Introduction
In recent years, a number of clinical studies have appeared to substantiate one of the traditional therapeutic uses of extracts of bromelain, namely, in the treatment of inflammatory disorders of the musculoskeletal system. This paper sets out to review the clinical evidence for the use of bromelain in osteoarthritis.

Osteoarthritis is the most common form of arthritis in Western countries; in the USA prevalence of osteoarthritis ranges from 3.2% to 33% dependent on the joint (1). Its prevalence increases with age, and sex differences are evident (2). It can also create substantial disability (2). The risk of disability attributable to knee osteoarthritis alone is greater than any other medical disorder in the elderly (3), apart from cardiac diseases. Risk factors associated with both the development (e.g. heredity, age, female sex, obesity, trauma) and progression of the disease [e.g. obesity, low bone density, non steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) use] have been identified (4); obesity is considered a major risk factor for both the development and progression of osteoarthritis (5,6). As allopathic medicine is unable to halt this progression conventional medical treatment is aimed at decreasing pain and improving function by the use of NSAIDs, other analgesics, steroidal joint injections and, as a last resort, joint replacement. Because the high incidence of adverse events, especially gastrointestinal, associated with both non-selective and COX-2-selective NSAID use is high (7–9), effective but safer alternative treatments would be of benefit to osteoarthritis sufferers.

Bromelain
Bromelain is a food supplement that may provide an alternative treatment to NSAIDs for patients with osteoarthritis. All previous trials, which have been uncontrolled or comparative studies, indicate its potential use for the treatment of osteoarthritis. This paper reviews the mechanism of its putative therapeutic actions, those clinical trials that have assessed its use in osteoarthritis to date, as well as considering the safety implications of this supplement for osteoarthritis and reviewing the evidence to date regarding the dosage for treating this condition. The data available at present indicate the need for trials to establish the efficacy and optimum dosage for bromelain and the need for adequate prospective adverse event monitoring in such chronic conditions as osteoarthritis.
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properties including anti-inflammatory and analgesic actions in addition to its anti-oedematous, antithrombotic and fibrinolytic effects (11). Experimental evidence suggests that bromelain’s action as an anti-inflammatory is mediated via the following factors: (i) by increasing serum fibrinolytic activity (13), reducing plasma fibrinogen levels (14) and decreasing bradykinin levels (which results in reduced vascular permeability) and hence reducing oedema and pain (15); (ii) by mediating prostaglandin levels (by decreasing levels of PGE2 and thromboxane A2); and (iii) through modulation of certain immune cell surface adhesion molecules (16–20), which play a role in the pathogenesis of arthritis (21). However, many of these studies are of poor quality and further data is needed to clarify definitive mechanisms of its action.

Data have also indicated that bromelain has analgesic properties, for example in inflammatory pain in humans (22), human urogenital inflammation (23), and in various animal inflammatory models (13,23). Its analgesic properties are thought to be a result of its direct influence on pain mediators such as bradykinin (15), as well as its indirect effects through its anti-inflammatory actions (e.g. reduction in oedema, debris and immune complexes), which reduce pain.

**Clinical Studies**

Bromelain was first reported to be of value as an analgesic/anti-inflammatory for use in both rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritic patients in 1964 (24). Clinical trials have assessed the effectiveness of bromelain most frequently using preparations containing differing complexes of proteolytic enzymes and differing concentrations of bromelain. Three complexes have been used: (i) Phlogenzym® (PHL), which contains the proteolytic enzymes bromelain (90 mg/tab), trypsin and rutin; (ii) Wobenzym® (WOB) which contains bromelain (45 mg/tab), papain, chymotrypsin, pancreatin, lipase and amylase; and (iii) Wobenzym NT® (WOB-N) which contains bromelain (45 mg/tab), trypsin, papain, chymotrypsin, pancreatin and rutin. Bromelain has been assessed in the treatment of osteoarthritis of two joints, i.e. the knee (24–30) and the shoulder (as assessed under the global term periarthritis humeroscapularis) (31,32). Tables 1 and 2 summarise those studies that have investigated the effect of bromelain in knee and shoulder osteoarthritis, respectively.

The majority of studies assessing bromelain for osteoarthritis have been either open studies (24,30) or equivalence studies designed to assess comparative effectiveness and safety against standard NSAIDs treatment (25–29, Klein, 1994, unpublished data.). A number of these studies are unpublished [as reviewed by Leipner et al. (25)], including two placebo controlled studies designed to assess the efficacy of bromelain in knee osteoarthritis. The following sections will review the studies that have been carried out to date. Direct comparison between these trials is difficult as different dosages or preparations of bromelain have been administered. The majority of the studies have methodological issues that make it difficult to draw definite conclusions.

**Bromelain for Knee Osteoarthritis**

Ten studies have been identified that have assessed bromelain in osteoarthritis of the knee (Table 1). The earliest reported studies investigating bromelain were a series of case reports on 28 patients, with moderate or severe rheumatoid or osteoarthritis, described by Cohen and Goldman (24). The studies reported indicated that the use of bromelain, at varying doses (these doses were relatively low as compared to subsequent studies) and differing duration, had positive clinical effects in 18 patients (as measured by assessment of reduction in soft tissue swelling, pain and/or joint stiffness) and no adverse events associated with the medication were reported in any of these case reports. This data therefore provided a plausible basis for the further assessment of bromelain in musculoskeletal disorders.

Four unpublished studies: two placebo-controlled, randomised trials and two controlled and randomised studies were reported in the review by Leipner et al. (25). These studies were designed to assess the comparative effectiveness of bromelain with a standard treatment, the NSAID diclofenac (DF). No significant improvement in outcome was observed in either of the two placebo-controlled trials but both are of poor methodological quality. The outcome measure for one of the unpublished trials may have been inappropriate and both studies may have been inadequately powered (sample size in both studies was n = 60). In addition, in common with the majority of studies assessing bromelain for this indication, the treatment period was short (3 weeks duration) as compared to normal herbal practice where this preparation may be prescribed for 2–3 months in the first instance. Definitive conclusions cannot therefore be drawn from these two efficacy studies. However the safety and tolerability in both these studies appeared adequate as only minor (mainly gastrointestinal) adverse events were reported and dropout rates were low (5% in both studies). Klein and Kulich’s (27) double blind, randomised, controlled trial of 73 patients with osteoarthritis of the knee compared a commercial proteolytic enzyme preparation (Phlogenzym®) containing bromelain (among other proteolytic enzymes) with a dose of DF (100–150 mg/day) (24). They report an equivalent reduction in pain indices of 80% for the two treatments during 3 weeks of therapy and 4 weeks of follow-up with few adverse reactions to either treatment. The two unpublished comparative trials identified that treatment with bromelain (540 mg/day as part of the complexes PHL or WOB) reduced osteoarthritis symptoms and that the reduction was comparable to standard treatment. However, again the treatment period in both these studies was short and it is not possible to identify if the study was adequately powered as no sample size calculations are available. Tolerability was good with both PHL and WOB; however, a high rate of adverse drug reactions (none serious) was reported in the WOB study, with a rate of reporting of 50% of subjects in the WOB and the DF treatment groups. These unpublished reports therefore show equivocal evidence in support of bromelain in osteoarthritis, but highlight the potential safety issue.
### Table 1. Summary of studies assessing the effectiveness of bromelain as a treatment for osteoarthritis of the knee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>n*</th>
<th>Dosage</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Treatment period</th>
<th>Follow up</th>
<th>Adverse events</th>
<th>Primary outcome</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cohen &amp; Goldman (24)</td>
<td>Uncontrolled series of case reports</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>60–160 mg/day bromelain</td>
<td>Moderate to severe arthritis (25 RA; 2 OA; 10 A and RA; and 1 gout)</td>
<td>3 weeks to 13 months</td>
<td>When soft tissue swelling</td>
<td>None reported</td>
<td>Soft tissue swelling and pain</td>
<td>Reduction in soft tissue swelling in 72.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leipner et al. (25), Series of unpublished studies in OA involving Phloenzym™ (PHL), Wobenzym™ (WOB), Wobenzym N™ (WOB-N).</td>
<td>(i) placebo controlled DB RCT</td>
<td>(ii) 60 (ref. 31)</td>
<td>PHL. 3 × 2 tabs/day (540 mg/day bromelain) versus placebo</td>
<td>Arthrosis of the knee (57%) or hip (43%)</td>
<td>3 weeks NK</td>
<td>No SAE reported. Two ADR reported in PHL group</td>
<td>Sum score of various pain (active, pressure, rest, night) and dysfunction (four point category scale) measures</td>
<td>Similar reduction in primary outcome for both groups. NS group differences. Drop out n = 1 (PHL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Studies investigating OA of knee are reported</td>
<td>(ii) placebo controlled DB RCT</td>
<td>(ii) 60 (ref 32)</td>
<td>PHL. 3 × 2 tabs/day (540 mg/day bromelain) versus placebo</td>
<td>OA of the knee joint</td>
<td>3 weeks NK</td>
<td>No SAE reported. Two ADR reported in PHL group</td>
<td>Lequesne index</td>
<td>Reduction in primary outcome for both groups; NS group differences.</td>
<td>Drop out n = 3 (1 PHL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(iii) Comparative DB, RCT</td>
<td>(iv) 60 (ref 38)</td>
<td>PHL. 3 × 2 tabs/day (540 mg/day bromelain) versus DF (100–150 mg/day)</td>
<td>OA of the knee joint</td>
<td>3 weeks NK</td>
<td>No SAE reported. One ADR (0 PHL; 1 DF)</td>
<td>Lequesne index</td>
<td>Similar reduction in primary outcome for both groups. NS group differences.</td>
<td>Drop out n = 1 (0 PHL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(iv) Comparative DB, RCT</td>
<td>(iv) 60 (ref 45)</td>
<td>WOB 3 × 4 tabs/day (540 mg/day bromelain) versus DF (100–150 mg/day)</td>
<td>OA of the knee joint</td>
<td>3 weeks NK</td>
<td>No SAE reported but 30 ADR (15 WOB; 15 DF)</td>
<td>Lequesne index</td>
<td>Similar reduction in primary outcome for both groups. NS group differences.</td>
<td>Drop out n = 2 (1 WOB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singer and Oberleitner (26)</td>
<td>Comparative DB, RCT</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>WOB 4 × 7 tabs/day (945 mg/day bromelain) versus DF (100 mg)</td>
<td>OA of the knee joint</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>No SAE reported. 22 ADR (13 WOB) Mainly GI but allergic skin reaction in n = 1</td>
<td>Mobility and pain (five point scale) in morning</td>
<td>Equivalence not tested but similar reductions in primary outcome for both groups. NS group differences.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klein &amp; Kullich (27)</td>
<td>Comparative DB, RCT</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>PHL. 3 × 2 tabs/day (540 mg/day bromelain) versus DF (100–150 mg/day)</td>
<td>Knee OA</td>
<td>3 weeks</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>1 in 36 (2.8%) (headache probably not related)</td>
<td>Lequesne index (pain and function)</td>
<td>Reduction in pain indices by 30% sustained at 4 weeks post treatment. Equivalence was identified at week 3 (Mann Whitney = 0.47) and week 7 (Mann Whitney = 0.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singer et al. (28)</td>
<td>Comparative DB, RCT</td>
<td>68 (ref 37)</td>
<td>PHL. 3 × 2 tabs/day (540 mg/day bromelain) versus DF (100–150 mg/day)</td>
<td>OA of the knee joint</td>
<td>3 weeks</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>No SAE reported. 14 ADR (7 PHL; 7 DF)</td>
<td>Lequesne index and sum of pain scores</td>
<td>PHL group showed significant &gt; reduction compared to DF for both Lequesne (P = 0.017) and sum of pain scores (P = 0.047), Drop out n = 5 (3 PHL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Study design</td>
<td>n (^*)</td>
<td>Dosage</td>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Treatment period</td>
<td>Follow up</td>
<td>Adverse events</td>
<td>Primary outcome</td>
<td>Conclusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tilwe et al. 2001 (29)</td>
<td>Comparative SB, RCT</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>PHL 4 × 7 tabs/ day (1890 mg/ day bromelain) versus DF (100–150 mg/day)</td>
<td>Arthritis of the knee</td>
<td>3 weeks</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>‘well tolerated’; specific AE not reported.</td>
<td>Likert scale to assess pain</td>
<td>Equivalence not tested. Reduction in pain (NS), tenderness (( P &lt; 0.05 )) and swelling (NS) in both groups. Joint tenderness was significantly greater (( P &lt; 0.05 )) in PHL group than DF group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walker et al. (30)</td>
<td>Open, Dose ranging</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>Bromelin( TM ) 200 or 400 mg/day</td>
<td>Mild, acute knee pain</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>No SAE. Minor AE (( n = 19 )) mainly GI</td>
<td>WOMAC i.e. total score, pain, stiffness and function</td>
<td>Significant WOMAC total score at both doses (( P = 0.0001 ) for 200 mg; ( P = 0.000001 ) for 400 mg). Significant difference between groups for total score (( P = 0.036 )), stiffness (0.026), physical function (0.021), well-being.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^*\)Citations quoted in this column refer to references contained within publications listed in the first column.

PHL, Phlogenzym; WOB, Wobenzym; DF, diclofenac; DB, double blind; SB, single blind; RCT, randomised controlled trial; AE, adverse event; SAE, serious adverse event; ADR, adverse drug reaction; GI, gastrointestinal; WOMAC, Western Ontario McMaster University Arthritis Index; NK, not known; NS, not significant.

Bromelin\( TM \) contains bromelain 200 mg per tablet. Phlogenzym\( TM \) each tablet contains proteolytic enzymes in the following doses: bromelain (90 mg), trypsin (48 mg), rutin (100 mg). Wobenzym\( TM \), each tablet contains: bromelain (45 mg), papain (60 mg) trypsin (24 mg), chymotrypsin (1 mg), pancreatin (100 mg), lipase (100 mg), amylase (100 mg), rutin (50 mg).
Four published studies reported trials to assess the effectiveness of bromelain for knee osteoarthritis (26–29). These studies used similar treatment periods (3 or 4 weeks) and similar daily doses of a standard treatment, DF (150–100 mg/day); however, different doses of bromelain were tested (range from 540 to 1890 mg/day). The first study reported by Singer and Oberleitner (26) assessed bromelain at a dose of 945 mg/day (which is higher than that used in most studies) versus DF after 4 weeks of treatment, and although assessment of equivalence was not reported, both groups showed similar reductions in the primary outcome. However, there were more adverse drug reactions (mainly gastrointestinal: 13 in the WOB group versus nine in the DF group) and drop-outs (20% WOB versus 10% DF) as compared to the standard treatment group, which raises concerns about the safety and tolerability of bromelain at this higher dose. These safety and tolerability issues were not replicated in the study by Tilwe et al. (29) who administered a daily bromelain dose of 1890 mg/day (in the form of the complex PHL) against the DF comparative group. Equivalence was not tested in this study, but both groups showed reduced symptoms of pain and swelling (comparable across groups), and also joint tenderness (the improvement was significantly better in the PHL group). Tolerability was deemed good (there were no drop-outs), and no significant safety issues were raised in this study despite the high dose employed. The final comparative study was reported by Singer et al. (28) who compared bromelain (in the complex PHL) at a dose of 540 mg/day against DF in 68 subjects. This study demonstrated that bromelain showed significantly better improvement in both the primary outcome (Lequesne index, $P = 0.017$) and summary pain scores ($P = 0.047$) as compared to DF. Tolerability and safety were acceptable and levels were similar in both treatment groups. In summary, the four comparative trials indicate that bromelain appears to be as effective as the standard treatment in osteoarthritis of the knee, but higher doses may be associated with safety concerns.

Finally, Walker et al. (30) recently described an open study of one month treatment intervention of bromelain using two dose regimes (200 and 400 mg) in otherwise healthy adults ($n = 77$) with acute knee pain with no medical diagnosis. The data identified a significant clinical improvement compared to baseline in both the primary outcome [symptoms assessed by the Western Ontario McMaster University Arthritis Index, WOMAC (32)] and in the secondary outcomes (overall psychological wellbeing), at both doses. Furthermore, mean improvements in total symptom score, stiffness and physical function and psychological well-being were significantly greater in the high-dose compared with the low-dose group. However, definitive conclusions cannot be drawn from this study since there are a number of methodological shortcomings. These include the issue of power, which was not addressed: there was no control group (and therefore bias cannot be eliminated) and these patients did not have a formal diagnosis of their knee pain.

In conclusion, bromelain appears to have potential for the treatment of knee osteoarthritis. However it is important to note that there are a number of methodological issues that are common to the studies reported, including the possibility of inadequate power, inadequate treatment periods, inadequate or non-existent follow-up to monitor possible adverse drug reactions. Furthermore, the optimum dosage for this condition remains unclear. A phase II clinical trial would be beneficial to identify the optimal dosage and to systematically monitor safety issues before a definitive efficacy study could be completed.

**Bromelain for Osteoarthritis of the Shoulder**

Two studies have assessed the use of bromelain in osteoarthritis of the shoulder (31, Klein, 1994, unpublished data) (Table 2). Both studies have assessed the complex PHL, which has been used at the same daily dose (equivalent of 540 mg bromelain per day) and for the same treatment period of 3 weeks with no follow-up. The first study (by Klein, 1994) is an unpublished report of a double blind placebo controlled trial assessing PHL in 60 patients. No significant difference in treatment groups was observed after treatment. The level of adverse drug reactions and rate of drop out was low. However, there are a number of methodological caveats. It is unclear if the study was adequately powered to detect treatment group differences and, as with the knee osteoarthritis studies, the treatment period and lack of follow-up period are inadequate and the optimum dosage is not clear. The second study by Klein et al. (31) was designed to compare PHL against the standard DF treatment (100 mg/day) in $n = 40$ patients with this condition. No group differences in the primary outcome measures (summary pain score) were observed and safety and tolerability were adequate at this dose. However, this study also suffers from possibly being inadequately powered, a brief treatment period and limited follow up.

In conclusion the data from these two studies do not provide support for the effectiveness and safety of bromelain in osteoarthritis of the shoulder; further studies are needed that are adequately powered to identify the optimal dose and optimal treatment period for this condition.

**Summary of Clinical Trials Assessing Bromelain for Osteoarthritis**

The use of bromelain for the treatment of osteoarthritis looks promising. However, a number of methodological caveats indicate that further studies are warranted, in particular phase II clinical trials to identify the optimum dosage, followed by a definitive randomised placebo-controlled trial to confirm its efficacy in the treatment of osteoarthritis.

**Bromelain and Adverse Events**

Bromelain has been used as treatment for a number of disease conditions, in addition to osteoarthritis of the knee and shoulder joints (Table 1). No serious adverse events have been reported with the consumption of either bromelain or pineapples in these studies. Adverse events that have been reported are...
mainly gastrointestinal (i.e. diarrhoea, nausea and flatulence), but have also included headache, tiredness, dry mouth, skin rash and allergic reactions (not specified).

The trials assessing bromelain in osteoarthritis have used doses of bromelain in the range 540–1890 mg/day. Safety and tolerability for bromelain at the lower dose appears good with similar if not better safety profiles as compared to standard treatment. However, the studies that have used a higher daily dose of bromelain [945 mg/day (26); 1890 mg/day (29)] appear to be conflicting. The authors employing the highest dose reported that the medication was well tolerated; the dose of 945 mg/day, however, showed a higher incidence of adverse drug reactions and drop-outs as compared to the profiles from the standard NSAID treatment group. A formal phase II study is needed to identify safety and efficacy/effectiveness of bromelain. In addition, it is conceivable that patients would clinically receive bromelain for longer treatment periods than have been assessed by the current osteoarthritis studies. Further work is therefore needed to evaluate the long-term safety of this supplement. Finally, there are also a number of other potential safety issues that need to be addressed. These include investigating the possibility of renal effects (because of modulation of biosynthesis of prostaglandins), potentiating effects on the action of anticoagulants [e.g. warfarin (33)] and enhanced absorption of antibiotics (11).

Dosage in human studies

The review by Maurer (11) identified that bromelain has been used in the daily dosage range of 200–2000 mg, with therapeutic action shown at 160 mg/day. The trials assessing bromelain in osteoarthritis have used bromelain at a higher therapeutic dose, in the range of 540–1890 mg/day. Safety and tolerability at the lower dose appears to be good; the data indicates that bromelain at this dose appears to be as effective as standard treatment with at least similar safety and tolerability profiles. The two studies employing a higher daily dose [945 mg/day (26) and 1890 mg/day (29), both comparative trials] showed that the dose of 945 mg/day showed similar outcomes to DF, whereas 1890 mg/day appeared to be superior to DF in one of the primary outcome measures (joint swelling). As yet there have been no formal phase II studies to assess the optimal dose. However, the recent study by Walker et al. (30) in acute knee pain showed a significant dose-dependent effect between the two doses of 200 and 400 mg per day, over a period of one-month therapy. Further study is needed to identify the optimal dose for the treatment of chronic joint inflammation over longer periods of time (e.g. 3–4 months) within a blinded and randomised trial.

Summary

The currently available data do indicates the potential of bromelain in treating osteoarthritis. However, further studies are needed before a definitive conclusion can be drawn. Specifically, there is a need for trials to establish efficacy, and dose ranging studies to identify the optimum dosage (with
adequate prospective adverse event monitoring). Finally, future work should focus on the dose–response parameters and efficacy of long-term bromelain use in chronic conditions such as osteoarthritis.
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