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Effective therapeutic vaccines contain two primary constituents, antigen and adjuvant. Adjuvants consisting of microbial pattern molecules play a central role in vaccination. Successful vaccine requires efficient induction of antibody (Ab), type I interferons (IFN), cytokines/chemokines, cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTL) and/or NK cells. Toll-like receptors (TLRs) in myeloid dendritic cells (mDC) essentially act as adjuvant receptors and sustain the molecular basis of adjuvant activity. Current consensus is that TLRs and their adapters introduce signals to preferentially induce IFN-α/β, chemokines and proinflammatory cytokines, and mature mDC to augment antigen presentation. Although most of these data were obtained with mice, the results are presumed to be adaptable to humans. Whenever TLR pathway is activated in mDC, NK and/or CTL activation is promoted. For induction of antigen-specific CTL toward phagocytosed material, cross-priming must be induced in mDC, which is also sustained by TLR signaling in mDC. Since the TLR responses vary with different adjuvants, mDC functions are skewed depending on adjuvant-specific direction of mDC maturation. It appears that the directed maturation of mDC largely relies on selection of appropriate sets of TLRs and their adapter signaling pathways. Synthetic chimera molecules consisting of TLR agonists and target antigens are found to be effective in induction of CTL to eliminate target cells in vivo. Here, we review the role of human TLRs and adapters in a variety of host immune responses. We will also describe the relevance of adjuvants in the manipulation of receptors and adapters in vaccine therapy.
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Adjuvants as ligands for TLRs

Microbial pattern molecules with a high potential for host immune activation have been classified into adjuvant. Adjuvant activity has been found in a variety of infectious microbes and endogenous material of host origin. Freund’s complete adjuvant (FCA) consisting of dead mycobacteria conjugated with mineral oil augments vaccine response, i.e. antibody (Ab) production, CTL induction and NK activation (1,2). Without the adjuvant, usually only a poor immune response is observed upon vaccination. Thus, the adjuvant has been an essential factor for provoking strong host immune responses. It has been elucidated that myeloid dendritic cells (mDCs), a representative cell population of antigen-presenting cells, and plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDCs), formerly called type I interferon (IFN)-producing cells, are the targets for most of the adjuvants (3). In this context, Janeway and Medzhitov (4) suggested that dendritic cells (DCs) express two sorts of receptors, antigen (Ag) uptake receptors for Ag-presentation by MHC and receptors for microbial pattern molecules, that are receptors for adjuvants. Both Ags and adjuvant pattern molecules are derived from microbes and differentially act
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on mDCs to efficiently induce many effectors secondary to lymphocyte activation.

The molecular basis of the adjuvant function was poorly delineated until adjuvant receptors were identified. The most common question in this regard is “what is the receptor for adjuvant pattern molecules?” In 1997, Medzhitov et al. (5) characterized a human homolog of drosophila Toll (described by J. A. Hoffmann in 1996) (6), later named TLR4. They first predicted its function to be the augmentation of immune response (4,5). Currently, TLR is identified as a family of receptors consisting of >10 protein members both in humans and mice. In recent years, evidence has been accumulating that either each TLR dimer or a combination of TLRs serves as a receptor complex for the recognition of a specific microbial pattern molecule (3,7). The recognition is then followed by TLR-specific signaling and corresponding cellular immune response (Table 1). Thus, the TLR family of proteins serves as signaling receptors crucial for augmenting immune response. It is notable that most of the TLR proteins reside in DCs. Although some TLRs are present on T, B, NK cells and epithelial cells and recent studies suggest the importance of TLR on these cells for primary antiviral response (8), their functions remain largely unknown. The possible immune responses and effectors induced by mDC are discussed below.

**Role of TLRS in DCs**

mDCs are central to T/B cell activation (9,10). They facilitate production of Abs through the induction of differentiation of B lymphocytes. T lymphocytes are differentiated by mature mDCs into T helper 1 (Th1), Th2 and CTL. mDCs catch up antigens, alter the functions and migrate to draining lymph-nodes (Fig. 1). When antigen and adjuvancy coincidently stimulate mDC maturity, antigenic peptide presentation on MHC is augmented. Upregulation of co-stimulatory and MHC molecules, expression of chemokine receptors, presentation of antigens, secretion of cytokines and chemokines are accelerated by adjuvants in mDC (7,9,10). TLRs on mDCs are involved in these pivotal events leading to lymphocyte activation (Fig. 1). Regulatory T cell (Treg) function is suppressed by IL-6, which is produced by mDC in response to TLR activation (11). NK and NKT cells are activated in response to TLR-mediated mDC maturation (12). Memory cell formation may be imparted by mDC depending on its maturation properties, which are competent to lymphocyte
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<th>Table 1. Human TLRs and their adapters</th>
</tr>
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<tr>
<td><strong>huTLR</strong></td>
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<td>TLR1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M-1, MyD88; M-2, Mal/TIRAP; T-1, TICAM-1/TRIF; T-2, TICAM-2/TRAM. TLR1, TLR6 and TLR10 are members of the TLR2 subfamily and together with TLR2 recognize different sets of microbial pattern molecules and support activation of TLR2. Mice do not have TLR8, but possess a protein which resembles TLR7 in its structure and function. Further, their distribution is also different. Functional modes of each adapter were identified as ‘M’ and ‘T’ types. M, MyD88-dependent pathway; T, TICAM-1-dependent pathway. In DCs subsets, M is myeloid DCs while P is plasmacytoid DCs. ‘Modes’ represent transcription factors activated by each TLR.

**Figure 1.** Role of human TLRs in mDC maturation followed by activation of various lymphocytes. Immature dendritic cells (mDC) residing in local tissue phagocytose exogenous antigen (Ag) and pattern molecule (namely adjuvant) and initiate the maturation process. During maturation mDC induce IFNs, cytokines and chemokines, allow the upregulation of co-stimulators, NK-activating ligands (ULBP, MIC, etc) and MHC, and activate a variety of lymphocytes. These maturation events are largely dependent on adjuvant properties. Also, adjuvant may participate in switching on of some unknown mechanisms which are essential in induction of CD8+ CTL by mDCs.
proliferation, and be established in conjunction with TLR signaling in mDCs. Exogenously-added antigens that are not expected to gain access to the cytoplasm of mDCs are presented on MHC class I molecules by a process called cross-priming. TLR signaling also promotes cross-priming in mDC, which potently induces MHC class I-restricted CTL against phagocytosed antigens (13). This TLR-driven cross-priming will be discussed more in the later sections. Simultaneous stimulation of DC by microbial patterns and antigens induces robust immune activation. It is notable that DC subsets have distinct receptor-expression profiles which enable them to respond to specific types of pathogens (7,14). In addition, mDCs express pattern-recognition receptors other than TLRs inside the cells (15). Furthermore, there are a number of microbe-specific phagocytic receptors in mDC, which include lectins, Ig superfamily and complement receptors. Functional assignment of TLRs and these intracellular and phagocytic receptors in mDC are important to depict the DC pattern recognition system. Elucidation of the kind of TLRs and their combinations that are mainly responsible for each immune response is to be further resolved.

The distribution of TLR expression in DC subsets

TLR1, 2, 4, 5 and 6 are members of a TLR subfamily which recognizes microbial constituents that are absent in human cells (Table 1). These TLR subfamily members reside on the cell surface. Human mDCs, but not pDCs express these TLR subfamily members (14). Human mDCs also express TLR3 and TLR8 while pDCs express TLR7 and TLR9. These four TLRs preferentially recognize microbe-specific modifications of nucleotide sequences and are localized in certain endosomes inside the cells (14,16). Unlike mouse mDCs, human mDCs do not express TLR9. Current consensus is that TLR3, 7, 8 and 9 are proteins of TLR subfamily participating in the recognition of nucleic acid derivatives of viruses and bacteria (Table 1). In mice, mDCs express functional TLR3 and TLR9 inside the cell, while TLR8 remains dysfunctional (16). Mouse pDC expresses TLR7 and TLR9 similar to human. These differences between mouse and human DC subsets may preclude us from simple extrapolation of mouse TLR functions in mouse DCs to the human system. Typical human pDC phenotype is CD11C+CD11B+/GR-1+B220 (16). Thus, mouse and human also differ in the pDC phenotype. Since we mainly focus on antigen-presenting ability of mDC in the context with TLR adjuvancy, the pDC story (see other references) is largely omitted in this review.

### Functions of the adapters

So far, four adapters, MyD88, Mal/TIRAP, TICAM-1 (TRIF) and TICAM-2 (TRAM), have been identified in human and mouse cells (17–19). These adapters exclusively contain the TIR domain (Fig. 3). We have investigated the functions of adapters and found that stimulation of mDC with individual pattern molecules is largely transduced by different sets of adapters resulting in distinct outputs. TLR subfamily expressed on cell surface mainly engages in NF-κB activation through the adapter molecule MyD88 (17) (Fig. 2). TLR4 is the only exception in that it activates both NF-κB and IRF-3. In contrast, the nucleic acid-recognizing TLRs, TLR3, 7 and 9 activate the IFN promoter (Fig. 2). The properties of these adapters related to the activation of mDC (i.e. antigen-presenting cell) may be summarized as follows: MyD88 is engaged in the activation of NF-κB and p38 MAPK (17), but has no ability to induce type 1 IFN in mDCs. An alternatively spliced form of MyD88 may regulate the MyD88 adapter activity, Mal/TIRAP bridges the TIR domain of TLR2/4 and MyD88 (18,19). Mal/TIRAP itself exhibits weak NF-κB activation activity. The Mal/TIRAP function may be modulated by proteolysis.

TICAM-1 preferentially activates the IFN-β promoter via dimerization of IRF-3 in mDC (20), which explains part of the ‘MyD88-independent’ pathway. TICAM-1 has ability to activate NF-κB also. The TICAM-1-mediated NF-κB activation is supported by RIP1 which binds the C-terminus of TICAM-1 (21). However, TICAM-1-dependent IRF-3 activation is made by the NAP1/TBK1/IKK complex (22) that binds to the N-terminus of TICAM-1. Particularly if it is of viral, TICAM-1 may be susceptible to proteases (23). TICAM-2 bridges the TIR domain of TLR4 and TICAM-1 (18,19). Its ability to activate IRF-3 in the absence of TICAM-1 is minimal, if any. Its acylation permits the molecule to anchor on the inner leaflet of membrane. Hence, the two effective adapters, MyD88 and TICAM-1, and two bridging adapters, Mal/TIRAP and TICAM-2 exist in DCs (Fig. 3).

TLR3 activates IRF-3 and IFN-β promoter through the adapter TICAM-1, whereas TLR7 and 9 activate IRF-7 and IFN-α promoter via MyD88 (24). The distribution of these TLRs is different: TLR3, TLR8 and surface-expressed TLRs reside in antigen-presenting mDCs while TLR7 and 9 are in pDCs in human (Table 2). Thus, the TLR3, TLR7 and TLR8 and surface-expressed TLRs such as TLR2, 4 and 5 are mainly involved in the modulation of antigen-presentation in mDC (Fig. 3).

The functional profile of TLR2 and TLR5 includes activation of NF-κB (17) but not induction IFN-β, which matches the functional properties of the adapters they select.

### Table 2. Distribution and subcellular localization of human TLRs in DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DC subsets</th>
<th>Monoclonal Abs against</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TLR1 TLR2 TLR6 TLR4 TLR5 TLR3 TLR7 TLR8 TLR9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocyte-derived</td>
<td>+ + + + (+) (+) – –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmacytoid</td>
<td>– – – – – (+) (+) (+)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(++) TLRs with nucleotide-recognition properties (TLR3, 7, 8, 9) reside in endosomes.
+ TLRs (TLR1, 2, 4, 5, 6) are expressed on cell surface to recognize microbial patterns.
dsRNA-mediated TLR3 activation predominantly induces IFN-β, reflecting the function of the adapter TICAM-1 (18,19). LPS-TLR4 complex activates both NF-κB and the IFN-β promoter, consistent with the fact that TLR4 recruits both MyD88 and TICAM-1 in an indirect manner. Thus, sets of adapters selected by each TLR appear to be crucial for relevant adjuvant function.

Manipulation of TLR system for adjuvant vaccine therapy

Functions of antigen-presenting mDC can be controlled at three points in the TLR cascade. Property of the adjuvant is the first to regulate TLR-mediated maturation of mDC. Secondly, modulation of TLR activity occurs by the addition...
of soluble forms of TLRs or TLR-blocking molecules. Finally, TLR signaling can be positively regulated by the adapters or negatively regulated by their inhibitors. Recent finding suggests that there are small synthetic molecules that block TLR-adapter interaction (25). More information about TLR agonists in association with adjuvants was recently published (26). Examples for the second and third control steps are as follows.

Alternatively spliced forms of TLR2, TLR4 and probably TLR3 appear to serve as dominant-negatives to block the relevant TLR activation in response to their ligands (27–29). Reports on this type of TLR regulation were published in human and mouse TLRs (27–29). Fish has a gene encoding a soluble TLR5, which acts as an amplifier of the flagellin-mediated membrane TLR5 signaling that induces acute phase cytokines as well as soluble TLR5 (30). Although human has no gene for soluble TLR5, the amplification of flagellin signal by soluble TLR5 may be conserved. Fish soluble TLR5 can physiologically bind flagellin and augment the functions of human membrane TLR5 in response to flagellin. The results may offer an adjuvant positively regulating flagellin response in human mDCs (31). Lipopolysaccharide-binding protein or soluble CD14 may upregulate LPS-mediated TLR4 activation (32). It is likely that yet to be identified catch-up receptors for pattern molecules function as TLR modulators. Antagonists of TLRs either binding to the LRR or TIR domains of each TLR will be applicable for patients with inflammation or autoimmune status to block off TLR activity.

In the human kidney cell line HEK293 cells, overexpressed adapters enhance activation of corresponding pathways leading to the promoter activation even in the absence of adjuvant (22). Similarly, overexpressed dominant-negative forms of adapters effectively block the downstream signaling of adapters (22). In mDCs, adapter function can be positively regulated by the transfection of correspondingly associated adapters.

**Cross-priming induced by TLR stimuli in MDC**

TLRs and other receptors in mDC increase antigen-presenting ability by maturing mDC. However, the molecular mechanism whereby the TLRs and adapters are potentially involved in antigen-presentation by mDC has not yet been characterized. Cross-priming is an essential functional feature for vaccines to induce CTL from CD8 T cells. Here, we mention a crucial function of TLR, cross-priming, in terms of TLR2/4 and TLR3. Although human TLR8 in mDC may participate in cross-priming, it remains unestablished. Effective CTL induction is observed secondary to TLR2/4 and MyD88-dependent mDC maturation if appropriate exogenous Ag is simultaneously supplemented in a study that used gene-knockout mice (33). Acylated derivaties of muramyl dipeptide (MDP) of BCG-cell wall skeleton (CWS) acts as TLR2/4 agonist to selectively activate the MyD88 pathway (34). Cytokines and chemokines are effectively induced in mDCs by combined activation of TLR2/4 by BCG-CWS leading to cross-priming-competent mDC followed by CTL induction (33). The CTL induction by BCG-CWS-primed mDCs does not occur in MyD88-knockout mice and mDCs from them exerted almost no ability to proliferate CD8 T cells in vitro. Thus, it appears that there is a TLR-signal pathway which engages MyD88 to induce cross-priming in mDC. The outline of this pathway is shown in Fig. 4 and more precisely in Fig. 5. Some unknown molecules involved in this pathway may participate in cross-priming of exogenously-added antigen in mDC.

dsRNA is a TLR3 agonist that activates TLR3-TICAM-1 pathway (35). The outline of this pathway is shown in Fig. 4 and with molecular interaction in Fig. 5. TLR3 signaling is also important in eliciting cross-priming leading to induction of MHC class I presentation and CTL induction in response to exogenously-added antigens (36). In light of this observation, multiple TLR pathways must be involved in cross-priming in mDCs. These events may be generalized for most human infections and cancers where mDC sense TLR agonists and antigens from target cells. Taken together, to induce systemic activation of CTL, supplementation of TLR agonists or adapters to the vaccine adjuvant appears effective, particularly if pathogens lack agonistic activity to TLR2/4 or TLR3.

**Vaccine adjuvant activity of TLR for NK activation**

NK can be activated by type 1 IFNs and/or instructive cytokines, IL-12, IL-23 and IL-18 (Fig. 1) (12). TLR3 engages in NK activation via TICAM-1-mediated signaling in mDCs (2). Thus, NK can be activated by mDCs that are pretreated with TLR3 agonist such as dsRNA. This means that mDC turns an activator for NK if appropriate TLR agonists are provided (Fig. 4). In light of this, it appears that supplementing vaccine adjuvant with TLR3 to induce systemic activation of NK appears to be effective in patients with cancer or infectious diseases.

If one can supplement the adjuvant cocktail sufficiently to activate both CTL and NK, it would be possible to eliminate both MHC-positive and -negative target cells. Although such a scenario is largely extended from the mouse studies, the same mechanism of induction of antiviral immunity appears to be the case in humans according to in vitro studies using human mDC (7,8,14). Viral infection often induces promotion or suppression of DC maturation. Supplement of TLR2/4 agonists to viral dsRNA may relieve the maturation stages of DCs.

MyD88 is the adapter shared by receptors for IL-1β, IL-18 and most members of the TLR family (17). In mDCs, the transcription factor NF-κB is activated in the MyD88 pathway (17). MyD88 may support events other than those responsible for innate immune responses and the danger signal induced by tumors (37,38) or virus-invaded tissue in effecter lymphocytes (39,40). In pDCs, the MyD88 pathway also activates IRF-7 which is followed by robust production of IFN-α (41). Type I IFN directly enhances the expression of IL-18 R
Figure 4. The two distinct TLR pathways of myeloid DCs. NK and CTL are induced as effector cells for killing of targets secondary to activation of TLRs in mDCs (left panel). Myeloid DCs express TLR2, TLR3 and TLR4, and mature in response to BCG-CWS (TLR2/4 agonist) or dsRNA (TLR3 agonist). In general, TLR2/4 preferentially activates NF-κB via MyD88. TLR3 induces type 1 interferon (IFN). Both CTL and NK are then activated as indicated. In activation of CTL by mDC, exogenously-added antigen must be presented on MHC class I while the antigen are usually mounts on class II. This, named cross-priming, actually occurs if TLR signaling simultaneously enters (right panel). Either MyD88 or TICAM-1-mediated signal can induce cross-priming. Although the exact mechanism remains unknown, many factors are expected to be involved in switch on of cross-priming.

Figure 5. The two signaling pathways of TLR in myeloid DCs. MyD88-dependent pathway are indicated to the left and TICAM-1 pathway are shown to the right in blue. Many signaling molecules are involved in the two pathways leading to different outputs. NK and CTL are generated by the resultant mDC activation. In particular, cross-priming must occur in mDC to induce CTL, the molecular mechanism of which are largely unknown.
components (AcPL), IL-1R-related protein (IL-1Rrp) and MyD88 in NK and T cells (39). This is reminiscent of the properties of the danger signal in the suppression of tumor cell progression or viral proliferation (42). It is reasonable to hypothesize that most danger signals suggest an enhanced effect of vaccine and activation of adapters. TICAM-1, another effective adapter, also induces activation of IFN-β and NF-κB in mDCs (18,19). It does not appear to function in pDCs for IFN-α induction. TICAM-1 may be an important vaccine potentiator targeting mDCs. Further studies are needed to clarify the discerning properties of the two main adapters in terms of enhancers for vaccine effect.

Application of TLR response for immune therapy

The development of safe and efficient vaccines for cancer and infectious diseases remains a major goal in global public health (43). There are prophylactic and therapeutic vaccines both of which require two primary constituents, antigen and adjuvant. Adjuvant may determine the direction of mDC leading to maturation for CTL induction and/or NK activation for cellular immune response and Ab production for humoral immune response. NK activation is a therapeutic feature, while CTL and Ab induction is useful for both preventive and therapeutic purpose.

Adjuvant includes a variety of components consisting of mineral oils, bacterial extracts, viruses and suspensions of aluminum hydroxide metals. Artificial components were chemically synthesized with reference to microbial origin, which were also effective as adjuvants (26,44). In vitro and animal studies are in progress concerning these materials. Application of these adjuvants to immune therapies, however, has not yet clinically explored. This is because augmented immune response by adjuvants may exacerbate subclinical autoimmune diseases. In addition, fever and erosion at the local injected lesion induced by nature of adjuvants some autoimmune diseases. In addition, fever and erosion at the local injected lesion induced by nature of adjuvants some autoimmune diseases.

In vitro human mDC

In this context, we have investigated the differential functional properties of each adjuvant in vitro human mDC and in vivo mouse studies (40). We particularly focused on microbial pattern molecules and TLR signal modifiers. We have examined the use of chimera proteins composed of small lipopeptides (TLR2 stimulants MALP-2 and Pam 3) and tumor antigens and found that their potencies to elicit immune response are greater than those of either alone or unfused mixture. It has been reported that fused proteins consisting of TLR agonists and targeted antigens exerts anti-pathogenic CTL response in vivo in animal studies (50,51). Thus, we have speculated that fusion molecules consisting of TLR agonists and tumor antigens would be more effective for immune therapy for cancer.

TLRs are undoubtedly important in recognition of ‘danger’ molecules generated from self or infectious materials (53). TLRs are representative adjuvant receptors with sufficient knowledge on molecular manipulation and control of their signaling pathways. This is why we summarized this here. Yet, whether any of the numerous alternative and complement-ary medicines that claim immune activity contain TLR agonists and promote anti-pathogen immunity or autoimmunity remains to be established. Further future work on not only TLRs but also other adjuvant receptors will be needed to offer each of the adjuvants with differential properties for clinical use.
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