parameters of stimulation: 6 V, 1 ms pulse duration, 3, 10 and 30 Hz for 10 s. [Reproduced with permission from Chen and Ma (44).]
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support the results from early studies using axonal tracing, functional assays and electrophysiology, which have demonstrated a somatosensory nerves–gracile nucleus–thalamic pathway which contributes to SSR activities (24–26,29,30). Recent studies have demonstrated that NO produces inhibitory cardiovascular regulation in the brainstem (40–42), and nNOS-NO in the gracile nucleus modifies SSR functions while gracile nNOS is induced by sensory nerve stimulation or lesion (18,19,43). EA stimulation of hindlimb acupoints consistently induces nNOS expression in the gracile nucleus, and L-arginine-derived NO synthesis in the gracile nucleus mediates cardiovascular responses to EA ST36 (23,44). However, systematic studies of the effects of NO in the gracile nucleus on analgesic responses to EA stimulation of hindlimb acupoint, including studies of other brainstem nuclei such as the cuneate nucleus to serve as a site specificity control, are required to evaluate the functional roles of the dorsal column (gracile nucleus)–thalamic pathway in transduction and modification of acupuncture signals and therapeutic effects of acupuncture.

The Descending Pain Modulatory System

It is generally accepted that multiple supraspinal sites of the descending pain modulatory system exert powerful effects on the inhibitory response of the nociceptive messages at the spinal level (47,48). The rostral ventromedial medulla (RVM), including the nucleus raphe magnus (NRM), the adjacent gigantocellularis pars alpha (NGCα) and ventral nucleus reticularis gigantocellularis (NGC), plays a crucial role in descending pain modulation (49,50). The NRM is a major source of the descending brainstem serotoninergic pathways and the pontine locus coeruleus/subcoeruleus (LC/SC) sends the descending noradrenergic projections to the spinal dorsal horn in rats (49–51). It has been demonstrated that EA inhibited Fos expression in the dorsal horn induced by mechanical noxious stimulation or hindlimb amputation (52,53) and inhibited the nociceptive response (53). Recent studies have suggested that EA-activated spinal neurons convey acupuncture signals to the brain and activate a descending inhibitory system, which in turn inhibits cFos expression in the medial area of laminae I–II in spinal cord and hyperalgesia (54,55).

Takeshige et al. (56), demonstrated that the paragigantocellular reticular nucleus in the descending adrenergic system is elicited by acupuncture stimulation since the inhibitory effects are antagonized by phentolamine (57). The paragigantocellular reticular nucleus does not contain any noradrenergic cells, therefore it must relay to a noradrenergic structure, the LC, or some other noradrenergic lower brainstem neurons whose axons project into the spinal cord. These researchers have also reported that acupuncture effects are antagonized by methysergide (56). These results suggest that acupuncture anesthesia descending through PAG is eventually serotonergic. Investigators have also reported that serotonin levels are increased in mast cells and platelets following acupuncture (58,59). These latter reports might be considered an explanation of the long lasting effects of acupuncture in addition to direct synaptic inhibition.

EA Stimulation Parameters and Placebos

Electrical stimulation has been widely used in acupuncture research on animal models and humans because it can be controlled and quantified easily, and thus it is repeatable. The effect of EA depends on stimulation parameters, which include intensity, frequency, pulse widths (duration) and time table.
**Identified Primary Afferents by Using Different EA Intensity**

The spatial organization of the central terminals of primary afferents are located in the dorsal horn: the low-threshold Aα/Aβ mechanoreceptors are distributed longitudinally in laminae III–V; the high-threshold C fibers terminate longitudinally in laminae I and II; and Aδ mechanoreceptors terminate in laminae I and V (60,61). Examination of compound action potentials in rats shows that a stimulus of 0.1 mA is sufficient for producing an Aα/Aβ wave in the dorsal root, but not Aδ wave, which requires at least 0.2 mA. Production of a C wave requires at least 1 mA (62). It is generally accepted that acupuncture/EA activates deep receptors (63), and EA-evoked effects appear to be manifested via activation of small-diameter, high-threshold primary sensory afferents, possibly C fibers (64,65). EA-induced activation of large-diameter primary afferents alone produces analgesic and antinociceptive effects (66–69).

**Stimulation Frequency**

Low frequency stimulation of acupuncture points produces arterial blood depression and analgesia in rats (13,17). Effects of low frequency EA are likely processed in the higher center, while high frequency EA effects are most likely located between supraspinal and the lower brainstem (4, 70–72). Low frequency EA activates beta-endorphin and enkephalin systems, while high frequency EA activates dynorphin systems (73). More recent studies have shown that high (100 Hz) and low (10 Hz) EA frequencies may induce similar antihyperalgesic effects (74).

**Pulse Widths (Duration) and Time Table**

Durations of 1.0 ms are frequently used in EA treatment in both animal studies and clinical observations. Recent studies suggest that higher EA current intensity is more effective than wider EA pulse width in enhancing antihyperalgesia at a given frequency (74). Acupuncture has a prolonged induction and a delayed recovery period (13,17). The antinociceptive effects induced by EA twice in succession are markedly enhanced in the second EA at 90 min following the first EA (17). Thus, 20–30 min EA is the best stimulation period; two phases stimulation is better than single stimulation and a longer EA treatment may not necessarily correlate with a better therapeutic effect.

**Placebo Effects and Sham Acupuncture**

To evaluate specific effects, choosing an appropriate control is an important issue in acupuncture research. Controls applied in acupuncture research include placebo, sham acupuncture, needling superficially, needling wrong or inadequate points, etc. In the most commonly used control treatments, needling is carried out at theoretically irrelevant sites, away from the classical acupoint locations, called ‘non-acupoints’. Depth of insertion and stimulation are the same, with the needle inserted close to acupoints and related meridian lines, but in an area that does not contain acupoints or meridian lines. This procedure, which is termed ‘non-acupoints’ or ‘sham’ acupuncture, has been used as a placebo in many studies (75–77). This method was initially assumed by most investigators to be ineffective, and therefore ideal for use as a control or placebo. However, many studies found that this control has some of the same effects as real acupuncture (75,77–81). It is clear that this control only offers information about the most effective sites of needling, not about the specific effects of acupuncture (82).

Investigators have recently designed a sham acupuncture needle (the Park Sham Needle) which does not puncture the skin, but appears to do so from the point of view of the naive patient (83). The apparatus consists of a blunted needle, with a shaft that telescopes into the handle when applied to the surface of the skin. Although the needle appears to have been inserted, it does not actually pierce the skin. In testing the efficacy of the sham acupuncture device, research has found that the procedure using the new device is indistinguishable from the same procedure using real needles in acupuncture (84). Similar to the Park Sham Needle, the Placebo Needle was designed to simulate the acupuncture needling procedure without puncturing the skin (85). In testing this new device, results demonstrated that, of 60 volunteers, 54 felt a penetration with acupuncture and 47 felt it with the placebo needle.

As the major focus on the specific effects of acupuncture, minimal acupuncture has been used as a control condition in several studies to minimize the specific effects of the needling, while maintaining the psychological impact since it is very similar to real treatment. It is possible that minimal acupuncture might have a small therapeutic effect but it is slightly more difficult to demonstrate a difference between treatment and control (82). In some sham-treated controls, the needle electrode is placed into the same acupoints with the same depth of insertion but without performing the stimulation. A needle taped on the surface of the acupoint is also used to serve as a placebo control. There is some evidence to show that certain types of acupuncture ‘placebos’ may have effects (86). It appears that evidence for the effectiveness of placebo is inadequate, and somewhat controversial.

**Conclusion**

The utilization of acupuncture and EA therapies is high and on the rise in Western societies. Acupuncture involves stimulating specific anatomic points along the body by puncturing the skin with a needle; practitioners may also use heat, pressure or impulses of electrical energy to stimulate the points. Investigators have demonstrated that the nervous system, neurotransmitters and endogenous substances respond to needling stimulation and EA (1–3). The EA afferent pathways and central sites have been identified in the anterolateral tract in the spinal cord, the reticulogigantocellular nucleus, the raphe magnus, the dorsal part of the periaque-
ductal central gray, the posterior and anterior hypothalamus, the medial part of the centromedian nucleus of the thalamus, and the dorsal medullar-thalamic pathways (4–8, 23, 44–46). Prior studies have established that acupuncture analgesia is mediated by opioid peptides (8–12). Recent evidence has demonstrated that l-arginine-derived NO in the gracile nucleus contributes to neuronal responses to EA hindlimb acupoints through the dorsal medullar–thalamic neuronal pathway (23, 44–46). Other substances, including serotonin, catecholamines, inorganic chemicals and amino acids such as glutamate and GABA, may also mediate some of the cardiovascular and analgesic effects of acupuncture, but at present their role is little understood (1–3). In spite of experiments documenting a biological basis of acupuncture analgesia and the increasing use of acupuncture for a number of pain conditions, systematic research of EA manipulation and stimulation has not been conducted. Only further evidence of the neurobiology mechanisms and effectiveness of acupuncture research will answer these questions.
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