Hindawi Publishing Corporation
Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine
Volume 2015, Article ID 898474, 2 pages
http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2015/898474

Corrigendum

Corrigendum to "Electroacupuncture Treatment Improves Neurological Function Associated with Regulation of Tight Junction Proteins in Rats with Cerebral Ischemia Reperfusion Injury"

Ya-Min Zhang, Hong Xu, Hua Sun, Su-Hui Chen, and Fu-Ming Wang

Department of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Peking Union Medical College Hospital (PUMCH), Peking Union Medical College (PUMC), Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing 100730, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Hua Sun; sunhuahe@vip.sina.com

Received 4 November 2015; Accepted 11 November 2015

We have noticed an inadvertent error in our paper "Electroacupuncture Treatment Improves Neurological Function Associated with Regulation of Tight Junction Proteins in Rats with Cerebral Ischemia Reperfusion Injury" [1].

There is an error that occurred during uploading Figure 4(a). The published picture of claudin-5 M5 is incorrect. We have attached a corrected version of Figure 4. This error does not change the scientific conclusions of the paper in any way.

References

[1] Y.-M. Zhang, H. Xu, H. Sun, S.-H. Chen, and F.-M. Wang, "Electroacupuncture treatment improves neurological function associated with regulation of tight junction proteins in rats with cerebral ischemia reperfusion injury," *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 2014, Article ID 989340, 10 pages, 2014.



Figure 4: Effects of EA on the distribution and expression of ZO-1, claudin-5, and occludin on ischemic cerebral microvessels. (a) Representative immunohistochemistry stained tissue of the following groups: sham; M1–M7: MCAO groups after 1, 3, 5, and 7 d of reperfusion; and E1–E7: EA groups after 1, 3, 5, and 7 d of reperfusion. The integrated optical density of ZO-1 (b), claudin-5 (c), and occludin (d). Data ($n = 6$) are represented as mean ± SD. $^{\Delta}P < 0.05$ versus the sham group, $^{*}P < 0.05$ versus the MCAO group at the same time points, and $^{*}P < 0.05$ versus the EA groups at the same time points. Arrows show the immunoreactive positive area. Scale bar in $A = 50\ \mu$m (×400).