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Previous studies of the gastroprotective activity of plants have highlighted the importance of the polyphenolic compound epicatechin (EC) in the treatment of gastric ulcers. This paper aimed to evaluate and characterize the gastroprotective mechanism of action of EC using male rats. The gastroprotective action of EC was analyzed in gastric ulcers induced by ethanol or indomethacin. The involvement of sulfhydryl (SH) groups, K⁺ATP channels, α₂ adrenoceptors, gastric antisecretory activity, and the amount of mucus in the development of gastric ulcers were investigated. The lowest effective dose of EC providing gastroprotective effects was 50 mg/kg in the ethanol-induced gastric ulcers and 25 mg/kg in the indomethacin-induced gastric ulcers. The gastroprotection seen upon treatment with EC was significantly decreased in rats pretreated with a SH compound reagent or an α₂-receptor antagonist, but not with a K⁺ATP channel blocker. Furthermore, oral treatment with EC increased mucus production and decreased H⁺ secretion. Immunohistochemistry demonstrated the involvement of superoxide dismutase (SOD), nitric oxide (NO), and heat shock protein-70 (HSP-70) in the gastroprotection. These results demonstrate that EC provides gastroprotection through reinforcement of the mucus barrier and neutralization of gastric juice and this protection occurs through the involvement of SH compounds, α₂-adrenoceptors, NO, SOD, and HSP-70.

1. Introduction

Gastric ulcers affect thousands of people worldwide and are considered a global health problem. The pathophysiology of gastric ulcers is related to the disequilibrium between harmful and protective factors in the gastric mucosa. Agents that may initiate the development of an ulcer include the following: acid and pepsin secretion, *Helicobacter pylori* infection, poor diet, alcohol ingestion, and the use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). Protective factors include the following: an intact mucosal barrier, adequate mucus secretion and blood flow, cellular regeneration, prostaglandin secretion, and epidermal growth factor release [1, 2].

The current treatment options for patients suffering from gastric ulcers include antacids, sucralfate, prostaglandins, muscarinic antagonists, histamine-2 receptor antagonists, and proton pump inhibitors. However, the long-term use of these drugs may cause side effects such as hypersensitivity, arrhythmia, impotence, gynecomastia, and hematopoietic disturbances [3]. Additionally, their use does not necessarily prevent the recurrence of the disease.

*H. pylori* infection has been implicated in the pathogenesis of active and chronic gastritis, peptic ulcer, and gastric carcinoma [4]. The triple therapy, based on a proton pump inhibitor combined with clarithromycin and amoxicillin and/or metronidazole, has been the established first-line therapy over the past years to eradicate *H. pylori* infections [5–7]. Standard triple therapy started from eradication rates of more than 90%; however, until now it has experienced a steady decline, decreasing to 70–80% [8]. There are several reasons for the loss of eradication efficacy, but the most important is the increasing rate of *H. pylori* resistance to antibiotics [9].

This circumstance evidences the need for new research to discover substances that would effectively heal gastric ulcers with fewer side effects. The medicinal plants are attractive sources of new biomolecules, particularly in the developing world where infectious diseases are endemic and modern.
health facilities are not always accessible [10]. Although modern medicine may be available in many developing countries, natural medicines have maintained popularity for historical and cultural reasons. Concurrently, many people in developed countries have begun to turn to complementary or alternative therapies, including medicinal herbs [11, 12].

Polyphenols, which include flavonoids and tannins, are a group of phytochemicals that have been intensely researched and are known to exert beneficial effects on health [13], including experimental gastroprotective action.

Epicatechin (EC, Figure 1), an isomer of catechin, is a polyphenolic compound present in several plant species. Previous studies have shown the gastroprotective activity of Murraya pusa [14] in which the EC was the main compound of the extract. Based upon this research, we sought to characterize the mechanism of action of EC in gastroprotection.

2. Material and Methods


(−)-Epicatechin (catalog number 855235) was purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). In ethanol and indomethacin-induced gastric ulcers, the following doses were tested: 25, 50, and 75 mg/kg. The lowest effective dose was used for all subsequent experiments.

Saline +10% absolute ethanol was used as a vehicle, as EC is insoluble in 0.9% saline. To avoid differences between groups, all drug compounds were solubilized in this vehicle, including the positive controls.

2.2. Animals. Male Wistar rats (200–250 g) from the Central Animal House of UNESP were fed a certified diet with free access to tap water under standard light-dark cycles (12 h dark-12 h light), humidity (60 ± 1%), and temperature (21 ± 2°C). All rats were fasted prior to each experiment as treatments were orally administered. Additionally, the rats were housed in cages with raised floors of wide mesh to prevent coprophagy. All experimental protocols followed the recommendations of the Canadian Council on Animal Care and were approved by the UNESP Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

2.3. Experimental Assays

2.3.1. Ethanol-Induced Gastric Ulcers. Male Wistar rats that had been fasted for 24 h were distributed into six groups (n = 7). Animals were then orally dosed with vehicle (10 mL/kg), carbenoxolone (100 mg/kg), or EC (25, 50, or 75 mg/kg). The sixth group (sham) did not receive either drug or vehicle. After 1 hour, the animals received an oral dose of 1 mL of absolute ethanol. One hour after ethanol treatment, the rats were sacrificed and their stomachs were removed. The stomachs were then opened along the greater curvature and were washed. The flattened stomach samples were scanned and the ulcer area (mm²) was measured using AVSoft BioView software. A small fragment of each stomach was collected for glutathione measurement. Stomach samples were collected for histological slide preparation and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE) to analyze the morphological and histological characteristics, or the slides were used for immunohistochemical analyses. A microscopic score [15] was determined for the following parameters: epithelial desquamation, hemorrhage, glandular damage, and eosinophilic infiltration, using a scale ranging from 0 to 3 (0: none, 1: mild, 2: moderate, and 3: severe) for each criterion. The highest possible score was 12.

Immunohistochemical Analysis. Six slides were used for each antibody. Each slide was deparaffinized, rehydrated, and immunostained by the ABC method. Non-specific reactions were blocked with H₂O₂ and goat serum prior to incubation with a specific antibody. After rinsing in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, 0.01 Mol/L, pH 7.4), the sections were incubated in secondary antibody (ABC kit, Easypath Erviegas). The sections were then washed in PBS buffer, the ABC complex was applied, and the reaction was carried out in a DAB solution (3,3’-diaminobenzidine-tetrahydrochloride) containing 0.01% H₂O₂ in PBS buffer. After immunostaining, the sections were lightly counterstained with hematoxylin and the immunoreactive cells were observed under a Leica microscope using Leica QWin Software (Leica, UK). In the control reaction, the slides were processed without the primary antibody or in the absence of all antibodies. The slides were stained with antibodies for heat-shock protein 70 (HSP-70), superoxide dismutase (SOD), and nitric oxide (NO) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). The marked area (μm²) in each slide was measured using AVSoft BioView software.

Determination of Total Glutathione Levels. The total glutathione content in the stomach was quantified using the recycling assay [16]. Stomach samples containing ethanol-induced gastric ulcers were thawed and minced, diluted 1:20 (w/v) in ice-cold 5% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid, and homogenized. The homogenates were centrifuged at 7000 g for 15 minutes at 4°C. The resulting supernatant was used to quantify total glutathione content by reaction with DTNB (5,5’-dithiobis-2-nitrobenzoic acid). Total glutathione was quantified by measuring the absorbance at 412 nm. The results were expressed as nmol total glutathione/gram tissue.

2.3.2. Involvement of Sulphydryl (SH) Compounds in Gastroprotection. Rats were distributed into four groups (n = 7). Two groups of rats were intraperitoneally treated with NEM
(7 mg/kg), an SH compound blocker. The other two groups were treated with vehicle (10 mL/kg). One hour later, either vehicle or EC (50 mg/kg) was orally administered to two groups each. The ulcers were induced following the ethanol-induced gastric ulcer model and the ulcer area (mm$^2$) was determined with the aid of AVSoft BioView software.

2.3.4. NSAID- (Indomethacin-) Induced Gastric Ulcers. The rats were distributed into five groups ($n=7$). Two groups of rats were subjected to intraperitoneal treatment with the following drugs: the K$\text{\textsuperscript{+}}$ATP channel blocker glibenclamide (3 mg/kg), the $\alpha_2$-receptor antagonist yohimbine (3 mg/kg), or vehicle (10 mL/kg). One hour later, either vehicle or EC (50 mg/kg) was orally administered to two groups each. The ulcers were induced following the ethanol-induced gastric ulcer model and the ulcer area (mm$^2$) was determined with the aid of AVSoft BioView software.

2.3.3. Involvement of K$\text{\textsuperscript{+}}$ATP Channels or Presynaptic $\alpha_2$-Receptors in Gastroprotection. Rats were distributed into six groups ($n=7$). Two groups of rats were subjected to intraperitoneal treatment with the following drugs: the K$\text{\textsuperscript{+}}$ATP channel blocker glibenclamide (3 mg/kg), the $\alpha_2$-receptor antagonist yohimbine (3 mg/kg), or vehicle (10 mL/kg). One hour later, either vehicle or EC (50 mg/kg) was orally administered to two groups each. The ulcers were induced following the ethanol-induced gastric ulcer model and the ulcer area (mm$^2$) was determined with the aid of AVSoft BioView software.

2.3.5. Evaluation of Gastric Juice Parameters. Male rats were randomly divided into 6 groups ($n=7$). Thirty minutes after oral treatment or immediately after the intraduodenal administration of a single dose of vehicle (10 mL/kg), cimetidine (100 mg/kg), or EC (25, 50, or 75 mg/kg), the rats were subjected to pyloric ligation [18]. Four hours later, the animals were sacrificed, the abdomen opened, and another ligature was placed around the esophagus, close to the diaphragm. The stomach was removed and its contents were drained into a graduated centrifuge tube, which was centrifuged at 2000 g for 15 minutes. The total acid content of the gastric secretions was determined by titration to pH 7.0 with 0.01 N NaOH using a digital burette (E.M., Hirschmann Technicolor, Germany). The total concentration of acid was expressed as mEq/mL/4 h.

2.3.6. Determination of Mucus Adherence to the Gastric Wall. After 24 h of fasting, anesthetized rats ($n=7$) were subjected to longitudinal incisions slightly below the xiphoïd apophysis to place a pyloric ligature. Oral administration of vehicle, carbenoxolone (200 mg/kg), or EC (50 mg/kg) was performed 1 h before the ligature. After 4 h, the animals were sacrificed and the glandular portion of the stomach was weighed and immersed in Alcian Blue solution for the mucus quantification procedure. The absorbance was measured in a spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 598 nm, and the results were expressed as $\mu$g Alcian Blue/g tissue [19].

2.4. Statistical Analysis. Parametric data were analyzed using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Dunn’s test or Tukey’s test and compared to the vehicle group. The results were presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Nonparametric data (histology scoring) were analyzed by the Kruskal-Wallis (nonparametric ANOVA) test followed by a Dunn multiple comparison test. The results were presented as the median (range). All analyses were performed using GraphPad InStat software. A value of $P<0.05$ was considered significant.

3. Results

3.1. Ethanol-Induced Gastric Ulcers

3.1.1. Gastric Ulcer Area. The vehicle group presented several hemorrhagic bands, with an average ulcer area of 520.41 ± 81.46 mm$^2$. The three doses of EC (25, 50, and 75 mg/kg) tested exhibited gastroprotective effects ($P<0.05$ for the lowest dose and $P<0.01$ for 50 and 75 mg/kg); however, the 50 mg/kg dose exhibited the greatest gastroprotective effect (94.83% gastroprotection). According to Tukey’s test, there was no significant difference between the ulcer areas of the groups treated with 50 mg/kg or 75 mg/kg; therefore, the dose of 50 mg/kg was used for all subsequent experiments. The ulcer areas (mm$^2$) are represented in Figure 2.

3.1.2. Microscopic Score. There was no microscopic evidence of eosinophilic infiltration and hemorrhage, but there was mild desquamation and glandular damage in the group treated with 50 mg/kg EC. The HE staining of the ulcers is displayed in Figure 3 and the microscopic score is shown in Table 1.

3.1.3. Immunohistochemistry. For HSP-70, SOD, and NO, the immunoreactive areas in the 50 mg/kg EC-treated group were statistically larger ($P<0.01$) than those in the control group.
Table 1: Histological scores (0–12) and immunoreactive areas (μm²) for HSP-70, SOD, and NO in rat stomachs (n = 7) with ethanol-induced gastric ulcers after treatment with vehicle, carbenoxolone (100 mg/kg), or epicatechin (50 mg/kg). The scores are represented by median (range), analyzed by ANOVA followed by Dunn, and compared to vehicle, ***P < 0.001. HSP-70, SOD, and NO are represented by the mean ± SEM, analyzed by ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s test, and compared to vehicle, **P < 0.01.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Vehicle</th>
<th>Carbenoxolone</th>
<th>Epicatechin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Score</td>
<td>12 (11-12)</td>
<td>4 (2-7)***</td>
<td>3 (2-3)***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSP-70</td>
<td>3718.93 ± 479.00</td>
<td>1362.30 ± 244.50**</td>
<td>7861.07 ± 325.92**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOD</td>
<td>15631.75 ± 956.50</td>
<td>12933.21 ± 581.75</td>
<td>26887.53 ± 971.40**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>10741.16 ± 302.40</td>
<td>11892.80 ± 324.72</td>
<td>13686.50 ± 581.96**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Effects of epicatechin (50 mg/kg) on ethanol-induced gastric ulcer area (mm²) in rats (n = 7) pretreated with the SH reagent NEM (7 mg/kg). The results are expressed as the mean ± SEM and analyzed by an unpaired t-test. P < 0.01 compared to the control group, which was orally treated with vehicle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pretreatment (i.p)</th>
<th>Treatment (p.o)</th>
<th>Gastric ulcer area (mm²)</th>
<th>Percentage of gastroprotection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>251.68 ± 46.59</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carbenoxolone</td>
<td>30.11 ± 10.61**</td>
<td>88.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Epicatechin</td>
<td>1157.40 ± 266.09</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1.4. Determination of Total Glutathione Levels. The treatment with EC (50 mg/kg) maintained the total glutathione level near that of the vehicle and carbenoxolone groups after the total glutathione-depleting ethanol administration (Figure 4). However, the total glutathione level in EC-treated animals was lower than that in the sham-treated group.

3.2. Involvement of NP-SH Compounds, K⁺ATP Channels, or Presynaptic α₂-Receptors in Gastroprotection. In rats pretreated with NEM (an SH compound reagent), the gastroprotective effect of EC (50 mg/kg) was reversed (Table 2), indicating the involvement of SH compounds in gastroprotection. Rats pretreated with yohimbine (an α₂-receptor antagonist) also exhibited a reversal in gastroprotection upon treatment with EC (Table 3), indicating the involvement of α₂-receptors in the protective mechanism of action of EC (50 mg/kg). However, in rats pretreated with glibenclamide (a K⁺ATP channel blocker), the gastroprotective effect of EC (50 mg/kg) was maintained (84.14%), indicating that there is no involvement of the K⁺ATP channels in the gastroprotective effects of EC (Table 3).

3.3. Indomethacin-Induced Gastric Ulcers. The vehicle-treated group had a large quantity of small petechiae in the stomach and a mean ulcer area of 23.97 ± 4.29 mm². In this model, the most effective dose of EC was 25 mg/kg, which had a 67.83% gastroprotective effect (ulcer area 7.71 ± 1.74 mm², P < 0.01). A dose of 50 mg/kg offered 57.90% gastroprotection (ulcer area 10.09 ± 2.93, P < 0.05) and the highest dose (75 mg/kg) was not gastroprotective (43.87%) (Figure 5).

3.4. Evaluation of the Gastric Juice Parameters. A comparison of the gastric juice parameters of the rats that were treated...
with EC (50 mg/kg) administered by oral or intraduodenal routes demonstrated that the oral treatment was able to diminish the H⁺ concentration in the gastric juice without modifying its volume. In comparison, the intraduodenal administration was not able to decrease the H⁺ concentration, but it did diminish the volume of the gastric juice (Table 4).

3.5. Determination of Mucus Adherence to the Gastric Wall.

There was a significant increase in the amount of gastric mucus adhering to the stomach wall in the EC-treated (50 mg/kg) group (2763.07 ± 117.7 μg/g, P < 0.01) versus the vehicle-treated group (2211.73 ± 98.04 μg/g) (Figure 6).

**4. Discussion**

Commonly used therapies for the treatment of gastric ulcers often fail to completely heal the ulcers and additionally present with many side effects. Furthermore, patients are searching for new and natural methods of healing their diseases. Therefore, there has been an increased interest in the search for natural products to treat gastric ulcers. The goal of this work was to characterize the gastroprotective mechanism of action of epicatechin (EC), which is a polyphenolic compound found in several plant species.

Motawiai et al. [20] previously demonstrated that an elevation in gastric acid secretion, neutrophil infiltration, and alterations in NO production and oxidative stress are mechanisms that contribute to indomethacin-induced ulceration.
SH compounds are key agents in mucosal protection against protective effects. The highest dose (100 mg/kg) constitutes the first line of mucosal defense as it decreases the inhibition of PGE₂ synthesis, resulting in a decline in mucus production and subsequent hemorrhagic ulcers [21]. In the two lowest doses tested in this study, EC was able to alleviate the effects of indomethacin and prevent gastric ulcer formation. The effect of EC seen in this study was not dose-dependent, which is consistent with previously published studies on polyphenolic compounds [22–25]. This is most likely due to the antioxidant effect of lower doses, while oxidants may be produced at higher doses [26].

Orally administered ethanol causes injury to the gastric mucosa by decreasing local blood flow [27]. It also decreases the levels of total glutathione and SH, which are important gastroprotective factors [28], and depletes the gastric mucus [29] resulting in gastric lesions. In the ethanol-induced gastric ulcer assay, the EC protective effect at the three doses tested suggests a gastroprotective mechanism that acts directly in the gastric mucosal cells. The intermediate dose (50 mg/kg) was chosen for subsequent assays because its gastroprotective effect was significantly higher than the effect of the lower dose (25 mg/kg) and was not different from the highest dose (100 mg/kg). The mechanisms that could be responsible for the gastroprotective effects were investigated as follows. Endogenous SH compounds are key agents in mucosal protection against ethanol-induced gastric injury [30]. These SH compounds provide protective effects through binding free radicals formed by ethanol treatment and by controlling the production of mucus [31]. Our results evidence the importance of these compounds in the gastroprotective mechanism of EC. Moreover, SH compounds are also involved in the maintenance of the mucus disulfide bridges that, if damaged, render the mucus more soluble, resulting in a gastric mucosa that is more susceptible to injuries caused by harmful agents [32]. The involvement of this pathway in gastroprotection may also explain why the treatment with EC produced a significant increase in the amount of mucus in the gastric glands. This is an efficient mechanism because the mucus barrier constitutes the first line of mucosal defense as it decreases mechanic shock, blocks bacterial access to the epithelium [33], and prevents reverse diffusion of H⁺ ions [34].

The pyloric ligation model permits the evaluation of the antisecretory actions of an experimental substance for local or systemic activity. This assay induces ulcers by an increase in gastric hydrochloric acid secretion, leading to autodigestion of the gastric mucosa and breakdown of the gastric mucosal barrier. Orally administered EC exhibited antisecretory activity by decreasing the H⁺ concentration in the gastric juice without modifying its volume, an effect which did not occur in the intraduodenally treated rats. These results lead to the conclusion that EC acts via a local rather than a systemic mechanism. Furthermore, we suggest that the decrease in H⁺ concentration in the orally treated rats can also be explained by the increase in the gastric mucus production stimulated by EC, given that the mucus barrier is able to neutralize secreted H⁺.

Gastric cells produce several antioxidants, including SOD and endogenous glutathione, which scavenge reactive oxygen species (ROS). An excessive generation of ROS enhances lipid peroxidation and depletes antioxidant enzymes [35]. SOD is one of the most effective intracellular enzymatic antioxidants, and it acts by catalyzing the dismutation of superoxide into oxygen and hydrogen peroxide [36]. Total glutathione is an important antioxidant that is essential in maintaining the integrity of the gastric mucosa. It prevents injuries caused by noxious agents through protection from free-radical-induced damage; however, its levels are reduced during the ulcerative process [37]. The results indicate that the gastroprotective effect is not related to the total glutathione pathway but to the SOD antioxidant mechanism. The antioxidant potential of EC was reinforced by previous studies showing that EC was able to prevent lipid peroxidation in the rat brain (data not shown). These important findings are evidence that the gastroprotective mechanism of EC is related not only to the reinforcement of the mucus barrier but also to an antioxidant pathway.

The increase in the immunoreactive area for NO and HSP-70 is also an important result. NO has attracted considerable attention as a gastric defensive factor. There is substantial evidence that NO, a gas signaling molecule secreted by the gastric epithelial cells, acts by increasing mucosal blood flow, regulating the secretion of mucus and bicarbonate, and inhibiting the secretion of gastric juice [38]. It is also known that HSP-70 is induced inside cells exposed to stressor agents. HSP-70 proteins refold or degrade denatured proteins produced by harmful agents [39], providing resistance to ulceration.

ATP-sensitive potassium channels (K⁺ₐ₅₆) provide a gastric defense by inhibiting neutrophil activation and superoxide production and by enhancing gastric microcirculation [40]. Our data, however, demonstrate that K⁺ₐ₅₆ channels are not involved in the mechanism of action of EC because the blockade of these channels with glibenclamide did not reverse the gastroprotective effects of EC.

Our investigation of gastric mucosal protective mechanisms was not focused solely on local mucosal processes. Presynaptic α₁-adrenoceptors mediate several responses in the gastrointestinal tract, including antiserum action and

![Figure 6: Quantification of adherent mucus (µg/g of tissue) in the gastric mucosa of rats treated with vehicle, carbenoxolone (200 mg/kg), or epicatechin (50 mg/kg), analyzed by ANOVA followed by Dunnett's test, P < 0.01.](image-url)
mucosal protective effects [41], which result in gastroprotection against different types of mucosal damage. The gastroprotection afforded by EC was reversed by yohimbine, an α2-receptor antagonist, suggesting an active role for α2-adrenoceptors in gastroprotection.

5. Conclusion

Taken together, these findings suggest that EC mediates gastroprotection through a mechanism that includes the reinforcement of the mucus barrier and the neutralization of gastric juices. Furthermore, this occurs with the involvement of SH compounds, presynaptic α2-adrenoceptors, SOD, NO, and HSP-70, in addition to antioxidant effects seen upon treatment with this compound.
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