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1. Introduction

Falls are common among the elderly and may compromise senior people’s physical and psychological health [1]. For example, seniors may suffer from fractured distal radius resulting from falls on outstretched hand [2]. In addition, elderly people who have experienced falls may have greater fear of falling and less confidence in their balance. As a result, they may be less active, which can negatively affect their lower limb muscular strength [3, 4]. Improving musculoskeletal health and balance ability through exercise could reduce falls and perhaps fall-related fractures [2, 5] and also improve balance confidence in the elderly [6].

Ving Tsun (VT) is a traditional Chinese martial art that has the potential to be developed into a new form of health-maintenance exercise to prevent bone loss and the deterioration of balance ability in the elderly [7]. VT involves progressive training with a lot of relatively high-impact osteogenic activities (e.g., wooden dummy training) and functional balance tasks (e.g., sticking-hand exercises) [8]. However, all of these potential physically beneficial effects are underexamined. To the best of our knowledge, no study...
has investigated VT’s psychological benefits (e.g., balance confidence). The major objective of this study was, thus, to compare the bone strength, lower limb muscular strength, functional balance performance, and balance self-efficacy between VT practitioners and nonpractitioners. We also aimed to identify the associations between lower limb muscular strength, functional balance performance, and balance self-efficacy among the VT-trained participants. Our findings shed light on the potential use of VT exercises to avoid falls and the associated fractures in the elderly population.

2. Methods

2.1. Study Design and Participants. This was a cross-sectional exploratory study. Community-dwelling elderly people who engaged in VT martial arts training (n = 35) were recruited from five local VT martial arts associations by convenience sampling. Forty-nine healthy volunteers were recruited from an elderly center in the community to form a comparison group. The inclusion criteria were the following: (1) having regular VT training at least two hours per week for three consecutive months (for the VT group participants only); (2) being 40 years old or above; (3) being able to ambulate independently without the use of walking aids; and (4) being able to communicate and follow verbal commands. The exclusion criteria were the following: (1) having unstable medical conditions such as cardiovascular problems; (2) having a history of significant cognitive, orthopedic, neurologic, visual, vestibular, or cardiopulmonary disorders that may affect their assessment performance; or (3) having experience in martial arts apart from VT (for the VT group participants). This study was approved by the University Ethics Committee and conducted following the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki for human experiments. Written informed consent was obtained from each participant before data collection.

2.2. Measurements

2.2.1. Demographics. Data collection was performed by a physiotherapist and trained assistants in the VT martial art schools and elderly centre. All participants had an interview first, in which relevant information including age, sex, VT experience, and fall history was obtained. The body mass index (BMI) was calculated after body height and weight were measured by a mechanical beam scale (Detecto Physician’s Scale, Detecto, MO) or an electronic weight scale. Each of the participants then underwent the following physical assessments in random order.

2.2.2. Bone Strength of Distal Radius. A Sunlight Mini-Omni Ultrasound Bone Sonometer (Sunlight, BeamMed Ltd., Israel) was used to assess the bone strength of distal radius of the dominant arm. This system was found to be reliable with an intraoperator precision of 0.36% measured at the distal radius and precise in vivo (0.4%–0.8%) [9–11]. The measurement procedures were presented in Fong et al. [7]. To summarize, each participant was seated with the tested forearm supported on a table. The assessor placed the handheld probe (with the ultrasound transducers inside) at the distal third of the radius and then rotated it slowly around the radial bone without lifting it up from the skin surface. This measurement procedure was repeated three times or more until the speed of ultrasound (SOS) (in ms⁻¹) was calculated by the inbuilt computer program. The SOS value represents the velocity of the ultrasound wave traveling through a few centimeters of the tested radius parallel to its axis within the outer 2 to 6 mm [12]. This SOS value was then converted into a T-score and a Z-score using the same computer program. The SOS T-score and SOS Z-score refer to the units of standard deviations (SD) relative to the population reference values of ethnicity-matched healthy young adults and ethnicity-, age-, and sex-matched populations, respectively. Both scores reflect the bone’s fragility and are associated with bone strength [13]. The SOS T-score threshold for the diagnosis of osteoporosis at the distal radius is −2.6 while a value between −2.6 and −1.4 suggests osteopenia [9]. Both the SOS T-score and the SOS Z-score were used for analysis in this study.

2.2.3. Lower Limb Muscular Strength. For the measurement of lower limb muscular strength, the five times sit-to-stand (FTSTTS) test was used. This functional test is widely used to measure lower limb muscular strength and has moderate intrarater reliability (ICC = 0.64) [14] and excellent interrater reliability (ICC = 1.0) [15] in healthy elderly. Detailed assessment procedures were described by Ng et al. [16]. In brief, the participants started in a seated position. They were instructed to stand up and sit down five times as quickly as possible and the whole process was timed. Each participant performed two trials and the average time taken was used for analysis [16].

2.2.4. Functional Balance Performance. The Berg balance scale (BBS), which has been found to be reliable (intrarater reliability ICC = 0.98; intrarater reliability ICC = 0.71–0.99) and valid in older adults, was used to determine functional balance [17, 18]. It is a 14-item test with a 5-point ordinal scale (0–4) per item, yielding a maximum total score of 56. A score of zero for an item indicates an inability, or that maximal assistance is required, to complete the task or perform tasks safely, while a score of four indicates that the task can be performed both independently and safely. A BBS total score (i.e., the sum of all item scores) of <45 is predictive of multiple falls [19]. The total score was calculated and used for analysis. The higher the total score, the better the balance ability.

2.2.5. Balance Self-Efficacy. The balance self-efficacy of the participants was evaluated with the validated activities-specific balance confidence (ABC) scale, Chinese version. It has high internal consistency (Cronbach’s alpha = 0.97), excellent test-retest reliability (ICC = 0.99), and good interrater reliability (ICC = 0.85) [20]. Participants were asked to rate their self-perceived balance confidence level on an 11-point scale ranging from 0 (no confidence at all) to 100 (completely confident) for completing 16 functional activities without losing balance or becoming unsteady (e.g., walk in a crowded mall). The mean of the total item score (i.e., mean ABC score), ranging from 0 to 100, was used for analysis.
Scores close to 100 indicate higher levels of balance self-efficacy [20].

2.3. Statistical Analysis. Differences in the characteristics of the VT group participants and the control participants were compared with independent t-tests (for continuous data) and chi-square tests (for nominal data). In addition, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to check the normality of data. To avoid an increase in type I error due to multiple comparisons, multivariate analysis of covariance (MANCOVA) was performed for the (1) bone strength indexes and (2) muscular strength and balance variables. Any significant between-group differences in participant characteristics were treated as covariates in the MANCOVA analyses. Partial eta-squared values (measures of effect size for MANCOVA) were also presented. By convention, partial eta-squared values of 0.01, 0.06, and 0.14 are regarded as small, medium, and large effect sizes, respectively [21]. Moreover, to determine the bivariate correlations among the muscular strength and balance outcomes in the VT-trained participants, Pearson's r was used. All statistical analyses were performed using the IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences 20.0 software (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). A significance level of 5% was set for all the statistical tests (two-tailed).

3. Results

Thirty-five VT-trained participants (VT group) and 49 control participants (control group) fulfilled the eligibility criteria and completed the assessments. The demographic characteristics of the participants are presented in Table 1. The proportion of male participants was significantly higher in the VT group than the control group (P = 0.001). The VT group participants were also significantly shorter and had better functional balance performance than the control participants (P = 0.004) (Table 1). Therefore, sex and body height were treated as covariates in the subsequent statistical analyses.

MANCOVA analysis revealed an overall significant difference in bone strength indexes (Hotelling’s Trace = 3.976, P = 0.023) and muscular strength and balance outcomes (Hotelling’s Trace = 10.196, P < 0.001) between the two groups. When each individual outcome was considered, the between-group difference remained significant for all bone strength indexes (P < 0.05), muscular strength outcome (P = 0.001), and balance outcomes (P < 0.01). The SOS T-score and SOS Z-score, indicating bone strength, were significantly higher in the VT group than the control group by 48.1% (P = 0.035) and 77.8% (P = 0.009), respectively. In addition, the VT group required 40.3% less time to complete the FTSTS test than the control group (P = 0.001), indicating greater lower limb muscular strength in the VT group. The BBS score and ABC score were also significantly higher in the VT group than the control group by 18.9% (P = 0.003) and 25.0% (P < 0.001), respectively (Table 2). Moreover, partial eta-squared values ranged from 0.055 to 0.384 for all variables of interest, indicating medium to large effect sizes (Table 2).

Correlation analyses were performed for the VT group only. Only the FTSTS time showed a significant association with the BBS score (r = −0.575, P = 0.013). No significant correlations were found between the FTSTS time and ABC score (r = −0.123, P = 0.627) and BBS score and ABC score (r = 0.109, P = 0.665).

4. Discussion

4.1. Bone Strength. VT-trained older adults had significantly higher bone strength than the control group seniors, after adjusting for sex and body height. This finding is anticipated and actually in agreement with one of our previous studies showing that radial bone strength was higher in long-term VT practitioners (males exclusively in their fifties) than the age- and sex-matched controls [7]. VT training includes many striking movements using the forearms, for example, sandbag workouts, sticking-hand exercises, and wooden dummy training [8, 22], and these movements repetitively load the forearm bones (including the radius) with high impact forces, resulting in remodeling and strengthening of these bones to withstand the external loads or stress [23]. This phenomenon is best explained by Wolff’s law, which states that the internal structure of a bone is adapted to mechanical demands such that the trabecular orientation coincides with the stress trajectories [24].

We are specifically concerned with the bone strength of the distal radius because Colles’ fractures or wrist fractures are the most common upper extremity fracture resulting from falls on the outstretched hand in older adults [25]. If VT training can improve radial bone strength, it could serve as Colles’ fracture-prevention exercise and could be incorporated into the traditional fall-prevention programs. Certainly, further randomized controlled trials should be carried out to prove its effectiveness in strengthening the forearm bones.

4.2. Lower Limb Muscular Strength. This is the first study to report that VT practitioners had greater lower limb muscular strength, as reflected by their shorter completion time in the FTSTS test, than the nonpractitioners. VT-trained participants required only 8.3 s to complete the FTSTS test while the control participants required 13.9 s and the age-matched norm is 12.1 s [26]. The present finding is basically in line with previous studies showing that hard-style martial arts practitioners and karate athletes had greater knee muscular strength than control groups [27, 28]. Although VT is relatively softer (less focused on impact) than karate and its training moves away from forceful kicking techniques, practitioners often stand in semisquatting postures (e.g., goat-gripping stance, forward attacking footwork, and pivoting footwork) during practice [8]. This may improve both the amplitude and the timing of leg muscle activation and may increase muscular strength [29].

4.3. Functional Balance Performance. Results revealed that the VT group participants scored 18.9% higher in the BBS than the control participants. That means that VT practitioners had better functional balance performance than the non-training controls. This result was expected and agrees with
Table 1: Participant characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>VT group</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>62.7 ± 13.3</td>
<td>65.9 ± 10.5</td>
<td>0.219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (n)</td>
<td>27 men/8 women</td>
<td>20 men/29 women</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>64.3 ± 12.2</td>
<td>62.1 ± 11.4</td>
<td>0.406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>163.1 ± 8.1</td>
<td>156.8 ± 10.4</td>
<td>0.004*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24.1 ± 3.7</td>
<td>25.2 ± 3.6</td>
<td>0.185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT experience (years)</td>
<td>8.0 ± 9.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of fall incidents in the past 6 months</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.381</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± SD presented for continuous variables.
* P < 0.05 for between-group comparisons.

Table 2: Comparison of outcome variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>VT group</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Effect size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bone strength of distal radius (dominant side)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOS T score</td>
<td>−1.4 ± 1.4</td>
<td>−2.7 ± 1.6</td>
<td>0.035*</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOS Z score</td>
<td>−0.2 ± 1.3</td>
<td>−0.9 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.009*</td>
<td>0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower limb muscular strength</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTSTS time (s)</td>
<td>8.3 ± 2.2</td>
<td>13.9 ± 4.5</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
<td>0.229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional balance performance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBS score</td>
<td>51.5 ± 3.8</td>
<td>43.3 ± 7.7</td>
<td>0.003*</td>
<td>0.173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance self-efficacy</td>
<td>94.9 ± 5.6</td>
<td>75.9 ± 10.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>0.384</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± SD presented for continuous variables.
* P < 0.05 for between-group comparisons.

4.4. Balance Self-Efficacy. Similar to tai chi practitioners [6], VT practitioners had higher ABC scores than the control participants. This may be because VT practitioners (1) had more positive experiences in performing balancing tasks (e.g., sticking-hand exercises), (2) had more chance to observe others successfully completing a balancing task, and (3) received verbal affirmation of their balance ability from others during VT training [31, 32]. However, their higher balance self-efficacy is not related to their greater lower limb muscular strength or superior functional balance performance. These findings are in contrast to Tsang and Hui-Chan [6] and Hatch et al. [33] who showed that balance confidence is correlated with knee muscular strength in older tai chi practitioners [6] and balance performance in healthy elderly people [33]. These discrepancies could be explained by the different exercise types and training volumes received by the participants, different age ranges, and the various assessment methods of muscular strength and balance performance that were used across studies. Therefore, these results cannot be compared directly.

4.5. Limitations and Recommendation for Further Research. Although the results were promising, there are several limitations in this study. First, a convenience sample was used and, thus, the possibility of self-selection bias cannot be excluded. For example, elders with stronger bones, muscles, balance, and confidence might be more willing to participate in VT training. Second, our sample was quite heterogeneous, including people of 40 years old or above and more than 3 months of VT experience (for the VT group). This may potentially confound the results. Third, the cross-sectional study design cannot establish causality between VT training and the physical outcomes. It would be interesting to further explore the effects of VT training on bone strength, muscular strength, body balance, and balance self-efficacy in older adults by randomized controlled trials. Finally, how VT training is associated with incidence of falls and fall-related fracture distal radius was not examined. These important clinical implications await further research.
5. Conclusions
Elderly VT martial arts practitioners had higher radial bone strength, greater lower limb muscular strength, better functional balance performance, and greater balance confidence than the nonpractitioners. These encouraging findings may inspire the development of VT fall-prevention exercises for the community-dwelling healthy elderly.
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