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Despite advances in the early detection of tumors and in the use of chemotherapy, radiotherapy and surgery for disease management, the worldwide mortality from human cancer remains unacceptably high. The treatment of cancer may benefit from the introduction of novel therapies derived from natural products. Natural products have served to provide a basis for many of the pharmaceutical agents in current use in cancer therapy. Emerging research indicates that progressive growth and spread of many solid tumors depends, in part, on the formation of an adequate blood supply, and this process of tumor-associated angiogenesis is reported to have prognostic significance in several human cancers. This review focuses on the potential application in antitumor therapy of naturally-occurring steroids that target tumor-associated angiogenesis. Squalamine, a 7,24 dihydroxylated 24-sulfated cholestane steroid conjugated to a spermidine at position C-3, is known to have strong antiangiogenic activity in vitro, and it significantly disrupts tumor proliferation and progression in laboratory studies. Work on the interactions of squalamine with vascular endothelial cells indicate that it binds with cell membranes, inhibits the membrane Na+/H+ exchanger and may further function as a calmodulin chaperone. These primary actions appear to promote inhibition of several vital steps in angiogenesis, such as blockade of mitogen-induced actin polymerization, cell–cell adhesion and cell migration, leading to suppression of endothelial cell proliferation. Preclinical studies with squalamine have shown additive benefits in tumor growth delay when squalamine is combined with cisplatin, paclitaxel, cyclophosphamide, genistein or radiation therapy. This compound has also been assessed in early phase clinical trials in cancer; squalamine was found to exhibit little systemic toxicity and was generally well tolerated by treated patients with various solid tumor malignancies, including ovarian, non-small cell lung and breast cancers. Clinical trials with squalamine alone or combined with standard chemotherapies or other biologic therapies, including antiangiogenic agents, should be considered for selected cancer patients, and further study of the mechanism of action and bioactivity of squalamine is warranted.
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Introduction

Mortality from cancer, the second leading cause of death worldwide, remains unacceptably high. From 1975 to 2000, the total number of cancer cases worldwide rose from 5.9 million to 10 million, an increase of 69% (1). Although advances in the early detection of tumors and in the use of chemotherapy and surgery for disease management have helped to enhance the overall survival of afflicted patients, major improvements in treatments for most human cancers are urgently needed. The control and therapy of cancer may benefit from introduction of new treatments derived from natural products (2). Between 1974 and 1994, it is estimated that about 67% of pharmaceutical products approved for human cancer therapy were derived from natural sources. The discovery of efficacious compounds for cancer management will benefit from new understanding of the molecular and cellular pathways that regulate tumor proliferation and progression.
The progressive growth and spread of many solid tumors depends, in part, on the formation of an adequate blood supply, and tumor angiogenesis has been reported to have prognostic significance in several human cancers (3). Therapy directed toward the vasculature of solid tumors is now being pursued as an important new direction in cancer treatment because avascular tumors exhibit only limited growth and tumor aggressiveness, and metastatic potential commonly correlates with tumor vascularity (4–7). Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is produced by most solid tumors and elicits a mitogenic effect on tumor-associated endothelial cells (8,9). VEGF binding to receptor tyrosine kinases triggers activation of downstream signaling enzymes, including MAP kinase, which, in turn, regulate gene expression and specific endothelial cell responses including proliferation, migration and apoptosis (10–12). Several studies have suggested that VEGF plays an important role in the progression of many cancers (3,13,14). Growth factor pathways, such as those dependent on EGF and HER-2 receptors, appear to up-regulate VEGF production in solid factor pathways, such as those dependent on EGF and HER-2 receptors, appear to up-regulate VEGF production in solid tumors (15,16). Since EGF and HER family receptors are activated (17,18) and/or overexpressed in significant numbers of human cancers (18–21), these growth factor receptor pathways may play a role in promoting further growth of human malignancy by increasing VEGF-dependent tumor angiogenesis.

**Antiangiogenic Steroids in Cancer Therapy**

Many small molecules, proteins and antibodies directed to growth factors have been identified as having antiangiogenic activity. Several steroids were among the first molecules recognized as having antiangiogenic activity. These compounds included progesterin, medroxyprogesterone acetate and glucocorticoids such as dexamethasone and cortisone (6,7). Such angiostatic steroids appear to have multiple and diverse effects on tumor-associated angiogenesis, including the inhibition of endothelial cell proliferation, the inhibition of collagenolysis and of plasminogen activator production, as well as direct anti-tumor activity amongst some malignancies. However, the activity of these compounds was characterized primarily by their ability to prevent new blood vessel growth in the chick embryo allantoic membrane assay system for angiogenesis. The most potent of these antiangiogenic steroids, 11β-hydrocortisone and tetrahydrocortisol, lacked mineralocorticoid or glucocorticoid activity and produced capillary regression in the chick embryo allantoic membrane assay (22).

Later, using tumor models in animals, several antiangiogenic steroids exhibited measurable activity that was often enhanced when the steroid was given in combination with heparin or a heparin-like molecule (22–24). Of these antiangiogenic steroids, only medroxyprogesterone was assessed in clinical trials, primarily in patients with previously treated breast cancer (25). Study endpoints of partial or complete tumor responses showed only minimal clinical activity and no extension of survival.

An endogenous metabolite of estrogen, 2-methoxyestradiol, has been found to inhibit proliferation, migration and invasion of endothelial cells *in vitro* as well as having antiangiogenic effects in certain *in vivo* tumor models (26). The mechanism of action of this compound is not yet fully elucidated, but its action does not seem to be mediated by classical steroid hormone receptors. Recent evidence has shown that 2-methoxyestradiol inhibits HIF-1α, a key angiogenic transcription factor, and is, thus, able to elicit a broad spectrum of cellular effects. This activity appeared to correlate with microtubule-depolymerizing properties of 2-methoxyestradiol. This steroidal compound is also reported to initiate apoptosis in both vascular endothelial and solid tumor cells. In preclinical models, 2-methoxyestradiol reduced tumor size and tumor-associated vascularization. In early clinical trials, this agent appears to be well tolerated by patients with cancer, and use of 2-methoxyestradiol is currently being evaluated in several different types of malignancy (26).

Squalamine, a natural steroidal compound initially found in several tissues of the dogfish shark, *Squalus acantias*, causes changes in vascular endothelial cell shape and has been reported to possess significant antiangiogenic activity in models of lung, breast, brain and ovarian cancer (22,27,28). In the shark, squalamine is found primarily in sites of bile synthesis such as liver and gallbladder, but the aminosterol compound also occurs in smaller amounts in the spleen, testes, stomach, gills and intestine (29). In the laboratory, squalamine was originally found to have bactericidal activity against gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria, as well as some fungicidal qualities (29). More importantly, squalamine at relatively low doses was later shown to selectively inhibit the formation of new blood vessels. However, unlike previously described steroids, squalamine has significant structural differences and does not interact with glucocorticoid or mineralocorticoid receptors (30). It is a 7,24 dihydroxylated 24-sulfated cholestane steroid conjugated to a spermidine at position C-3 (see Fig. 1). Squalamine has been demonstrated to be an angiostatic steroid by virtue of its inhibition of growth of vascular endothelial cells in culture, activity in the chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane assay and a rabbit corneal micropocket assay, as well as growth inhibition of gliomas and lung cancers *in vivo* (22,28,31). Squalamine is somewhat unique among most current anti-angiogenic agents in development because it inhibits endothelial cell proliferation and migration induced by a wide variety of growth factors, including Basic Fibroblast Growth Factor (bFGF) and VEGF (27,31; Fig. 2). This broad antiangiogenic activity of squalamine may result from its inhibition of surface sodium–proton exchangers (thus altering intracellular pH and thereby impeding intracellular signaling by several growth factors) and other downstream signaling pathways in endothelial cells (27,29). There are different theories about the mechanism of action of squalamine that remain to be investigated.

**Mechanism of Action of Squalamine**

One mechanism of action of squalamine has been proposed by Akhter et al. (32) to involve inhibition of the mammalian brush-border Na+/H+ exchanger isof orm NHE3. The Na+/H+ exchanger is a transport protein that is known to regulate
Changes in cell volume or cell shape. Using transfected PS120 fibroblasts, the investigators found that inhibitory effects of squalamine on Na\(^+/H^+\) exchanger activity were both time and concentration dependent and reversible. The effect of the steroidal compound was measured as changes in intracellular pH, specifically Na\(^+/H^+\)-dependent alkalinization of the cell interior was inhibited by exposure to squalamine. Inhibition of Na\(^+/H^+\) exchange, as opposed to another mechanism of pH regulation, was confirmed by independent monitoring of \(^{22}\)Na\(^+\) uptake by cells. This effect of squalamine was found to be specific for NHE3, as it did not affect NHE1, NHE2, Na\(^+\)-dependent D-glucose uptake or Na\(^+\)-independent D-glucose uptake. To rule out that inhibitory effects of squalamine were not due to cytotoxicity, Lactate Dehydrogenase (LDH) release was also measured and found to be <5% of total cell LDH. Using a truncated mutant of NHE3, this study also showed that inhibition of NHE3 required the C-terminal 76 amino acids of NHE3. This region of NHE3 is a critical part of the enzyme regulatory domain, with external control by calmodulin, calmodulin-kinase II, tyrosine kinases and squalamine. The inhibitory effect of calmodulin on NHE3 appears to be mediated through these 76 C-terminal amino acids as well. Nonetheless, squalamine regulation of intracellular pH could have a major impact on the activity of other signaling pathways in target cells such as vascular endothelial cells.

Squalamine has also been proposed to be a calmodulin chaperon by Chen et al. (33). In efforts to isolate and partially purify squalamine-binding components from human placenta, Chen et al. determined the direct association of squalamine with calmodulin. Indeed, specific interactions between calmodulin and squalamine occurred for both forms of calmodulin, calcium-free and calcium-bound. Further, exposure of human vascular endothelial cells to fluorescent-tagged squalamine revealed a rapid relocalization of calmodulin and squalamine from the cell periphery to the perinuclear endosomal compartment. After squalamine treatment, F-actin stress fibers were disrupted and a change in cell shape was noted. Based on these observations, the authors proposed that the mechanism of action of squalamine involved its specific entry into endothelial cells, leading to the intracellular redistribution of calmodulin without inhibition of calmodulin function.

The biologic actions of squalamine were assessed further by Sills et al. (28). In this work, squalamine was found to inhibit rat brain endothelial cell proliferation and migration induced by mitogens such as VEGF, bFGF, Platelet Derived Growth Factor (PDGF), and scatter factor/hepatocyte growth factor. In the absence of these mitogens, squalamine was found to have no direct effect on survival or proliferation of endothelial cells.
In addition, squalamine was also found to inhibit proton secretion by mitogen-stimulated endothelial cells, a finding consistent with results reported by Akhter et al. (32). An interesting finding of this study involved the direct application of squalamine to 4-day-old chick embryo vasculature. After only 20 min, squalamine elicited constriction of the smallest capillaries throughout the yolk sac, with entrapment of red cells. In addition, the usual continuous blood flow through large vessels was changed to a pulsatile expansion in order to move through occluded vessels. This acute remodeling process resulted in narrowed vascular segments and blocked erythrocyte movement and was confirmed by histological examination of treated and untreated yolk sacs. Since these new vessels are composed solely of endothelial cells, the luminal narrowing was concluded to be due to squalamine-induced changes in the shape or volume of endothelial cells. This study also established that systemic treatment with squalamine promoted an inhibition of the growth of rat flank 9L glioma tumors. Immunohistochemical analyses of these tumors after treatment with squalamine revealed significant reductions in tumor-associated blood-vessel density.

In order to further understand the molecular and cellular mechanisms by which squalamine inhibits tumor growth, Williams et al. (34) evaluated the effects of squalamine on the organization of the endothelial cell actin cytoskeleton and transmembrane cell–cell adhesion elements. Human vascular endothelial cells were grown and stimulated with VEGF and then treated with squalamine. The results showed that squalamine disrupted polymerization of F-actin fibers in these cells. In addition, after squalamine treatment, it was found that significantly less VE-cadherin was detectable at the endothelial cell–cell junction, with apparent increments in peri-nuclear VE-cadherin. This suggested that squalamine induced an internalization process that involves moving VE-cadherin from the membrane into the intracellular compartment. VE-cadherin is a member of the cadherin family that is involved in cell–cell adherence junction maintenance and is also associated with actin filament attachment sites on the cell surface. These findings led the authors to conclude that squalamine perturbs both actin polymerization and cell-cell adhesion in endothelial cells. Thus, squalamine interferes with the ability of endothelial cells to move, grow and communicate, thus inhibiting the formation of new vessels. This process may be very important in preventing the nourishment and progression of tumors.

Li et al. (15) conducted studies of human ovarian tumor-associated angiogenesis. Ovarian cells were found to secrete significant levels of VEGF, a direct activator of angiogenesis (see Fig. 2), but squalamine did not reduce VEGF secretion by tumor cells, and it evoked no direct growth inhibition of ovarian cells in vitro. However, squalamine at doses as low as 160 nM did halt the proliferation of human vascular endothelial cells and markedly reduced VEGF-induced capillary tube-like formations by vascular endothelial cells growing in Matrigel culture (35). These biologic effects correlated well with squalamine-induced blockade of the rapid VEGF-stimulated phosphorylation of p44/p42 MAP kinase in endothelial cells, an early cell response to activate proliferation. VEGF is considered to exert its biologic effects by binding with receptor tyrosine kinases, notably Flt-1 and Flk-1/KDR, present at the surface of endothelial cells (36,37). Post-receptor signal transduction regulates the effects of VEGF (see Fig. 2), and the proliferative action of VEGF in endothelial cells has been associated, in part, with VEGF-induced tyrosine phosphorylation and stimulation of MAP kinase, ERK-1 (p44) and ERK-2 (p42) (10,37). Of note, squalamine also reduced VEGF-induced phosphorylation of focal adhesion kinase (FAK) and stress-activated protein kinase-2/p38 SAPK2, blocking, in turn, assembly of F-actin stress fibers in vascular endothelial cells. These effects follow primary interaction of squalamine with caveolar domains at the surface membranes of endothelial cells, sites for the concentration of vital signaling complexes to regulate the angiogenic process (37). Squalamine interference with these downstream signaling pathways in vascular endothelial cells may be critical in disrupting the process of tumor-associated angiogenesis.

Preclinical Studies with Squalamine

Studies by Teicher et al. (22) noted that squalamine as a single agent has a modest effect on tumor growth delay on rat 13762 mammary carcinoma, with squalamine dosing at 40 mg/kg. Combining squalamine with cyclophosphamide, cisplatin or paclitaxel increased the tumor growth delay by 1.9–2.5-fold as compared to any of these agents administered alone. A Lewis lung carcinoma was a second tumor model examined in this study. The lung tumor model allowed squalamine effects on primary and metastatic disease to be evaluated since untreated mice generally die from metastatic tumor spread by days 21–25. Squalamine alone had a modest effect on tumor growth delay, but, again, an additive effect was seen when squalamine was combined with cyclophosphamide, cisplatin, paclitaxel or 5-fluorouracil. Moreover, it was found that the number of lung metastases decreased when mice were treated with squalamine. Specifically, by day 20, the numbers of metastases were reduced to half of those present in controls. Since lung metastases are actively implanting and growing using new blood vessels, this effect of squalamine suggests that it has strong antiangiogenic potency.

Using non-small cell lung tumor models, Williams and colleagues (34) assessed the effect of squalamine alone and combined with either cisplatin or paclitaxel with carboplatin. Chemotherapy-resistant MV-522 tumor cells were implanted as xenografts in immunodeficient mice and allowed to reach a size of about 60 mg. Squalamine was administered alone at dose of 20 mg/kg/day or in combination with cisplatin (dose of 2 mg/kg/day) or as a part of triple therapy with paclitaxel (10 mg/kg/day) and carboplatin (20 mg/kg/day). The results indicated that squalamine either combined with cisplatin or included as a part of triple therapy with paclitaxel and carboplatin was more effective than administration alone in suppressing the growth of MV-522 human lung tumors. Final excised mice tumor weight after treatment with squalamine
alone was 613.6 ± 64.6 mg, while treatment with squalamine and cisplatin resulted in final tumor weights of 105.0 ± 28.6 mg. When administered as a part of triple therapy with paclitaxel and carboplatin, the final excised mice tumor weight was 56.4 ± 14.5 mg. The effect of adding squalamine to other treatments was found to persist throughout the experiment.

The antitumor effects of squalamine with and without cytotoxic agents were examined in other human lung cancer xenografts by Schiller and Bittner (38). The cell lines used included Calu-6 (adenocarcinoma), H460 (large cell carcinoma), NL20T-A (derived from an immortalized human bronchial epithelial cell line that underwent spontaneous transformation to a tumorigenic phenotype) and Lewis lung. Squalamine appeared to have no cytotoxic effect in vitro on lung cell lines, and addition of squalamine to cisplatin did not result in enhanced death of tumor cells. In vivo, squalamine alone was effective in reducing tumor formation only when administered before, simultaneously, or 24 h after inoculation of mice with tumor cells. When combined with platinum analogs, squalamine resulted in 1.5–2-fold growth delay of tumors in all four lung cell lines. No antitumor activity was seen when squalamine was combined with paclitaxel, venorelbine, gemcitabine or docetaxel. Multiple doses of cisplatin and squalamine increased tumor growth delay more than a single dose. Using H460 tumor xenografts, the effects of squalamine on tumor vascularization were determined. As a single agent, squalamine had no effect on the number of CD31-staining vessels in established tumors. However, when used in combination with cisplatin, the number of vessels was reduced by 25%. This effect was observed early (within 2–4 h after treatment) and dissipated by 48 h. These results suggest that squalamine and platinum analogs likely need to be administered together in close temporal proximity.

In studies of human non-small cell lung tumors grown as xenografts in nude mice, our laboratory has further assessed the effects of squalamine treatment alone and combined with either the phytoestrogen, genistein, or radiation therapy (see Fig. 3). The non-small cell lung tumor, NCI-H23, as many human lung tumors, is enriched with estrogen receptor-β and this receptor signaling pathway may also promote angiogenesis (39). Treatment with squalamine alone elicits a modest inhibition of the growth of human non-small cell lung tumor cells NCI-H23 (P < 0.05). Moreover, combination of squalamine with genistein (Fig. 3A), a potential inhibitor of estrogen receptor-β activity, appears to elicit additive antitumor effects that exceed the responses to single agent treatments (P < 0.001). In addition, squalamine was combined with radiation therapy, a common treatment intervention for non-small cell lung cancer, and this combination was highly effective in suppressing tumor growth (P < 0.001) (Fig. 3B). This latter finding supports independent data suggesting that the combination of ionizing radiation with antiangiogenic agents can improve tumor eradication, possibly without increasing deleterious effects (40).

Squalamine’s effect on breast cancer was studied by Williams et al. (41). MX-1 breast xenografts were grown in mice and were treated with Cytoxin (cyclophosphamide). After tumor regression, mice were randomized into control and squalamine-treated groups. Two different doses of squalamine were used (10 and 20 mg/kg/day) and both resulted in increased numbers
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**Figure 3.** Squalamine inhibits growth of human non-small cell lung tumor cells and enhances the antitumor effects of radiation therapy and genistein. Lung cancers with estrogen receptor-α and estrogen receptor-β expression (NCI-H23) were grown as subcutaneous xenografts to 50–100 mm³ in size in ovariectomized, nude mice primed with estrogen (1.7 mg/pellet). Mice were then treated as outlined here. (A) Genistein, a phytoestrogen, and squalamine inhibit growth of human lung tumor xenografts NCI-H23 in nude mice. Mice with established tumors were treated with control solution, genistein alone (0.2 mg/kg s.c. on alternate days) (18), squalamine alone (2 mg/kg s.c.) on days 1–10, or genistein with squalamine. By 28 days, tumors showed little tumor regression after treatment with genistein alone and modest regression after squalamine alone compared to control (P < 0.05). More profound tumor growth inhibition was elicited by treatment with squalamine plus genistein (P < 0.001). Mean tumor volumes of control (CON), genistein (G), squalamine (SQ) and combined squalamine/genistein (SQ/G) treatment are shown. (B) Radiation therapy (RT) and squalamine inhibit growth of lung tumor xenografts NCI-H23 in nude mice. Mean tumor volumes of control (CON), RT (4 Gy on days 1, 4 and 7), squalamine (SQ; 2 mg/kg s.c. on days 1–10) and combined squalamine/RT (SQ/RT) treatment are shown. See Pietras et al. (54,55) and text for details.
of tumors which underwent complete regression. The authors conclude that squalamine influences the latent period for tumor expansion post effective chemotherapy.

Previous studies have suggested that VEGF plays an important role in progression of ovarian cancer (15). Ovarian cancer is the most deadly gynecologic malignancy (42–45). Although advances in chemotherapy and surgery have helped to improve the overall survival of afflicted patients, 5-year survival rates from ovarian cancer remained about 44% in the early part of this decade. By the time many patients are diagnosed with ovarian cancer, peritoneal dissemination of the tumor has often occurred. This growth and spread of ovarian cancers depends, in part, on formation of an adequate blood supply. Tumor-associated angiogenesis is essential for growth of most solid tumors, and neovascularization has also been shown to have prognostic significance in epithelial ovarian cancer (3). Several studies have shown that VEGF expression plays an important role in the progression of ovarian cancers (3,13,14), and the further ability of VEGF to increase vascular permeability (4,8,46) may contribute to formation of malignant ascites in patients with ovarian cancer (47). It is possible that treatment strategies directed to suppress the expression of VEGF and related angiogenic molecules may help to block tumor progression and reduce the morbidity associated with malignant ascites. Since squalamine has been shown to inhibit endothelial proliferation by mitogens such as VEGF, the effect of squalamine on ovarian cancer was examined by Li et al. (15). Using human ovarian Ov2008 cells with HER-2 overexpression grown as xenografts in mice, the authors were able to show profound tumor growth inhibition by combined treatment with squalamine and cisplatin (see Fig. 4). This growth inhibition persisted for 18 days after stopping squalamine treatment. This study showed that the length of tumor growth delay was greatest with the combined treatment of squalamine and cisplatin as compared with the effects of single-agent therapy.

The molecular effects of squalamine and cisplatin were also assessed by evaluating levels of tumor cell apoptosis. Administration of squalamine in combination with cisplatin led to enhanced levels of apoptosis in several ovarian tumor cells assessed in vivo. The extent of tumor cell death was higher with the combination treatment than with either squalamine or cisplatin administered alone. The findings suggest that squalamine enhances the cytotoxicity of cisplatin chemotherapy by facilitating tumor cell apoptosis. Using immunohistochemical methods to assess tumor-associated blood vessel density, the investigators were able to show that squalamine caused a reduction of tumor-associated angiogenesis in different types of ovarian tumors.

Clinical Studies with Squalamine

On the basis of strong evidence of antiangiogenic and antitumor properties of squalamine, it was selected for clinical development as a therapeutic agent for treatment of human malignancies. The squalamine in use in these and more recent clinical trials is synthesized in a pharmaceutical facility (48). A phase I study was conducted by Bhargava et al. (49) to determine the maximum tolerated dose (MTD), dose-limiting toxicities (DLTs) and the pharmacokinetic profile of squalamine. The investigators recruited 19 patients with an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status of ≤2 with advanced non-leukemic cancers. Squalamine was administered as a continuous intravenous infusion over 120 h, with repeat dosing every 14 days. The starting dose was chosen as 6 mg/m²/day, increasing at a rate of 100% up to a dose of 384 mg/m²/day, after which it was escalated in 40% increments until DLT was encountered. The highest dose administered was 538 mg/m²/day. The best-tolerated dose of squalamine was found to be 192 mg/m²/day, although a dose of 384 mg/m²/day also appeared to be well-tolerated in patients without prior exposure to squalamine. Three or more patients were treated at each dose level. In the absence of toxicity during the first treatment cycle, patients were allowed to have their doses escalated. This reduced the time to reach the MTD, with less patients required to start at lower doses. The DLT noted with squalamine administration was hepatotoxicity, measured as abnormalities in liver function tests and mostly noted to occur at the highest dose of 538 mg/m²/day. However, the hepatotoxicity was found to be transient and reversible. Mild to moderate fatigue was also noted in all patients at all dose levels, and this resolved after treatment. Plasma pharmacokinetics from this study showed that squalamine has a short initial elimination half-life and a high plasma clearance. The area under the concentration-time curve (AUC₀⁻₉) and the maximum concentration (Cₘ₉₉) of squalamine was found to be dose and dose-rate proportional over 12–384 mg/m²/day, with evidence of nonlinearity at 538 mg/m²/day. Clearance of squalamine was also

Figure 4. Squalamine promotes the time to tumor progression in a human ovarian tumor xenograft model in vivo. CAOV3 ovarian cancer cells were inoculated subcutaneously in nude mice as before (15). After 7 days, animals with tumors of comparable size were randomized to treatment with control solution (CON), squalamine (SQ; 2 mg/kg on days 1–10), cisplatin (Pt; 4 mg/kg on day 1), or cisplatin administered in combination with squalamine (SQ/Pt). Tumor volumes (mm³) are expressed as mean ± SEM for measurements to assess tumor growth delay due to the several treatments. Data derived from Li et al. (15), with permission.
unchanged at all doses. Transient tumor regression was observed in some patients treated with squalamine, but all patients had overall progression of their disease. The investigators emphasize that all patients in this trial had advanced malignant disease, while antiangiogenic treatments are hypothesized to work best in individuals with minimal disease burden. In addition, maximal squalamine effects are most likely obtained when combined with chemotherapy drugs as demonstrated in preclinical studies. In general, the treatment with squalamine was well-tolerated by patients.

Another phase I and pharmacokinetic study of squalamine was reported by Hao et al. (50). They recruited 33 patients with advanced solid tumor malignancies. Squalamine was administered as a 5-day continuous intravenous infusion that was repeated every 3 weeks. The starting dose was 6 mg/m²/day, and dose levels were escalated in 40% increments. The maximal dose administered was 700 mg/m²/day. The main DLT in this trial was also found to be elevations in hepatic transaminases and hyperbilirubinemia. At a dose of 700 mg/m²/day, two out of three patients developed grade 4 hyperbilirubinemia and grade 3 or 4 elevations in hepatic transaminases. Based on these results, the authors concluded that the recommended dose of squalamine should not exceed 500 mg/m²/day as a continuous intravenous infusion over 5 days every 3 weeks. This study evaluated a larger number of patients in the 357–700 mg/m² dose range, as compared to the previous phase I study by allowing a different definition for DLT (e.g. grade 3 or 4 transaminase elevation lasting >7 days). This also led to somewhat different findings on squalamine kinetics. Squalamine was shown to exhibit dose-proportional kinetics in this study, whereas Bhargava et al. (49) concluded that AUC₀₋₁ and Cₘₐₓ were nonlinear at the highest dose. With the 12–15-week treatments, stable disease was noted in some of the test patients, but no major tumor regressions were observed.

Since squalamine has shown evidence of additive or synergistic antitumor activity with certain cytotoxic chemotherapeutic agents, a phase I/IIA study was designed by Herbst et al. (51) to examine the antitumor efficacy of squalamine in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel in patients with a diagnosis of non-small cell lung cancer. The primary goal of this study was to examine safety, clinical response and pharmacokinetics of squalamine when administered as a 5-day continuous infusion in combination with standard chemotherapy in patients with stage IIIIB or stage IV non-small cell lung cancers. With 45 patient volunteers, squalamine was administered as 5-day continuous intravenous infusion ranging from 100 to 400 mg/m²/day repeated every 21 days for one cycle, at which point an evaluation of DLT was made. In the absence of DLT, dose escalation was permitted by 100 mg/m²/day. Paclitaxel was administered at a dose of 225 mg/m² as a 3-h i.v. infusion, followed by carboplatin and followed by squalamine. After one patient at the 400 mg/m² dose experienced significant DLT, it was determined that 300 mg/m²/day was the MTD for squalamine to be used in the phase II study. This dose was administered for up to six 21-day cycles. Importantly, significant toxicities in this study were limited to mild myelosuppression. This study showed that squalamine exhibited linear pharmacokinetics, and plasma clearance of squalamine did not change at the doses used. Moreover, the pharmacokinetic profile of paclitaxel was not changed by combining the chemotherapy drug with squalamine. Similarly, carboplatin drug clearance was not changed by squalamine. With regard to antitumor efficacy endpoints, a partial clinical response was obtained in 28% of evaluable patients. Stable disease was shown in 19% of patients, while disease progression occurred in 53% of patients. Thus, significant clinical benefit was observed for 47% of evaluable patients with advanced stage non-small cell lung cancer. In the group of patients who were treated at the MTD of 300 mg/m²/day, median survival was 8.5 months, and 1-year survival was 33%.

To assess the antitumor efficacy of squalamine in human ovarian cancer, a phase II clinical study was performed by Davidson et al. (52). On recruiting 33 patients with stage III or stage IV ovarian cancer who were resistant or refractory to platinum-based chemotherapy, squalamine was administered with carboplatin as a 5-day continuous infusion at a dose of 200 mg/m²/day. Average treatment time was 81 days. Response data have been reported for 22 patients, and, among this group, eight patients had an objective clinical response. Major toxicity reported in this study among three patients was grade 4 thrombocytopenia, anemia, leukopenia, myalgia or asthenia, symptoms often associated with carboplatin chemotherapy. Additional patients were enrolled in the study, but the final data remain to be presented (52). Nonetheless, these results suggest that squalamine may be a significant addition to current treatment options for patients with advanced refractory ovarian cancers. Based on currently-available information on the potential antitumor efficacy of squalamine in ovarian cancer, the antiangiogenic steroid has been designated an ‘orphan drug’ candidate for future therapeutic development in ovarian malignancy, a group for whom new treatment alternatives are urgently needed.

Conclusions

Natural products have served to provide a basis for many of the pharmaceutical agents in current use in cancer therapy and prevention. Squalamine, a natural steroidal compound, causes changes in vascular endothelial cell shape, and has been reported to possess significant antiangiogenic activity in models of lung, breast, brain and ovarian cancer. The mechanism of action has also been proposed to involve inhibition of endothelial cell proliferation and migration induced by mitogens in addition to being a calmodulin chaperone (28,33). Preclinical studies with squalamine have shown that it is more effective in tumor growth delay when combined with radiation therapy, genistein, cisplatin, paclitaxel and cyclophosphamide. In addition, studies using Lewis lung carcinoma found that the number of metastasis were reduced by half after treatment, which confirms the antiangiogenic potency of squalamine. Squalamine exhibited little systemic toxicity in Phase I–II clinical trials and is well tolerated by treated cancer patients.
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