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Cytotoxicity Effects of Amoora rohituka and chittagonga on Breast and Pancreatic Cancer Cells
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Chemotherapeutic agents for cancer are highly toxic to healthy tissues and hence alternative medicine avenues are widely researched. Majority of the recent studies on alternative medicine suggested that Amoora rohituka possesses considerable antitumor and antibacterial properties. In this work, rohituka and chittagonga, fractionated with petroleum ether, dichloromethane, and ethanol, were explored for their anticancer potential against two breast cancer (MCF-7 and HTB-126) and three pancreatic cancer (Panc-1, Mia-Paca2, and Capan1). The human foreskin fibroblast, Hs68, was also included. Cytotoxicity of each extract was analyzed using the MTT assay and label-free photonic crystal biosensor assay. A concentration series of each extract was performed on the six cell lines. For MCF-7 cancer cells, the chittagonga (Pet-Ether and CH2Cl2) and rohituka (Pet-Ether) extracts induced cytotoxicity; the chittagonga (EtoAC) and rohituka (MeOH) extracts did not induce cytotoxicity. For HTB126, Panc-1, Mia-Paca2, and Capan-1 cancer cells, only the chittagonga CH2Cl2 extract showed a significant cytotoxic effect. The extracts were not cytotoxic to normal fibroblast Hs68 cells, which may be correlated to the specificity of Amoora extracts in targeting cancerous cells. Based on these results, further examination of the potential anticancer properties Amoora species and the identification of the active ingredients of these extracts is warranted.

1. Introduction

Cancer is one of the leading causes of death in the United States, which is resulted by the uncontrollable division of abnormal cells. Specifically, breast cancer is one of the most common cancers worldwide with high mortality rate if diagnosed in the later stages. However, if discovered at an early stage and with proper treatments, these cancerous cells could be completely removed [1, 2]. Pancreatic cancer has a high mortality rate and often cannot be detected at an early stage due to the fact that symptoms do not appear until the disease has advanced significantly [3, 4]. Typical treatment regimes include targeting the tumor with ionizing radiation, surgical removal of tumor tissue, and chemotherapy. However, these current cancer treatment methods also cause severe systemic side effects. For this reason, recent research has focused on the search for alternative medicines extracted from plant-based sources. The use of alternative medicines, especially when used in conjunction with conventional cancer treatments, can serve to mitigate the side effects [5], enhance the uptake of conventional medicines, and bolster the immune system to fight the cancer. Since these medicines are primarily extracts of naturally occurring flora, their bioavailability is less likely to induce severe immune responses.

Several species of the Amoora plant extract in many parts of Bangladesh possess a multitude of medicinal properties...
against inflammation, cancer, and diseases of the liver [6–8]. One of the most common and the most studied Amoora species, the Amoora rohituka, is an evergreen tree that grows wildly in the region and is planted in many districts of Bangladesh [9]. It is traditionally used as herbal medicine for cancer, tumor, liver, and spleen diseases. The petroleum ether and methanol extracts of Amoora rohituka are reported to possess good laxative potential and can be developed to perform as safer gastrointestinal agents [10]. Furthermore, Amoora rohituka extracts are also known to possess antimicrobial activity [11] (with an IC$_{50}$ at ~360 µg/mL). The plant triterpenic acid, amooranin, extracted from the bark of Amoora rohituka trees, has been reported to possess significant anticancer potential [7, 12]. In the earlier studies, amooranin has been shown to induce apoptosis in breast carcinoma through caspase activity [13] and is known to be effective against breast cancer, colon cancer, cervical cancer, and leukemia cell panels [14]. Besides anticancer activity, amooranin is also known to induce the reversal of multidrug resistance in human leukemia and colon cancer cells lines [15]. An amooranin concentration of 10 µM or higher has been shown to cause a cytotoxic response. One of the amooranin derivatives, amoorastatin, has shown significant inhibition activity against murine P388 lymphocytic leukemia cell lines [16]. Furthermore, chromosome alkaloid rohitukine, a leading compound isolated from Amoora rohituka, has shown anticancer effect on non-Hodgkin's lymphoma as well as renal, prostate, colon, and gastric cancers [17]. In addition, the rocalglamide derivatives of the Amoora cucullata species have been reported to possess potent antitumor activity against KB, BC, and NCI-H187 cancer cell lines [18]. Currently, little is known about the bioactivity of Amoora chittagonga except that it is present in a number of south eastern Asian countries, such as Bangladesh and Thailand [19, 20]. The plant extracts explored in this research are from the Mahogany family. The Meliaceae, or the Mahogany family, is a flowering plant family of mostly trees and shrubs (and a few herbaceous plants) in the order Sapindales.

The majority of reports have investigated medicinal properties of Amoora rohituka, but it is important to consider the effects of other less-studied species of Amoora on various cancer cell lines. In this work, two species of the genus Amoora, rohituka and chittagonga, were studied for their anticancer potential on a panel of five human cancer cell lines and one human foreskin fibroblast cell line. This panel consisted of the following cell lines: MCF-7 and HTB-126 (breast cancer), Panc-1, Mia-Paca2, and Capan1 (pancreatic cancer), and Hs68 (fibroblast cell).

The Amoora chittagonga was fractionated with pet-ether (petroleum ether), CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (dichloromethane), and EtoAC (ethanol), while Amoora rohituka was fractionated with pet-ether and MeOH (methanol). Utilizing a conventional MTT assay, the five extracts were tested on each cell line at four concentrations ranging from 100 µg/mL to 0.1 µg/mL and the IC$_{50}$ values were determined. The results of the MTT assay were then confirmed using a label-free photonic crystal (PC) biosensor assay. The PC biosensor provides an image of the attachment of cells on the sensor surface before and after the incubation with plant extracts, which can determine the cytotoxicity effects [11, 21]. These biosensors are incorporated into the bottom of a 96- and 384-well standard microplate, which enables high-throughput screening of multiple extracts and cancer cells lines simultaneously and allows the rapid characterization of potential cytotoxic compounds.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Extracts. The extracts, Amoora chittagonga and Amoora rohituka, were provided by Dr. Chowdhury from the University of Dhaka at Bangladesh. Both Amoora rohituka and chittagonga stem bark was collected from Comilla, which is in the Chittagong Division, Comilla District of Bangladesh [22].

The plants were identified at the Bangladesh National Herbarium, where voucher specimens have been deposited under the accession numbers DACB-28927 [22]. The air-dried and powdered stem bark (507.6 g) was successively extracted with light petroleum ether (40–60 °C), dichloromethane, and methanol in a Soxhlet apparatus at an elevated temperature. All three extracts were filtered through fresh cotton beds. The filtrates were evaporated under reduced pressure at 40 °C using a Büchi rotary evaporator to have gummy concentrates of petroleum ether (6.6 g), dichloromethane (4.3 g), and methanol (11.6 g) extracts.

Stock solutions of the dried extracts were prepared by dissolving them in ethanol to a concentrations of 25 mg/mL or higher. They were diluted directly in cell culture media and tested on the cancer cell lines at concentrations of 10, 25, 50, and 100 µg/mL. Testing on normal cell line was performed at concentrations of 0.1, 1, 10, and 100 µg/mL, in order to test for the absence of cytotoxicity over a large range of concentrations. The final concentration of ethanol in all dilutions was less than 1%.

2.2. Cell Lines. Two human breast cancer cell lines (MCF-7 and HTB126), three human pancreatic cancer cells (Panc-1, Mia-Paca2, and Capan1), and a human foreskin fibroblast cell line of Hs68 were used on this study. All six cell lines were purchased from ATCC (Rockville, Md, USA). The cells were cultured and grown at 37°C and 5% CO$_2$ in sterile DMEM medium with 10% fetal bovine serum (MCF-7, HTB126, Panc-1, and Hs68), DMEM with 10% fetal bovine serum and 2.5% horse serum (Mia-Paca2), and IMDM with 20% fetal bovine serum (Capan1), after the addition of glutamine and penicillin-streptomycin. Cells were grown in standard tissue culture flasks and were passaged with a solution of 0.25% trypsin-EDTA upon reaching 80% confluence.

2.3. Cytotoxicity Analysis with MTT Assay. Cytotoxicity of the plant extracts on the cell lines was determined using the MTT Proliferation Assay kits from ATCC and Sigma Aldrich. The assay is based on the conversion of yellow tetrazolium salt MTT to purple formazan crystals by metabolically active cells. The amount of formazan produced is proportional to the number of viable cells. Cells were seeded in 96-well flat bottom tissue culture plates at a density of approximately
1–1.2 × 10^4 cells/well and allowed to attach for 24 hours at 37°C. The cells were then incubated with 100 µL of extracts at 100 µg/mL for 24 hours. Control cultures received 100 µL of medium, and blank wells without cells contained 100 µL of medium. After the drug exposure period, the cells were grown for additional 24 hours in extract-free fresh medium. A volume of 10 µL of the MTT reagent was then added to each well, and the plate was incubated for 4 h at 37°C. The MTT crystals were then solubilized overnight with 100 µL of the MTT detergent reagent. Absorbance measurements were made at 570 nm using a Biotek HT Spectrophotometer. Cytotoxicity was expressed as the percentage of cells surviving relative to untreated cultures. All MTT experiments were performed in triplicates and repeated twice.

2.4. Cytotoxicity Analysis with PC Assay. The sensor and instrumentation of the PC assay method have been described previously in [23–27]. The biosensor measures changes in the wavelength of reflected light or peak wavelength value (PWV) as biochemical or cellular binding events take place on the surface. The imaging instrument (SRU Biosystems, Woburn, Mass, USA) illuminates the photonic crystal at normal incidence with white light, and PWV of each pixel is imaged into the entrance slit of an imaging spectrometer (Figure 1).

The 96-well PC biosensor microplates were utilized to confirm the cytotoxicity effects of the Amoora extracts on the breast cancer cell MCF-7. An initial scan with the cell culture media was taken for the background image. Approximately 500–1000 cells were seeded in the biosensor, and the cells were allowed to attach and grow for 24 hours. A second scan was performed after the 24-hour incubation. The cells were then incubated with the plant extracts at the same concentrations as the MTT assay for another 24 hours after which a final scan was performed (Figure 2). The initial cell count prior to incubation was used as a reference; thus the proliferation or cytotoxic effect can be determined. The PC cell-based assay has been published previously [11, 21, 28].

2.5. Statistical Analysis. In order to test if the cytotoxicity difference between the control and plant extracts was significant, one-way ANOVA was used to compare the different treatment groups followed by Tukeys multiple comparison tests wherever necessary.

3. Results

3.1. In Vitro Response with the MTT Assay. The dose-dependent responses for the breast cancer cells are shown in Figure 3. Upon treating MCF-7 cancer cells (Figure 3(a)), the chittagonga species were cytotoxic and showed IC_{50} values of ∼42 µg/mL and 48 µg/mL for the Pet-Ether and CH_{2}Cl_{2} extracts, respectively. Upon treatment with the species of rohituka, the Pet-Ether extract showed an IC_{50} of ∼41 µg/mL. However, the chittagonga EtoAC and the rohituka MeOH extracts were not cytotoxic to the MCF-7...
cancer cells. Treatment of HTB126 cancer cells revealed that (Figure 3(b)) only the *chittagonga* CH$_2$Cl$_2$ extract was cytotoxic, and here an IC$_{50}$ value of ∼43 µg/mL was observed. The dose response curves for the pancreatic cancer cells are shown in Figure 4. Treatment of Panc-1, Mia-Paca2, and Capan-1 cancer cell revealed that (Figures 4(a)–4(c)) only the *chittagonga* CH$_2$Cl$_2$ extract showed significant cytotoxicity with IC$_{50}$ values of ∼39 µg/mL, 30 µg/mL, and 65 µg/mL, respectively. The normal fibroblast Hs68 cells did not exhibit cytotoxic effect with any extract, indicating the potential specificity of the *Amoora* extracts against target cancer cells (Figure 5).

For extracts that showed cytotoxic activity, the differences between the control and treatment groups were significantly different ($P < .05$). For extracts that did not suppress cell proliferation, the difference in the means is not significant compared to the control ($P > .05$), thus statistically confirming that these *Amoora* extracts are not cytotoxic at any of the above tested dose levels.

3.2. *In Vitro Response with the PC Assay*. For the assay employing the photonic crystal biosensor system, each well was scanned before and after treatment with the drug. Hence, for the analysis of each extract, the cell count after drug treatment was compared with the cell count of the negative control (no drug exposure). Consistent with the results obtained using the MTT assay, the Pet-Ether and CH$_2$Cl$_2$ fractions of *Amoora chittagonga* and Pet-Ether fraction of *Amoora rohituka* showed IC$_{50}$ values at ∼40 µg/mL, 51 µg/mL, and 38 µg/mL, respectively (Figure 6). The other two extracts, *chittagonga* EtoAC and MeOH, did not show any cytotoxicity but instead showed proliferation of cancer cells to 4 times the control wells (data not shown).

3.3. *Correlation of the Two Methods*. The photonic crystal and the MTT assay showed good correlation for the three fractions of *Amoora* compounds that were effective against the MCF-7. The correlation plot is shown in Figure 7. For the cytotoxic fractions of *Amoora chittagonga* Pet-Ether, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, and *rohituka* Pet-Ether, the correlation coefficients for MCF7 cells are 0.909, 0.715, and 0.922, respectively.

### 4. Discussion

As seen from the results of the MTT and PC assays, the *Amoora* extracts induced varying levels of cytotoxicity on different cell lines within the same category. It must be noted that, for each species, all samples obtained using different extraction methods were dried and resuspended in ethanol to eliminate uncertainty that might arise from the different extraction solvents. These stock solutions were then diluted using cell culture media for the dose response studies. For the breast cancer cells, three of the extracts exhibited high cytotoxicity effect on the MCF-7 cell line, whereas only one extract was cytotoxic to the HTB126 cells. We hypothesize that the differences between MCF-7 and HTB126 may have been due to their susceptibility to *Amoora* plant extracts [29, 30]. The *chittagonga* CH$_2$Cl$_2$ extract was highly cytotoxic to both breast cancer cell lines and also caused noticeable cytotoxicity to all three pancreatic cancer cell lines. All other *Amoora* extracts induced minimal levels of cytotoxicity to the pancreatic cancer cells.

The effects of the extracts on the cancer cells are shown in Table 1. The Pet-ether of *Amoora chittagonga* affected only the MCF-7 (IC$_{50}$ ∼ 42 µg/mL) and had little or no effect on the other cancer cell lines. The *Amoora chittagonga* CH$_2$Cl$_2$ affected MCF-7 (∼48 µg/mL), HTB126

---

**Table 1: IC$_{50}$ values and effects of five *Amoora* plant extracts on the six cell lines.** Note that only normal fibroblast cell line has no effect for each extract.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant Extract</th>
<th>Cell Line</th>
<th>Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>chittagonga</em> Pet-Ether</td>
<td>MCF-7</td>
<td>IC$_{50}$ 42 µg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>chittagonga</em> CH$_2$Cl$_2$</td>
<td>HTB126</td>
<td>No Effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>chittagonga</em> EtoAC</td>
<td>Panc-1</td>
<td>No Effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>rohituka</em> Pet-Ether</td>
<td>Mia-Paca2</td>
<td>No Effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>rohituka</em> MeOH</td>
<td>Capan-1</td>
<td>No Effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>rohituka</em> MeOH</td>
<td>Hs68</td>
<td>No Effect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 2:** PC biosensor cancer cell imaging protocol: (a) initial baseline scan, (b) cancer cell immobilization scan after 24-hour incubation, and (c) effect of plant extracts after 24-hour incubation.
Figure 3: Plot of survival fraction percentage of breast cancer cells, (a) MCF-7 and (b) HTB126 cell lines, in response to the *Amoora* compounds. Cultured MCF-7 and HTB126 cells were induced with *chittagonga* (Pet-Ether, CH₂Cl₂, and EtoAC) and *rohituka* (Pet-Ether and MeOH) for 24 hours before performing the MTT assay. The IC₅₀ values for MCF-7 in response to the *chittagonga* Pet-Ether, CH₂Cl₂, and *rohituka* Pet-Ether were ∼42 µg/mL, 48 µg/mL, and 41 µg/mL, respectively. For HTB126, only the *chittagonga* CH₂Cl₂ induced cytotoxicity at an IC₅₀ of ∼43 µg/mL.

Figure 4: Plot of survival fraction percentage of pancreatic cancer cells, (a) Panc-1, (b) Mia-Paca2, and (c) Capan-1 cell lines, in response to the *Amoora* compounds. Cultured Panc-1, Mia-Paca2, and Capan-1 cells were induced with *chittagonga* (Pet-Ether, CH₂Cl₂, and EtoAC) and *rohituka* (Pet-Ether and MeOH) for 24 hours before performing the MTT assay. Out of the five *Amoora* extracts, only the *chittagonga* CH₂Cl₂ induced cytotoxicity in the three pancreatic cancer cell lines, with the IC₅₀ values of ∼39 µg/mL, 30 µg/mL, and 65 µg/mL, respectively.

Varying results from a single species of *Amoora* plant suggest that the partitioning of plant extracts using different solvents may have a significant influence on the biological activity...
of the resulting fraction. Only *chittagonga* CH₂Cl₂ induced cytotoxicity in all five cancer cell lines. We hypothesized that the difference between the cytotoxicity of *rohituka* and *chittagonga* may have been caused by the content of amoorarin within the extracts. Most of the current studies involving *Amoora rohituka* extract showed considerable antitumor effect, but it seemed that *Amoora chittagonga* would also be an attractive extract to further explore in depth its anticancer properties. The cytotoxicity difference between the five cancer cell lines and normal cell line suggested that *Amoora* plants extracts may affect specific cancer cell lines.

In order to rapidly examine a group of cancer cell lines against a library of plant extracts, it is necessary to utilize a high-throughput screening technology. The testing of the extracts could be easily facilitated using the photonic crystal sensor assay, which senses attachment of viable cells and produces a signal that can be quantified in terms of the cell numbers. If the cells become apoptotic or necrotic in response to the concentration of the drug, they lose attachment with the sensor surface, and this results in a weak or no signal at the point of attachment. The advantage conferred by this method is that a vast library of compounds can be simultaneously tested without the need for any fluorescent labeling markers or other colorimetric assays. The PC biosensor assay is used to verify the cytotoxicity of plant extracts, whereas the MTT assay only provides a bulk colorimetric response for clusters of cancer cells in a well. The PC assay was performed on only MCF-7 cells and normal fibroblast cells in a well from the *Amoora* plant extracts, whereas the MTT assay was performed on both cell lines. We have previously demonstrated the use of the PC method for accurate high-throughput screening of a library of plant extracts [28]. Based on our results, a study of the active ingredients in the *Amoora chittagonga* plant extract that are responsible for cancer-specific cytotoxicity effects is warranted.
5. Conclusion

In this work, we have further explored the therapeutic effect of the *Amoora* plant extracts against five cancer cell lines. Although numerous studies have reported medicinal and therapeutic effects of the *Amoora* extracts, it is important to examine the effects of unreported *Amoora* species against specific cancer cell lines in an effort to identify preliminary candidates for alternative cancer therapeutics. By employing the MTT assay, various cytotoxicity effects were observed for a combination of five *Amoora* extracts and five cancer cell lines. Interestingly, when samples of a species of the *Amoora* plant were extracted using different extraction methods, we found that these crude extracts showed varying levels of cytotoxicity on the cancer cells. By identifying the active *Amoora* extracts on specific cancer cell lines, further research could be performed on examining the biochemical compositions to fully understand the mechanism of the cytotoxicity effects.

Conflict of Interests

B. T. Cunningham declares a duality of interest. The photonic crystal biosensors used in the paper are made by a company, SRU Biosystems, that B. T. Cunningham cofounded in June, 2000. B. T. Cunningham is currently the Chief Technical Officer of SRU Biosystems and own shares of the company stock. His full-time employment is with the University of Illinois in Urbana-Champaign, where he is an Associate Professor.

Acknowledgments

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant no. 0427657. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation. The authors would like to thank Professor R. Chowdhury from University of Dhaka, Bangladesh for providing the plant extracts. The authors gratefully acknowledge SRU Biosystems for providing the photonic crystal biosensor microplates. The authors also extend their gratitude to the support staff of the Micro and Nanotechnology Laboratory at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.

References


